# COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN MENGER SPACES

### CHI-MING CHEN AND TONG-HUEI CHANG

Received 27 July 2005; Revised 3 May 2006; Accepted 7 May 2006

We proved two common fixed point theorems for four self-mappings and two set-valued mappings with  $\phi$ -contractive condition in a Menger space.

Copyright © 2006 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Probabilistic metric space was first introduced by Menger [6]. Later, there are many authors who have some detailed discussions and applications of a probabilistic metric space, for example, we may see Schweizer and Sklar [8]. Besides, there are many results about fixed point theorems in a probabilistic metric space with contractive types having appeared; we may see the papers [1–3, 9–12].

In this paper, we will prove two common fixed point theorems for four self-mappings and two set-valued mappings with  $\phi$ -contractive condition in a Menger space, which generalize some results of Dedeić and Sarapa [4, 5], and Sehgal and Bharucha-Reid [9].

A mapping  $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be a distribution if it is nondecreasing left continuous with  $\inf \{F(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = 0$  and  $\sup \{F(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = 1$ .

We will denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  the set of all distribution functions while *G* will always denote the specific distribution function defined by

$$G(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \le 0, \\ 1, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

A probabilistic metric space (PM-space) [7] is an ordered pair  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  consisting of a nonempty set X and a mapping  $\mathcal{F}$  from  $X \times X$  into the collections of all distribution functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $x, y \in X$ , we denote the distribution function  $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$  by  $F_{x,y}$  and  $F_{x,y}(u)$  represents the value of  $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$  at  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . The functions  $F_{x,y}$  are assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

- (1)  $F_{x,y}(u) = 1$  for all u > 0 if and only if x = y,
- (2)  $F_{x,y}(0) = 0$  for all *x*, *y* in *X*,

Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Volume 2006, Article ID 75931, Pages 1–15 DOI 10.1155/IJMMS/2006/75931

(3)  $F_{x,y}(u) = F_{y,x}(u)$  for all x, y in X, and

(4) if  $F_{x,y}(u) = 1$  and  $F_{y,z}(v) = 1$ , then  $F_{x,z}(u+v) = 1$  for all x, y, z in X and u, v > 0. A mapping  $t : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called a *t*-norm if

- (1) t(a,1) = a, t(0,0) = 0,
- (2) t(a,b) = t(b,a),
- (3)  $t(c,d) \ge t(a,b)$  for  $c \ge a, d \ge b$ , and
- (4) t(t(a,b),c) = t(a,t(b,c)).

A Menger space is a triplet  $(X, \mathcal{F}, t)$ , where  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a PM-space, t is a T-norm, and the generalized triangle inequality

$$F_{x,y}(u+v) \ge t(F_{x,y}(u), F_{y,z}(v))$$
 (1.2)

holds for all x, y, z in X and u, v > 0.

The concept of neighborhoods in a Menger space was introduced by Schweizer and Sklar [8].

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, t)$  be a Menger space. If  $x \in X$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , then an  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -neighborhood of x, called  $U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ , is defined by

$$U_x(\varepsilon,\lambda) = \{ y \in X : F_{x,y}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda \}.$$
(1.3)

An  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -topology in *X* is the topology induced by the family  $\{U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$  of neighborhood.

*Remark 1.1.* If t is continuous, then Menger space  $(X, \mathcal{F}, t)$  is a Hausdorff space in the  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -topology. (see [8]).

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, t)$  be a complete Menger space and  $A \subset X$ . Then A is called a bounded set if

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \inf_{x,y \in A} F_{x,y}(u) = 1.$$
(1.4)

Throughout this paper, B(X) will denote the family of nonempty bounded subsets of a complete Menger space *X*.

For all  $A, B \in B(X)$  and for all u > 0, we define

$$\delta F_{A,B}(u) = \inf \{F_{x,y}(u) : x \in A, \ y \in B\},\$$
  
$${}_{D}F_{A,B}(u) = \sup \{F_{x,y}(u) : x \in A, \ y \in B\},\$$
  
$${}_{H}F_{A,B}(u) = \inf \left\{\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u)\right\}.$$
  
(1.5)

*Remark 1.2.* It is clear that  $_{\delta}F_{A,B}(u) = _{\delta}F_{B,A}(u)$ ,  $_{D}F_{A,B}(u) = _{D}F_{B,A}(u)$ , and  $_{H}F_{A,B}(u) = _{H}F_{B,A}(u)$ , for all  $A, B \in B(X)$  and u > 0.

#### C.-M. Chen and T.-H. Chang 3

If  $A = \{x\}$ , we denote  $_{\delta}F_{\{x\},B}(u) =_{\delta}F_{x,B}(u)$ ,  $_{D}F_{\{x\},B}(u) =_{D}F_{x,B}(u)$ , and  $_{H}F_{\{x\},B}(u) =_{H}F_{x,B}(u)$ .

