Research Article

On the Instability of a Class of Periodic Travelling Wave Solutions of the Modified Boussinesq Equation

Lynnyngs Kelly Arruda

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Caixa Postal 676, São Carlos CEP, 13565-905 São Paulo, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Lynnyngs Kelly Arruda, lynnyngs@dm.ufscar.br

Received 1 February 2010; Accepted 7 May 2010

Academic Editor: Prabir Daripa

Copyright © 2010 Lynnyngs Kelly Arruda. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This paper is concerned with instability of periodic travelling wave solutions of the modified Boussinesq equation. Periodic travelling wave solutions with a fixed fundamental period L will be constructed by using Jacobi's elliptic functions. It will be shown that these solutions, called *dnoidal waves*, are nonlinearly unstable in the energy space for a range of their speeds of propagation and periods.

1. Introduction

The original Boussinesq equations are among the classical models for the propagation of small amplitude, planar long waves on the surface of water [1, 2]. These equations possess special travelling wave solutions known as Scott Russel's solitary waves or *solitons* [3, 4], *cnoidal waves* [5], and *dnoidal waves* ([6], Section 3 below). The cnoidal and dnoidal wave solutions are periodic travelling waves written in terms of the Jacobi elliptic functions.

Our purpose is to investigate the nonlinear stability of periodic travelling wave solutions $\phi(x - ct)$ of the modified Boussinesq equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \left(u^3 + u_{xx}\right)_{xx} = 0.$$
(1.1)

The above equation (1.1), has the following equivalent form as a Hamiltonian system

$$u_t = v_x,$$

$$v_t = \left(u - u_{xx} - u^3\right)_x$$
(1.2)

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, t > 0. Here subscripts t and x denote partial differentiation with respect to t and x. The above equation conserves energy, namely, the integral

$$H(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \left(u^2 + v^2 + u_x^2 - \frac{u^4}{2} \right) dx$$
(1.3)

does not depend on the time t. Another conservation law is the momentum

$$I(u,v) = \int_0^L uv dx \tag{1.4}$$

which turns out to be a relevant quantity in the investigation of stability properties of travelling waves.

To make precise the notion of stability we use, let τ_s be the translation by s, $\tau_s \phi(x) = \phi(x+s)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\overrightarrow{\phi}_c = (\phi_c(x-ct), \psi_c(x-ct))$ be an *L*-periodic travelling wave solution to system (1.2), where $\phi_c : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\psi_c : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, L > 0 is the period of ϕ_c and ψ_c , and *c* is the wave's speed of propagation. If we define the $\overrightarrow{\phi}_c$ -orbit to be the set $\Omega_{\overrightarrow{\phi}_c} = \{\overrightarrow{\phi}_c(\cdot+s), s \in \mathbb{R}\}, \overrightarrow{\phi}_c$ is called orbitally stable if profiles near its orbit remain near the orbit for as long as it exists.

So, we have the following definition. Let *X* be a Hilbert space.

Definition 1.1 (Orbital Stability). Let $\overrightarrow{\phi}_c = (\phi_c(x - ct), \psi_c(x - ct)) \in X$ be an *L*-periodic travelling wave solution to system (1.2). We say that the orbit $\Omega_{\overrightarrow{\phi}_c}$ is stable in the *X*-sense by the flow of system (1.2) if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if $\overrightarrow{u}_0 \in X$ and $\inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \| \overrightarrow{u}_0 - \tau_s(\overrightarrow{\phi}_c) \|_X < \delta$, then the solution $\overrightarrow{u}(t)$ of (1.2) with $\overrightarrow{u}(0) = \overrightarrow{u}_0$ satisfies, for all *t* for which $\overrightarrow{u} = (u, v)$ exists,

$$\inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \overrightarrow{u}(t) - \tau_s(\overrightarrow{\phi}_c) \right\|_X < \epsilon.$$
(1.5)

Otherwise, we say that $\Omega_{\overrightarrow{\phi}_c}$ is *X*-unstable.

Here, $X := H^1_{per}([0, L]) \times L^2_{per}([0, L])$. (The choice of norm in (1.5) is dictated by the form of the Hessian or "linearized Hamiltonian" $H''(\vec{\phi}_c) + cI''(\vec{\phi}_c)$ and varies from problem to problem.)

