

## Research Article

# A Note on the Inverse Moments for Nonnegative $\rho$ -Mixing Random Variables

**Aiting Shen**

*School of Mathematical Science, Anhui University, Hefei 230039, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Aiting Shen, [empres201010@126.com](mailto:empres201010@126.com)

Received 24 June 2011; Accepted 15 July 2011

Academic Editor: Tetsuji Tokihiro

Copyright © 2011 Aiting Shen. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Wu et al. (2009) studied the asymptotic approximation of inverse moments for nonnegative independent random variables. Shen et al. (2011) extended the result of Wu et al. (2009) to the case of  $\rho$ -mixing random variables. In the paper, we will further study the asymptotic approximation of inverse moments for nonnegative  $\rho$ -mixing random variables, which improves the corresponding results of Wu et al. (2009), Wang et al. (2010), and Shen et al. (2011) under the case of identical distribution.

## 1. Introduction

Firstly, we will recall the definition of  $\rho$ -mixing random variables.

Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables defined on a fixed probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Let  $n$  and  $m$  be positive integers. Write  $\mathcal{F}_n^m = \sigma(X_i, n \leq i \leq m)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_S = \sigma(X_i, i \in S \subset \mathbb{N})$ . Given  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , let

$$\rho(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R}) = \sup_{X \in L_2(\mathcal{B}), Y \in L_2(\mathcal{R})} \frac{|EXY - EXEY|}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X) \cdot \text{Var}(Y)}}. \quad (1.1)$$

Define the  $\rho$ -mixing coefficients by

$$\rho(n) = \sup_{k \geq 1} \rho(\mathcal{F}_1^k, \mathcal{F}_{k+n}^\infty), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

*Definition 1.1.* A sequence  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  of random variables is said to be  $\rho$ -mixing if  $\rho(n) \downarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$\rho$ -mixing sequence was introduced by Kolmogorov and Rozanov [1]. It is easily seen that  $\rho$ -mixing sequence contains independent sequence as a special case.

The main purpose of the paper is to study the asymptotic approximation of inverse moments for nonnegative  $\rho$ -mixing random variables with identical distribution.

Let  $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent nonnegative random variables with finite second moments. Denote

$$X_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i}{B_n}, \quad B_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var } Z_i. \quad (1.3)$$

It is interesting to show that under suitable conditions the following equivalence relation holds, namely,

$$E(a + X_n)^{-r} \sim (a + EX_n)^{-r}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $a > 0$  and  $r > 0$  are arbitrary real numbers.

Here and below, for two positive sequences  $\{c_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{d_n, n \geq 1\}$ , we write  $c_n \sim d_n$  if  $c_n d_n^{-1} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $C$  is a positive constant which can be different in various places.

The inverse moments can be applied in many practical applications. For example, they may be applied in Stein estimation and poststratification (see [2, 3]), evaluating risks of estimators and powers of tests (see [4, 5]). In addition, they also appear in the reliability (see [6]) and life testing (see [7]), insurance and financial mathematics (see [8]), complex systems (see [9]), and so on.

Under certain asymptotic-normality condition, relation (1.4) was established in Theorem 2.1 of Garcia and Palacios [10]. But, unfortunately, that theorem is not true under the suggested assumptions, as pointed out by Kaluszka and Okolewski [11]. The latter authors established (1.4) by modifying the assumptions as follows:

- (i)  $r < 3$  ( $r < 4$ , in the i.i.d. case);
- (ii)  $EX_n \rightarrow \infty, EZ_n^3 < \infty$ ;
- (iii) ( $L_c$  condition)  $\sum_{i=1}^n E|Z_i - EZ_i|^c / B_n^c \rightarrow 0$  ( $c = 3$ ).

Hu et al. [12] considered weaker conditions:  $EZ_n^{2+\delta} < \infty$ , where  $Z_n$  satisfies  $L_{2+\delta}$  condition and  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ . Wu et al. [13] applied Bernstein's inequality and the truncated method to greatly improve the conclusion in weaker condition on moment. Wang et al. [14] extended the result for independent random variables to the case of NOD random variables. Shi et al. [15] obtained (1.4) for  $B_n = 1$ . Sung [16] studied the inverse moments for a class of nonnegative random variables.