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, t)$  be a complete Menger space, and let  $T : X \to B(X)$  be a set-valued function and  $I : X \to X$  a single-valued function. Then we say that *S* and *I* are compatible if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} {}_{H}F_{SIx_n, ISx_n}(u) = 1, \tag{1.6}$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{Ix_n, Sx_n}(u) = 1, \quad \forall u > 0.$$
(1.7)

Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a sequence in B(X). We say that  $\{A_n\}$   $\delta$ -converges to a set A in X if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{A_n,A}(u) = 1, \quad \text{for every } u > 0, \tag{1.8}$$

and it is denoted by  $A_n \xrightarrow{\delta} A$ .

#### 2. Main results

In this paper, we let  $\mathbb{R}^+$  denote the set of all nonnegative real numbers, let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of all positive integers, and let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, t)$  be a Menger space with  $t(x, y) = \min(x, y)$ .

We first prove the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be a Menger space. Then for  $A, B, C \in B(X)$  and for u, v > 0,

$$\delta F_{A,C}(u+v) \ge \min\left\{\delta F_{A,B}(u), \delta F_{B,C}(v)\right\}.$$
(2.1)

*Proof.* For all u, v > 0, we have

$$\min\{\delta F_{A,B}(u), \delta F_{B,C}(v)\} \le \min\{F_{a,b}(u), F_{b,c}(v)\} \le F_{a,c}(u+v)$$
(2.2)

for each  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ , and  $c \in C$ .

This implies that  $\min\{{}_{\delta}F_{A,B}(u), {}_{\delta}F_{B,C}(v)\} \le {}_{\delta}F_{A,C}(u+v).$ 

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be a Menger space. Then for  $A, B \in B(X)$ ,  $c \in X$ , and for u, v > 0,

$$_{H}F_{A,c}(u+v) \ge \min\{_{H}F_{A,B}(u),_{H}F_{B,c}(v)\}.$$
 (2.3)

*Proof.* Since for each  $a, b, c \in X$  and for all u, v > 0,

$$F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \min\{F_{a,b}(u), F_{b,c}(v)\}.$$
(2.4)

By taking  $\inf_{c \in C}$ , we have

$$\inf_{c \in C} F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \min \Big\{ F_{a,b}(u), \inf_{c \in C} F_{b,c}(v) \Big\}.$$
(2.5)

Hence,

$$\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{c \in C} F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \sup_{a \in A} \min \left\{ F_{a,b}(u), \inf_{c \in C} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}$$
$$= \min \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), \inf_{c \in C} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}$$
$$\ge \min \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} F_{a,b}(u), \inf_{c \in C} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}.$$
(2.6)

Next, by taking  $\sup_{b \in B}$ , we have

$$\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{c \in C} F_{a,c}(u+v) \geq \sup_{b \in B} \min\left\{ \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} F_{a,b}(u), \inf_{c \in C} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min\left\{ \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{c \in C} (v) \right\}.$$
(2.7)

Similarly, for each  $a, b, c \in X$  and for all u, v > o,

$$F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \min\{F_{a,b}(u), F_{b,c}(v)\}.$$
(2.8)

By taking  $\inf_{c \in C}$ , we have

$$\inf_{a\in A} F_{a,c}(u+\nu) \ge \min\left\{\inf_{a\in A} F_{a,b}(u), F_{b,c}(\nu)\right\}.$$
(2.9)

Hence,

$$\sup_{c \in C} \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \sup_{c \in C} \min\left\{ \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), F_{b,c}(v) \right\}$$
$$= \min\left\{ \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{c \in C} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}$$
$$\ge \min\left\{ \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{c \in C} \inf_{b \in B} F_{b,c}(v) \right\}.$$
(2.10)

Next, by taking  $\sup_{b \in B}$ , we have

$$\sup_{c \in C} \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,c}(u+v) \ge \sup_{b \in B} \min\left\{\inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{c \in C} \inf_{b \in B} F_{b,c}(v)\right\}$$

$$\ge \min\left\{\sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} F_{a,b}(u), \sup_{c \in C} \inf_{b \in B}(v)\right\}.$$
(2.11)

Therefore, we obtain that

$${}_{H}F_{A,c}(u+v) = \min\left\{\sup_{c\in C}\inf_{a\in A}F_{a,c}(u+v),\sup_{a\in A}\inf_{c\in C}F_{a,c}(u+v)\right\}$$

$$\geq \min\left\{\sup_{b\in B}\inf_{a\in A}F_{a,b}(u),\sup_{c\in C}\inf_{b\in B}(v),\sup_{a\in A}\inf_{b\in B}F_{a,b}(u),\sup_{b\in B}\inf_{c\in C}(v)\right\}$$

$$=\min\left\{{}_{H}F_{A,B}(u),{}_{H}F_{B,c}(v)\right\}.$$

$$(2.12)$$

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be a Menger space. If  $A, B \in B(X)$ , then  $\lim_{u \to \infty} \delta F_{A,B}(u) = 1$ . Proof. For any  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ , by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\delta F_{A,B}(u) \ge \min\left\{\delta F_{A,x}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right), \delta F_{x,y}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right), \delta F_{y,B}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right)\right\}.$$
(2.13)

