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We introduced a new iterative scheme for finding a common element in the set of common fixed points of a finite family of quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mappings, the set of common solutions of a finite family of equilibrium problems, and the set of common solutions of a finite family of variational inequality problems in Banach spaces. The proof method for the main result is simplified under some new assumptions on the bifunctions.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $\mathbb{R}$ denote the set of all real numbers. Let $E$ be a smooth Banach space and $E^{*}$ the dual space of $E$. The function $\phi: E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=\|x\|^{2}-\langle y, J x\rangle+\|y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in E \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is the normalized dual mapping from $E$ to $E^{*}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \quad \forall x \in E . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $E$. The generalized projection $\Pi: E \rightarrow C$ is a mapping that assigns to an arbitrary point $x \in E$ the minimum point of the function $\phi(x, y)$, that is, $\Pi_{C} x=\widehat{x}$, where $\hat{x}$ is the solution to the minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\widehat{x}, x)=\inf _{z \in C} \phi(z, x) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Hilbert spaces, $\phi(x, y)=\|x-y\|^{2}$ and $\Pi_{C}=P_{C}$, where $P_{C}$ is the metric projection. It is obvious from the definition of function $\phi$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\|y\|-\|x\|)^{2} \leq \phi(y, x) \leq(\|y\|+\|x\|)^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in E . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that if $E$ is a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space, then for $x, y \in E$, $\phi(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$. For more details on $\phi$ and $\Pi$, the readers are referred to [1-4].

Let $T$ be a mapping from $C$ into itself. We denote the set of fixed points of $T$ by $F(T) . T$ is called to be nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$ and quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\|x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $x \in F(T)$ and $y \in C$. A point $p \in C$ is called to be an asymptotic fixed point of $T$ [5] if $C$ contains a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which converges weakly to $p$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$. The set of asymptotic fixed points of $T$ is denoted by $\widehat{F}(T)$. The mapping $T$ is said to be relatively nonexpansive [6-8] if $\widehat{F}(T)=F(T)$ and $\phi(p, T x) \leq$ $\phi(p, x)$ for all $x \in C$ and $p \in F(T)$. The mapping $T$ is said to be $\phi$-nonexpansive if $\phi(T x, T y) \leq$ $\phi(\mathrm{x}, y)$ for all $x, y \in C . T$ is called to be quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive [9] if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\phi(p, T x) \leq$ $\phi(p, x)$ for all $x \in C$ and $p \in F(T)$.

In 2005, Matsushita and Takahashi [10] introduced the following algorithm:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0}=x \in C, \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) J T x_{n}\right), \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C: \phi\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\},  \tag{1.5}\\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\langle x_{n}-z, J x-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}} x, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $J$ is the duality mapping on $E, T$ is a relatively nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into itself, and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of real numbers such that $0 \leq \alpha_{n}<1$ and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}<1$ and proved that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1.5) converges strongly to $P_{F(T)} x$, where $P_{F(T)}$ is the generalized projection from $C$ onto $F(T)$.

Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The equilibrium problem for $f$ is to find $p \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use $\operatorname{EP}(f)$ to denote the solution set of the equilibrium problem (1.6). That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{EP}(f)=\{p \in C: f(p, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C\} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For studying the equilibrium problem, $f$ is usually assumed to satisfy the following conditions:
(A1) $f(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in C$;
(A2) $f$ is monotone, that is, $f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$;
(A3) for each $x, y, z \in C, \limsup _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq f(x, y)$;
(A4) for each $x \in C, y \rightarrow f(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.
Recently, many authors investigated the equilibrium problems in Hilbert spaces or Banach spaces; see, for example, [11-25]. In [20], Qin et al. considered the following iterative scheme by a hybrid method in a Banach space:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0} \in E \quad \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
C_{1}=C, \\
x_{1}=\Pi_{C_{1}} x_{0}, \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n, 0} J x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} J T_{i} x_{n}\right),  \tag{1.8}\\
u_{n} \in C \quad \text { such that } f\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, J u_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $T_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ is a closed quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mapping for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, $\alpha_{n, 0},\left\{\alpha_{n, 1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{\alpha_{n, N}\right\}$ are real sequences in ( 0,1 ) satisfying $\sum_{j=0}^{N} \alpha_{n, j}=1$ for each $n \geq 1$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n, 0} \alpha_{n, i}>0$ for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ and $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $[a, \infty)$ with $a>0$. Then the authors proved that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}} x_{0}$, where $\mathcal{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap E P(f)$.

