

Research Article

Identifying a Global Optimizer with Filled Function for Nonlinear Integer Programming

Wei-Xiang Wang,¹ You-Lin Shang,² and Lian-Sheng Zhang³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Second Polytechnic University, Shanghai 201209, China

² Department of Mathematics, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471003, China

³ Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Wei-Xiang Wang, zhesx@126.com

Received 27 March 2011; Revised 10 July 2011; Accepted 15 July 2011

Academic Editor: Rigoberto Medina

Copyright © 2011 Wei-Xiang Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This paper presents a filled function method for finding a global optimizer of integer programming problem. The method contains two phases: the local minimization phase and the filling phase. The goal of the former phase is to identify a local minimizer of the objective function, while the filling phase aims to search for a better initial point for the first phase with the aid of the filled function. A two-parameter filled function is proposed, and its properties are investigated. A corresponding filled function algorithm is established. Numerical experiments on several test problems are performed, and preliminary computational results are reported.

1. Introduction

Consider the following general global nonlinear integer programming:

$$\min_{x \in X} f(x), \quad (P)$$

where $f : Z^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$, $X \subset Z^n$ is a box set and Z^n is the set of integer points in R^n . The problem (P) is important since lots of real life applications, such as production planning, supply chains, and finance, are allowed to be formulated into this problem.

One of main issues in the global optimization is to avoid being trapped in the basins surrounding local minimizers. Several global optimization solution strategies have been put forward to tackle with the problem (P). These techniques are usually divided into two classes: stochastic method and deterministic method (see [1–7]). The discrete filled function method is one of the more recently developed global optimization tools for discrete global

optimization problems. The first filled function was introduced by Ge and Qin in [8] for continuous global optimization. Papers [6, 7, 9–11] extend this continuous filled function method to solve integer programming problem. Like the continuous filled function method, the discrete filled function method also contains two phases: local minimization and filling. The local minimization phase uses any ordinary discrete descent method to search for a discrete local minimizer of the problem (P), while the filling phase utilizes an auxiliary function called filled function to find a better initial point for the first phase by minimizing the constructed filled function. The definitions of the filled function proposed in the papers [9, 10] are as follows.

Definition 1.1 (see [9]). $P(x, x^*)$ is called a filled function of $f(x)$ at a discrete local minimizer x^* if $P(x, x^*)$ meets the following conditions.

- (1) $P(x, x^*)$ has no discrete local minimizers in the set $S_1 = \{x \in X : f(x) \geq f(x^*)\}$, except a prefixed point $x_0 \in S_1$ that is a minimizer of $P(x, x^*)$.
- (2) If x^* is not a discrete global minimizer of $f(x)$, then $P(x, x^*)$ does have a discrete minimizer in the set $S_2 = \{x \mid f(x) < f(x^*), x \in X\}$.

Definition 1.2 (see [10]). $P(x, x^*)$ is called a filled function of $f(x)$ at a discrete local minimizer x^* if $P(x, x^*)$ meets the following conditions.

- (1) $P(x, x^*)$ has no discrete local minimizers in the set $S_1 \setminus x_0$, where the prefixed point $x_0 \in S_1$ is not necessarily a local minimizer of $P(x, x^*)$.
- (2) If x^* is not a discrete global minimizer of $f(x)$, then $P(x, x^*)$ has a discrete minimizer in the set S_2 .

Although Definitions 1.1 and 1.2 and the corresponding filled functions proposed in the papers [9, 10] have their own advantages, they have some defects in some degree, for example, as the prefixed point x_0 in Definition 1.2 may be a minimizer of the given filled function, which will result in numerical complexity at the iterations or cause the algorithm to fail. To avoid these defects, in this paper, we give a modification of Definitions 1.1 and 1.2 and propose a new filled function.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic concepts of discrete optimization. In Section 3, we propose a discrete filled function and investigate its properties. In Section 4, we state our algorithm and report preliminary numerical results. And, at last, we give our conclusion in Section 5.

2. Basic Knowledge and Some Assumptions

Consider the problem (P). Throughout this paper, we make the following assumptions.

Assumption 2.1. There exists a constant $D > 0$ satisfying $1 \leq D = \max_{x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \neq x_2} \|x_1 - x_2\| < \infty$.