Letting  $u \to \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} {}_{\delta}F_{A,B}(u) \ge \min\left\{\lim_{u \to \infty} {}_{\delta}F_{A,x}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right), \lim_{u \to \infty} {}_{\delta}F_{x,y}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right), \lim_{u \to \infty} {}_{\delta}F_{y,B}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right)\right\}.$$
(2.14)

Since  $x \in A$ ,  $y \in B$ , and  $A, B \in B(X)$ , we have

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \delta F_{A,x}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right) = 1. \tag{2.15}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} {}_{\delta}F_{y,B}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right) = 1.$$
(2.16)

By the definition of the PM-space, we have that  $\lim_{u\to\infty} F_{x,y}(u/3) = 1$ .

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} {}_{\delta} F_{A,B}(u) = 1.$$
(2.17)

 $\Box$ 

This completes the proof.

The following lemma which was introduced by Chang [3], will play an important role for this paper.

LEMMA 2.4. If  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is a strictly increasing, continuous function such that  $0 < \phi(u) < u$  for all u > 0,  $\lim_{u\to\infty} \phi(u) = \infty$ , and if for each u > 0,  $\phi^0(u) = u$  and  $\phi^{-n}(u) = \phi^{-1}(\phi^{-n+1}(u))$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  are denoted, then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi^{-n}(u) = \infty$ .

In the sequel, we let  $\Phi = \{\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ : \phi \text{ is a strictly increasing, continuous function with } \phi(t) < t \text{ for all } t > 0 \}.$ 

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be a Menger space and  $\{Y_n\}$  a sequence in B(X). If for each u > 0 and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\delta F_{Y_{n+1},Y_{n+2}}(\phi(u)) \ge \delta F_{Y_n,Y_{n+1}}(u), \quad \phi \in \Phi,$$

$$(2.18)$$

then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{Y_n, Y_{n+1}}(u) = 1.$$
(2.19)

*Proof.* For u > 0, by induction, we have

$$_{\delta}F_{Y_{n+1},Y_{n+2}}(u) \ge {}_{\delta}F_{Y_n,Y_{n+1}}(\phi^{-1}(u)) \ge \cdots \ge {}_{\delta}F_{Y_1,Y_2}(\phi^{-n}(u)), \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(2.20)

By Lemma 2.4, we also have that  $\phi^{-n}(u) \to \infty$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .

Next, since  $Y_n$  is a bounded set and  $_{\delta}F_{Y_1,Y_2}(\phi^{-n}(u)) \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ , hence we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{Y_{n+1}, Y_{n+2}}(u) = 1.$$
(2.21)

LEMMA 2.6. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be a Menger space, and let  $A, B \in B(X)$ . If

$$\delta F_{A,B}(\phi(u)) \ge \delta F_{A,B}(u), \quad \text{for } u > 0, \tag{2.22}$$

then A = B = a, for some  $a \in X$ .

*Proof.* For u > 0, by induction, we have

$$_{\delta}F_{A,B}(u) \ge {}_{\delta}F_{A,B}(\phi^{-1}(u)) \ge \cdots \ge {}_{\delta}F_{A,B}(\phi^{-n}(u)).$$
(2.23)

Since  $A, B \in B(X)$ , by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{A,B}(\phi^{-n}(u)) = 1, \qquad (2.24)$$

and by Lemma 2.5, we have  $_{\delta}F_{A,B}(u) = 1$  for u > 0. Thus we conclude that  $A = B = \{a\}$  for some  $a \in X$ .

The following lemma was introduced by Schweizer and Sklar [8].

LEMMA 2.7. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be a Menger space. If  $a_n \rightarrow a$  and  $b_n \rightarrow b$ , then for u > 0,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf F_{a_n, b_n}(u) = F_{a, b}(u).$$
(2.25)

From Lemma 2.7, we conclude the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.8. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be a Menger space. If  $A_n \xrightarrow{\delta} a$  and  $B_n \xrightarrow{\delta} b$ , then for u > 0,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{A_n, B_n}(u) = F_{a, b}(u).$$
(2.26)

*Proof.* For u > 0 and for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $F_{a,b}(u)$  is left continuous function at u, there exists a positive number k with 0 < 2k < u such that  $F_{a,b}(u) - F_{a,b}(u - 2k) < \varepsilon$ .