Very recently, Zegeye and Shahzad [25] introduced a new scheme for finding an element in the common fixed point set of finite family of closed relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings, common solutions set of finite family of equilibrium problems, and common solutions set of finite family of variational inequality problems for monotone mappings in a Banach space. More precisely, let $f_{i}: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \ldots, L$, be a finite family of bifunctions, $S_{j}: C \rightarrow C, j=1, \ldots, D$, a finite family of relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings, and $A_{i}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow E^{*}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, a finite family of continuous monotone mappings. For $x \in E$, define the mappings $F_{r_{n}}, T_{r_{n}}: E \rightarrow C$ by

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{r_{n}} x=\left\{z \in C:\left\langle y-z, A_{n} z\right\rangle+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\},  \tag{1.9}\\
T_{r_{n}} x=\left\{z \in C: f_{n}(z, y)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A_{n}=A_{n(\bmod N)}, f_{n}=f_{n(\bmod L)}$ and $r_{n} \subset\left[c_{1}, \infty\right)$ for some $c_{1}>0$. Zegeye and Shahzad [25] introduced the following scheme:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0} \in C_{0}=C \quad \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
z_{n}=F_{r_{n}} x_{n} \\
u_{n}=T_{r_{n}} x_{n} \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{1} J z_{n}+\alpha_{2} J S_{n} u_{n}\right),  \tag{1.10}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $S_{n}=S_{n(\bmod D)}, \alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in(0,1)$ such that $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=1$. Further, they proved that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to an element of $\mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}=\left[\bigcap_{j=1}^{D} F\left(S_{j}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)\right] \cap$ $\left[\bigcap_{l=1}^{L} \mathrm{EP}\left(f_{l}\right)\right]$.

In this paper, motivated and inspired by the iterations (1.8) and (1.10), we consider a new iterative process with a finite family of quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mappings for a finite family of equilibrium problems and a finite family of variational inequality problems in a Banach space. More precisely, let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{1}}: C \rightarrow C$ be a family of quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mappings, $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{2}}: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a finite family of bifunctions, and $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{3}}: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ a finite family of continuous monotone mappings such that $\mathcal{F}=\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{1}} F\left(S_{i}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \operatorname{EP}\left(f_{i}\right)\right] \cap$ $\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)\right] \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{r_{1, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \subset(0, \infty)$ and $\left\{r_{2, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \subset(0, \infty)$. Define the mappings $T_{r_{1, i}}$, $F_{r_{2, i}}: E \rightarrow C$ by

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{r_{1, i}} x=\left\{z \in C: f_{i}(z, y)+\frac{1}{r_{1, i}}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N_{2},  \tag{1.11}\\
F_{r_{2, i}} x=\left\{z \in C:\left\langle y-z, A_{i} z\right\rangle+\frac{1}{r_{2, i}}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N_{3} . \tag{1.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider the iteration

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1} \in C \quad \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \lambda_{1, i} J S_{i} x_{n}+\alpha_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \lambda_{2, i} J T_{r_{1, i}} x_{n}+\alpha_{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \lambda_{3, i} J F_{r_{2, i}} x_{n}\right), \\
C_{n}=\left\{v \in C: \phi\left(v, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(v, x_{n}\right)\right\}  \tag{1.13}\\
D_{n}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_{i} \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{D_{n}} x_{1}, \quad n \geq 1
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ are the real numbers in $(0,1)$ satisfying $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=1$ and for each $j=1,2,3, \lambda_{j, 1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j, N_{j}}$ are the real numbers in ( 0,1 ) satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{N_{j}} \lambda_{j, i}=1$. We will prove that
the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1.13) converges strongly to an element in $\mathcal{F}$. In this paper, in order to simplify the proof, we will replace the condition (A3) with ( $\mathrm{A} 3^{\prime}$ ): for each fixed $y \in C, f(\cdot, y)$ is continuous.

Obviously, the condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) implies (A3). Under the condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ), we will show that each $T_{r_{1, i}}$ (as well as $F_{r_{2, j}}, i=1, \ldots, N_{2}, j=1, \ldots, N_{3}$ ) is closed which is such that the proof for the main result of this paper is simplified.

## 2. Preliminaries

The modulus of smoothness of a Banach space $E$ is the function $\rho_{E}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{E}(\tau)=\sup \left\{\frac{\|x+y\|+\|x-y\|}{2}-1:\|x\|=1 ;\|y\|=\tau\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space $E$ is said to be smooth if $\rho_{E}(\tau)>0$, for all $\tau>0$, and $E$ is called uniformly smooth if and only if $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{+}} \rho_{E}(\tau) / \tau=0$.

