

ESTIMATES FOR THE CAUCHY MATRIX OF PERTURBED LINEAR IMPULSIVE EQUATION

P. S. SIMEONOV and D. D. BAINOV

P.O. Box 45
1504 Sofia, Bulgaria

(Received March 24, 1992)

ABSTRACT. Estimates for the Cauchy matrix of a perturbed linear impulsive equation are obtained for given estimates for the Cauchy matrix of the corresponding unperturbed linear impulsive equation.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Cauchy matrix and perturbed linear impulsive equations.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 34A39.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Consider the linear impulsive equation

$$\begin{aligned}x' &= A(t)x, & t \neq \tau_k, \\ \Delta x &= A_k x, & t = \tau_k,\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where t belongs to the interval $J \subset \mathbf{R}: \tau_k < \tau_{k+1}$ ($k \in \mathbf{Z}$); the sequence $\{\tau_k\}$ has no finite accumulation point; $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $A_k \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$. Suppose that $A(t)$ belongs to the space $PC(J, \mathbf{R}^{n \times n})$, i.e. $A(t)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix-valued function which is continuous for $t \in J$, $t \neq \tau_k$, and at the points $\tau_k \in J$ it has discontinuities of the first kind and is continuous from the left. We recall [1] that the solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) for $t \in J$, $t \neq \tau_k$ satisfies the equation

$$x' = A(t)x \text{ and for } t = \tau_k$$

the conditions

$$x(\tau_k^-) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{t \rightarrow \tau_k^-} x(t) = x(\tau_k), \quad x(\tau_k^+) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{t \rightarrow \tau_k^+} x(t) = x(\tau_k) + \Delta x(\tau_k) = x(\tau_k) + A_k x(\tau_k).$$

Let $|x|$ be a norm of the vector $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $|A| = \sup\{|Ax| : |x| = 1\}$ be the corresponding norm of the matrix $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$. Let the Cauchy matrix $W(t, s)$ of (1.1) satisfy an estimate of the form

$$|W(t, s)| \leq \varphi(t)\psi(s) \quad (s, t \in J, s \leq t),\tag{1.2}$$

where the functions $\varphi, \psi: J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ continuous and positive.

Based on estimate (1.2), we shall seek for various estimates for the Cauchy matrix $Q(t, s)$ of the perturbed linear equation

$$\begin{aligned}y' &= [A(t) + B(t)]y, & t \neq \tau_k, \\ \Delta y &= [A_k + B_k]y, & t = \tau_k,\end{aligned}\tag{1.3}$$

where $B(t) \in PC(J, \mathbf{R}^{n \times n})$ and $B_k \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$.

We shall use the following lemma:

LEMMA 1.1 [2]. Let the function $u \in PC(J, \mathbf{R}_+)$ satisfy the inequality

$$u(t) \leq c + \int_s^t p(\tau)u(\tau)d\tau + \sum_{s \leq \tau_k < t} p_k u(\tau_k) \quad (s, t \in J, s \leq t),$$

where $c \geq 0$ and $p_k \geq 0$ are constants and $p(\tau) \in PC(J, \mathbf{R}_+)$.

Then

$$u(t) \leq c \prod_{s \leq \tau_k < t} (1 + p_k) \exp \left[\int_s^t p(\tau)d\tau \right] \quad (s, t \in J, s \leq t).$$

2. MAIN RESULTS.

Recall [1] that if $U_k(t, s)$ is the Cauchy matrix for the equation

$$x' = A(t)x \quad (\tau_{k-1} < t \leq \tau_k),$$

then the Cauchy matrix for equation (1.1) is

$$W(t, s) = \begin{cases} U_k(t, s) & (s, t \in (\tau_{k-1}, \tau_k]), \\ U_{k+1}(t, \tau_k^+) (E + A_k) U_k(\tau_k, s) & (\tau_{k-1} < s \leq \tau_k < t \leq \tau_{k+1}), \\ U_{k+1}(t, \tau_k^+) \prod_{j=k}^{i+1} (E + A_j) U_j(\tau_j, \tau_{j-1}^+) (E + A_i) U_i(\tau_i, s) & (\tau_{i-1} < s \leq \tau_i < \tau_k < t \leq \tau_{k+1}). \end{cases}$$

