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Using continuous selections, we establish some existence results about the zeros of weakly continuous operators from a paracompact topological space into the dual of a reflexive real Banach space.

Throughout the sequel, $E$ denotes a reflexive real Banach space and $E^{*}$ its topological dual. We also assume that $E$ is locally uniformly convex. This means that for each $x \in E$, with $\|x\|=1$, and each $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for every $y \in E$ satisfying $\|y\|=$ 1 and $\|x-y\| \geq \epsilon$, one has $\|x+y\| \leq 2(1-\delta)$. Recall that any reflexive Banach space admits an equivalent norm with which it is locally uniformly convex [1, page 289]. For $r>0$, we set $B_{r}=\{x \in E:\|x\| \leq r\}$.

Moreover, we fix a topology $\tau$ on $E$, weaker than the strong topology and stronger than the weak topology, such that $(E, \tau)$ is a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space with the property that the $\tau$-closed convex hull of any $\tau$-compact subset of $E$ is still $\tau$ compact and the relativization of $\tau$ to $B_{1}$ is metrizable by a complete metric. In practice, the most usual choice of $\tau$ is either the strong topology or the weak topology provided $E$ is also separable.

The aim of this short paper is to establish the following result and present some of its consequences.

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a paracompact topological space and $A: X \rightarrow E^{*}$ a weakly continuous operator. Assume that there exist a number $r>0$, a continuous function $\alpha: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha(x)| \leq r\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, a (possibly empty) closed set $C \subset X$, and a $\tau$-continuous function $g: C \rightarrow B_{r}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x)(g(x))=\alpha(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in C$, in such a way that, for every $\tau$-continuous function $\psi: X \rightarrow B_{r}$ satisfying $\psi_{\mid C}=g$, there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(x_{0}\right)\left(\psi\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \neq \alpha\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists $x^{*} \in X$ such that $A\left(x^{*}\right)=0$.
For the reader's convenience, we recall that a multifunction $F: S \rightarrow 2^{V}$, between topological spaces, is said to be lower semicontinuous at $s_{0} \in S$ if, for every open set $\Omega \subseteq V$ meeting $F\left(s_{0}\right)$, there is a neighborhood $U$ of $s_{0}$ such that $F(s) \cap \Omega \neq \varnothing$ for all $s \in U$. $F$ is said to be lower semicontinuous if it is so at each point of $S$.

The following well-known results will be our main tools.
Theorem 2 [3]. Let $X$ be a paracompact topological space and $F: X \rightarrow 2^{B_{1}}$ a $\tau$-lower semicontinuous multifunction with nonempty $\tau$-closed convex values.

Then, for each closed set $C \subset X$ and each $\tau$-continuous function $g: C \rightarrow B_{1}$ satisfying $g(x) \in F(x)$ for all $x \in C$, there exists a $\tau$-continuous function $\psi: X \rightarrow B_{1}$ such that $\psi_{\mid C}=g$ and $\psi(x) \in F(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3 [4]. Let $X, Y$ be two topological spaces, with $Y$ connected and locally connected, and let $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying the following conditions:
(a) for each $x \in X$, the function $f(x, \cdot)$ is continuous, changes sign in $Y$, and is identically zero in no nonempty open subset of $Y$;
(b) the set $\{(y, z) \in Y \times Y:\{x \in X: f(x, y)<0<f(x, z)\}$ is open in $X\}$ is dense in $Y \times Y$.

Then, the multifunction $x \rightarrow\{y \in Y: f(x, y)=0$ and $y$ is not a local extremum for $f(x, \cdot)\}$ is lower semicontinuous and its values are nonempty and closed.

Proof of Theorem 1. Arguing by contradiction, assume that $A(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in X$. For each $x \in X, y \in B_{1}$, put

