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#### Abstract

We introduce and study a new system of nonlinear variational-like inclusions involving s-(G, $\eta$ )maximal monotone operators, strongly monotone operators, $\eta$-strongly monotone operators, relaxed monotone operators, cocoercive operators, $(\lambda, \xi)$-relaxed cocoercive operators, $(\zeta, \varphi, \varrho)$ -$g$-relaxed cocoercive operators and relaxed Lipschitz operators in Hilbert spaces. By using the resolvent operator technique associated with $s-(G, \eta)$-maximal monotone operators and Banach contraction principle, we demonstrate the existence and uniqueness of solution for the system of nonlinear variational-like inclusions. The results presented in the paper improve and extend some known results in the literature.
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## 1. Introduction

It is well known that the resolvent operator technique is an important method for solving various variational inequalities and inclusions [1-20]. In particular, the generalized resolvent operator technique has been applied more and more and has also been improved intensively. For instance, Fang and Huang [5] introduced the class of $H$-monotone operators and defined the associated resolvent operators, which extended the resolvent operators associated with $\eta$ subdifferential operators of Ding and Luo [3] and maximal $\eta$-monotone operators of Huang and Fang [6], respectively. Later, Liu et al. [17] researched a class of general nonlinear implicit variational inequalities including the $H$-monotone operators. Fang and Huang [4] created a class of $(H, \eta)$-monotone operators, which offered a unifying framework for the classes of maximal monotone operators, maximal $\eta$-monotone operators and $H$-monotone operators. Recently, Lan [8] introduced a class of $(A, \eta)$-accretive operators which further
enriched and improved the class of generalized resolvent operators. Lan [10] studied a system of general mixed quasivariational inclusions involving $(A, \eta)$-accretive mappings in $q$-uniformly smooth Banach spaces. Lan et al. [14] constructed some iterative algorithms for solving a class of nonlinear $(A, \eta)$-monotone operator inclusion systems involving nonmonotone set-valued mappings in Hilbert spaces. Lan [9] investigated the existence of solutions for a class of $(A, \eta)$-accretive variational inclusion problems with nonaccretive setvalued mappings. Lan [11] analyzed and established an existence theorem for nonlinear parametric multivalued variational inclusion systems involving $(A, \eta)$-accretive mappings in Banach spaces. By using the random resolvent operator technique associated with $(A, \eta)$ accretive mappings, Lan [13] established an existence result for nonlinear random multivalued variational inclusion systems involving $(A, \eta)$-accretive mappings in Banach spaces. Lan and Verma [15] studied a class of nonlinear Fuzzy variational inclusion systems with $(A, \eta)$-accretive mappings in Banach spaces. On the other hand, some interesting and classical techniques such as the Banach contraction principle and Nalder's fixed point theorems have been considered by many researchers in studying variational inclusions.

Inspired and motivated by the above achievements, we introduce a new system of nonlinear variational-like inclusions involving $s-(G, \eta)$-maximal monotone operators in Hilbert spaces and a class of $(\zeta, \varphi, \varrho)$ - $g$-relaxed cocoercive operators. By virtue of the Banach's fixed point theorem and the resolvent operator technique, we prove the existence and uniqueness of solution for the system of nonlinear variational-like inclusions. The results presented in the paper generalize some known results in the field.

## 2. Preliminaries

In what follows, unless otherwise specified, we assume that $H_{i}$ is a real Hilbert space endowed with norm $\|\cdot\|_{i}$ and inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{i}$, and $2^{H_{i}}$ denotes the family of all nonempty subsets of $H_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$. Now let's recall some concepts.