Then an arbitrary solution $y(t)$ of (1.3) satisfies the integro-summary equation

$$y(t) = W(t, s)y(s) + \int_s^t W(t, \tau)B(\tau)y(\tau)d\tau + \sum_{s \leq \tau_k < t} W(t, \tau_k^+) B_k y(\tau_k). \quad (2.1)$$

From (2.1) and (1.2) it follows that

$$|y(t)| \leq \varphi(t)\psi(s) |y(s)| + \int_s^t \varphi(t)\psi(\tau) |B(\tau)| |y(\tau)| d\tau + \sum_{s \leq \tau_k < t} \varphi(t)\psi(\tau_k) |B_k| |y(\tau_k)|.$$

The the function $u(t) = |y(t)| / \varphi(t)$ satisfies the inequality

$$u(t) \leq \psi(s) |y(s)| + \int_s^t \varphi(\tau)\psi(\tau) |B(\tau)| u(\tau)d\tau + \sum_{s \leq \tau_k < t} \varphi(\tau_k)\psi(\tau_k) |B_k| u(\tau_k).$$

We apply Lemma 1.1 and obtain the estimate

$$|y(t)| \leq |y(s)| M(t, s), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$M(t, s) = \varphi(t)\psi(s) \prod_{s \leq \tau_k < t} (1 + \varphi(\tau_k)\psi(\tau_k) |B_k|) \exp \left(\int_s^t \varphi(\tau)\psi(\tau) |B(\tau)| d\tau \right). \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.2) and the equality $y(t) = Q(t, s)y(s)$ there follow immediately the subsequent assertions:

THEOREM 2.1. Let the Cauchy matrix $W(t, s)$ of equation (1.1) satisfy estimate (1.2).

Then the Cauchy matrix $Q(t, s)$ of equation (1.3) satisfies the estimate

$$|Q(t, s)| \leq M(t, s) \quad (s, t \in J, s \leq t),$$

where $M(t, s)$ is given by (2.3)

COROLLARY 2.1. If

$$|W(t, s)| \leq Ke^{\alpha(t-s)} \quad (s, t \in J, s \leq t), \tag{2.4}$$

where $K > 1$ and α are constants, then

$$|Q(t, s)| \leq Ke^{\alpha(t-s)} \prod_{s \leq \tau_k < t} (1 + K|B_k|) \exp \left[\int_s^t K|B(\tau)| d\tau \right] \quad (s, t \in J, s \leq t). \tag{2.5}$$

COROLLARY 2.2. If in the interval $J = \mathbf{R}_+$ estimate (2.4) is valid and there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathbf{R}_+} |B(\tau)| \leq \delta, \quad \sup_{\tau_k \in \mathbf{R}_+} |B_k| \leq \delta, \tag{2.6}$$

then

$$|Q(t, s)| \leq Ke^{\alpha(t-s)} e^{K\delta(t-s) + \ell n(1 + K\delta)i[s, t]}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $i[s, t]$ is the number of points τ_k lying in the interval $[s, t]$.

Moreover, if there exist constants $q \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that

$$i[s, t] \leq q(t-s) + \varepsilon, \tag{2.8}$$

then

$$|Q(t, s)| \leq K(1 + K\delta)^\varepsilon \exp\{[\alpha + K\delta + q\ell n(1 + K\delta)](t-s)\} \quad (c \leq s \leq t). \tag{2.9}$$

Taking into account that $\prod_{s \leq \tau_k < t} (1 + K|B_k|) \leq \exp \sum_{s \leq \tau_k < t} K|B_k|$, we obtain

COROLLARY 2.3. In the interval $J = \mathbf{R}_+$ let estimate (2.4) be valid and let a constant $M > 0$ exist such that

$$\int_0^\infty |B(\tau)| d\tau + \sum_{\tau_k \geq 0} |B_k| \leq M. \tag{2.10}$$

Then

$$|Q(t, s)| \leq Ke^{KM} \cdot e^{\alpha(t-s)} \quad (0 \leq s \leq t). \tag{2.11}$$

REMARK 1. If equation (1.1) is uniformly asymptotically stable, i.e., estimate (2.4) is valid with $\alpha < 0$, then under perturbations for which (2.6) is satisfied with δ small enough equation (1.3) is also uniformly asymptotically stable.