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(x, y)=A(x)(y)-\frac{\alpha(x)}{r},  \tag{4}\\
F(x)=\left\{z \in B_{1}: f(x, z)=0\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Also, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}=\left\{x \in X:|\alpha(x)|<r\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A$ is weakly continuous, the function $x \rightarrow\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}$, as a supremum of a family of continuous functions, is lower semicontinuous. From this, it follows that the set $X_{0}$ is open. For each $x \in X_{0}$, the function $f(x, \cdot)$ is continuous and has no local, nonabsolute extrema, being affine. Moreover, it changes sign in $B_{1}$ since $A(x)\left(B_{1}\right)=\left[-\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}\right.$, $\left.\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}\right]$ (recall that $E$ is reflexive). Since $f(\cdot, y)$ is continuous for all $y \in B_{1}$, we then realize that the restriction of $f$ to $X_{0} \times B_{1}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3, $B_{1}$ being considered with the relativization of the strong topology. Hence, the multifunction $F_{\mid X_{0}}$ is lower semicontinuous. Consequently, since $X_{0}$ is open, the multifunction $F$ is lower
semicontinuous at each point of $X_{0}$. Now, fix $x_{0} \in X \backslash X_{0}$. So, $\left|\alpha\left(x_{0}\right)\right|=r\left\|A\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|_{E^{*}}$. Let $y_{0} \in F\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\epsilon>0$. Clearly, since $y_{0}$ is an absolute extremum of $A\left(x_{0}\right)$ in $B_{1}$, one has $\left\|y_{0}\right\|=1$. Choose $\delta>0$ so that, for each $y \in E$ satisfying $\|y\|=1$ and $\left\|y-y_{0}\right\| \geq \epsilon$, one has $\left\|y+y_{0}\right\| \leq 2(1-\delta)$. By semicontinuity, the function $x \rightarrow\left(\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}\right)^{-1}$ is bounded in some neighborhood of $x_{0}$, and so, since the functions $\alpha$ and $A(\cdot)\left(y_{0}\right)$ are continuous, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}} \frac{\left|A(x)\left(y_{0}\right)-\alpha(x) / r\right|}{\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, there is a neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|A(x)\left(y_{0}\right)-\alpha(x) / r\right|}{\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}}<\frac{\epsilon \delta}{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in U$. Fix $x \in U$. Pick $z \in E$, with $\|z\|=1$, in such a way that $|A(x)(z)|=\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A(x)(z)-\frac{\alpha(x)}{r}\right)\left(A(x)\left(y_{0}\right)-\frac{\alpha(x)}{r}\right) \leq 0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this choice, it follows, of course, that the segment joining $y_{0}$ and $z$ meets the hyperplane $(A(x))^{-1}(\alpha(x) / r)$. In other words, there is $\lambda \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x)\left(\lambda z+(1-\lambda) y_{0}\right)=\frac{\alpha(x)}{r} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, if we put $y=\lambda z+(1-\lambda) y_{0}$, we have $y \in F(x)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y-y_{0}\right\|=\lambda\left\|z-y_{0}\right\| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $\left\|y-y_{0}\right\|<\epsilon$. This follows at once from (10) if $\lambda<\epsilon / 2$. Thus, assume $\lambda \geq$ $\epsilon / 2$. In this case, to prove our claim, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(1-\delta)<\left\|z+y_{0}\right\| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

since (11) implies $\left\|z-y_{0}\right\|<\epsilon$. To this end, note that by (9), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|A(x)\left(y_{0}\right)-\alpha(x) / r\right|}{\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}}=\frac{\lambda\left|A(x)\left(z-y_{0}\right)\right|}{\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so, from (7), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|A(x)\left(z-y_{0}\right)\right|}{\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}}<\delta . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $A(x)(z)=\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}$. Then, from (13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\delta<\frac{A(x)\left(y_{0}\right)}{\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{A(x)\left(y_{0}\right)}{\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}}=\frac{A(x)\left(z+y_{0}\right)}{\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}} \leq\left\|z+y_{0}\right\| . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, (11) follows from (14) and (15). Now, suppose $A(x)(z)=-\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}$. Then, from (13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\delta<-\frac{A(x)\left(y_{0}\right)}{\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{A(x)\left(y_{0}\right)}{\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}}=-\frac{A(x)\left(z+y_{0}\right)}{\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}} \leq\left\|z+y_{0}\right\| . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, in the present case, (11) is a consequence of (16) and (17). In such a manner, we have proved that $F$ is lower semicontinuous at $x_{0}$. Hence, it remains proved that $F$ is lower semicontinuous in $X$ with respect to the strong topology and so, a fortiori, with respect to $\tau$. Since $F$ is also with nonempty $\tau$-closed convex values and $g / r$ is a $\tau$-continuous selection of it over the closed set $C$, by Theorem 2, $F$ admits a $\tau$-continuous selection $\omega$ in $X$ such that $\omega_{\mid C}=g / r$. At this point, if we put $\psi=r \omega$, it follows that $\psi$ is a $\tau$-continuous function, from $X$ into $B_{r}$, such that $\psi_{\mid C}=g$ and $A(x)(\psi(x))=\alpha(x)$ for all $x \in X$, against the hypotheses. This concludes the proof.