Definition 2.1. Let $A: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}, f, g: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{1}, \eta: H_{1} \times H_{1} \rightarrow H_{1}$ be mappings.
(1) $A$ is said to be Lipschitz continuous, if there exists a constant $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A x-A y\|_{2} \leq \alpha\|x-y\|_{1}, \quad \forall x, y \in H_{1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $A$ is said to be $r$-expanding, if there exists a constant $r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A x-A y\|_{2} \geq r\|x-y\|_{1}, \quad \forall x, y \in H_{1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) $f$ is said to be $\delta$-strongly monotone, if there exists a constant $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f x-f y, x-y\rangle_{1} \geq \delta\|x-y\|_{1}^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in H_{1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) $f$ is said to be $\delta$ - $\eta$-strongly monotone, if there exists a constant $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f x-f y, \eta(x, y)\rangle_{1} \geq \delta\|x-y\|_{1}^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in H_{1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5) $f$ is said to be ( $\zeta, \varphi, \varrho)$ - $g$-relaxed cocoercive, if there exist nonnegtive constants $\zeta, \varphi$ and $\rho$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f x-f y, g x-g y\rangle_{1} \geq-\zeta\|f x-f y\|_{1}^{2}-\varphi\|g x-g y\|_{1}^{2}+\varphi\|x-y\|_{1^{\prime}}^{2} \quad \forall x, y \in H_{1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(6) $g$ is said to be $\zeta$-relaxed Lipschitz, if there exists a constant $\zeta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle g x-g y, x-y\rangle_{1} \leq-\zeta\|x-y\|_{1}^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in H_{1} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.2. Let $N: H_{2} \times H_{1} \times H_{2} \rightarrow H_{1}, A, C: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}, B: H_{2} \rightarrow H_{1}$ be mappings. $N$ is called
(1) $(\lambda, \xi)$-relaxed cocoercive with respect to $A$ in the first argument, if there exist nonnegative constants $\lambda, \xi$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle N(A u, x, y)-N(A v, x, y), u-v\rangle_{1} \\
& \quad \geq-\lambda\|A u-A v\|_{2}^{2}+\xi\|u-v\|_{1}^{2}, \quad \forall u, v, x \in H_{1}, y \in H_{2} ; \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) $\theta$-cocoercive with respect to $B$ in the second argument, if there exists a constant $\theta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle N(x, B u, y)-N(x, B v, y), u-v\rangle_{1} \geq \theta\|B u-B v\|_{1}^{2}, \quad \forall u, v, x, y \in H_{2} ; \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) $\tau$-relaxed Lipschitz with respect to $C$ in the third argument, if there exists a constant $\tau>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle N(x, y, C u)-N(x, y, C v), u-v\rangle_{1} \leq-\tau\|u-v\|_{1}^{2}, \quad \forall u, v, y \in H_{1}, x \in H_{2} ; \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) $\tau$-relaxed monotone with respect to $C$ in the third argument, if there exists a constant $\tau>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle N(x, y, C u)-N(x, y, C v), u-v\rangle_{1} \geq-\tau\|u-v\|_{1}^{2}, \quad \forall u, v, y \in H_{1}, x \in H_{2} ; \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5) Lipschitz continuous in the first argument, if there exists a constant $\mu>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|N(u, x, y)-N(v, x, y)\|_{1} \leq \mu\|u-v\|_{1}, \quad \forall u, v, y \in H_{2}, x \in H_{1} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we can define the Lipschitz continuity of $N$ in the second and third arguments, respectively.

Definition 2.3. For $i \in\{1,2\}, j \in\{1,2\} \backslash\{i\}$, let $M_{i}: H_{j} \times H_{i} \rightarrow 2^{H_{i}}, \eta_{i}: H_{i} \times H_{i} \rightarrow H_{i}$ be mappings. For each given $\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$ and $i \in\{1,2\}, M_{i}\left(x_{i}, \cdot\right): H_{i} \rightarrow 2^{H_{i}}$ is said to be $s_{i}-\eta_{i}$-relaxed monotone, if there exists a constant $s_{i}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{*}-y^{*}, \eta_{i}(x, y)\right\rangle_{i} \geq-s_{i}\|x-y\|_{i}^{2}, \quad \forall\left(x, x^{*}\right),\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in \operatorname{graph}\left(M_{i}\left(x_{i}, \cdot\right)\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.4. For $i \in\{1,2\}, j \in\{1,2\} \backslash\{i\}$, let $M_{i}: H_{j} \times H_{i} \rightarrow 2^{H_{i}}, G_{i}: H_{i} \rightarrow H_{i}$ be mappings. For any given $\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$ and $i \in\{1,2\}, M_{i}\left(x_{i}, \cdot\right): H_{i} \rightarrow 2^{H_{i}}$ is said to be $s_{i}$ - $\left(G_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)$ maximal monotone, if (B1) $M_{i}\left(x_{i}, \cdot\right)$ is $s_{i}-\eta_{i}$-relaxed monotone; (B2) $\left(G_{i}+\rho_{i} M_{i}\left(x_{i}, \cdot\right)\right) H_{i}=H_{i}$ for $\rho_{i}>0$.