Inserting the *L*-periodic travelling wave solution $\overrightarrow{\phi}_c = (\phi_c(x - ct), \psi_c(x - ct))$ in (1.2) leads to the system

$$-c\phi_{c}'(\xi) = \psi_{c}'(\xi),$$

$$-c\psi_{c}'(\xi) = \left(\phi_{c} - \phi_{c}'' - \phi_{c}^{3}\right)'(\xi),$$

(1.6)

where ' connotes $d/d\xi$ and $\xi = x - ct$. Integrating the latter system, we obtain the nonlinear system

$$-c\phi(\xi) = \psi(\xi) + K_1,$$

$$-c\psi(\xi) = \phi(\xi) - \phi''(\xi) - \phi^3(\xi) + K_2,$$

(1.7)

where K_1, K_2 are integration constants, which will be considered equal to zero here. Then, we obtain

$$(H' + cI')\left(\vec{\phi}_c\right) = 0. \tag{1.8}$$

Next observe that relation (1.8) characterizes $\overrightarrow{\phi}_c = (\phi_c, \psi_c)$ as a critical point of H subject to the constraint $I(u, v) = I(\phi_c, \psi_c)$. In order to prove instability for $\overrightarrow{\phi}_c$, we will examine the relation between the concavity properties of the function

$$d(c) = H(\vec{\phi}_{c}(\cdot)) + cI(\vec{\phi}_{c}(\cdot)), \qquad (1.9)$$

and the properties of the functional *H* near the critical point $\vec{\phi}_c$ under the constraint *I* = constant.

Bona and Sachs in [3] proved that the well-known solitary waves $\vec{\phi} = (\phi_c(x-ct), \psi_c(x-ct))$ of the generalized Boussinesq equation

$$u_t = v_x,$$

$$v_t = (u - u_{xx} - u^p)_x$$
(1.10)

are stable in the $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R})$ norm for speeds c such that $(p-1)/4 < c^2 < 1$ if d in (1.9) is a convex function of c. The aim of this paper is to prove that the solutions given by Theorem 3.2 below are unstable if d(c) is concave. The proof follows the main ideas of Liu [4] (see also Bona et al. in [7]). Differently from the solitary wave solutions case, we do not know explicit periodic travelling wave solutions in the x-variable for the system (1.10) for every p. For this reason, we will treat here only the case p = 3. Stability of dnoidal waves for this case is also treated by the author in a forthcoming paper [6]. Regarding the classical case p = 2, in [5] the author proves nonlinear stability properties of a class of L-periodic travelling wave solutions, called *cnoidal waves*, in the energy space $H^1_{per}([0, L]) \times L^2_{per}([0, L])$, by periodic disturbances with period L.

In this paper, we first show the existence of a smooth curve $c \mapsto \vec{\phi}_c = (\phi_c, \psi_c)$ of dnoidal wave solutions to system (1.2), with a fixed period *L* (Theorem 3.2 below). Then, a proof of orbital instability of these solutions is established in *X* for a certain range of their speeds of propagation and periods, based on a modification of the general procedure of [8]. More precisely, our main result regarding stability of the dnoidal waves $\vec{\phi}_c$, given by Theorem 3.2 below, is the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Instability Theorem). Let $c \in (-1, 1)$ and $L > \pi\sqrt{2}$. Then the orbit $\Omega_{\vec{\phi}_c}$ is Xunstable with respect to the flow of the modified Boussinesq equation, provided $c^2 < 1/2$ and $1 - c^2 > 2\pi^2/L^2$.

The plan of this paper is as follows. A discussion of the evolution equation (1.1) and its natural invariants is given in Section 2. In Section 3 we introduce a smooth family $\{\vec{\phi}_c\}_c$ on the parameter *c*, of positive dnoidal wave solutions to system (1.2), with a fixed period *L* (Theorem 3.2 below) and in Section 4 we present a complete study of the spectrum of the operator \mathcal{L}_c . The existence of the smooth curve $c \mapsto \vec{\phi}_c$ will allow us to differentiate the function d(c). Then, in section 5, we prove that d(c) is indeed concave, for a certain range of speeds and periods of $\vec{\phi}_c$, which will imply our result. In Section 6 the Lyapunov functional [8, 9] is constructed and the instability result is proved. In Appendix, we give a review of those results about Jacobian elliptic functions which we use throughout the paper.

We remark that orbital instability of $\vec{\phi}$ is established with respect to perturbations of periodic functions of the same period *L* in *X*.