A Banach space $E$ is said to be strictly convex if $\|(x+y) / 2\|<1$ for all $x, y \in E$ with $\|x\|=\|y\|=1$ and $x \neq y$. It is said to be uniformly convex if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$ for any two sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\left\|y_{n}\right\|=1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(x_{n}+y_{n}\right) / 2\right\|=1$. It is known that if a Banach space $E$ is uniformly smooth, then its dual space $E^{*}$ is uniformly convex.

A Banach space $E$ is called to have the Kadec-Klee property if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset$ $E$ and $x \in E$ with $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$, where - denotes the weak convergence, and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|x\|$, then $x_{n}-x \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\rightarrow$ denotes the strong convergence. It is well known that every uniformly convex Banach space has the Kadec-Klee property. For more details on the Kadec-Klee property, the reader is referred to $[3,4]$.

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a Banach space $E$. A mapping $S$ : $C \rightarrow C$ is said to be closed if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x_{0}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_{n}=y_{0}, S x_{0}=y_{0}$.

Let $A: D(A) \subset E \rightarrow E^{*}$ be a mapping. $A$ is said to be monotone if for each $x, y \in D(A)$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x-y, A x-A y\rangle \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A$ be a monotone mapping from $C$ into $E^{*}$. The variational inequality problem on $A$ is formulated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find a point } u \in C \text { such that }\langle v-u, A u\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in C . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution set of the above variational inequality problem is denoted by $\mathrm{VI}(C, A)$.

Next we state some lemmas which will be used later.
Lemma 2.1 (see [1]). Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a smooth Banach space E and $x \in E$. Then, $x_{0}=\Pi_{C} x$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{0}-y, J x-J x_{0}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2 (see [1]). Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space, $C$ a nonempty closed and convex subset of $E$, and $x \in E$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(y, \Pi_{C} x\right)+\phi\left(\Pi_{C} x, x\right) \leq \phi(y, x), \quad \forall y \in C \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [20]). Let E be a strictly convex and smooth Banach space, C a nonempty closed and convex subset of $E$, and $T: C \rightarrow C$ a quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mapping. Then $F(T)$ is a closed and convex subset of $C$.

Since the condition (A3') implies (A3), the following lemma is a natural result of [22, Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9].

Lemma 2.4. Let $C$ be a closed and convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space $E$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1), (A2), (A3'), and (A4). Let $r>0$ and $x \in E$. Then
(a) there exists $z \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) define a mapping $T_{r}: E \rightarrow C$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{r} x=\left\{z \in C: f(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following conclusions hold:
(1) $T_{r}$ is single-valued;
(2) $T_{r}$ is firmly nonexpansive, that is, for all $x, y \in E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, J T_{r} x-J T_{r} y\right\rangle \leq\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, J x-J y\right\rangle \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) $F\left(T_{r}\right)=\mathrm{EP}(f)$;
(4) $T_{r}$ is quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive;
(5) $\mathrm{EP}(f)$ is closed and convex;
(6) $\phi\left(p, T_{r} x\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} x, x\right) \leq \phi(p, x)$, for all $p \in F\left(T_{r}\right)$.

Remark 2.5. Let $A: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ be a continuous monotone mapping and define $f(x, y)=\langle y-$ $x, A x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$. It is easy to see that $f$ satisfies the conditions (A1), (A2), (A3'), and (A4) and $\operatorname{EP}(f)=\mathrm{VI}(C, A)$. Hence, for every real number $r>0$, if defining a mapping $F_{r}$ : $E \rightarrow C$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{r} x=\left\{z \in C:\langle y-z, A z\rangle+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $F_{r}$ satisfies all the conclusions in Lemma 2.4. See [25, Lemma 2.4].
Lemma 2.6 (see [26]). Let $p>1$ and $s>0$ be two fixed real numbers. Then a Banach space $E$ is uniformly convex if and only if there exists a continuous strictly increasing convex function $g$ : $[0, \infty$ ) with $g(0)=0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y\|^{p} \leq \lambda\|x\|^{p}+(1-\lambda)\|y\|^{2}-w_{p}(\lambda) g(\|x-y\|) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{s}(0)=\{x \in E:\|x\| \leq s\}$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$, where $w_{p}(\lambda)=\lambda^{p}(1-\lambda)+\lambda(1-\lambda)^{p}$.
The following lemma can be obtained from Lemma 2.6 immediately; also see [20, Lemma 1.9].

Lemma 2.7 (see [20]). Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space, $s>0$ a positive number, and $B_{s}(0)$ a closed ball of $E$. There exists a continuous, strictly increasing and convex function $g:[0, \infty)$ with $g(0)=0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} x_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{j} \alpha_{k} g\left(\left\|x_{j}-x_{k}\right\|\right), \quad j, k \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\} \text { with } j \neq k \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N} \in B_{s}(0)=\{x \in E:\|x\| \leq s\}$ and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{N} \in[0,1]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}=1$.
Lemma 2.8. Let $C$ be a closed and convex subset of a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space E. Let $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying (A1), (A2), (A3'), and (A4). Let $r>0$ and $T_{r}: E \rightarrow C$ be a mapping defined by (2.7). Then $T_{\mathrm{r}}$ is closed.

Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset E$ converge to $x^{\prime}$ and $\left\{T_{r} x_{n}\right\}$ converge to $\widehat{x}$. To end the conclusion, we need to prove that $T_{r} x^{\prime}=\widehat{x}$. Indeed, for each $x_{n}$, Lemma 2.4 shows that there exists a unique $z_{n} \in C$ such that $z_{n}=T_{r} x_{n}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r}\left\langle y-z_{n}, J z_{n}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E$ is uniformly smooth, $J$ is continuous on bounded set (note that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ are both bounded). Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12), by using (A3'), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\hat{x}, y)+\frac{1}{r}\left\langle y-\hat{x}, J \hat{x}-J x^{\prime}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $T_{r} x^{\prime}=\widehat{x}$. This completes the proof.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space E which has the Kadec-Klee property. Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{1}}: C \rightarrow C$ be a family of closed quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mappings, $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{2}}: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a finite family of bifunctions satisfying the conditions (A1), (A2), (A3'), and (A4), and $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{3}}: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ a finite family of continuous monotone mappings such that $\mathcal{F}=\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{1}} F\left(S_{i}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \operatorname{EP}\left(f_{i}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)\right] \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{r_{1, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{2}},\left\{r_{2, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \subset$ $(0, \infty)$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by the following manner:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1} \in C \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
z_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \lambda_{1, i} J S_{i} x_{n}, \\
u_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \lambda_{2, i} J T_{r_{1, i}} x_{n}, \\
w_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \lambda_{3, i} J F_{r_{2, i}} x_{n},  \tag{3.1}\\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}+\alpha_{2} u_{n}+\alpha_{3} w_{n}\right), \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C: \phi\left(v, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(v, x_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
D_{n}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_{i,} \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{D_{n}} x_{1}, \quad n \geq 1,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $T_{r_{1, i}}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}\right)$ and $F_{r_{2, j}}\left(j=1,2, \ldots, N_{3}\right)$ are defined by (1.11) and (1.12), $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ are the real numbers in $(0,1)$ satisfying $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=1$ and for each $j=1,2,3, \lambda_{j, 1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j, N_{j}}$ are the real numbers in $(0,1)$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{N_{j}} \lambda_{j, i}=1$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\neq} x_{1}$, where $\Pi_{\mathcal{q}}$ is the generalized projection from E onto $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. First we prove that $D_{n}$ is closed and convex for each $n \geq 1$. From the definition of $C_{n}$, it is obvious that $C_{n}$ is closed. Moreover, since $\phi\left(v, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(v, x_{n}\right)$ is equivalent to $2\left\langle v, J x_{n}-\right.$ $\left.J y_{n}\right\rangle-\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{n}\right\|^{2} \geq 0$, it follows that $C_{n}$ is convex for each $n \geq 1$. By the definition of $D_{n}$, we can conclude that $D_{n}$ is closed and convex for each $n \geq 1$.

Next, we prove that $\mathcal{F} \subset D_{n}$ for each $n \geq 1$. From Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.5, we see that each $T_{r_{1, i}}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}\right)$ and $F_{r_{2, j}}\left(j=1,2, \ldots, N_{3}\right)$ are quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive. Hence, for any $p \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(p, y_{n}\right)= & \phi\left(p, J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}+\alpha_{2} u_{n}+\alpha_{3} w_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \|p\|^{2}-2\left\langle p, \alpha_{0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}+\alpha_{2} u_{n}+\alpha_{3} w_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle+\left\|\alpha_{0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}+\alpha_{2} u_{n}+\alpha_{3} w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \|p\|^{2}-2 \alpha_{0}\left\langle p, J x_{n}\right\rangle-2 \alpha_{1}\left\langle p, z_{n}\right\rangle-2 \alpha_{2}\left\langle p, u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& -2 \alpha_{3}\left\langle p, w_{n}\right\rangle+\alpha_{0}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{1}\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{3}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \|p\|^{2}-2 \alpha_{0}\left\langle p, J x_{n}\right\rangle-2 \alpha_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \lambda_{1, i}\left\langle p, J S_{i} x_{n}\right\rangle-2 \alpha_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \lambda_{2, i}\left\langle p, J T_{r_{1, i}} x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& -2 \alpha_{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \lambda_{3, i}\left\langle p, J F_{r_{2, i}} x_{n}\right\rangle+\alpha_{0}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \lambda_{1, i}\left\|J S_{i} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\alpha_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \lambda_{2, i}\left\|J T_{r_{1, i}} x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \lambda_{3, i}\left\|J F_{r_{2, i}} x_{n}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.2}\\
= & \alpha_{0} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \lambda_{1, i} \phi\left(p, S_{i} x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \lambda_{2, i} \phi\left(p, T_{r_{1, i}} x_{n}\right) \\
& +\alpha_{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \lambda_{3, i} \phi\left(p, F_{r_{2, i}} x_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \alpha_{0} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \lambda_{1, i} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \lambda_{2, i} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right) \\
& +\alpha_{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \lambda_{3, i} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right) \\
= & \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{n}$ for each $n \geq 1$. So, it follows from the definition of $D_{n}$ that $F \subset D_{n}$ for each $n \geq 1$. Therefore, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well defined. Also, from Lemma 2.2 we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{1}\right)=\phi\left(\Pi_{D_{n}} x_{1}, x_{1}\right) \leq \phi\left(p, x_{1}\right)-\phi\left(p, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(p, x_{1}\right), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $p \in \mathcal{F}$. This shows that the sequence $\left\{\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. It follows from (1.4) that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is also bounded.