Lemma 2.5 (see [8]). Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $\eta: H \times H \rightarrow H$ be a mapping, $G: H \rightarrow H$ be a $d$ - $\eta$-strongly monotone mapping and $M: H \rightarrow 2^{H}$ be a $s$ - $(G, \eta)$-maximal monotone mapping. Then the generalized resolvent operator $R_{M, \rho}^{G, \eta}=(G+\rho M)^{-1}: H \rightarrow H$ is singled-valued for $d>\rho s>0$.

Lemma 2.6 (see [8]). Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $\eta: H \times H \rightarrow H$ be a $\sigma$-Lipschitz continuous mapping, $G: H \rightarrow H$ be a $d$ - $\eta$-strongly monotone mapping, and $M: H \rightarrow 2^{H}$ be a $s-(G, \eta)$ maximal monotone mapping. Then the generalized resolvent operator $R_{M, \rho}^{\mathrm{G}, \eta}: H \rightarrow H$ is $\sigma /(d-\rho s)$ Lipschitz continuous for $d>\rho s>0$.

For $i \in\{1,2\}$ and $j \in\{1,2\} \backslash\{i\}$, assume that $A_{i}, C_{i}: H_{i} \rightarrow H_{j}, B_{i}: H_{j} \rightarrow H_{i}, \eta_{i}:$ $H_{i} \times H_{i} \rightarrow H_{i}, N_{i}: H_{j} \times H_{i} \times H_{j} \rightarrow H_{i}, f_{i}, g_{i}: H_{i} \rightarrow H_{i}$ are single-valued mappings, $M_{i}$ : $H_{j} \times H_{i} \rightarrow 2^{H_{i}}$ satisfies that for each given $x_{i} \in H_{j}, M_{i}\left(x_{i}, \cdot\right)$ is $s_{i}-\left(G_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)$-maximal monotone, where $G_{i}: H_{i} \rightarrow H_{i}$ is $d_{i}-\eta_{i}$-strongly monotone and Range $\left(f_{i}-g_{i}\right) \bigcap \operatorname{dom} M_{i}\left(x_{i}, \cdot\right) \neq \emptyset$. We consider the following problem of finding $(x, y) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \in N_{1}\left(A_{1} x, B_{1} y, C_{1} x\right)+M_{1}\left(y,\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) x\right), \\
& y \in N_{2}\left(A_{2} y, B_{2} x, C_{2} y\right)+M_{2}\left(x,\left(f_{2}-g_{2}\right) y\right), \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(f_{i}-g_{i}\right) x=f_{i}(x)-g_{i}(x)$ for $x \in H_{i}$ and $i \in\{1,2\}$. The problem (2.13) is called the system of nonlinear variational-like inclusions problem.

Special cases of the problem (2.13) are as follows.
If $A_{1}=B_{1}=B_{2}=C_{2}=f_{1}-g_{1}=f_{2}-g_{2}=I, N_{1}(x, y, z)=N_{1}(x, y)+x, N_{2}(u, v, w)=$ $N_{2}(v, w)+w, M_{1}(x, y)=M_{1}(y), M_{2}(u, v)=M_{2}(v)$ for each $x, z, v \in H_{2}, y, u, w \in H_{1}$, then the problem (2.13) collapses to finding $(x, y) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \in N_{1}(x, y)+M_{1}(x)  \tag{2.14}\\
& 0 \in N_{2}(x, y)+M_{2}(y)
\end{align*}
$$

which was studied by Fang and Huang [4] with the assumption that $M_{i}$ is $\left(G_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)$-monotone fori $\in\{1,2\}$.

$$
\text { If } H_{i}=H, A_{i}=A, B_{i}=B, C_{i}=C, M_{i}=M, f_{i}=f, g_{i}=g \text {, and } N_{i}(u, v, w)=N(u, v) \text {, for }
$$ all $u, v, w \in H$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, then the problem (2.13) reduces to finding $x \in H$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \in N(A x, B x)+M(x,(f-g) x) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was studied in Shim et al. [19].
It is easy to see that the problem (2.13) includes a number of variational and variational-like inclusions as special cases for appropriate and suitable choice of the mappings $N_{i}, A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}, M_{i}, f_{i}, g_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$.