The following notation will be used:

$$\langle f,g \rangle_{0} = \langle f,g \rangle_{L^{2}_{\text{per}([0,L])}} = \int_{0}^{L} fg dx,$$

$$\langle f,g \rangle_{1} = \langle f,g \rangle_{H^{1}_{\text{per}([0,L])}} = \int_{0}^{L} fg dx + \int_{0}^{L} f'g' dx,$$

$$\|f\|_{0} = \|f\|_{L^{2}_{\text{per}([0,L])}} = \left(\int_{0}^{L} f^{2} dx\right)^{1/2},$$

$$\|f\|_{1} = \|f\|_{H^{1}_{\text{per}([0,L])}} = \left(\int_{0}^{L} f^{2} dx + \int_{0}^{L} f'^{2} dx\right)^{1/2},$$

$$\langle (f,g), (u,v) \rangle = \langle (f,g), (u,v) \rangle_{L^{2}_{\text{per}([0,L])} \times L^{2}_{\text{per}([0,L])}} = \int_{0}^{L} fu dx + \int_{0}^{L} gv dx,$$

$$\|(f,g)\| = \|(f,g)\|_{L^{2}_{\text{per}([0,L])} \times L^{2}_{\text{per}([0,L])}} = \left(\int_{0}^{L} f^{2} dx + \int_{0}^{L} g^{2} dx\right)^{1/2},$$

$$\|(f,g)\|_{X} = \|(f,g)\|_{H^{1}_{\text{per}([0,L])} \times L^{2}_{\text{per}([0,L])}} = \left(\int_{0}^{L} f^{2} dx + \int_{0}^{L} g^{2} dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

2. The Evolution Equation

The next lemma is the periodic version of a particular case of [4, Lemma 1.1].

Lemma 2.1. Let $\vec{u}_0 = (u_0, v_0) \in X \equiv H^1_{per}([0, L]) \times L^2_{per}([0, L])$. Then there exist T > 0 and a uniquely weak solution $\vec{u} = (u, v)$ of (1.2) with $\vec{u}(0) = \vec{u}_0$.

Proof. In order to obtain the existence of weak solutions for the system (1.2), we consider the approximate problem

$$\vec{u}_t + A\vec{u} = F(\vec{u}),$$

$$\vec{u}(0) = \vec{u}_0^n,$$
(2.1)

with $\overrightarrow{u}_0^n \in D(A) = H^3_{\text{per}}([0,L]) \times H^1_{\text{per}}([0,L]) \text{ and } \overrightarrow{u}_0^n \to \overrightarrow{u}_0 \text{ in } X, \text{ where}$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_x \\ -\partial_x + \partial_x^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.2)

and -A is the infinitesimal generator of a C^0 group of unitary operators in X and $F = F(t, u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\partial_x(u^3) \end{pmatrix}$. Since $F \in C^{\infty}$, the map $(u, v) \rightarrow (0, \partial_x(u^3))$ is locally Lipschitz on X. But then for all $\vec{u}_0^n \in D(A)$, there exists a $T_n > 0$ such that the initial value problem (2.1) has a unique solution $\vec{u}^n \in C([0, T_n); D(A)) \cap C^1([0, T_n); X)$. Moreover, if $T_n < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{t \to T_n} \left\| \overrightarrow{u}^n(t) \right\|_X = \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

by the semigroup theory [10]. By (2.1), we estimate on $[0, T_n)$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \overrightarrow{u}^{n}(t) \right\|_{X}^{2} = \left\langle \overrightarrow{u}^{n}(t), \partial_{t} \overrightarrow{u}^{n}(t) \right\rangle_{X} = \left\langle \overrightarrow{u}^{n}, -A \overrightarrow{u}^{n} + F\left(\overrightarrow{u}^{n}\right) \right\rangle_{X} \\
\leq \left\| \int_{0}^{L} \partial_{x}(u^{n})^{3}(t) \cdot v^{n}(t) dx \right\| \leq \left\| \partial_{x}(u^{n})^{3}(t) \right\|_{0} \|v^{n}(t)\|_{0} \\
= 3 \left(\int_{0}^{L} \left| (u^{n}(t))^{2}(u^{n}(t))_{x} \right|^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} \|v^{n}(t)\|_{0} \\
\leq 3 \|u^{n}(t)\|_{\infty}^{2} \left(\int_{0}^{L} (u^{n}_{x}(t))^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} \|v^{n}(t)\|_{0} \\
\leq 3S(L) \|u^{n}(t)\|_{1}^{2} \|u^{n}_{x}\|_{0} \|v^{n}(t)\|_{0} \\
\leq 3S(L) \left\| \overrightarrow{u}^{n}(t) \right\|_{X}^{2} \left\| \overrightarrow{u}^{n} \right\|_{X'}^{2},$$
(2.4)

where we used in the first equality above that $-A\vec{u}^n \in X$.