Since $E$ is reflexive, we may, without loss of generality, assume that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$. Since $D_{n}$ is closed and convex for each $n \geq 1$, we can conclude that $x^{*} \in D_{n}$ for each $n \geq 1$. By the definition of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right) \leq \phi\left(x^{*}, x_{1}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x^{*}, x_{1}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right) \leq \phi\left(x^{*}, x_{1}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right)=\phi\left(x^{*}, x_{1}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\left\|x^{*}\right\|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In view of the Kadec-Klee property of $E$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x^{*} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the construction of $D_{n}$, we have that $D_{n+1} \subset D_{n}$ and $x_{n+2}=\Pi_{D_{n+1}} x_{1} \subset D_{n}$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}\right) & =\phi\left(x_{n+2}, \Pi_{D_{n}} x_{1}\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(x_{n+2}, x_{1}\right)-\phi\left(\Pi_{D_{n}} x_{1}, x_{1}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& =\phi\left(x_{n+2}, x_{1}\right)-\phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $\phi\left(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}\right) \rightarrow 0$. In view of $x_{n+1} \in D_{n}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_{n}$, we have $x_{n+1} \in C_{n}$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.4), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow\left\|x^{*}\right\| \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|J y_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow\left\|J x^{*}\right\| \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the sequence $\left\{J y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Note that reflexivity of $E$ implies reflexivity of $E^{*}$. Thus, we may assume that $J y_{n} \rightharpoonup y \in E^{*}$. Furthermore, reflexivity of $E$ implies that there exists $x \in E$ such that $y=J x$. Then, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n}\right) & =\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n+1}, J y_{n}\right\rangle+\left\|y_{n}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.13}\\
& =\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n+1}, J y_{n}\right\rangle+\left\|J y_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Take lim inf on both sides of (3.13) over $n$ and use weak lower semicontinuity of norm to get that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x^{*}, y\right\rangle+\|y\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x^{*}, J x\right\rangle+\|J x\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x^{*}, J x\right\rangle+\|x\|^{2}  \tag{3.14}\\
& =\phi\left(x^{*}, x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $x^{*}=x$. Hence, $y=J x^{*}$. It follows that $J y_{n} \rightharpoonup \mathrm{~J} x^{*}$. Now, from (3.12) and Kadec-Klee property of $E^{*}$, we obtain that $J y_{n} \rightarrow J x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the demicontinuity of $J^{-1}$ implies that $y_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$. Now, from (3.11) and the fact that $E$ has the Kadec-Klee property, we obtain that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=x^{*}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\left\|x^{*}-y_{n}\right\| \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on any bounded sets, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J x_{n}-J y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E$ is uniformly smooth, we know that $E^{*}$ is uniformly convex. In view of Lemma 2.7, we see that, for any $p \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(p, y_{n}\right)= & \phi\left(p, J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}+\alpha_{2} u_{n}+\alpha_{3} w_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \|p\|^{2}-2\left\langle p, \alpha_{0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}+\alpha_{2} u_{n}+\alpha_{3} w_{n}\right\rangle+\left\|\alpha_{0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}+\alpha_{2} u_{n}+\alpha_{3} w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \|p\|^{2}-2\left\langle p, \alpha_{0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}+\alpha_{2} u_{n}+\alpha_{3} w_{n}\right\rangle+\alpha_{0}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \lambda_{1, i}\left\|S_{i} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\alpha_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \lambda_{2, i}\left\|T_{r_{1, i}} x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \lambda_{3, i}\left\|F_{r_{2, i}} x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} \lambda_{1,1} g\left(\left\|J x_{n}-J S_{1} x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \alpha_{0} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \lambda_{1, i} \phi\left(p, S_{i} x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \lambda_{2, i} \phi\left(p, T_{r_{1, i}} x_{n}\right)  \tag{3.18}\\