Remark 4. From the proof, it clearly follows that if the assumption $|\alpha(x)| \leq r\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}$ for all $x \in X$ is replaced by the more restrictive $|\alpha(x)|<r\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}$ for all $x \in X \backslash A^{-1}(0)$, then the restrictions made on $E$ and its norm become superfluous and, furthermore, the continuity assumption on $A$ can be weakened to supposing that the function $x \rightarrow A(x)(y)$ is continuous for each $y$ in a dense subset of $E$. Likewise, essentially the same proof gives the following version of Theorem 1, for $r=\infty$.

Theorem 5. Let $X$ be a paracompact topological space, $Y$ a real Banach space, and $A: X \rightarrow$ $Y^{*}$ an operator such that the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{y \in Y: x \longrightarrow A(x)(y) \text { is continuous }\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is dense in $Y$. Assume that there exist a continuous function $\alpha: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a (possibly empty) closed set $C \subset X$, and a continuous function $g: C \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $A(x)(g(x))=\alpha(x)$ for all $x \in C$, in such a way that, for every continuous function $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $\psi_{\mid C}=g$, there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that $A\left(x_{0}\right)\left(\psi\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \neq \alpha\left(x_{0}\right)$. Then, there exists $x^{*} \in X$ such that $A\left(x^{*}\right)=0$.

Sketch of proof. Arguing by contradiction, assume that $A^{-1}(0)=\varnothing$. For each $x \in X$, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\{y \in Y: A(x)(y)=\alpha(x)\} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to Theorem 3, the multifunction $F$ is lower semicontinuous. Since $F$ is also with nonempty closed convex values and $g$ is a continuous selection of it over the closed set $C$,
by Michael's theorem, $F$ admits a continuous selection $\psi$ in $X$ such that $\psi_{\mid C}=g$, against the hypotheses.

We now point out an interesting alternative coming from Theorem 5. The spaces $C^{0}(X, Y)$ and $C^{0}(X)$ that will appear are considered with the sup-norm. We recall that a subset $D$ of a topological space $S$ is a retract of $S$ if there exists a continuous function $h: S \rightarrow D$ such that $h(s)=s$ for all $s \in D$.

Theorem 6. Let $X$ be a compact Hausdorff topological space, Y a real Banach space, with $\operatorname{dim}(Y) \geq 2$, and $A: X \rightarrow Y^{*}$ a continuous operator.

Then, at least one of the following assertions holds:
(a) there exists $x^{*} \in X$ such that $A\left(x^{*}\right)=0$;
(b) there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that, for every Lipschitzian operator $J: C^{0}(X, Y) \rightarrow C^{0}(X)$, with Lipschitz constant less than $\epsilon$, the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\psi \in C^{0}(X, Y): A(x)(\psi(x))=J(\psi)(x) \forall x \in X\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an unbounded retract of $C^{0}(X, Y)$.
Proof. Assume that $A(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in X$. For each $\psi \in C^{0}(X, Y)$ and $x \in X$, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(\psi)(x)=A(x)(\psi(x)) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A$ is continuous and bounded (due to the compactness of $X$ ), the function $T(\psi)(\cdot)$ is continuous (see the proof of Theorem 12). So, $T$ turns out to be a continuous linear operator from $C^{0}(X, Y)$ into $C^{0}(X)$. Due to Theorem 5 (applied taking $C=\varnothing$ ), $A^{-1}(0) \neq$ $\varnothing$ if (and only if) the operator $T$ is not surjective. Thus, since we are supposing that $A^{-1}(0)=\varnothing$, the operator $T$ is surjective. Furthermore, note that $T$ is not injective. Indeed, if we fix any $x_{0} \in X$ and choose $y_{0} \in Y \backslash\{0\}$ so that $A\left(x_{0}\right)\left(y_{0}\right)=0$ (recall that $\operatorname{dim}(Y) \geq 2$ ), by Theorem 5 again (applied taking $C=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ ), there is $\psi \in C^{0}(X, Y)$ such that $T(\psi)=0$ and $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$. Finally, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon=\frac{1}{\sup _{\|\varphi\|_{C^{0}(X)} \leq 1} \operatorname{dist}\left(0, T^{-1}(\varphi)\right)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to this choice, by [5, Théorème 2], for every Lipschitzian operator $J: C^{0}(X, Y) \rightarrow$ $C^{0}(X)$, with Lipschitz constant less than $\epsilon$, the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma:=\left\{\psi \in C^{0}(X, Y): T(\psi)=J(\psi)\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