Assumption 2.2. There exists a constant $L > 0$, such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L \|x - y\| \quad (2.1)$$

holds, for any $x, y \in \bigcup_{x \in X} N(x)$, where $N(x)$ is a neighborhood of the point x as defined in Definition 2.4.

Most of the existing discrete filled function methods are used for solving a box constrained problem. To an unconstrained global optimization problem (UP): $\min_{x \in R^n} f(x)$, if $f(x)$ satisfies $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = +\infty$, then there exists a box set which contains all discrete global minimizers of $f(x)$. Therefore, (UP) can be turned into an equivalent formulation in (P) and solved by any discrete filled function method.

For convenience, in the following, we recall some preliminaries which will be used throughout this paper.

Definition 2.3 (see [10]). The set of all feasible directions at $x \in X$ is defined by $D_x = \{d \in D : x + d \in X\}$, where $D = \{\pm e_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, e_i is the i th unit vector (the n -dimensional vector with the i th component equal to one and all other components equal to zero).

Definition 2.4 (see [10]). For any $x \in Z^n$, the discrete neighborhood of x is defined by $N(x) = \{x, x \pm e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 2.5 (see [10]). A point $x^* \in X$ is called a discrete local minimizer of $f(x)$ over X if $f(x^*) \leq f(x)$, for all $x \in X \cap N(x^*)$. Furthermore, if $f(x^*) \leq f(x)$, for all $x \in X$, then x^* is called a strict discrete local minimizer of $f(x)$ over X . If, in addition, $f(x^*) < f(x)$, for all $(x \in X \setminus \{x^*\})$, then x^* is called a strict discrete local (global) minimizer of $f(x)$ over X .

Algorithm 2.6 (discrete local minimization method).

- (1) Start from an initial point $x \in X$.
- (2) If x is a local minimizer of f over X , then stop. Otherwise, let

$$d^* := \arg \min_{d_i \in D_x} \{f(x + d_i) : f(x + d_i) < f(x)\}. \quad (2.2)$$

- (3) Let $x := x + d^*$, and go to Step (2).

Let x^* be a local minimizer of the problem (P). The new definition of the filled function of f at x^* is given as follows.

Definition 2.7. $P(x, x^*)$ is called a discrete filled function of $f(x)$ at a discrete local minimizer x^* if $P(x, x^*)$ has the following properties.

- (1) x^* is a strict discrete local maximizer of $P(x, x^*)$ over X .
- (2) $P(x, x^*)$ has no discrete local minimizers in the region

$$S_1 = \{x \mid f(x) \geq f(x^*), x \in X \setminus \{x^*\}\}. \quad (2.3)$$

- (3) If x^* is not a discrete global minimizer of $f(x)$, then $P(x, x^*)$ does have a discrete minimizer in the region

$$S_2 = \{x \mid f(x) < f(x^*), x \in X\}. \quad (2.4)$$

3. Properties of the Proposed Discrete Filled Function $T(x, x^*, q, r)$

Let x^* denote the current discrete local minimizer of (P) . Based on Definition 2.7, a novel filled function is proposed as follows:

$$T(x, x^*, q, r) = \frac{1}{q + \|x - x^*\|} \varphi_q(\max\{f(x) - f(x^*) + r, 0\}), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\varphi_q(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{q}{t} & \text{if } t \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where $r > 0$ and $q > 0$ are two parameters and r satisfies $0 < r < \min_{f(x_1) \neq f(x_2), x_1, x_2 \in X} |f(x_1) - f(x_2)|$.

The following theorems ensure that $T(x, x^*, q, r)$ is a filled function under some conditions.

Theorem 3.1. *If $0 < q < \min(r, \pi/4)$, then x^* is a strict local maximizer of $T(x, x^*, q, r)$.*

Proof. Since x^* is a local minimizer of (P) , there exists a neighborhood $N(x^*)$ of x^* such that $f(x) \geq f(x^*)$ and $\|x - x^*\| = 1$ hold, for any $x \in N(x^*) \cap X$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T(x, x^*, q, r) &= \frac{1}{q+1} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{q}{f(x) - f(x^*) + r} \right), \\ T(x^*, x^*, q, r) &= \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{q}{r} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By the condition $0 < q < \min(r, \pi/4)$ and the fact that the inequality

