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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be the class of functions analytic in $\Delta:=\{z:|z|<1\}$, and $\mathscr{H}[a, n]$ be the subclass of $\mathscr{H}$ consisting of functions of the form $f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots$. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the subclass of $\mathscr{H}$ consisting of functions of the form $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$. With a view to recalling the principle of subordination between analytic functions, let the functions $f$ and $g$ be analytic in $\Delta$. Then we say that the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$ if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega(z)$, analytic in $\Delta$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(0)=0, \quad|\omega(z)|<1 \quad(z \in \Delta) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=g(\omega(z)) \quad(z \in \Delta) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote this subordination by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \prec g \quad \text { or } \quad f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \Delta) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\Delta$, the above subordination is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=g(0), \quad f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p, h \in \mathscr{H}$ and let $\phi(r, s, t ; z): \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If $p$ and $\phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)$ are univalent and if $p$ satisfies the second-order superordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec \phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p$ is a solution of the differential superordination (1.5). (If $f$ is subordinate to $F$, then $F$ is superordinate to $f$.) An analytic function $q$ is called a subordinant if $q \prec p$ for all $p$ satisfying (1.5). A univalent subordinant $\tilde{q}$ that satisfies $q \prec \tilde{q}$ for all subordinants $q$ of (1.5) is said to be the best subordinant. Recently Miller and Mocanu [5] obtained conditions on $h, q$, and $\phi$ for which the following implication holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec \phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \Longrightarrow q(z) \prec p(z) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the results of Miller and Mocanu [5], Bulboacă [3] considered certain classes of first-order differential superordinations as well as superordination-preserving integral operators [2]. Ali et al. [1] have used the results of Bulboacă [3] and obtained sufficient conditions for certain normalized analytic functions $f(z)$ to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_{2}(z), \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are given univalent functions in $\Delta$ with $q_{1}(0)=1$ and $q_{2}(0)=1$. Shanmugam et al. [8] obtained sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions $f(z)$ to satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{1}(z) & \frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)} \prec q_{2}(z), \\
q_{1}(z) & \prec \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{\{f(z)\}^{2}} \prec q_{2}(z), \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are given univalent functions in $\Delta$ with $q_{1}(0)=1$ and $q_{2}(0)=1$, while Obradović and Owa [7] obtained subordination results with the quantity $(f(z) / z)^{\mu}$ (see also [10]).

Obradović [6] introduced a class of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ such that for $0<\alpha<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

He called this class of function "non-Bazilevič" type. Tuneski and Darus [11] obtained Fekete-Szegö inequality for the non-Bazilevič class of functions. Using this non-Bazilevič class, Wang et al. [12] studied many subordination results for the class $N(\alpha, \lambda, A, B)$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\alpha, \lambda, A, B):=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}:(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right\} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C},-1 \leq B \leq 1, A \neq B, 0<\alpha<1$.

The main object of the present sequel to the aforementioned works is to apply a method based on the differential subordination in order to derive several subordination results. Furthermore, we obtain the previous results of Srivastava and Lashin [10], Singh [9] and Obradović and Owa [7] as special cases of some of the results presented here.

## 2. Preliminaries

In our present investigation, we will need the following definition and results.
Definition 2.1 (see [5, Definition 2, page 817]). Denote by $Q$ the set of all functions $f(z)$ that are analytic and injective on $\bar{\Delta}-E(f)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(f)=\left\{\zeta \in \partial \Delta: \lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z)=\infty\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are such that $f^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial \Delta-E(f)$.

Theorem 2.2 (see [4, Theorem 3.4h, page 132]). Let $q(z)$ be univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$ and let $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\Delta)$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\Delta)$. Set $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)), h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)$. Suppose that
(1) $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $\Delta$;
(2) $\mathfrak{R}\left(z h^{\prime}(z)\right) / Q(z)>0$ for $z \in \Delta$.