Since k > 0 and  $A_n \xrightarrow{\delta} a$ ,  $B_n \xrightarrow{\delta} b$ , hence we may take  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n \ge m$ ,

$$\delta F_{A_n,a}(k) \ge F_{a,b}(u-2k), \qquad \delta F_{B_n,b}(k) \ge F_{a,b}(u-2k). \tag{2.27}$$

Hence, for n > m,

$$\delta F_{A_{n},B_{n}}(u) \geq \min\left\{ \delta F_{A_{n},b}(u-k), \delta F_{b,B_{n}}(k) \right\}$$
  
$$\geq \min\left\{ \delta F_{A_{n},a}(k), \delta F_{a,b}(u-2k), \delta F_{b,B_{n}}(k) \right\} = F_{a,b}(u-2k), \qquad (2.28)$$

and hence

$$-_{\delta}F_{A_{n},B_{n}}(u) \le -F_{a,b}(u-2k).$$
(2.29)

Therefore, we conclude that

$$F_{a,b}(u) - {}_{\delta}F_{A_n,B_n}(u) < F_{a,b}(u) - F_{a,b}(u-2k) < \varepsilon.$$
(2.30)

Taking  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf$ , we have

$$F_{a,b}(u) - \liminf_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{A_n, B_n}(u) < \varepsilon.$$
(2.31)

For any  $a_n \in A_n$ ,  $b_n \in B_n$ , since  $A_n \xrightarrow{\delta} a$  and  $B_n \xrightarrow{\delta} b$ , we have  $a_n \to a$ ,  $b_n \to b$ . Thus, for u > 0

$$\delta F_{A_n,B_n}(u) \le F_{a_n,b_n}(u). \tag{2.32}$$

Taking  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{A_n, B_n}(u) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{k \to \infty} F_{a_n, b_n}(u).$$
(2.33)

By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf F_{a_n, b_n}(u) = F_{a, b}(u), \text{ and so } F_{a, b}(u) - \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{A_n, B_n}(u) \ge 0.$$
(2.34)

Therefore, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\varepsilon > F_{a,b}(u) - \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{A_n, B_n}(u) \ge 0.$$
(2.35)

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{A_n, B_n}(u) = F_{a, b}(u), \quad \text{for } u > 0.$$
(2.36)

The following two theorems are our main results for this paper.

THEOREM 2.9. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be a complete Menger space. Let  $f, g, \eta, \xi : X \to X$  be four single-valued functions, and let  $S, T : X \to B(X)$  two set-valued functions. If the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) S(X) ⊂ ξg(X), T(X) ⊂ ηf(X),
(ii) ηf = fη, ξg = gξ, Sf = fS, Tg = gT,
(iii) ηf or ξg is continuous,
(iv) (S,ηf) and (T,ξg) are compatible, and
(v) for u > 0,

$$\delta F_{Sx,Ty}(\phi(u)) \geq \min \left\{ F_{\eta f x, \xi g y}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x, Sx}(u), \delta F_{\xi g y, Ty}(u), \delta F_{\xi g y, Sx}(\beta u), \delta F_{\eta f x, Ty}((2-\beta)u) \right\}$$

$$(2.37)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\beta \in (0,2)$ , where  $\phi \in \Phi$ , then f, g,  $\eta$ ,  $\xi$ , S, and T have a unique common fixed point z in X.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  recursively as follows:

$$\xi g x_{2n+1} \in S x_{2n} = Z_{2n}, \qquad \eta f x_{2n+2} \in T x_{2n+1} = Z_{2n+1}. \tag{2.38}$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all u > 0, and  $\beta = (1 - \alpha)$  with  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(\phi(u)) \\ &= \delta F_{Sx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}}(\phi(u)) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ F_{\eta f x_{2n} \xi g x_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x_{2n},Sx_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{\xi g x_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{\xi g x_{2n+1},Sx_{2n}}((1-\alpha)u), \\ &\delta F_{\eta f x_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}}((1+\alpha)u) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n}}((1-\alpha)u), \\ &\delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n+1}}((1+\alpha)u) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(u), 1, \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}((u), \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(\alpha u)) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(\alpha u) \right\}. \end{split}$$

$$(2.39)$$

As *t*-norm = min is continuous, letting  $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ , we have

$$_{\delta}F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(\phi(u)) \ge \min\{_{\delta}F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u),_{\delta}F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(u)\}.$$
(2.40)

By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$_{\delta}F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(\phi(u)) \ge_{\delta} F_{Z_{2n-1},Z_{2n}}(u).$$
 (2.41)

Similarly, we also can prove that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all u > 0,

$$_{\delta}F_{Z_{2n+1},Z_{2n+2}}(\phi(u)) \ge {}_{\delta}F_{Z_{2n},Z_{2n+1}}(u).$$
 (2.42)

So, we have

$$\delta F_{Z_{n+1},Z_{n+2}}(\phi(u)) \ge \delta F_{Z_n,Z_{n+1}}(u), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ u > 0.$$

$$(2.43)$$

By Lemma 2.5, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{Z_n, Z_{n+1}}(u) = 1, \quad \forall u > 0.$$
(\*)

Now, we consider the condition ( $\nu$ ) with  $\beta = 1$ , and then we claim that

for 
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
,  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  there is  $M(\varepsilon,\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  ${}_{\delta}F_{Z_n,Z_m}(\varepsilon) \ge 1 - \lambda$  for  $n, m \ge M$ .  
(2.44)

If it is not the case, then there exists  $\varepsilon' > 0$ ,  $\lambda' \in (0,1)$  such that for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $n_k > m_k \ge k$  such that

- (1)  $n_k$  is even and  $m_k$  is odd,
- (2)  $_{\delta}F_{Z_{n_k},Z_{m_k}}(\varepsilon') < 1 \lambda'$ , and

(3)  $n_k$  is the smallest even number such that (1) and (2) hold.