Consider now $\tilde{f}(s) = 3S(L)s$, which is a continuous, positive and increasing function on \mathbb{R}^+ . Then by Gronwall's inequality, it follows that

$$\left\| \overrightarrow{u}^{n}(t) \right\|_{X}^{2} \leq \left\| \overrightarrow{u}_{0}^{n} \right\|_{X}^{2} \exp\left[\int_{0}^{t} \widetilde{f}\left(\left\| \overrightarrow{u}^{n}(\tau) \right\|_{X}^{2} \right) d\tau \right], \quad \text{on } [0, T_{n}).$$

$$(2.5)$$

We compare $\|\vec{u}^n(t)\|_X^2$ with the maximal solution y(t)

$$y(t) \equiv \frac{1\left(\sup_{n} \left\| \overrightarrow{u}_{0}^{n} \right\|_{X} \right)^{2}}{1 - 3St\left(\sup_{n} \left\| \overrightarrow{u}_{0}^{n} \right\|_{X} \right)^{2}},$$

$$t \in [0, T_{0}) \equiv \left[0, \frac{1}{3S\left(\sup_{n} \left\| \overrightarrow{u}_{0}^{n} \right\|_{X} \right)^{2}}\right)$$
(2.6)

of the scalar Cauchy problem

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \tilde{f}(y)y,$$

$$y(0) = y_0 = \sup_n \left\| \overline{u}_0^n \right\|_X^2.$$
(2.7)

It follows that

$$\left\| \overrightarrow{u}^{n}(t) \right\|_{X}^{2} \le y(t), \text{ on } [0, T_{n}) \cap [0, T_{0}).$$
 (2.8)

Let $T < T_0$. Then \overrightarrow{u}^n is defined on [0, T] for all *n*. Moreover,

$$\left\| \overrightarrow{u}^n(t) \right\|_X^2 \le C_0 y_0 = K^2 \tag{2.9}$$

on [0, T], where *K* is a constant independent of *n*, since by (2.5), (2.8), and the fact that y(t) is bounded on [0, T], we have the following inequality on [0, T]:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \overrightarrow{u}^{n}(t) \right\|_{X}^{2} &\leq \left\| \overrightarrow{u}_{0}^{n} \right\|_{X}^{2} \exp\left[\int_{0}^{t} \widetilde{f}\left(\left\| \overrightarrow{u}^{n}(\tau) \right\|_{X}^{2} \right) d\tau \right] \\ &\leq \left\| \overrightarrow{u}_{0}^{n} \right\|_{X}^{2} \exp\left[\int_{0}^{T} \widetilde{f}\left(y(\tau) \right) d\tau \right] \leq C_{0}(T) \left\| \overrightarrow{u}_{0}^{n} \right\|_{X}^{2} \leq C_{0}(T) y_{0}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.10)$$

Finally, from (2.9) and standard weak limit arguments, we have the existence of a unique solution $\vec{u}(t) \in C([0,T];X)$.

Proposition 2.2. The unique solution $\vec{u}(t)$ of (1.2) with initial data $\vec{u}(0) = \vec{u}_0$, which is given by Lemma 2.1, satisfies

$$H(\vec{u}(t)) = H(u, v) = constant, 0,$$

$$I(\vec{u}(t)) = I(u, v) = constant, 0.$$
(2.11)

The proof is elementary.

3. Existence of a Smooth Curve of Dnoidal Wave Solutions with a Fixed Period *L* for the System (1.2)

This section is devoted to establish the existence of a smooth curve of periodic travelling wave solutions for the system (1.2), which are solutions of the form

$$\overline{u}(x,t) = (u(x,t), v(x,t)) = (\phi(x-ct), \psi(x-ct)).$$

$$(3.1)$$

Substituting (3.1) in (1.2) leads to the system

$$-c\phi'(\xi) = \psi'(\xi),$$

$$-c\psi'(\xi) = \left(\phi - \phi'' - \phi^3\right)'(\xi),$$

(3.2)

where ' denotes $d/d\xi$ and $\xi = x - ct$. Integrating (3.2), we obtain the nonlinear system

$$-c\phi(\xi) = \psi(\xi) + K_1,$$

$$-c\psi(\xi) = \phi(\xi) - \phi''(\xi) - \phi^3(\xi) + K_2,$$

(3.3)

where K_1, K_2 are integration constants, which will be considered equal to zero here. Then, ϕ must satisfy

$$\phi'' - \omega\phi + \phi^3 = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

where $w = w(c) = 1 - c^2$ will be considered positive.