$$\arctan a - \arctan b \leq a - b \quad (3.4)$$

holds for any real number $a \geq b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= T(x, x^*, q, r) - T(x^*, x^*, q, r) \\ &= \frac{1}{q(q+1)} \left(\arctan \frac{q}{r} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{q+1} \left(\arctan \frac{q}{r} - \arctan \frac{q}{f(x) - f(x^*) + r} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q(q+1)} \left(\arctan 1 - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + \frac{q}{q+1} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{f(x) - f(x^*) + r} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{4} \frac{1}{q(q+1)} + \frac{1}{q+1} \frac{q}{r} \frac{f(x) - f(x^*)}{f(x) - f(x^*) + r} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq -\frac{\pi}{4} \frac{1}{q(q+1)} + \frac{1}{q+1} \\
&= \frac{1}{q(q+1)} \left(q - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) < 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Hence, $T(x, x^*, q, r) < T(x^*, x^*, q, r)$, which implies that x^* is a strict local maximizer of $T(x, x^*, q, r)$. \square

Lemma 3.2. For every $x' \in X$, there exists $d \in D$ such that $\|x' + d - x^*\| > \|x' - x^*\|$.

For the proof of this lemma, see, for example, [6] or [7].

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $0 < q < \min(1, r, ((\pi - 2)/4(1 + D))r)$. If $f(x) \geq f(x^*)$ and $x \neq x^*$, then x is not a local minimizer of $T(x, x^*, q, r)$.

Proof. For any $x \neq x^*$ with $f(x) \geq f(x^*)$, by Lemma 3.2, there exists a direction $d \in D$ with $x + d \in \bigcup_{x \in X} N(x)$ such that $\|x + d - x^*\| > \|x - x^*\|$. For this d , we consider the following three cases.

Case 1 ($f(x + d) \geq f(x^*)$). In this case, by using the given condition and the fact that the inequality

$$\arctan a \leq a \tag{3.6}$$

holds for any real number $a \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_1 &= T(x + d, x^*, q, r) - T(x, x^*, q, r) \\
&= \frac{1}{q + \|x + d - x^*\|} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{q}{f(x + d) - f(x^*) + r} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{q + \|x - x^*\|} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{q}{f(x) - f(x^*) + r} \right) \\
&= \left(\arctan \frac{q}{f(x + d) - f(x^*) + r} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \frac{\|x + d - x^*\| - \|x - x^*\|}{(q + \|x + d - x^*\|)(q + \|x - x^*\|)} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{q + \|x - x^*\|} \left(\arctan \frac{q}{f(x) - f(x^*) + r} - \arctan \frac{q}{f(x + d) - f(x^*) + r} \right) \\
&\leq \left(\arctan \frac{q}{r} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \frac{\|x + d - x^*\| - \|x - x^*\|}{(q + \|x + d - x^*\|)(q + \|x - x^*\|)} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{q + \|x - x^*\|} \left(\arctan \frac{q}{f(x) - f(x^*) + r} + \arctan \frac{q}{f(x + d) - f(x^*) + r} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(\frac{q}{r} - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \frac{\|x+d-x^*\| - \|x-x^*\|}{(q+\|x+d-x^*\|)(q+\|x-x^*\|)} + \frac{1}{q+\|x-x^*\|} \left(\frac{q}{r} + \frac{q}{r}\right) \\
&\leq \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \frac{\|x+d-x^*\| - \|x-x^*\|}{(q+\|x+d-x^*\|)(q+\|x-x^*\|)} + \frac{1}{q+\|x-x^*\|} \frac{2q}{r} \\
&\leq \frac{\|x+d-x^*\| - \|x-x^*\|}{(q+\|x+d-x^*\|)(q+\|x-x^*\|)} \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{2q}{r} \frac{q+\|x+d-x^*\|}{\|x+d-x^*\| - \|x-x^*\|}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Since $q + \|x + d - x^*\| \leq 1 + D$ and $\|x + d - x^*\| - \|x - x^*\| \geq 1$, we have

$$\Delta_1 \leq \frac{\|x+d-x^*\| - \|x-x^*\|}{(q+\|x+d-x^*\|)(q+\|x-x^*\|)} \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{2q}{r}(1+D)\right) < 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Hence, in this case, x is not a local minimizer of $T(x, x^*, q, r)$.