& +\alpha_{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \lambda_{3, i} \phi\left(p, F_{r_{2, i}} x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} \lambda_{1,1} g\left(\left\|J x_{n}-J S_{1} x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \alpha_{0} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \lambda_{1, i} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \lambda_{2, i} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \lambda_{3, i} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right) \\
& -\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} \lambda_{1,1} g\left(\left\|J x_{n}-J S_{1} x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} \lambda_{1,1} g\left(\left\|J x_{n}-J S_{1} x_{n}\right\|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} \lambda_{1,1} g\left(\left\|J x_{n}-J S_{1} x_{n}\right\|\right) \leq \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p, y_{n}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p, y_{n}\right) & =\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle p, J x_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle  \tag{3.20}\\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\left\|y_{n}\right\|\right)+2\|p\|\left\|J x_{n}-J y_{n}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.16) and (3.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p, y_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.19), (3.21), and $\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} \lambda_{1,1}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left\|J x_{n}-J S_{1} x_{n}\right\|\right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the property of $g$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|J x_{n}-J S_{1} x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $J: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ is demicontinuous, we obtain that $J x_{n} \rightharpoonup J x^{*} \in E^{*}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\|J x_{n}\right\|-\left\|J x^{*}\right\|\left\|=\left|\left\|x_{n}\right\|-\left\|x^{*}\right\|\right| \leq\right\| x_{n}-x^{*} \|\right. \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J x_{n}\right\|=\left\|J x^{*}\right\| \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E^{*}$ enjoys the Kadec-Klee property, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J x_{n}-J x^{*}\right\|=0 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|J S_{1} x_{n}-J x^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|J S_{1} x_{n}-J x_{n}\right\|+\left\|J x_{n}-J x^{*}\right\| \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.23) and (3.26), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J S_{1} x_{n}-J x^{*}\right\|=0 \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $J^{-1}: E^{*} \rightarrow E$ is demicontinuous. It follows that $S_{1} x_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$. On the other hand, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\|S_{1} x_{n}\right\|-\left\|x^{*}\right\|\right|=\left|\left\|J S_{1} x_{n}\right\|-\left\|J x^{*}\right\|\right| \leq\left\|J S_{1} x_{n}-J x^{*}\right\| \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (3.28) we conclude that $\left\|S_{1} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\left\|x^{*}\right\|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $E$ enjoys the Kadec-Klee property, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|S_{1} x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=0 \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By repeating (3.18)-(3.30), we also can get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|S_{i} x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=0, \quad i=2, \ldots, N_{1}  \tag{3.31}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{r_{1, i}} x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, N_{2}  \tag{3.32}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|F_{r_{2, i}} x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, N_{3} \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Since each $S_{i}$ is closed, by (3.30) and (3.31) we conclude that $S_{i} x^{*}=x^{*}$, that is, $x^{*} \in F\left(S_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, N_{1}$. On the other hand, Lemma 2.4, Remark 2.5, and Lemma 2.8 show that $T_{r_{1, i}}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}\right)$ and $F_{r_{2, i}}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{3}\right)$ are closed. So, by (3.32) and (3.33) we have $T_{r_{1, i}} x^{*}=x^{*}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}\right)$ and $F_{r_{2, i}} x^{*}=x^{*}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{3}\right)$. Now, it follows from Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.5 that $F\left(T_{r_{1, i}}\right)=\operatorname{EP}\left(f_{i}\right)\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}\right)$ and $F\left(F_{r_{2, i}}\right)=\operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)$ $\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{3}\right)$. Hence, $x^{*} \in \operatorname{EP}\left(f_{i}\right)\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}\right)$ and $x^{*} \in \operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{3}\right)$. Therefore, $x^{*} \in \mathscr{F}$.