## 3. Existence and Uniqueness Theorems

In this section, we will prove the existence and uniqueness of solution of the problem (2.13).
Lemma 3.1. Let $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ be two positive constants. Then $(x, y) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$ is a solution of the problem (2.13) if and only if $(x, y) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$ satisfies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(x)=g_{1}(x)+R_{M_{1}(y,), \rho_{1}}^{G_{1}, \eta_{1}}\left[x+G_{1}\left(\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) x\right)-\rho_{1} N_{1}\left(A_{1} x, B_{1} y, C_{1} x\right)\right] \\
& f_{2}(y)=g_{2}(y)+R_{M_{2}(x,), \rho_{2}}^{G_{2}, \eta_{2}}\left[y+G_{2}\left(\left(f_{2}-g_{2}\right) y\right)-\rho_{2} N_{2}\left(A_{2} y, B_{2} x, C_{2} y\right)\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{M_{1}(y,), \rho_{1}}^{G_{1}, \eta_{1}}(u)=\left(G_{1}+\rho_{1} M_{1}(y, \cdot)\right)^{-1}(u), R_{M_{2}(x,), \rho_{2}}^{G_{2}, \eta_{2}}(v)=\left(G_{2}+\rho_{2} M_{2}(x, \cdot)\right)^{-1}(v)$, for all $(u, v) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$.

Theorem 3.2. For $i \in\{1,2\}, j \in\{1,2\} \backslash\{i\}$, let $\eta_{i}: H_{i} \times H_{i} \rightarrow H_{i}$ be Lipschitz continuous with constant $\sigma_{i}, A_{i}, C_{i}: H_{i} \rightarrow H_{j}, B_{i}: H_{j} \rightarrow H_{i}, f_{i}, g_{i}: H_{i} \rightarrow H_{i}$ be Lipschitz continuous with constants $\alpha_{i}, \gamma_{i}, \beta_{i}, \vartheta_{f_{i}}, \vartheta_{g_{i}}$ respectively, $N_{i}: H_{j} \times H_{i} \times H_{j} \rightarrow H_{i}$ be Lipschitz continuous in the first, second and third arguments with constants $\mu_{i}, \nu_{i}, \omega_{i}$ respectively, let $N_{i}$ be $\left(\lambda_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)$-relaxed cocoercive with respect to $A_{i}$ in the first argument, and $\tau_{i}$-relaxed Lipschitz with respect to $C_{i}$ in the third argument, $f_{i}$ be $\left(\zeta_{i}, \varphi_{i}, \varrho_{i}\right)$ - $g_{i}$-relaxed cocoercive, $f_{i}-g_{i}$ be $\delta_{f_{i}, g_{i}}$-strongly monotone, $G_{i}: H_{i} \rightarrow$ $H_{i}$ be $t_{i}$-Lipschitz continuous and $d_{i}-\eta_{i}$-strongly monotone, and $G_{i}\left(f_{i}-g_{i}\right)$ be $\zeta_{i}$-relaxed Lipschitz, $M_{i}: H_{j} \times H_{i} \rightarrow 2^{H_{i}}$ satisfy that for each fixed $x_{i} \in H_{j}, M_{i}\left(x_{i}, \cdot\right): H_{i} \rightarrow 2^{H_{i}}$ is $s_{i^{-}}\left(G_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)$-maximal monotone, $\operatorname{Range}\left(f_{i}-g_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom} M_{i}\left(x_{i}, \cdot\right) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{M_{i}\left(y_{i},\right), \rho_{i}}^{G_{i}, \eta_{i}}(x)-R_{M_{i}\left(z_{i}, \cdot\right), \rho_{i}}^{G_{i}, \eta_{i}}(x)\right\|_{i} \leq r\left\|y_{i}-z_{i}\right\|_{j}, \quad \forall x \in H_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i} \in H_{j}, i \in\{1,2\}, j \in\{1,2\} \backslash\{i\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exist positive constants $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$, and $k$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{i}>\rho_{i} s_{i}, \quad i \in\{1,2\},  \tag{3.3}\\
k=\max \left\{m_{1}+\frac{\sigma_{1}}{d_{1}-\rho_{1} s_{1}}\left(c_{1}+\rho_{1} l_{1}\right)+\frac{\sigma_{2}}{d_{2}-\rho_{2} s_{2}} x_{2}, \quad m_{2}+\frac{\sigma_{2}}{d_{2}-\rho_{2} s_{2}}\left(c_{2}+\rho_{2} l_{2}\right)+\frac{\sigma_{1}}{d_{1}-\rho_{1} s_{1}} x_{1}\right\}+r<1, \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{i}=\sqrt{1-2 \delta_{f_{i}, g_{i}}+\left[\vartheta_{f_{i}}^{2}+2\left(\zeta_{i} \vartheta_{f_{i}}+\varphi_{i} \vartheta_{g_{i}}-\varrho_{i}\right)+\vartheta_{\delta_{i}}^{2}\right]}, \\
c_{i}=\sqrt{1-2 \zeta_{i}+t_{i}^{2}\left(\vartheta_{f_{i}}+\vartheta_{g_{i}}\right)^{2}},  \tag{3.5}\\
l_{i}=\sqrt{\mu_{i}^{2} \alpha_{i}^{2}+2\left(\lambda_{i} \alpha_{i}-\zeta_{i}\right)+1}+\sqrt{\omega_{i}^{2} \gamma_{i}^{2}-2 \tau_{i}+1}, \\
x_{i}=\rho_{i} v_{i} \beta_{i}, \quad i \in\{1,2\},
\end{gather*}
$$