By (\*), we may choose  $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n \ge m_1$ ,

$$_{\delta}F_{Z_n,Z_{n+1}}\left(\min\left\{\frac{\varepsilon'}{2},\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right\}\right) > 1-\lambda'.$$
(2.45)

So for  $k > m_1$ ,  $n_k \ge m_k + 3$ , and so for  $k > m_1$ ,

$$1 - \lambda' > \delta F_{Z_{n_{k}}, Z_{m_{k}}}(\varepsilon') = \delta F_{Sx_{n_{k}}, Tx_{m_{k}}}(\varepsilon')$$

$$\geq \min \{F_{\eta f_{x_{n_{k}}}, \xi_{gx_{m_{k}}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')), \delta F_{\eta f_{x_{n_{k}}}, S_{x_{n_{k}}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')), \delta F_{\xi g_{x_{m_{k}}}, T_{x_{m_{k}}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')), \delta F_{\eta f_{x_{n_{k}}}, T_{x_{m_{k}}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon'))\}$$

$$\geq \min \{\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}}, Z_{m_{k-1}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}}, Z_{n_{k}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')), \delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}}, Z_{m_{k}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon'))\}$$

$$\delta F_{Z_{n_{k}}, Z_{m_{k-1}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}}, Z_{m_{k}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon'))\}.$$
(2.46)

Since

$$\begin{split} \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')) &\geq \min\left\{\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k-2}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_{k}}}(\varepsilon')\right\},\\ \delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k}}}(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')) &\geq \min\left\{\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')+\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}(\varepsilon'),\\ &\geq \min\left\{\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k-2}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}(\varepsilon'),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_{k}}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{m_{k}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{2}\right),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right)\right\}\\ &\geq \min\left\{\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right)\right\}\\ &\geq \min\left\{\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k-2}}}(\varepsilon'), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_{k}}}(\varepsilon'), \delta F_{Z_{m_{k}},Z_{m_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}(\varepsilon'), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right)\right\},\\ &\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}\left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')\right) &\geq \min\left\{\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k-2}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')+\varepsilon'}{2}\right)\right\}\\ &\geq \min\left\{\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k-2}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')+\varepsilon'}{2}\right)\right\},\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}\left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')\right) &\geq \min\left\{\delta F_{Z_{n_{k-1}},Z_{n_{k-2}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_{k}}}(\varepsilon'),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k-1}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2}},Z_{m_{k}}}(\varepsilon'),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k-2}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2},Z_{m_{k}}}}(\varepsilon'),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k-2}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2},Z_{m_{k}}}}(\varepsilon'),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2},Z_{m_{k}}}}(\varepsilon'),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{n_{k-2},Z_{m_{k}}}}(\varepsilon'),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right), \delta F_{Z_{m_{k-2},Z_{m_{k}}}}(\varepsilon'),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right),\\ &\delta F_{Z_{m_{k-1}},Z_{m_{k}}}\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon')-\varepsilon'}{2}\right),\\$$

so for  $k > m_1$ , we have

$$1 - \lambda' >_{\delta} F_{Z_{n_k}, Z_{m_k}}(\varepsilon') \ge 1 - \lambda', \qquad (2.48)$$

which is a contradiction. And, since X is complete, hence for any choice of  $z_n$  in  $Z_n$ , the sequence  $\{z_n\}$  must converge to some point, say, z in X. The point z is independent of the choice of  $z_n$  and so we have

$$\eta f x_{2n} \longrightarrow z, \qquad \xi g x_{2n+1} \longrightarrow z, \qquad S x_{2n} \longrightarrow \{z\}, \qquad T x_{2n+1} \longrightarrow \{z\}.$$
 (2.49)

That is, for u > 0,

$$F_{\eta f x_{2n}, z}(u) \longrightarrow 1, \quad F_{\xi g x_{2n+1}, z}(u) \longrightarrow 1, \quad {}_{\delta} F_{S x_{2n}, z}(u) \longrightarrow 1, \quad {}_{\delta} F_{T x_{2n+1}, z}(u) \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

$$(2.50)$$

Assume that the function  $\eta f$  is continuous, then for u > 0, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{(\eta f)^2 x_{2n}, \eta f z}(u) = 1, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta F_{\eta f S x_{2n}, \eta f z}(u) = 1.$$
(2.51)