Finally, we prove that $x^{*}=\Pi_{\neq q} x_{1}$. From $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{D_{n}} x_{1}$, by Lemma 2.1, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n+1}-p, J x_{1}-J x_{n+1}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall p \in D_{n} . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{F} \subset D_{n}$ for each $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n+1}-p, J x_{1}-J x_{n+1}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall p \in \mathscr{F} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.35), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{*}-p, J x_{1}-J p\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall p \in \mathscr{F} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Lemma 2.1, we can obtain that $x^{*}=\Pi_{\mathscr{q}} x_{1}$. This completes the proof.
Remark 3.2. Obviously, the proof process of $x^{*} \in\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \mathrm{EP}\left(f_{i}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)\right]$ is simple since we replace the condition (A3) with (A3') which is such that $T_{r_{1, i}}$ and $F_{r_{2, j}}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}, j=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots, N_{3}$ ) are closed. In fact, although the condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) is stronger than (A3), it is not easier to verify the condition (A3) than verify the condition ( $\mathrm{A} 3^{\prime}$ ). Hence, from this point, the condition ( $\mathrm{A} 3^{\prime}$ ) is acceptable. On the other hand, the definition of $D_{n}$ is of some interest.

If $S_{i}=S$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N_{1}, f_{i}=f$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}$ and $A_{i}=A$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N_{3}$, then Theorem 3.1 reduces to the following result.

Corollary 3.3. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space E which has the Kadec-Klee property. Let S:C $\rightarrow$ C be a closed quasi- $\phi$ nonexpansive mapping, $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a bifunction satisfying the conditions $(A 1),(A 2),\left(A 3^{\prime}\right)$, and (A4) and $A: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ a continuous monotone mapping such that $\mathcal{F}=F(S) \cap \mathrm{EP}(f) \cap \mathrm{VI}(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $r_{1}, r_{2} \subset(0, \infty)$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence defined by the following manner:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1} \in C \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{1} J S x_{n}+\alpha_{2} J T_{r_{1}} x_{n}+\alpha_{3} J F_{r_{2}} x_{n}\right), \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C: \phi\left(v, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(v, x_{n}\right)\right\},  \tag{3.37}\\
D_{n}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_{i} \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{D_{n}} x_{1}, \quad n \geq 1
\end{gather*}
$$

where $T_{r_{1}}$ and $F_{r_{2}}$ are defined by (1.11) and (1.12) with $r_{1, i}=r_{1}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}\right)$ and $r_{2, j}=r_{2}(j=$ $\left.1,2, \ldots, N_{3}\right), \alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ are the real numbers in ( 0,1 ) satisfying $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=1$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{\mathcal{F}} x_{1}$, where $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the generalized projection from E onto $\mathcal{F}$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$. Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{1}}: C \rightarrow$ $C$ be a family of closed quasi-nonexpansive mappings, $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{2}}: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a finite family of bifunctions satisfying the conditions (A1)-(A4), and $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{3}}: C \rightarrow H$ a finite family of continuous monotone mappings such that $\mathcal{F}=\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{1}} F\left(S_{i}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \operatorname{EP}\left(f_{i}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)\right] \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{r_{1, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{2}},\left\{r_{2, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \subset$ $(0, \infty)$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by the following manner:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1} \in C \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
z_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \lambda_{1, i} S_{i} x_{n}, \\
u_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \lambda_{2, i} T_{r_{1, i}} x_{n}, \\
w_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \lambda_{3, i} F_{r_{2, i}} x_{n},  \tag{3.38}\\
y_{n}=\left(\alpha_{0} x_{n}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}+\alpha_{2} u_{n}+\alpha_{3} w_{n}\right), \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\|v-y_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|v-x_{n}\right\|\right\}, \\
D_{n}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_{i,} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{D_{n}} x_{1}, \quad n \geq 1,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left\{T_{r_{1, i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{2}}$ and $\left\{F_{r_{1, i}, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{3}}$ are defined by (1.11) and (1.12) with $J=I$ ( $I$ is the identity mapping), $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ are the real numbers in $(0,1)$ satisfying $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=1$ and for each $j=1,2,3$, $\lambda_{j, 1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j, N_{j}}$ are the real numbers in $(0,1)$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{N_{j}} \lambda_{j, i}=1$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{\boldsymbol{7}} x_{1}$, where $P_{\boldsymbol{7}}$ is the projection from $H$ onto $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|S_{i} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0, & i=1,2, \ldots, N_{1} \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{r_{1, i}} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0, & i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}  \tag{3.39}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|F_{r_{2, i}} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0, & i=1,2, \ldots, N_{3} .
\end{array}
$$

Since each $S_{i}$ is closed, we can conclude that $x^{*} \in F\left(S_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, N_{1}$. Note that in a Hilbert space, a firmly-nonexpansive mapping is also nonexpansive. Hence, $T_{r_{1}, i}$ and $F_{r_{2, j}}$ are nonexpansive for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, N_{3}$. By demiclosed principle, we can conclude that $x^{*} \in F\left(T_{r 1, i}\right)=\operatorname{EP}\left(f_{i}\right)$ and $x^{*} \in F\left(F_{r 2, i}\right)=\operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{j}\right)$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, N_{3}$. That is, $x^{*} \in \mathcal{F}$. Then by the final part of proof of Theorem 3.1, we have $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}=P_{\mp} x_{1}$. This completes the proof.

Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $C$ a nonempty closed and convex subset of $H$. A mapping $T: C \rightarrow H$ is called a pseudocontraction if for all $x, y \in C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T y\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}+\|(I-T) x-(I-T) y\|^{2} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle(I-T) x-(I-T) y, x-y\rangle \geq 0 \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A=I-T$, where $T: C \rightarrow H$ is a pseudocontraction. Then $A$ is a monotone mapping and $A^{-1}(0)=F(T)$. Moreover, $F(T)=\mathrm{VI}(C, A)$. Indeed, it is easy to see that $F(T) \subset$ $\mathrm{VI}(C, A)$. Let $u \in \mathrm{VI}(C, A)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle v-u, A u\rangle \geq 0, \quad \text { i.e., }\langle v-u,(I-T) u\rangle \geq 0 \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in C$. Take $v=T u$. Then we have $\langle T u-u,(I-T) u\rangle \geq 0$. That is, $-\|u-T u\|^{2} \geq 0$. This shows that $u=T u$, which implies that $\operatorname{VI}(C, A) \subset F(T)$. So, $F(T)=\mathrm{VI}(C, A)$. Based this, we have following result.

Corollary 3.5. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space H. Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{1}}$ : $C \rightarrow C$ be a family of closed quasi-nonexpansive mappings, $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{2}}: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a finite family of bifunctions satisfying the conditions (A1)-(A4), and $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{3}}: C \rightarrow H$ a finite family of continuous pseudocontractions such that $\mathcal{F}=\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{1}} F\left(S_{i}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \operatorname{EP}\left(f_{i}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{N_{3}} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right] \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{r_{1, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{2}},\left\{r_{2, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \subset(0, \infty)$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by the following manner:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1} \in C \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
z_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \lambda_{1, i} S_{i} x_{n}, \\
u_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \lambda_{2, i} T_{r_{1, i}} x_{n}, \\
w_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{3}} \lambda_{3, i} F_{r_{2, i}} x_{n},  \tag{3.43}\\
y_{n}=\left(\alpha_{0} x_{n}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}+\alpha_{2} u_{n}+\alpha_{3} w_{n}\right), \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\|v-y_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|v-x_{n}\right\|\right\}, \\
D_{n}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{D_{n}} x_{1}, \quad n \geq 1,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left\{T_{r_{1, i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{2}}$ are defined by (1.11) with $J=I$ and $F_{r_{2, i}}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{r_{2, i}}(x)=\left\{z \in C:\left\langle y-x,\left(I-T_{i}\right) x\right\rangle+\frac{1}{r_{2, i}}\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0 \forall y \in C\right\}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N_{3} \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ are the real numbers in $(0,1)$ satisfying $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=1$ and for each $j=1,2,3$, $\lambda_{j, 1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j, N_{j}}$ are the real numbers in $(0,1)$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{N_{j}} \lambda_{j, i}=1$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{\boldsymbol{f}} x_{1}$, where $P_{\mathbb{F}}$ is the projection from $H$ onto $\mathcal{F}$.

If $S_{i}=S, f_{j}=f$, and $T_{k}=T$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N_{1}, j=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}$, and $k=1,2, \ldots, N_{3}$, then Corollary 3.5 reduced the following result.

Corollary 3.6. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$. Let $S: C \rightarrow C$ be a closed quasi-nonexpansive mapping, $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a bifunction satisfying the conditions (A1)(A4), and $T: C \rightarrow H$ a continuous pseudocontraction such that $\mathcal{F}=F(S) \cap E P(f)] \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $r_{1}, r_{2} \subset(0, \infty)$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by the following manner:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1} \in C \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} x_{n}+\alpha_{1} S x_{n}+\alpha_{2} T_{r_{1}} x_{n}+\alpha_{3} F_{r_{2}} x_{n}\right), \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\|v-y_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|v-x_{n}\right\|\right\}  \tag{3.45}\\
D_{n}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_{i} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{D_{n}} x_{1}, \quad n \geq 1
\end{gather*}
$$

where $T_{r_{1}}$ is defined by (1.11) with $J=I$ and $r_{1, i}=r_{1}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, N_{2}\right), F_{r_{2}}$ is defined by (3.44) $r_{2, j}=$ $r_{2}\left(j=1,2, \ldots, N_{3}\right)$, and $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ are the real numbers in $(0,1)$ satisfying $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=1$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{\neq 7} x_{1}$, where $P_{\neq}$is the projection from $H$ onto $\mathscr{F}$.
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