then the problem (2.13) possesses a unique solution in $H_{1} \times H_{2}$.
Proof. For any $(x, y) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$, define

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\rho_{1}}(x, y)=x-\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) x+R_{M_{1}(y, \cdot), \rho_{1}}^{G_{1}, \eta_{1}}\left[x+G_{1}\left(\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) x\right)-\rho_{1} N_{1}\left(A_{1} x, B_{1} y, C_{1} x\right)\right]  \tag{3.6}\\
& F_{\rho_{2}}(x, y)=y-\left(f_{2}-g_{2}\right) y+R_{\left.M_{2}(x,)\right), \rho_{2}}^{G_{2}, \eta_{2}}\left[y+G_{2}\left(\left(f_{2}-g_{2}\right) y\right)-\rho_{2} N_{2}\left(A_{2} y, B_{2} x, C_{2} y\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

For each $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right),\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$, it follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|F_{\rho_{1}}\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)-F_{\rho_{1}}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}-\left[\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) u_{1}-\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) u_{2}\right]\right\|_{1}+\frac{\sigma_{1}}{d_{1}-\rho_{1} s_{1}}  \tag{3.7}\\
& \quad \times\left\{\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}+G_{1}\left(\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) u_{1}\right)-G_{1}\left(\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) u_{2}\right)\right\|_{1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\rho_{1}\left\|N_{1}\left(A_{1} u_{1}, B_{1} v_{1}, C_{1} u_{1}\right)-N_{1}\left(A_{1} u_{2}, B_{1} v_{2}, C_{1} u_{2}\right)\right\|_{1}\right\}+r\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $f_{1}-g_{1}$ is $\delta_{f_{1}, g_{1}}$-strongly monotone, $f_{1}, g_{1}$ and $G_{1}$ are Lipschitz continuous, and $G_{1}\left(f_{1}-\right.$ $g_{1}$ ) is $\zeta_{1}$-relaxed Lipschitz, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{1}-u_{2}-\left[\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) u_{1}-\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) u_{2}\right]\right\|_{1}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(1-2 \delta_{f_{1}, g_{1}}+\left(\vartheta_{f_{1}}^{2}+2\left(\zeta_{1} \vartheta_{f_{1}}+\varphi_{1} \vartheta_{g_{1}}-\varrho_{1}\right)+\vartheta_{g_{1}}^{2}\right)\right)\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{1}^{2}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \left\|u_{1}-u_{2}+G_{1}\left(\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) u_{1}\right)-G_{1}\left(\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) u_{2}\right)\right\|_{1}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(1-2 \zeta_{1}+t_{1}^{2}\left(\vartheta_{f_{1}}+\vartheta_{g_{1}}\right)^{2}\right)\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{1}^{2} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}$ are all Lipschitz continuous, $N_{1}$ is $\left(\lambda_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)$-relaxed cocoercive with respect to $A_{1}, \tau_{1}$-relaxed Lipschitz with respect to $C_{1}$, and is Lipschitz continuous in the first, second and third arguments, respectively, we infer that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|N_{1}\left(A_{1} u_{1}, B_{1} v_{1}, C_{1} u_{1}\right)-N_{1}\left(A_{1} u_{2}, B_{1} v_{1}, C_{1} u_{1}\right)-\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\right\|_{1}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\mu_{1}^{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}+2\left(\lambda_{1} \alpha_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)+1\right)\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{1}^{2}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \left\|N_{1}\left(A_{1} u_{2}, B_{1} v_{2}, C_{1} u_{1}\right)-N_{1}\left(A_{1} u_{2}, B_{1} v_{2}, C_{1} u_{2}\right)+u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{1}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\omega_{1}^{2} r_{1}^{2}-2 \tau_{1}+1\right)\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{1}^{2}  \tag{3.11}\\