Recently, Shen et al. [17] extended the result of Wu et al. [13] to the case of  $\rho$ -mixing random variables and obtained the following result.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a nonnegative  $\rho$ -mixing sequence with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(n) < \infty$ . Suppose that*

- (i)  $EZ_n^2 < \infty$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $EX_n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $X_n$  is defined by (1.3);

(iii) for some  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$R_n(\eta) := B_n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n EZ_i^2 I(Z_i > \eta B_n) \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty; \quad (1.5)$$

(iv) for some  $t \in (0, 1)$  and any positive constants  $a, r, C$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a + EX_n)^r \cdot \exp \left\{ -C \cdot \frac{(EX_n)^t}{n} \right\} = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Then for any  $a > 0$  and  $r > 0$ , (1.4) holds.

In this paper, we will further study the asymptotic approximation of inverse moments for nonnegative  $\rho$ -mixing random variables with identical distribution. We will show that (1.4) holds under very mild conditions and the condition (iv) in Theorem A can be deleted. In place of the Bernstein type inequality used by Shen et al. [17], we make the use of Rosenthal type inequality of  $\rho$ -mixing random variables. Our main results are as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative  $\rho$ -mixing random variables with identical distribution and let  $\{B_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive constants. Let  $a > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  be real numbers.  $p > \max\{2, 2\alpha, \alpha + 1\}$ . Assume that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^{2/p}(2^n) < \infty$ . Suppose that

- (i)  $0 < EZ_n < \infty$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu_n \doteq EX_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $X_n = B_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n Z_k$ ;
- (iii) for all  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , there exist  $b > 0$  and  $n_0 > 0$  such that

$$EZ_1 I(Z_1 > bB_n) \leq \varepsilon EZ_1, \quad n \geq n_0. \quad (1.7)$$

Then (1.4) holds.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let  $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative  $\rho$ -mixing random variables with identical distribution and  $0 < EZ_1 < \infty$ . Let  $\{B_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive constants satisfying  $B_n = O(n^\delta)$  for some  $0 < \delta < 1$  and  $B_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $a > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  be real numbers.  $p > \max\{2, 2\alpha, \alpha + 1\}$ . Assume that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^{2/p}(2^n) < \infty$ . Then (1.4) holds.

By Theorem 1.2, we can get the following convergence rate of relative error in the relation (1.4).

**Theorem 1.4.** Assume that conditions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied and  $0 < EZ_n^2 < \infty$ .  $p > \max\{2, 4(\alpha + 1), 2\alpha + 3\}$ . If  $B_n \geq Cn^{1/2}$  for all  $n$  large enough, where  $C$  is a positive constant, then

$$|(a + EX_n)^\alpha E(a + X_n)^{-\alpha} - 1| = O\left((a + EX_n)^{-1}\right). \quad (1.8)$$

**Theorem 1.5.** Assume that conditions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied and  $0 < EZ_n^2 < \infty$ .  $p > \max\{2, 4(\alpha + 1), 2\alpha + 3\}$ . Then

$$|(a + EX_n)^\alpha E(a + X_n)^{-\alpha} - 1| = O\left(n^{-1/2}\right). \quad (1.9)$$

Taking  $B_n \equiv 1$  in Theorem 1.2, we have the following asymptotic approximation of inverse moments for the partial sums of nonnegative  $\rho$ -mixing random variables with identical distribution.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative  $\rho$ -mixing random variables with identical distribution. Let  $a > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  be real numbers.  $p > \max\{2, 2\alpha, \alpha + 1\}$ . Assume that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^{2/p}(2^n) < \infty$ . Suppose that*

- (i)  $0 < EZ_n < \infty, \forall n \geq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $v_n \doteq EY_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $Y_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Z_k$ ;
- (iii) for all  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , there exist  $b > 0$  and  $n_0 > 0$  such that

$$EZ_1 I(Z_1 > b) \leq \varepsilon EZ_1, \quad n \geq n_0. \quad (1.10)$$

Then  $E(a + Y_n)^{-\alpha} \sim (a + EY_n)^{-\alpha}$ .