Case 2 ($f(x+d) < f(x^*)$ and $f(x+d) - f(x^*) + r \leq 0$). In this case, we have

$$\Delta_2 = T(x+d, x^*, q, r) - T(x, x^*, q, r) = -T(x, x^*, q, r) < 0, \tag{3.9}$$

which means the conclusion is true in this case.

Case 3 ($f(x+d) < f(x^*)$ and $f(x+d) - f(x^*) + r > 0$). In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T(x+d, x^*, q, r) &= \frac{1}{q+\|x+d-x^*\|} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{q}{f(x+d) - f(x^*) + r}\right) \\
&< \frac{1}{q+\|x+d-x^*\|} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{q}{r}\right) \\
&< \frac{1}{q+\|x-x^*\|} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{q}{f(x) - f(x^*) + r}\right) = T(x, x^*, q, r).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Hence, in this case, x is not a local minimizer of $T(x, x^*, q, r)$.

The above discussion implies that x is not a discrete local minimizer of $T(x, x^*, q, r)$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that x^* is not a global minimizer of $f(x)$, then there exists a minimizer x_1^* of $T(x, x^*, q, r)$ in S_2 .*

Proof. Since x^* is not a global minimizer of $f(x)$, there exists $x_1^* \in S_2$ such that $f(x_1^*) < f(x^*) - r$; it follows that $T(x_1^*, x^*, q, r) = 0$. On the other hand, by the structure of $T(x, x^*, q, r)$, we have $T(x, x^*, q, r) \geq 0$ for any $x \in X$. This shows x_1^* is a minimizer of $T(x, x^*, q, r)$. \square

4. Filled Function Algorithm and Numerical Experiments

Based on the theoretical results in the previous section, the filled function method for (P) is described now as follows.

Algorithm 4.1 (discrete filled function method).

- (1) Input the lower bound of r , namely, $r_L = 1e - 8$. Input an initial point $x_0^{(0)} \in X$. Let $D = \{\pm e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- (2) Starting from an initial point $x_0^{(0)} \in X$, minimize $f(x)$ and obtain the first local minimizer x_0^* of $f(x)$. Set $k = 0$, $r = 1$, and $q = 1$.
- (3) Set $x_k^{(0)i} = x_k^* + d_i$, $d_i \in D$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$, $J = [1, 2, \dots, 2n]$, and $j = 1$.
- (4) Set $i = J_j$ and $x = x_k^{(0)i}$.
- (5) If $f(x) < f(x_k^*)$, then use x as initial point for discrete local minimization method to find another local minimizer x_{k+1}^* such that $f(x_{k+1}^*) < f(x_k^*)$. Set $k = k + 1$, and go to (3).
- (6) Let $D_0 = \{d \in D : x + d \in X\}$. If there exists $d \in D_0$ such that $f(x + d) < f(x_k^*)$, then use $x + d^*$, where $d^* = \arg \min_{d \in D_0} \{f(x + d)\}$, as an initial point for a discrete local minimization method to find another local minimizer x_{k+1}^* such that $f(x_{k+1}^*) < f(x_k^*)$. Set $k = k + 1$, and go to (3).
- (7) Let $D_1 = \{d \in D_0 : \|x + d - x^*\| > \|x - x^*\|\}$. If $D_1 = \emptyset$, then go to (10).
- (8) If there exists $d \in D_1$ such that $T(x + d, x_k^*, q, r) \geq T(x, x_k^*, q, r)$, then set $q = 0.1q$, $J = [J_j, \dots, J_{2n}, J_1, \dots, J_{j-1}]$, $j = 1$, and go to (4).
- (9) Let $D_2 := \{d \in D_1 : f(x + d) < f(x), T(x + d, x_k^*, q, r) < T(x, x_k^*, q, r)\}$. If $D_2 \neq \emptyset$, then set $d^* = \arg \min_{d \in D_2} \{f(x + d) + T(x + d, x_k^*, q, r)\}$. Otherwise set $d^* = \arg \min_{d \in D_1} \{T(x + d, x_k^*, q, r)\}$, $x = x + d^*$, and go to (6).
- (10) If $i < 2n$, then set $i = i + 1$, and go to (4).
- (11) Set $r = 0.1r$. If $r \geq r_L$, go to (3). Otherwise, the algorithm is incapable of finding a better minimizer starting from the initial points, $\{x_k^{(0)i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$. The algorithm stops, and x_k^* is taken as a global minimizer.

The motivation and mechanism behind the algorithm are explained below.