& \left\|N_{1}\left(A_{1} u_{2}, B_{1} v_{1}, C_{1} u_{1}\right)-N_{1}\left(A_{1} u_{2}, B_{1} v_{2}, C_{1} u_{1}\right)\right\|  \tag{3.12}\\
& \quad \leq v_{1} \beta_{1}\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of (3.7)-(3.12), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|F_{\rho_{1}}\left(u_{1}-v_{1}\right)-F_{\rho_{1}}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq m_{1}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{1}+\frac{\sigma_{1}}{d_{1}-\rho_{1} s_{1}}\left[\left(c_{1}+\rho_{1} l_{1}\right)\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{1}+x_{1}\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{2}\right]+r\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{2} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|F_{\rho_{2}}\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)-F_{\rho_{2}}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq m_{2}\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{2}+\frac{\sigma_{2}}{d_{2}-\rho_{2} s_{2}}\left[\left(c_{2}+\rho_{2} l_{2}\right)\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{2}+\chi_{2}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{1}\right]+r\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{1} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Define $\|\cdot\|_{*}$ on $H_{1} \times H_{2}$ by $\|(u, v)\|_{*}=\|u\|_{1}+\|v\|_{1}$ for any $(u, v) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$. It is easy to see that $\left(H_{1} \times H_{2},\|\cdot\|_{*}\right)$ is a Banach space. Define $L_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}: H_{1} \times H_{2} \rightarrow H_{1} \times H_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}(u, v)=\left(F_{\rho_{1}}(u, v), F_{\rho_{2}}(u, v)\right), \quad \forall(u, v) \in H_{1} \times H_{2} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (3.3),(3.4),(3.13) and (3.14), we achieve that $0<k<1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|L_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)-L_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right\|_{*} \leq k\left\|\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)-\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right\|_{*^{\prime}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that $L_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}: H_{1} \times H_{2} \rightarrow H_{1} \times H_{2}$ is a contractive mapping. Hence, there exists a unique $(x, y) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$ such that $L_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}(x, y)=(x, y)$. That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(x)=g_{1}(x)+R_{M_{1}(y,), \rho_{1}}^{G_{1}, \eta_{1}}\left[x+G_{1}\left(\left(f_{1}-g_{1}\right) x\right)-\rho_{1} N_{1}\left(A_{1} x, B_{1} y, C_{1} x\right)\right]  \tag{3.17}\\
& f_{2}(y)=g_{2}(y)+R_{M_{2}(x,), \rho_{2}}^{G_{2}, \eta_{2}}\left[y+G_{2}\left(\left(f_{2}-g_{2}\right) y\right)-\rho_{2} N_{2}\left(A_{2} y, B_{2} x, C_{2} y\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 3.1, we derive that $(x, y)$ is a unique solution of the problem (2.13). This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3. For $i \in\{1,2\}, j \in\{1,2\} \backslash\{i\}$, let $\eta_{i}, A_{i}, C_{i}, M_{i}, f_{i}, g_{i}, f_{i}-g_{i}, G_{i}$ be all the same as in Theorem 3.2, $B_{i}: H_{j} \rightarrow H_{i}$ be $r_{i}$-expanding, $N_{i}: H_{j} \times H_{i} \times H_{j} \rightarrow H_{i}$ be Lipschitz continuous in the first, second and third arguments with constants $\mu_{i}, v_{i}, \omega_{i}$ respectively, and $N_{i}$ be $\left(\lambda_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)$-relaxed cocoercive with respect to $A_{i}$ in the first argument, be $\theta_{i}$-cocoercive with respect to $B_{i}$ in the second argument, be $\tau_{i}$-relaxed Lipschtz with respect to $C_{i}$ in the third argument. If there exist constants $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ and $k$ such that (3.3) and (3.4), but