*Remark 1.7.* Theorem 1.2 in this paper improves the corresponding results of Wu et al. [13], Wang et al. [14], and Shen et al. [17]. Firstly, Theorem 1.4 in this paper is based on the condition  $EZ_n < \infty$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ , which is weaker than the condition  $EZ_n^2 < \infty$ , for all  $n \geq 1$  in the above cited references. Secondly,  $\{B_n, n \geq 1\}$  is an arbitrary sequence of positive constants in Theorem 1.2, while  $B_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var} Z_i$  in the above cited references. Thirdly, the condition (iv) in Theorem A is not needed in Theorem 1.2. Finally, (1.7) is weaker than (1.5) under the case of identical distribution. Actually, by the condition (1.5), we can see that

$$B_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n EZ_i I(Z_i > \eta B_n) \leq \eta^{-1} B_n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n EZ_i^2 I(Z_i > \eta B_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.11)$$

which implies that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$B_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n EZ_i I(Z_i > \eta B_n) \leq \varepsilon \mu_n = \varepsilon B_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n EZ_i, \quad n \geq n_0, \quad (1.12)$$

that is, (1.7) holds.

## 2. Proof of the Main Results

In order to prove the main results of the paper, we need the following important moment inequality for  $\rho$ -mixing random variables.

**Lemma 2.1** (c.f. Shao [18, Corollary 1.1]). *Let  $q \geq 2$  and  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of  $\rho$ -mixing random variables. Assume that  $EX_n = 0$ ,  $E|X_n|^q < \infty$  and*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^{2/q}(2^n) < \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Then there exists a positive constant  $K = K(q, \rho(\cdot))$  depending only on  $q$  and  $\rho(\cdot)$  such that for any  $k \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$E\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |S_k(i)|^q\right) \leq K \left[ \left( n \max_{k < i \leq k+n} EX_i^2 \right)^{q/2} + n \max_{k < i \leq k+n} E|X_i|^q \right], \quad (2.2)$$

where  $S_k(i) = \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+i} X_j$ ,  $k \geq 0$  and  $i \geq 1$ .

*Remark 2.2.* We point out that if  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of  $\rho$ -mixing random variables with identical distribution and the conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |S_k(i)|^q\right) &\leq K \left[ \left( n EX_1^2 \right)^{q/2} + n E|X_1|^q \right], \\ E\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^i X_j \right|^q\right) &\leq K \left[ \left( n EX_1^2 \right)^{q/2} + n E|X_1|^q \right] \\ &= K \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^n EX_j^2 \right)^{q/2} + \sum_{j=1}^n E|X_j|^q \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The inequality above is the Rosenthal type inequality of identical distributed  $\rho$ -mixing random variables.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* It is easily seen that  $f(x) = (a+x)^{-\alpha}$  is a convex function of  $x$  on  $[0, \infty)$ , therefore, we have by Jensen's inequality that

$$E(a + X_n)^{-\alpha} \geq (a + EX_n)^{-\alpha}, \quad (2.4)$$

which implies that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a + EX_n)^\alpha E(a + X_n)^{-\alpha} \geq 1. \quad (2.5)$$

To prove (1.4), it is enough to prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a + EX_n)^\alpha E(a + X_n)^{-\alpha} \leq 1. \quad (2.6)$$

In order to prove (2.6), we need only to show that for all  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a + EX_n)^\alpha E(a + X_n)^{-\alpha} \leq (1 - \delta)^{-\alpha}. \quad (2.7)$$

By (iii), we can see that for all  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$EZ_1 I(Z_1 > bB_n) \leq \frac{\delta}{2} EZ_1, \quad n \geq n_0. \quad (2.8)$$

Let

$$U_n = B_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n Z_k I(Z_k \leq bB_n), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(a + X_n)^{-\alpha} &= E(a + X_n)^{-\alpha} I(U_n \geq \mu_n - \delta\mu_n) + E(a + X_n)^{-\alpha} I(U_n < \mu_n - \delta\mu_n) \\ &\doteq Q_1 + Q_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