If equation (1.1) is uniformly stable, i.e., $\alpha = 0$ in (2.4) and condition (2.10) is valid, then equation (1.3) is also uniformly stable.

The goal of the following considerations is to obtain estimates for $Q(t, s)$ in which instead of the integral and the sum of the norms of $B(\tau)$ and B_k the norm of the following function should enter

$$D(s) = \int_s^t B(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{s \leq \tau_k < t} B_k \quad (s, t \in J, s \leq t).$$

We shall note that $D(s)$ is continuous for $s \neq \tau_k$, $D(t^-) = 0$ and $D(\tau_k^-) = D(\tau_k) = D(\tau_k^+) + B_k$. Let $y(t)$ be an arbitrary solution of (1.3). From (2.1), taking into account that

$$W(t, t^-) - W(t, s) = \int_s^t \frac{\partial W}{\partial \tau}(t, \tau) D(\tau) y(\tau) d\tau + \int_s^t W(t, s) D'(\tau) y(\tau) d\tau + \int_s^t W(t, s) D(\tau) y'(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{s \leq \tau_k < t} [W(t, \tau_k^+) D(\tau_k^+) y(\tau_k^+) - W(t, \tau_k^-) D(\tau_k^-) y(\tau_k^-)];$$

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t}(t, \tau) = -W(t, \tau) A(\tau), \quad y'(\tau) = [A(\tau) + B(\tau)] y(\tau)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &W(t, \tau_k^+) D(\tau_k^+) y(\tau_k^+) - W(t, \tau_k^-) D(\tau_k^-) y(\tau_k^-) + W(t, \tau_k^+) B_k y(\tau_k) \\ &= W(t, \tau_k^+) [D(\tau_k^+) (E + A_k + B_k) - (E + A_k) D(\tau_k^-) + B_k] y(\tau_k) \\ &= W(t, \tau_k^+) [D(\tau_k^+) (A_k + B_k) - A_k D(\tau_k^-)] y(\tau_k) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) = &W(t, s) [E + D(s)] y(s) + \int_s^t W(t, s) [D(\tau) (A(\tau) + B(\tau)) - A(\tau) D(\tau)] y(\tau) d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{s \leq \tau_k < t} W(t, \tau_k^+) [D(\tau_k^+) (A_k + B_k) - A_k D(\tau_k^-)] y(\tau_k). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

If $W(t, s)$ satisfies estimate (1.2) and there exist constants $M \geq 0$, $m \geq 0$ and $\eta \geq 0$ such that

$$|A(t)| \leq M, |B(t)| \leq M, |A_k| \leq m, |B_k| \leq m \quad (t, \tau_k \in J) \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\left| \int_s^t B(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{s \leq \tau_k < t} B_k \right| \leq \eta \quad (s \leq t), \tag{2.14}$$

then from (2.12) we obtain that

$$|y(t)| \leq \varphi(t) \psi(s) (1 + \eta) |y(s)| + \int_s^t \varphi(t) \psi(\tau) \cdot 3M\eta |y(\tau)| d\tau + \sum_{s \leq \tau_k < t} \varphi(t) \psi(\tau_k) \cdot 3m\eta |y(\tau_k)|$$

and by Lemma 1.1 we obtain that

$$|y(t)| \leq |y(s)| N(t, s) \quad (s, t \in J, s \leq t), \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$N(t, s) = (1 + \eta) \varphi(t) \psi(s) \prod_{s \leq \tau_k < t} (1 + 3m\eta \varphi(\tau_k) \psi(\tau_k)) \exp\left(\int_s^t 3M\eta \varphi(\tau) \psi(\tau) d\tau \right). \tag{2.16}$$

From the estimate (2.15) obtained there follows immediately.

THEOREM 2.2. Let the Cauchy matrix $W(t, s)$ of equation (1.1) satisfy estimate (1.2) and let conditions (2.13) and (2.14) hold.