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 2.3 (see [8]). Let $q$ be a convex univalent function in $\Delta$ and let $\psi, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathfrak{R}(1+$ $\left.\left(z q^{\prime \prime}(z) / q^{\prime}(z)\right)\right)>\max \{0,-\mathfrak{R}(\psi / \gamma)\}$. If $p(z)$ is analytic in $\Delta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi p(z)+\gamma z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \psi q(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 2.4 (see [4, Corollary 3.4h.1, page 135]). Let $q(z)$ be univalent in $\Delta$ and let $\varphi(z)$ be analytic in a domain containing $q(\Delta)$. If $z q^{\prime}(z) / \varphi(q(z))$ is starlike, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z)) \prec z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Theorem 2.5 (see [3]). Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$ and let 9 and $\varphi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\Delta)$. Suppose that
(1) $\mathfrak{R}\left[\vartheta^{\prime}(q(z)) / \varphi(q(z))\right]>0$ for $z \in \Delta$;
(2) $z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in $\Delta$.

If $p(z) \in \mathscr{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with $p(\Delta) \subseteq D$, and $\mathcal{\vartheta}(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z))$ is univalent in $\Delta$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)) \prec \vartheta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z)) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and $q$ is the best subordinant.
Lemma 2.6 (see [5, Theorem 8, page 822]). Let q be convex univalent in $\Delta$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Further assume that $\mathfrak{R}[\bar{\gamma}]>0$. If $p(z) \in \mathscr{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, and $p(z)+\gamma z p^{\prime}(z)$ is univalent in $\Delta$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z) \prec p(z)+\gamma z p^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and $q$ is the best subordinant.

## 3. Subordination for analytic functions

By using Lemma 2.3, we first prove the following.
Theorem 3.1. Let $q$ be univalent in $\Delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $0<\alpha<1$. Suppose $q$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\max \left\{0,-\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}\right\}\right\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies the subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha} \prec q(z)+\frac{\lambda z q^{\prime}(z)}{\alpha} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha} \prec q(z) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z):=\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha} \text {. } \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\alpha\left[1-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, in light of hypothesis (3.2) of Theorem 3.1, yields the following subordination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\frac{\lambda z p^{\prime}(z)}{\alpha} \prec q(z)+\frac{\lambda z q^{\prime}(z)}{\alpha} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assertion of Theorem 3.1 now follows by an application of Lemma 2.3 with $\gamma=\lambda / \alpha$ and $\psi=1$.

Taking $q(z)=(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary. Corollary 3.2. Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ and (3.1) hold. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha} \prec \frac{\lambda(A-B) z}{\alpha(1+B z)^{2}}+\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ is the best dominant.
Theorem 3.1 for the choice of $q(z)=(1+z) /(1-z)$ reduces to the following. Corollary 3.3. Let (3.1) hold. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha} \prec \frac{2 \lambda z}{\alpha(1-z)^{2}}+\frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(1+z) /(1-z)$ is the best dominant.
Theorem 3.4. Let $q$ be univalent in $\Delta, \gamma, \mu \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$, and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$. Suppose $q$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left\{1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right\}>0 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\gamma \mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\} \prec 1+\gamma \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu} \prec q(z) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z):=\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu}, \quad z \neq 0 . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\}=\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(\omega):=1, \quad \phi(\omega):=\frac{\gamma}{\omega}, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

it can be easily observed that $\theta(\omega)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}, \phi(\omega)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\omega) \neq 0 \quad(\omega \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we let

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=\gamma \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}, \\
h(z)=\theta\{q(z)\}+Q(z)=1+\gamma \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} . \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.11), we find that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $\Delta$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)=\mathfrak{R}\left\{1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the hypothesis (3.11) of Theorem 3.4. Thus, by applying Theorem 2.2, our proof of Theorem 3.4 is completed.