For  $Q_1$ , since  $X_n \geq U_n$ , we have

$$Q_1 \leq E(a + X_n)^{-\alpha} I(X_n \geq \mu_n - \delta\mu_n) \leq (a + \mu_n - \delta\mu_n)^{-\alpha}. \quad (2.11)$$

By (2.8), we have for  $n \geq n_0$  that

$$\mu_n - EU_n = B_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n EZ_k I(Z_k > bB_n) \leq \frac{\delta\mu_n}{2}. \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore, by (2.12), Markov's inequality, Remark 2.2 and  $C_r$ 's inequality, for any  $p > 2$  and all  $n$  sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &\leq a^{-\alpha} P(U_n < \mu_n - \delta\mu_n) \\ &= a^{-\alpha} P(EU_n - U_n > \delta\mu_n - (\mu_n - EU_n)) \\ &\leq a^{-\alpha} P\left(EU_n - U_n > \frac{\delta\mu_n}{2}\right) \\ &\leq a^{-\alpha} P\left(|U_n - EU_n| > \frac{\delta\mu_n}{2}\right) \leq C\mu_n^{-p} E|U_n - EU_n|^p \\ &\leq C\mu_n^{-p} \left[B_n^{-2} nEZ_1^2 I(Z_1 \leq bB_n)\right]^{p/2} + C\mu_n^{-p} \left[B_n^p nEZ_1^p I(Z_1 \leq bB_n)\right] \\ &\leq C\mu_n^{-p} \left[B_n^{-1} nEZ_1 I(Z_1 \leq bB_n)\right]^{p/2} + C\mu_n^{-p} B_n^{-1} nEZ_1 I(Z_1 \leq bB_n) \\ &\leq C\mu_n^{-p} \left(\mu_n^{p/2} + \mu_n\right) = C\left(\mu_n^{-p/2} + \mu_n^{-(p-1)}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Taking  $p > \max\{2, 2\alpha, \alpha + 1\}$ , we have by (2.10), (2.11), and (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a + \mu_n)^\alpha E(a + X_n)^{-\alpha} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a + \mu_n)^\alpha (a + \mu_n - \delta\mu_n)^{-\alpha} + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a + \mu_n)^\alpha \left[C\mu_n^{-p/2} + C\mu_n^{-(p-1)}\right] \\ &= (1 - \delta)^{-\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

which implies (2.7). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.3.* The condition  $B_n = O(n^\delta)$  for some  $0 < \delta < 1$  implies that

$$\mu_n \doteq EX_n = B_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n EZ_k = nB_n^{-1}EZ_1, \quad (2.15)$$

thus,  $\mu_n \geq Cn^{1-\delta} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The fact  $0 < EZ_1 < \infty$  and  $B_n \rightarrow \infty$  yield that  $EZ_1I(Z_1 > bB_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which implies that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , there exists  $n_0 > 0$  such that

$$EZ_1I(Z_1 > bB_n) \leq \varepsilon EZ_1, \quad n \geq n_0. \quad (2.16)$$

That is to say condition (iii) of Theorem 1.2 holds. Therefore, the desired result follows from Theorem 1.2 immediately.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Firstly, we will examine  $\text{Var } X_n$ . By Remark 2.2,  $0 < EZ_1^2 < \infty$  and the condition  $B_n \geq Cn^{1/2}$  for all  $n$  large enough, we can get that

$$\text{Var } X_n = B_n^{-2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \right) \leq B_n^{-2} E \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \right)^2 \leq CnB_n^{-2}EZ_1^2 \leq C_1 \quad (2.17)$$

for all  $n$  large enough.

Denote  $\phi(x) = (a+x)^{-\alpha}$  for  $x \geq 0$ . By Taylor's expansion, we can see that