turns out to be a retract of $C^{0}(X, Y)$. Moreover, from the proof of [5, Théorème 2], it follows that the multifunction $\psi \rightarrow T^{-1}(J(\psi))$ is a multivalued contraction, and so, since its values are closed and unbounded, the set of its fixed points (which agrees with $\Gamma$ ) is unbounded too by [7, Corollary 9].

We now indicate two reasonable ways to apply Theorem 1. The first one is based on the Tychonoff fixed point theorem.

Theorem 7. Assume that $E$ is a separable Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. Let $r\rangle 0$ and let $A: B_{r} \rightarrow E$ be a continuous operator from the weak to the strong topology. Assume that there exist a weakly continuous function $\alpha: B_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|\alpha(x)| \leq r\|A(x)\|$ for all $x \in B_{r}$, and a weakly continuous function $g: C \rightarrow B_{r}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A(x), g(x)\rangle=\alpha(x), \quad g(x) \neq x \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in C$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\left\{x \in B_{r}:\langle A(x), x\rangle=\alpha(x)\right\} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists $x^{*} \in B_{r}$ such that $A\left(x^{*}\right)=0$.
Proof. Identifying $E$ with $E^{*}$, we apply Theorem 1 taking $X=B_{r}$, with the relativization of the weak topology of $E$, and taking $\tau$ as the weak topology of $E$. Due to the kind of continuity we are assuming for $A$, the function $x \rightarrow\langle A(x), x\rangle$ turns out to be weakly continuous (see the proof of Theorem 12), and so the set $C$ is weakly closed. Now, let $\psi: B_{r} \rightarrow B_{r}$ be any weakly continuous function such that $\psi_{\mid C}=g$. By the Tychonoff fixed point theorem, there is $x_{0} \in B_{r}$ such that $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}$. Since $g$ has no fixed points in $C$, it follows that $x_{0} \notin C$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A\left(x_{0}\right), \psi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle A\left(x_{0}\right), x_{0}\right\rangle \neq \alpha\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, all the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and the conclusion follows from it.

It is worth noticing the following consequences of Theorem 7.
Theorem 8. Let $E$ and $A$ be as in Theorem 7. Assume that for each $x \in B_{r}$, with $\|A(x)\|>r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A\left(\frac{r A(x)}{\|A(x)\|}\right)\right\| \leq r . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the operator A has either a zero or a fixed point.
Proof. Define the function $\alpha: B_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\alpha(x)= \begin{cases}\|A(x)\|^{2} & \text { if }\|A(x)\| \leq r,  \tag{28}\\ r\|A(x)\| & \text { if }\|A(x)\|>r .\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, the function $\alpha$ is weakly continuous and satisfies $|\alpha(x)| \leq r\|A(x)\|$ for all $x \in B_{r}$. Put $C=\left\{x \in B_{r}:\langle A(x), x\rangle=\alpha(x)\right\}$. Note that if $x \in C$, then $\|A(x)\| \leq r$. Indeed, otherwise, we would have $\langle A(x), x\rangle=r\|A(x)\|$, and so, necessarily, $x=r A(x) /\|A(x)\|$, against (27). Hence, we have $\langle A(x), A(x)\rangle=\alpha(x)$ for all $x \in C$. At this point, the conclusion follows at once from Theorem 7, taking $g=A_{\mid C}$.

Remark 9. It would be interesting to know whether Theorem 8 can be improved assuming that $A$ is a compact operator (i.e., continuous and with relatively compact range).

Remark 10. Note that Theorem 8 can be compared with the classical Rothe's theorem which assures the existence of a fixed point of $A$ provided that it is compact and maps $\partial B_{r}$ into $B_{r}$. Theorem 8 tells us that, under a more severe continuity assumption (see, however, Remark 9) and the condition $A^{-1}(0)=\varnothing$, the key Rothe's condition can be remarkably weakened to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda A\left(A^{-1}\left(E \backslash B_{r}\right)\right) \cap \partial B_{r}\right) \subseteq B_{r} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 11. Let $E$ and $A$ be as in Theorem 7. Assume that there exists $w \in B_{r}$, with $\langle A(w), w\rangle \neq 0$, such that $\langle A(x), w\rangle=0$ for all $x \in B_{r}$ satisfying $\langle A(x), x\rangle=0$.