Then the Cauchy matrix $Q(t, s)$ of equation (1.3) satisfies the estimate

$$|Q(t, s)| \leq N(t, s) \quad (s, t \in J, s \leq t),$$

where $N(t, s)$ is given by (2.16).

COROLLARY 2.4. If $|W(t, s)| \leq Ke^{\alpha(t-s)}$ $(s, t \in J, s \leq t)$, then

$$|Q(t, s)| \leq (1 + \eta) Ke^{\alpha(t-s)} \cdot e^{3KM\eta(t-s) + \ell n(1 + 3K m \eta)} |s, t| \tag{2.17}$$

for $s, t \in J, s \leq t$.

Moreover, if condition (2.8) holds, then

$$|Q(t, s)| \leq (1 + \eta)(1 + 3Km\eta)^{\epsilon} K e^{[\alpha + 3KM\eta + q\ell n(1 + 3Km\eta)](t - s)} \tag{2.18}$$

for $s, t \in J, s \leq t$.

COROLLARY 2.5. In the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 let condition (2.14) be replaced by the more general condition

$$\left| \int_s^t B(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{s \leq \tau_k < t} B_k \right| \leq \eta \quad (s, t \in J, s \leq t \leq s + h), \tag{2.19}$$

where $h > 0$ is a constant. Then $Q(t, s)$ satisfies the estimate

$$|Q(t, s)| \leq K(1 + \eta) \exp\{[\alpha + 3KM\eta + \frac{1}{h}\ell n(K + K\eta)](t - s) + \ell n(1 + 3Km\eta)i[s, t]\} \tag{2.20}$$

for $s, t \in J, s \leq t$.

Indeed, estimate (2.20) follows immediately from (2.17) and the fact that the estimate

$$|y(t)| \leq |y(s)| L \exp[\gamma(t - s) + ri[s, t]] \quad (s \leq t \leq s + h)$$

implies

$$|y(t)| \leq |y(s)| L \exp[\gamma + \frac{1}{h}\ell nL](t - s) + ri[s, t] \quad (s \leq t).$$

REMARK 2. In some cases estimate (2.17) is better than estimate (2.7).

EXAMPLE 1. Let equations (1.1) and (1.3) be scalar and $A(t) = -1$,

$$B(t) = \sin \omega t, \quad A_k = 1, \quad B_k = (-1)^k b, \quad 0 \leq b \leq 1, \quad \tau_k = \kappa = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

Then

$$|W(t, s)| = e^{-(t - s) + \ell n 2i[s, t]} \leq K e^{\alpha(t - s)} \quad (0 \leq s \leq t),$$

where $K = 2, \alpha = -1 + \ell n 2$. In the notation introduced

$$\delta = 1, \quad M = 1, \quad m = 1, \quad \left| \int_s^t B(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{s \leq \tau_k < t} B_k \right| \leq \frac{2}{\omega} + b = \eta.$$

Then $Q(t, s)$ is estimated:

(i) by estimate (2.7)

$$|Q(t, s)| \leq K e^{\alpha(t - s)} \cdot e^{2(t - s) + \ell n(1 + 2)i[s, t]} \tag{2.21}$$

(ii) by estimate (2.17)

$$|Q(t, s)| \leq (1 + \eta) K e^{\alpha(t - s)} \cdot e^{6\eta(t - s) + \ell n(1 + 6\eta)i[s, t]} \tag{2.22}$$

Estimate (2.22) is better than estimate (2.21) if $6\eta < 2$, i.e., if $\frac{2}{\omega} + b < \frac{1}{3}$ which is fulfilled for large ω and small b .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The present investigation is supported by the Bulgarian Ministry of Science and Higher Education under Grant MM-7.

REFERENCES

1. BAINOV, D.D. & SIMEONOV, P.S., Systems with Impulse Effect: Stability, Theory and Applications, Ellis Horwood Limited, (1989).
2. SAMOILENKO, A.M. & PERESTYUK, N.A., Stability of the solutions of differential equations with impulse effect, Differ. Eqns. 13 (11) (1981-1992)(in Russian).