$$\phi(X_n) = \phi(EX_n) + \phi'(\xi_n)(X_n - EX_n), \quad (2.18)$$

where  $\xi_n$  is between  $X_n$  and  $EX_n$ . It is easily seen that  $\{\phi'(x)\}^2$  is decreasing in  $x \geq 0$ . Therefore, by (2.18), Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, (2.17) and (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [E\phi(X_n) - \phi(EX_n)]^2 &= E[\phi'(\xi_n)(X_n - EX_n)]^2 \\ &\leq E[\phi'(\xi_n)]^2 \text{Var } X_n \leq C_1 E[\phi'(\xi_n)]^2 \\ &= C_1 E[\phi'(\xi_n)]^2 I(X_n \leq EX_n) + C_1 E[\phi'(\xi_n)]^2 I(X_n > EX_n) \quad (2.19) \\ &\leq C_1 E[\phi'(X_n)]^2 + C_1 E[\phi'(EX_n)]^2 \\ &\sim 2C_1 E[\phi'(EX_n)]^2 = 2C_1 \alpha^2 (a + EX_n)^{-2(\alpha+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to (1.8). The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.4. In place of  $\text{Var } X_n \leq C_1$ , we make the use of  $\text{Var } X_n \leq CnB_n^{-2}EZ_1^2 \doteq C_2nB_n^{-2}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The authors are most grateful to the Editor Tetsuji Tokihiro and an anonymous referee for the careful reading of the paper and valuable suggestions which helped to improve an earlier version of this paper. The paper is supported by the Academic innovation team of Anhui University (KJTD001B).

## References

- [1] A. N. Kolmogorov and U. A. Rozanov, "On the strong mixing conditions of a stationary Gaussian process," *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, vol. 2, pp. 222–227, 1960.
- [2] D. A. Wooff, "Bounds on reciprocal moments with applications and developments in Stein estimation and post-stratification," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 362–371, 1985.
- [3] A. O. Pittenger, "Sharp mean-variance bounds for Jensen-type inequalities," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 91–94, 1990.
- [4] E. Marciniak and J. Wesolowski, "Asymptotic Eulerian expansions for binomial and negative binomial reciprocals," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 127, no. 11, pp. 3329–3338, 1999.
- [5] T. Fujioka, "Asymptotic approximations of the inverse moment of the noncentral chi-squared variable," *Journal of the Japan Statistical Society*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 99–109, 2001.
- [6] R. C. Gupta and O. Akman, "Statistical inference based on the length-biased data for the inverse Gaussian distribution," *Statistics A*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 325–337, 1998.
- [7] W. Mendenhall and E. H. Lehman, Jr., "An approximation to the negative moments of the positive binomial useful in life testing," *Technometrics A*, vol. 2, pp. 227–242, 1960.
- [8] C. M. Ramsay, "A note on random survivorship group benefits," *ASTIN Bulletin*, vol. 23, pp. 149–156, 1993.
- [9] A. Jurlewicz and K. Weron, "Relaxation of dynamically correlated clusters," *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*, vol. 305, no. 1–3, pp. 112–121, 2002.
- [10] N. L. Garcia and J. L. Palacios, "On inverse moments of nonnegative random variables," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 235–239, 2001.
- [11] M. Kaluszka and A. Okolewski, "On Fatou-type lemma for monotone moments of weakly convergent random variables," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 45–50, 2004.
- [12] S. H. Hu, Gui Jing Chen, X. J. Wang, and E. B. Chen, "On inverse moments of nonnegative weakly convergent random variables," *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 361–367, 2007.
- [13] T.-J. Wu, X. P. Shi, and B. Q. Miao, "Asymptotic approximation of inverse moments of nonnegative random variables," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, vol. 79, no. 11, pp. 1366–1371, 2009.
- [14] X. J. Wang, S. H. Hu, W. Z. Yang, and N. X. Ling, "Exponential inequalities and inverse moment for NOD sequence," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, vol. 80, no. 5-6, pp. 452–461, 2010.
- [15] X. P. Shi, Y. H. Wu, and Y. Liu, "A note on asymptotic approximations of inverse moments of nonnegative random variables," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, vol. 80, no. 15-16, pp. 1260–1264, 2010.
- [16] S. H. Sung, "On inverse moments for a class of nonnegative random variables," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 823767, 13 pages, 2010.
- [17] A. Shen, Y. Shi, W. Wang, and B. Han, "Bernstein-type inequality for weakly dependent sequence and its applications," *Revista Matematica Complutense*, pp. 1–12, 2011.
- [18] Q. M. Shao, "Maximal inequalities for partial sums of  $\rho$ -mixing sequences," *The Annals of Probability*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 948–965, 1995.





# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