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}=t_{i} \sqrt{\vartheta_{f_{i}}^{2}+2\left(\zeta_{i} \vartheta_{f_{i}}+\varphi_{i} \vartheta_{g_{i}}-\varrho_{i}\right)+\vartheta_{g_{i}}^{2}} \quad X_{i}=\sqrt{\rho_{i}^{2} v_{i}^{2} \beta_{i}^{2}-2 \rho_{i} \theta_{i} r_{i}+1}, \quad i \in\{1,2\} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the problem (2.13) possesses a unique solution in $H_{1} \times H_{2}$.
Theorem 3.4. For $i \in\{1,2\}, j \in\{1,2\} \backslash\{i\}$, let $\eta_{i}, A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}, M_{i}, f_{i}, g_{i}, f_{i}-g_{i}, G_{i}, G_{i}\left(f_{i}-g_{i}\right)$ be all the same as in Theorem 3.2, $N_{i}: H_{j} \times H_{i} \times H_{j} \rightarrow H_{i}$ be Lipschitz continuous in the first, second and third arguments with constants $\mu_{i}, v_{i}, \omega_{i}$ respectively, and $N_{i}$ be $\left(\lambda_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)$-relaxed cocoercive with respect to $A_{i}$ in the first argument, be $\theta_{i}$-relaxed Lipschitz with respect to $B_{i}$ in the second argument, be $\tau_{i}$-relaxed monotone with respect to $C_{i}$ in the third argument. If there exist constants $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ and $k$ such that (3.3) and (3.4), but

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{i}=\sqrt{\left(\mu_{i} \alpha_{i}+\omega_{i} \gamma_{i}\right)^{2}+2\left(\lambda_{i} \alpha_{i}-\xi_{i}+\tau_{i}\right)+1}, \quad X_{i}=\rho_{i} \sqrt{v_{i}^{2} \beta_{i}^{2}-2 \theta_{i}+1}, \quad i \in\{1,2\} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the problem (2.13) possesses a unique solution in $H_{1} \times H_{2}$.
Remark 3.5. In this paper, there are three aspects which are worth of being mentioned as follows:
(1) Theorem 3.2 extends and improves in [4, Theorem 3.1] and in [19, Theorem 4.1];
(2) the class of $(\zeta, \varphi, \varrho)$ - $g$-relaxed cocoercive operators includes the class of $(\alpha, \xi)$ relaxed cocoercive operators in [8] as a special case;
(3) the class of $s-(G, \eta)$-maximal monotone operators is a generalization of the classes of $\eta$-subdifferential operators in [3], maximal $\eta$-monotone operators in [6], Hmonotone operators in [5] and (H, $\eta$ )-monotone operators in [4].
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