By  $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{\eta f x_{2n},z}(u) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta F_{Sx_{2n},z}(u) = 1$ , we obtain  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta F_{Sx_{2n},\eta f x_{2n}}(u) = 1$ . 1. Since *S* and  $\eta f$  are compatible, and for u > 0,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta F_{Sx_{2n},\eta f x_{2n}}(u) = 1$ , we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} HF_{\eta f Sx_{2n},S\eta f x_{2n}}(u) = 1$  and  $HF_{S\eta f x_{2n},\eta f z}(u) \ge \min_{\{HF_{\eta f Sx_{2n},\beta f x_{2n}}(u/2), HF_{\eta f Sx_{2n},\eta f z}(u/2)\}$ . And, since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} HF_{\eta f Sx_{2n},S\eta f x_{2n}}(u/2) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} HF_{\eta f Sx_{2n},\eta f z}(u/2) = 1$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} {}_{H}F_{S\eta f x_{2n}, \eta f z}(u) = \lim_{n \to \infty} {}_{\delta}F_{S\eta f x_{2n}, \eta f z}(u) = 1.$$
(2.52)

In order to complete the proof, we will divide it into 5 steps as follows: *Step 1.* For u > 0 with  $\beta = 1$  in the condition (v),

$$\delta F_{S\eta f x_{2n}, T x_{2n+1}}(\phi(u)) \ge \min \{F_{(\eta f)^2 x_{2n}, \xi g x_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{(\eta f)^2 x_{2n}, S\eta f x_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{\xi g x_{2n+1}, T x_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{\xi g x_{2n+1}, S\eta f x_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{(\eta f)^2 x_{2n}, T x_{2n+1}}(u)\}.$$
(2.53)

Taking  $\lim_{n\to\infty}$ , by Lemma 2.8,

$$F_{\eta f z, z}(\phi(u)) \ge \min\{F_{\eta f z, z}(u), F_{\eta f z, \eta f z}(u), F_{z, z}(u), F_{\eta f z, z}(u), F_{\eta f z, z}(u)\} = F_{\eta f z, z}(u).$$
(2.54)

So we get  $\eta f z = z$ . Step 2. For u > 0 with  $\beta = 1$  in the condition (v),

$$\delta F_{Sz,z}(\phi(u)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} F_{Sz,Tx_{2n+1}}(\phi(u)) \\ \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\delta} \min_{\{F_{\eta fz,\xi gx_{2n+1}}(u),\delta} F_{\eta fz,Sz}(u), \delta F_{\xi gx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{Sz,\xi gx_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{\eta fz,Tx_{2n+1}}(u)\} \\ \geq \min_{\{F_{z,z}(u),\delta} F_{z,Sz}(u), F_{z,z}(u), \delta F_{z,Sz}(u), F_{z,z}(u)\} = \delta_{\delta} F_{z,Sz}(u).$$
(2.55)

So we get  $Sz = \{z\}$ .

Hence, by Steps 1 and 2, we have  $Sz = \{z\} = \{\eta f z\}$ . Step 3. By the condition (i), since  $SX \subset \xi gX$ , there exists  $z' \in X$  such that  $\{\xi gz'\} = Sz = \{z\}$ .

So for any u > 0 with  $\beta = 1$  in the condition (v)

$$\delta F_{Sx_{2n},Tz'}(\phi(u)) \\ \geq \min\{F_{\eta f x_{2n},\xi gz'}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x_{2n},Sx_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{\xi gz',Tz'}(u), \delta F_{\eta fz',Sx_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x_{2n},Tz'}(u)\}.$$
(2.56)

Taking  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf$ , by Lemma 2.8,

$$\delta F_{z,Tz'}(\phi(u)) \ge \min\{F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,z}(u), \delta F_{z,Tz'}(u), F_{z,z}(u), \delta F_{z,Tz'}(u)\} = \delta F_{z,Tz'}(u).$$
(2.57)

So we get  $Tz' = \{z\}$ . Hence,  $\{\xi gz'\} = \{z\} = Tz'$ . By Step 2, we may let  $\{z\} = \{\eta fz\} = \{Sz\} = \{\xi gz'\} = \{Tz'\}$ . Since *S* and  $\eta f$  are compatible and  $\{\eta fz\} = Sz$ , we get  $\eta fSz = S\eta fz$ , that is,  $\{\eta fz\} = Sz$ . Now,

$$\delta F_{Sz,z}(\phi(u)) = \delta F_{Sz,Tz'}(\phi(u))$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ F_{\eta f z,\xi g z'}(u), \delta F_{\eta f z,Sz}(u), \delta F_{\xi g z',Tz'}(u), \delta F_{\eta f z,Tz'}(u), \delta F_{Sz,\xi g z'}(u) \right\}$$

$$= \delta F_{\eta f z,z}(u) = \delta F_{Sz,z}(u).$$

$$(2.58)$$

This implies  $Sz = \{z\} = \{\eta f z\}$ . Choose z' in X such that  $\{\xi g z'\} = Sz = \{z\}$ , then

$$\delta F_{z,Tz'}(\phi(u))$$

$$= \delta F_{Sz,Tz'}(\phi(u))$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ F_{\eta f z,\xi g z'}, \delta F_{\eta f z,Sz}(u), \delta F_{\xi g z',Tz'}(u) \delta F_{\eta f z,Tz'}(u), \delta F_{Sz,\xi g z'}(u) \right\} = \delta F_{z,Tz'}(u).$$
(2.59)

By Lemma 2.6, we get  $Tz' = \{z\}$ .