For a special case when $q(z)=1 /(1-z)^{2 b}(b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}), \beta=0, \gamma=1 / b$, and $\mu=1$, Theorem 3.4 reduces at once to the following known result obtained by Srivastava and Lashin [10].
Corollary 3.5. Let b be a nonzero complex number. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{b}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right] \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2 b}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $1 /(1-z)^{2 b}$ is the best dominant.
For a special case when $q(z)=1 /(1-z)^{2 b}(b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}), \beta=1, \gamma=1 / b$, and $\mu=1$ Theorem 3.4 reduces at once to another known result obtained by Srivastava and Lashin [10].

Corollary 3.6. Let b be a nonzero complex number. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z) \prec \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2 b}} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $1 /(1-z)^{2 b}$ is the best dominant.
For $q(z)=(1+B z)^{\mu(A-B) / B}, \gamma=1 / \mu$, and $\beta=0$ in Theorem 3.4, we get the following known result obtained by Obradović and Owa [7].

Corollary 3.7. Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \prec(1+B z)^{\mu(A-B) / B} \quad(z \in \Delta ; z \neq 0 ; \mu \in \mathbb{C} ; \mu \neq 0) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(1+B z)^{\mu(A-B) / B}$ is the best dominant.
We remark here that $q(z)=(1+B z)^{\mu(A-B) / B}$ is univalent if and only if $\mid(\mu(A-B) / B)-$ $1 \mid \leq 1$ or $|(\mu(A-B) / B)+1| \leq 1$.

For $q(z)=e^{\mu A z}, \gamma=1 / \mu$, and $\beta=0$ in Theorem 3.4, we get the following known result obtained by Obradović and Owa [7].

Corollary 3.8. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+A z \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \prec e^{\mu A z} \quad(z \in \Delta ; z \neq 0 ; \mu \in \mathbb{C} ; \mu \neq 0) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $e^{\mu A z}$ is the best dominant.
Similar to the previous corollary, the function $q(z)=e^{\mu A z}$ is univalent if and only if $|\mu A|<\pi$.

Theorem 3.9. Let $q$ be univalent in $\Delta, \gamma \neq 0, \delta, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$. Suppose q satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}+1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also $\mathfrak{R}(\alpha / \gamma)>0$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(z):=\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu}\left\{\alpha+\gamma \mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\}\right\}+\delta \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(z) \prec \alpha q(z)+\delta+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu} \prec q(z) \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z):=\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\}=\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu p(z)\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\}=z p^{\prime}(z) . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(\omega):=\alpha \omega+\delta, \quad \phi(\omega):=\gamma \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

it can be easily observed that $\theta(\omega)$ and $\phi(\omega)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C}$. Also, we let

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=\gamma z q^{\prime}(z),  \tag{3.36}\\
h(z)=\theta\{q(z)\}+Q(z)=\alpha q(z)+\delta+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z) .
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.28), we find that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $\Delta$, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)=\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}+1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the hypothesis (3.28) of Theorem 3.9. Thus, by applying Theorem 2.2, our proof of Theorem 3.9 is completed.

For $\beta=1, \delta=-\alpha, \gamma=1$, we get the following corollary.
Corollary 3.10. Let q be univalent in $\Delta$. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$ and $1+\alpha>0$. Suppose $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left\{\alpha+1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left\{\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\mu}-1\right\}+\mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\mu}\right\} \prec \alpha q(z)-\alpha+z q^{\prime}(z) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[f^{\prime}(z)\right]^{\mu} \prec q(z) \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Taking $q(z)=1+\lambda /(1+\alpha) z$, we obtain a recent result of Singh [9, Theorem 1(ii), page 571].

## 4. Superordination for analytic functions

Theorem 4.1. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $0<\alpha<1$. Suppose $q$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\{\lambda\}>0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(z / f(z))^{\alpha} \in \mathscr{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be univalent in $\Delta$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)+\frac{\lambda z q^{\prime}(z)}{\alpha} \prec(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z) \prec\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant.
Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z):=\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha} \text {. } \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\frac{\lambda}{\alpha} z p^{\prime}(z)=(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.1 follows as an application of Lemma 2.6.
Taking $q(z)=(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ in Theorem 4.1, we get the following corollary.
Corollary 4.2. Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$. Suppose $q$ satisfies $\mathfrak{R}(\lambda)>0$ and $(z / f(z))^{\alpha} \in \mathscr{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

be univalent in $\Delta$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda(A-B) z}{\alpha(1+B z)^{2}}+\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \prec(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha}, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \prec\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ is the best subordinant.
Since the proof of Theorem 5.2 is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we state the theorem without proof.