Then, there exists $x^{*} \in B_{r}$ such that $A\left(x^{*}\right)=0$.
Proof. Apply Theorem 7 taking $\alpha(x)=0$ and $g(x)=w$ for all $x \in B_{r}$.
The second application of Theorem 1 is based on connectedness arguments. For other results of this type, we refer to [6] (see also [2]).

Theorem 12. Let $X$ be a connected paracompact topological space and $A: X \rightarrow E^{*}$ a weakly continuous and locally bounded operator. Assume that there exist $r>0$, a closed set $C \subset X$, a continuous function $g: C \rightarrow B_{r}$, and an upper semicontinuous function $\beta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $|\beta(x)| \leq r\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}$ for all $x \in X$, such that $g(C)$ is disconnected,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(x) \leq A(x)(g(x)) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in C$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x)(y)<\beta(x) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X \backslash C$ and $y \in B_{r} \backslash g(C)$.
Then, there exists $x^{*} \in C$ such that $A\left(x^{*}\right)=0$.
Proof. First, note that the function $x \rightarrow A(x)(g(x))$ is continuous in $C$. To see this, let $x_{1} \in C$ and let $\left\{x_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma \in D}$ be any net in $C$ converging to $x_{1}$. By assumption, there are $M>0$ and a neighborhood $U$ of $x_{1}$ such that $\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}} \leq M$ for all $x \in U$. Let $\gamma_{0} \in D$ be such that $x_{\gamma} \in U$ for all $\gamma \geq \gamma_{0}$. Thus, for each $\gamma \geq \gamma_{0}$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|A\left(x_{\gamma}\right)\left(g\left(x_{\gamma}\right)\right)-A\left(x_{1}\right)\left(g\left(x_{1}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq M| | g\left(x_{\gamma}\right)-g\left(x_{1}\right)| |+\left|A\left(x_{\gamma}\right)\left(g\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-A\left(x_{1}\right)\left(g\left(x_{1}\right)\right)\right| \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

from which, of course, it follows that $\lim _{\gamma} A\left(x_{\gamma}\right)\left(g\left(x_{\gamma}\right)\right)=A\left(x_{1}\right)\left(g\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$. Next, observe that the multifunction $x \rightarrow\left[\beta(x), r\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}\right]$ is lower semicontinuous and that the function $x \rightarrow A(x)(g(x))$ is a continuous selection of it in C. Hence, by Michael's theorem, there is a continuous function $\alpha: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(x)=A(x)(g(x))$ for all $x \in C$ and $\beta(x) \leq$ $\alpha(x) \leq r\|A(x)\|_{E^{*}}$ for all $x \in X$. Now, let $\psi: X \rightarrow B_{r}$ be any continuous function such that $\psi_{\mid C}=g$. Since $X$ is connected, $\psi(X)$ is connected too. But then, since $g(C)$ is disconnected and $g(C) \subset \psi(X)$, there exists $y_{0} \in \psi(X) \backslash g(C)$. Let $x_{0} \in X \backslash C$ be such that $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$.

So, by hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(x_{0}\right)\left(\psi\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=A\left(x_{0}\right)\left(y_{0}\right)<\beta\left(x_{0}\right) \leq \alpha\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, taking $\tau$ as the strong topology of $E$, all the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and the conclusion follows from it.

Remark 13. Observe that when $X$ is first-countable, the local boundedness of $A$ follows automatically from its weak continuity. This follows from the fact that, in a Banach space, any weakly convergent sequence is bounded.

It is worth noticing the corollary of Theorem 12 which comes out taking $X=B_{r}, \beta=0$, and $g=$ identity.

Theorem 14. Let E be a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. Let $r\rangle 0$ and let $A: B_{r} \rightarrow E$ be a continuous operator from the strong to the weak topology. Assume that the set $C=\{x \in$ $\left.B_{r}:\langle A(x), x\rangle \geq 0\right\}$ is disconnected and that, for each $x, y \in B_{r} \backslash C,\langle A(x), y\rangle<0$.

Then, there exists $x^{*} \in C$ such that $A\left(x^{*}\right)=0$.
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