Since *T* and  $\xi g$  are compatible and  $\{\xi gz'\} = Tz'$ , we get  $T\xi gz' = \xi gTz'$ , that is,  $Tz = \{\xi gz\}$ .

Now, for u > 0,

$$\delta F_{Sz,Tz}(\phi(u))$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ F_{\eta fz,\xi gz}(u), \delta F_{\eta fz,Sz}(u), \delta F_{\xi gz,Tz}(u), \delta F_{\eta fz,Tz}(u), \delta F_{Sz,\xi gz}(u) \right\}$$

$$= F_{\eta fz,\xi gz}(u) = \delta F_{Sz,Tz}(u).$$
(2.60)

So we have  $Sz = Tz = \{\eta fz\} = \{\xi gz\} = \{z\}$ . Step 4. For u > 0 with  $\beta = 1$  in the condition (v), we get

$$\delta F_{Sfz,Tx_{2n+1}}(\phi(u)) \\ \geq \min\{F_{\eta ffz,\xi gx_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{\eta ffz,Sfz}(u), \delta F_{\xi gx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1}}(u), \delta F_{\xi gx_{2n+1},Sfz}(u), \delta F_{\eta ffz,Tx_{2n+1}}(u)\}\}.$$
(2.61)

By the condition (ii),  $\eta f = f\eta$ , Sf = fS, so we have  $\eta f(fz) = f(\eta fz) = fz$  and  $S(fz) = \{f(Sz)\} = \{fz\}$ . Taking  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf$ , by Lemma 2.8,

$$F_{fz,z}(\phi(u)) \ge \min\{F_{fz,z}(u), F_{fz,fz}(u), F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,fz}(u), F_{fz,z}(u)\} = F_{fz,z}(u).$$
(2.62)

So we get fz = z.

Hence, by Steps 1 and 4, we have  $\eta f z = z$  and f z = z, which implies  $\eta z = z$ . Therefore,  $\{z\} = \{fz\} = \{\eta z\} = Sz$ .

Step 5. For u > 0 with  $\beta = 1$  in condition (v), we get

$$\delta F_{Sx_{2n},Tgz}(\phi(u)) \\ \geq \min\left\{F_{\eta f x_{2n},\xi ggz}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x_{2n},Sx_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{\xi ggz,Tgz}(u), \delta F_{\xi ggz,Sx_{2n}}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x_{2n},Tgz}(u)\right\}.$$

$$(2.63)$$

Since Tg = gT and  $\xi g = g\xi$ , we have  $Tgz = \{gTz\} = \{gz\}$  and  $\xi g(gz) = g(\xi gz) = gz$ . Taking  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf$ , by Lemma 2.8, we get

$$F_{z,gz}(\phi(u)) \ge \min\{F_{z,gz}(u), F_{z,z}(u), F_{gz,gz}(u), F_{gz,z}(u), F_{z,gz}(u)\} = F_{z,gz}(u).$$
(2.64)

So we get gz = z.

Hence, by Steps 3 and 5, we have  $\xi gz = z$  and gz = z, which implies  $\xi z = z$ . So we have  $\{z\} = \{gz\} = \{\xi z\} = Tz$ . Therefore, we have

$$\{z\} = \{fz\} = \{gz\} = \{\eta z\} = \{\xi z\} = Sz = Tz.$$
(2.65)

Last, we want to prove the uniqueness. Let *y* be the another commom fixed point of  $\eta$ , *f*,  $\xi$ , *g*, *S*, and *T*. Then for u > 0,

$$F_{z,y}(\phi(u)) = {}_{\delta}F_{Sz,Ty}(\phi(u))$$
  

$$\geq \min \{F_{\eta f z,\xi g y}(u), {}_{\delta}F_{\eta f z,Sz}(u), {}_{\delta}F_{\xi g y,Ty}(u), {}_{\delta}F_{\xi g y,Sz}(u), {}_{\delta}F_{\eta f z,Ty}(u)\}$$
(2.66)  

$$\geq \min \{F_{z,y}(u), F_{z,z}(u), F_{y,y}(u), F_{y,z}(u), F_{ygz}(u)\} = F_{z,y}(u).$$

This implies y = z. We complete the proof.