Theorem 4.3. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, and $f \in \mathscr{A}$. Suppose $[((1-$ $\left.\left.\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)\right) / z\right]^{\mu} \in \mathscr{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\gamma \mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is univalent in $\Delta$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\gamma \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} \prec 1+\gamma \mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z) \prec\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant.
Theorem 4.4. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta, \gamma \neq 0, \delta, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$. Suppose q satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} q^{\prime}(z)\right\}>0 . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If
$\alpha q(z)+\delta+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z) \prec\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu}\left\{\alpha+\gamma \mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\}\right\}+\delta$,
then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z) \prec\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant.
Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z):=\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\}=\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu p(z)\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\}=z p^{\prime}(z) . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta(\omega):=\alpha \omega+\delta, \quad \phi(\omega):=\gamma, \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

it can be easily observed that both $\theta(\omega)$ and $\phi(\omega)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C}$. Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{\vartheta^{\prime}(q(z))}{\varphi(q(z))}\right)=\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{\alpha q^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma}\right\}>0, \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the hypothesis (4.13) of Theorem 4.4. Thus, by applying Theorem 2.5, our proof of Theorem 4.4 is completed.

## 5. Sandwich results

Combining the results of differential subordination and superordination, we state the following "sandwich results."

Theorem 5.1. Let $q_{1}$ be convex univalent and let $q_{2}$ be univalent in $\Delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $0<$ $\alpha<1$. Suppose $q_{1}$ satisfies (4.1) and $q_{2}$ satisfies (3.1). If $0 \neq(z / f(z))^{\alpha} \in \mathscr{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, $(1+\lambda)(z / f(z))^{\alpha}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)(z / f(z))^{1+\alpha}$ is univalent in $\Delta$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(z)+\frac{\lambda}{\alpha} z q_{1}^{\prime}(z) \prec(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha} \prec q_{2}(z)+\frac{\lambda}{\alpha} z q_{2}^{\prime}(z), \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(z) \prec\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha} \prec q_{2}(z) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and best dominant.
Theorem 5.2. Let $q_{1}$ be convex univalent and let $q_{2}$ be univalent in $\Delta, \gamma \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}, \mu \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, and $q_{2}$ satisfies (3.11). Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$. Suppose $0 \neq\left[\left((1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z) / z\right)\right]^{\mu} \in$ $\mathscr{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\gamma \mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is univalent in $\Delta$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\gamma \frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{1}(z)} \prec 1+\gamma \mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\} \prec 1+\gamma \frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{2}(z)}, \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(z) \prec\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu} \prec q_{2}(z) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.
Theorem 5.3. Let $q_{1}$ be convex univalent and let $q_{2}$ be univalent in $\Delta, \gamma \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}, \mu \neq$ $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Suppose $q_{1}$ satisfies (4.13), $q_{2}$ satisfies (3.28), and $[((1-\beta) f(z)+$ $\left.\left.\beta z f^{\prime}(z)\right) / z\right]^{\mu} \in \mathscr{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu}\left\{\alpha+\gamma \mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\}\right\}+\delta \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

be univalent in $\Delta$. If

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha q_{1}(z) & +\delta+\gamma z q_{1}^{\prime}(z) \\
& \prec\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu}\left\{\alpha+\gamma \mu\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right\}\right\}+\delta  \tag{5.7}\\
& \prec \alpha q_{2}(z)+\delta+\gamma z q_{2}^{\prime}(z),
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(z) \prec\left[\frac{(1-\beta) f(z)+\beta z f^{\prime}(z)}{z}\right]^{\mu} \prec q_{2}(z) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.
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