A set of $2n$ initial points is chosen in Step (3) to minimize the discrete filled function.

Step (5) represents the situation where the current computer-generated initial point for the discrete filled function method satisfies $f(x) < f(x_k^*)$. Therefore, we can further minimize the primal objective function $f(x)$ by any discrete local minimization method starting from x .

Step (7) aims at selecting a better successor point. If D_2 is not empty, then we get a feasible direction which reduce both the objective function value and filled function value. Otherwise, we can get a descent feasible direction which reduce only filled function value.

In the following, we perform the numerical experiments for five test problems using the above proposed filled function algorithm. All the numerical experiments are programmed in MATLAB 7.0.4. The proposed filled function algorithm succeeds in identifying the global minimizers of the test problems. The computational results are summarized in Table 1, and

Table 1

<i>PN</i>	<i>DN</i>	<i>IN</i>	<i>TI</i>	<i>TN</i>	<i>FN</i>
1	4	3	2.4136	18087	3617
1	4	3	2.3217	17622	3523
1	4	3	2.4252	19556	3798
2	2	5	35.1435	312342	62468
2	2	5	36.2984	326763	65352
2	2	5	36.6879	330835	66167
3	2	5	204.9916	1558825	311765
3	2	5	206.7242	1617823	323564
3	2	5	205.6871	1593561	318712
4	4	53	3598.3893	33991625	6798325
4	4	53	3612.5671	34043270	6808654
4	4	53	3574.3248	33933790	6786758
5	25	2	148.8163	1158671	244196
5	50	2	1084.7239	9234193	1924634
5	100	2	8891.1984	689656591	15316758
6	25	11	164.2165	1521146	306731
6	50	24	1297.7789	11205803	2467864
6	100	50	9045.2396	828917460	17328966

the symbols used are given as follows:

PN: the Nth problem.

DN: the dimension of objective function of a problem.

IN: the number of iteration cycles.

TI: the CPU time in seconds for the algorithm to stop.

TN: the number of filled function evaluations for the algorithm to stop.

FN: the number of objective function evaluations for the algorithm to stop.

Problem 1. One has

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min \quad f(x) &= 100(x_2 - x_1^2)^2 + (1 - x_1)^2 + 90(x_4 - x_3^2)^2 + (1 - x_3)^2 \\
 &\quad + 10.1[(x_2 - 1)^2 + (x_4 - 1)^2] + 19.8(x_2 - 1)(x_4 - 1), \quad (4.1) \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad &-10 \leq x_i \leq 10, \quad x_i \text{ is integer, } i = 1, 2, 3, 4.
 \end{aligned}$$

This problem has $21^4 \approx 1.94 \times 10^5$ feasible points where 41 of them are discrete local minimizers but only one of those discrete local minimizers is the discrete global minimum solution: $x_{\text{global}}^* = (1, 1, 1, 1)$ with $f(x_{\text{global}}^*) = 0$. We used three initial points in our experiment: $(9, 6, 5, 6)$, $(10, 10, 10, 10)$, $(-10, -10, -10, -10)$.

Problem 2. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x) = g(x)h(x), \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_i = 0.001y_i, \quad -2000 \leq y_i \leq 2000, \quad y_i \text{ is integer, } i = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= 1 + (x_1 + x_2 + 1)^2 (19 - 14x_1 + 3x_1^2 - 14x_2 + 6x_1x_2 + 3x_2^2), \\ h(x) &= 30 + (2x_1 - 3x_2)^2 (18 - 32x_1 + 12x_1^2 + 48x_2 - 36x_1x_2 + 27x_2^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

This problem has $4001^2 \approx 1.60 \times 10^7$ feasible points. More precisely, it has 207 and 2 discrete local minimizers in the interior and the boundary of box $-2.00 \leq x_i \leq 2.00$, $i = 1, 2$, respectively. Nevertheless, it has only one discrete global minimum solution: $x_{\text{global}}^* = (0.000, -1.000)$ with $f(x_{\text{global}}^*) = 3$. We used three initial points in our experiment: $(2000, 2000)$, $(-2000, -2000)$, $(1196, 1156)$.