Next, we show how to construct a smooth curve of solutions for (3.4) with a fixed fundamental period *L*, and depending on the parameter *c*. In order to do this, we first observe from (3.4) that ϕ satisfies the first-order equation

$$(\phi')^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \Big[-\phi^{4} + 2w\phi^{2} + 4B_{\phi} \Big] = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\eta_{1}^{2} - \phi^{2} \Big) \Big(\phi^{2} - \eta_{2}^{2} \Big),$$
(3.5)

where B_{ϕ} is an integration constant and $-\eta_1$, η_1 , $-\eta_2$, η_2 are the real zeros of the polynomial $p_{\phi}(t) = -t^4 + 2\omega t^2 + 4B_{\phi}$, which satisfy the relations

$$2w = \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2,$$

$$4B_{\phi} = -\eta_1^2 \eta_2^2.$$
(3.6)

Moreover, we assume without lost of generality that $\eta_1 > \eta_2 > 0$ and we obtain from (3.5) that $\eta_2 \le \phi \le \eta_1$. By defining $\varphi = \phi/\eta_1$ and $k^2 = (\eta_1^2 - \eta_2^2)/\eta_1^2$, (3.5) becomes $(\varphi')^2 = (\eta_1^2/2)(1 - \varphi^2)(\varphi^2 - 1 + k^2)$. We also impose the crest of the wave to be at $\xi = 0$, that is, $\phi(0) = 1$. Now, we define a further variable φ via the relation $\varphi^2 = 1 - k^2 \sin^2 \varphi$ and so we get that $(\varphi')^2 = (\eta_1^2/2)(1 - k^2 \sin^2 \varphi)$. Then we obtain for $l = \eta_1/\sqrt{2}$ that $\int_0^{\varphi(\xi)} (dt/\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 t}) = l\xi$. Therefore,

from the definition of the Jacobian elliptic function $y = \operatorname{sn}(u; k)$ (see in the appendix or in Byrd and Friedman [11]), we can write the last equality as $\sin \psi = \operatorname{sn}(l\xi; k)$ and hence $\varphi(\xi) = \sqrt{1 - k^2 \operatorname{sn}^2(l\xi; k)} = \operatorname{dn}(l\xi; k)$. Returning to the initial variable, we obtain the called *dnoidal wave solution* associated to (3.4),

$$\phi(\xi) \equiv \phi(\xi; \eta_1, \eta_2) = \eta_1 \mathrm{dn}\left(\frac{\eta_1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi; k\right) \tag{3.7}$$

with

$$k^{2} = \frac{\eta_{1}^{2} - \eta_{2}^{2}}{\eta_{1}^{2}}, \qquad \eta_{1}^{2} + \eta_{2}^{2} = 2w, \quad 0 < \eta_{2} < \eta_{1}.$$
(3.8)

Next, dn has fundamental period 2K, dn(u + 2K;k) = dn(u;k), where K = K(k) represents the complete elliptic integral of the first kind (see appendix); it follows that the dnoidal wave ϕ in (3.7) has fundamental period, T_{ϕ} , given by

$$T_{\phi} \equiv \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\eta_1} K(k). \tag{3.9}$$

Now, we show that $T_{\phi} > \sqrt{2\pi}/\sqrt{w}$. First, we express T_{ϕ} as a function of η_2 and w. In fact, for every $\eta_2 \in (0, \sqrt{w})$, there is a unique $\eta_1 \in (\sqrt{w}, \sqrt{2w})$ satisfying the first relation in (3.6), namely, $\eta_1 = \sqrt{2w - \eta_2^2}$. So, from (3.9) we obtain

$$T_{\phi}(\eta_2, w) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2w - \eta_2^2}} K(k(\eta_2)), \quad \text{with } k^2(\eta_2, w) = \frac{2w - 2\eta_2^2}{2w - \eta_2^2}.$$
(3.10)

Then, by fixing w > 0, we have that $T_{\phi} \to +\infty$ as $\eta_2 \to 0$ and $T_{\phi}(\eta_2) \to (\pi\sqrt{2}/\sqrt{w})$ as $\eta_2 \to \sqrt{w}$. So, since the mapping $\eta_2 \mapsto T_{\phi_w}(\eta_2)$ is strictly decreasing (see proof of Proposition 3.1), it follows that $T_{\phi} > \sqrt{2\pi}/\sqrt{w}$.