If we take f = g = I, the identity map on X in Theorem 2.9, then we immediately have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.10. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be a complete Menger space. Let  $\eta, \xi : X \to X$  be two single-valued functions, and let  $S, T : X \to B(X)$  be two set-valued functions. If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $S(X) \subset \xi(X), T(X) \subset \eta(X),$
- (ii)  $\eta$  or  $\xi$  is continuous,
- (iii)  $(S,\eta)$  and  $(T,\xi)$  are compatible,
- (iv) *for* u > 0,

$$\delta F_{Sx,Ty}(\phi(u)) \ge \min \left\{ F_{\eta x,\xi y}(u), \delta F_{\eta x,Sx}(u), \delta F_{\xi y,Ty}(u), \delta F_{\xi y,Sx}(\beta u), \delta F_{\eta x,Ty}((2-\beta)u) \right\}$$
(2.67)

for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\beta \in (0,2)$ , where  $\phi \in \Phi$ , then  $\eta$ ,  $\xi$ , S, and T have a unique common fixed point z in X.

By the same process of the proof of Theorem 2.9, we also get the results of Theorem 2.11.

THEOREM 2.11. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be a complete Menger space. Let  $f, g, \eta, \xi : X \to X$  be four single-valued functions, and let  $S, T : X \to B(X)$  be two set-valued functions. If the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) S(X) ⊂ ξg(X), T(X) ⊂ ηf(X),
(ii) ηf = fη, ξg = gξ, Sf = fS, Tg = gT,
(iii) ηf or ξg is continuous,
(iv) (S,ηf) and (T, ξg) are compatible,
(v) for u > 0,

$$\delta F_{\delta x,Ty}(\phi(u)) \ge \min \left\{ F_{\eta f x,\xi g y}(u), \delta F_{\eta f x,\delta x}(u), \delta F_{\xi g y,Ty}(u), {}_{D}F_{\xi g y,\delta x}(u) + {}_{D}F_{\eta f x,Ty}(u) \right\}$$

$$(2.68)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\phi \in \Phi$ , then  $f, g, \eta, \xi$ , S, and T have a unique common fixed point z in X.

If we take f = g = I, the identity map on X in Theorem 2.11, then we immediately have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.12. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be a complete Menger space. Let  $\eta, \xi : X \to X$  be two single-valued functions, and let  $S, T : X \to B(X)$  be two set-valued functions. If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $S(X) \subset \xi(X), T(X) \subset \eta(X),$
- (ii)  $\eta$  or  $\xi$  is continuous,
- (iii)  $(S,\eta)$  and  $(T,\xi)$  are compatible,
- (iv) *for* u > 0,

 $\delta F_{Sx,Ty}(\phi(u)) \ge \min\left\{F_{\eta x,\xi y}(u), \delta F_{\eta x,Sx}(u), \delta F_{\xi y,Ty}(u), DF_{\xi y,Sx}(u) + DF_{\eta x,Ty}(u)\right\}$ (2.69)

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\phi \in \Phi$ , then  $\eta$ ,  $\xi$ , S, and T have a unique common fixed point z in X.

#### References

- G. L. Cain Jr. and R. H. Kasriel, Fixed and periodic points of local contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces, Mathematical Systems Theory 9 (1975/1976), no. 4, 289–297.
- [2] K. P. Chamola, Fixed points of mappings satisfying a new contraction condition in random normed spaces, Mathematica Japonica 33 (1988), no. 6, 821–825.
- [3] T.-H. Chang, *Common fixed point theorems in Menger spaces*, Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics. Academia Sinica **22** (1994), no. 1, 17–29.
- [4] R. Dedeić and N. Sarapa, On common fixed point theorems for commuting mappings on Menger spaces, Radovi Matematički 4 (1988), no. 2, 269–278.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, A common fixed point theorem for three mappings on Menger spaces, Mathematica Japonica **34** (1989), no. 6, 919–923.
- [6] K. Menger, *Statistical metrics*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 28 (1942), 535–537.
- [7] S. N. Mishra, Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-spaces, Mathematica Japonica 36 (1991), no. 2, 283–289.
- [8] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 10 (1960), 313–334.

- [9] V. M. Sehgal and A. T. Bharucha-Reid, *Fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces*, Mathematical Systems Theory **6** (1972), no. 1-2, 97–102.
- [10] S. L. Singh and B. D. Pant, *Coincidence and fixed point theorems for a family of mappings of Menger spaces and extension to uniform spaces*, Mathematica Japonica **33** (1988), no. 6, 957–973.
- [11] \_\_\_\_\_, Common fixed points of a family of mappings in Menger and uniform spaces, Rivista di Matematica della Università di Parma. Serie IV 14 (1988), 81–85 (1989).
- [12] M. Stojaković, *Common fixed point theorems in complete metric and probabilistic metric spaces*, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society **36** (1987), no. 1, 73–88.

Chi-Ming Chen: Department of Applied Mathematics, National Hsin-Chu University of Education, 300 Taiwan, China *E-mail address*: ming@mail.nhcue.edu.tw

Tong-Huei Chang: Department of Applied Mathematics, National Hsin-Chu University of Education, 300 Taiwan, China *E-mail address*: thchang@mail.nhcue.edu.tw