Problem 3. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x) = [1.5 - x_1(1 - x_2)]^2 + [2.25 - x_1(1 - x_2^2)]^2 + [2.625 - x_1(1 - x_2^3)]^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_i = 0.001y_i, \quad -10^4 \leq y_i \leq 10^4, \quad y_i \text{ is integer, } i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

This problem has $20001^2 \approx 4.00 \times 10^8$ feasible points and many discrete local minimizers, but it has only one discrete global minimum solution: $x_{\text{global}}^* = (3, 0.5)$ with $f(x_{\text{global}}^*) = 0$. We used three initial points in our experiment: $(9997, 6867)$, $(10000, 10000)$, $(-10000, -10000)$.

Problem 4. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x) = (x_1 + 10x_2)^2 + 5(x_3 - x_4)^2 + (x_2 - 2x_3)^4 + 10(x_1 - x_4)^4, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_i = 0.001y_i, \quad -10^4 \leq y_i \leq 10^4, \quad y_i \text{ is integer, } i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

This problem has $20001^4 \approx 1.60 \times 10^{17}$ feasible points and many local minimizers, but it has only one global minimum solution: $x_{\text{global}}^* = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ with $f(x_{\text{global}}^*) = 0$. We used three initial points in our experiment: $(1000, -1000, -1000, 1000)$, $(10000, -10000, -10000, 10000)$, $(-10000, \dots, -10000)$.

Problem 5. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x) = (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_n - 1)^2 + n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n - i) (x_i^2 - x_{i+1})^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & -5 \leq x_i \leq 5, \quad x_i \text{ is integer, } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

This problem has many local minimizers, but it has only one global minimum solution: $x_{\text{global}}^* = (1, \dots, 1)$ with $f(x_{\text{global}}^*) = 0$.

In this problem, we used initial point $(5, \dots, 5)$ in our experiment for $n = 25, 50, 100$, respectively.

Problem 6. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & -5 \leq x_i \leq 5, \quad x_i \text{ is integer, } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

This problem has many local minimizers, but it has only one global minimum solution: $x_{\text{global}}^* = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ with $f(x_{\text{global}}^*) = 0$.

In this problem, we used initial point $(5, \dots, 5)$ in our experiment for $n = 25, 50, 100$, respectively.

5. Conclusions

We have proposed a new two-parameter filled function and presented a corresponding filled function algorithm for the solution of the box constrained global nonlinear integer programming problem. Numerical experiments are also implemented, and preliminary computational results are reported. Our future work is to generalize the discrete filled function techniques to mixed nonlinear integer global optimization problem.

Acknowledgment

This paper was partially supported by the NNSF of China under Grant No. 10571137 and 10971053.

References

- [1] C. Mohan and H. T. Nguyen, "A controlled random search technique incorporating the simulated annealing concept for solving integer and mixed integer global optimization problems," *Computational Optimization and Applications*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 103–132, 1999.
- [2] O. K. Gupta and A. Ravindran, "Branch and bound experiments in convex nonlinear integer programming," *Management Science*, vol. 31, no. 12, pp. 1533–1546, 1985.
- [3] F. Körner, "A new branching rule for the branch and bound algorithm for solving nonlinear integer programming problems," *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 701–708, 1988.
- [4] D. Li and D. J. White, "p-th power Lagrangian method for integer programming," *Annals of Operations Research*, vol. 98, pp. 151–170, 2000.
- [5] M. W. Cooper and K. Farhangian, "Nonlinear integer programming for various forms of constraints," *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 585–592, 1982.
- [6] C.-K. Ng, L.-S. Zhang, D. Li, and W.-W. Tian, "Discrete filled function method for discrete global optimization," *Computational Optimization and Applications*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 87–115, 2005.
- [7] C.-K. Ng, D. Li, and L.-S. Zhang, "Discrete global descent method for discrete global optimization and nonlinear integer programming," *Journal of Global Optimization*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 357–379, 2007.
- [8] R. P. Ge and Y. F. Qin, "A class of filled functions for finding global minimizers of a function of several variables," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 241–252, 1987.

- [9] W. X. Zhu, "A filled function method for integer programming," *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 481–487, 2000 (Chinese).
- [10] Y.-L. Shang and L.-S. Zhang, "A filled function method for finding a global minimizer on global integer optimization," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 181, no. 1, pp. 200–210, 2005.
- [11] M.-M. Li, Y.-L. Shang, and L.-S. Zhang, "A new filled function method for integer programming," *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 25–32, 2006.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