Now, we obtain a dnoidal wave solution with period *L*. For $w_0 > 2\pi^2/L^2$, there is a unique $\eta_{2,0} \in (0, \sqrt{w_0})$ such that $T_{\phi}(\eta_{2,0}, w_0) = L$. So, for $\eta_{1,0}$ such that $\eta_{1,0}^2 + \eta_{2,0}^2 = 2w_0$, the dnoidal wave $\phi(\cdot) = \phi(\cdot, \eta_{1,0}, \eta_{2,0})$ has a fundamental period *L* and satisfies (3.4) with $w = w_0$.

By the above analysis the dnoidal wave $\phi(\cdot, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ in (3.7) is completely determined by w and η_2 and will be denoted by $\phi_w(\cdot; \eta_2)$ or ϕ_w .

The next result, which corresponds to Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 in [12], is concerned with the existence of a smooth curve of dnoidal wave solutions for (3.4).

Proposition 3.1. Let L > 0 be arbitrary but fixed. Consider $w_0 > 2\pi^2/L^2$ and the unique $\eta_{2,0} = \eta_2(w_0) \in (0, \sqrt{w_0})$ such that $T_{\phi_{w_0}} = L$. Then,

(1) there exist an interval $\mathcal{O}(w_0)$ around w_0 , an interval $J(\eta_{2,0})$ around $\eta_{2,0}$, and a unique smooth function $\Lambda : \mathcal{O}(w_0) \to J(\eta_{2,0})$ such that $\Lambda(w_0) = \eta_{2,0}$ and

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2w - \eta_2^2}} K(k) = L,$$
(3.11)

where $w \in \mathcal{I}(w_0)$, $\eta_2 = \Lambda(w)$, and $k^2 = k^2(w) \in (0, 1)$ is defined by (3.10);

- (2) the positive dnoidal wave solution in (3.7), $\phi_w(\cdot; \eta_1, \eta_2)$, determined by $\eta_1 \equiv \eta_1(w) = \sqrt{2w \eta_2^2}$, $\eta_2 \equiv \eta_2(w)$, has fundamental period L and satisfies (3.4). Moreover, the mapping $w \in \mathcal{O}(w_0) \rightarrow \phi_w \in H^1_{\text{per}}([0, L])$ is a smooth function;
- (3) $\mathcal{O}(w_0)$ can be chosen as $(2\pi^2/L^2, +\infty)$;
- (4) the mapping $\Lambda : (2\pi^2/L^2, +\infty) \rightarrow J(\eta_{2,0})$ is strictly decreasing.

Proof (see [12]). From this result we conclude the following existence theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let $L > \pi\sqrt{2}$. Then there exists a smooth curve of dnoidal wave solutions for the system (1.2) in $H_{per}^n([0,L]) \times H_{per}^m([0,L])$, $n, m \ge 0$ which satisfy the system (3.3) with integration constants $K_1 = K_2 = 0$; this curve is given, for $w(c) = 1 - c^2$, by

$$c \in \left(-\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}}, \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\pi^2}{L^2}}\right) \longrightarrow \left(\phi_{w(c)}, \psi_{w(c)}\right).$$
(3.12)

Moreover, $\phi_c(\xi) := \phi_{w(c)}(\xi) = \sqrt{2w - \eta_2^2} dn[(\sqrt{2w - \eta_2^2}/\sqrt{2})\xi; k], \ \psi_{w(c)} = -c\phi_{w(c)}, where the smooth function \eta_2 \equiv \eta_2(w(c)) is given by Proposition 3.1 and <math>k = k(w(c))$ by (3.10).

Remark 3.3. $\partial \vec{\phi}_c / \partial c$ is in $H^{\infty}_{\text{per}}([0,L]) \times H^{\infty}_{\text{per}}([0,L])$ as soon as in $H^1_{\text{per}}([0,L]) \times H^1_{\text{per}}([0,L])$. This follows from the equation and a bootstrap argument.

4. Spectral Analysis

In this section, we study the spectral properties associated to the linear operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{c} = H''(\phi_{w(c)}, \psi_{w(c)}) + cI''(\phi_{w(c)}, \psi_{w(c)})$$
(4.1)

determined by the periodic solutions $(\phi_{w(c)}, \psi_{w(c)})$ found in Theorem 3.2. We compute the Hessian operator \mathcal{L}_c by calculating the associated quadratic form, which is denoted by Q_c . By definition, $Q_c(g, h)$ is the coefficient of e^2 in

$$H(\phi_{w(c)} + \epsilon g, \psi_{w(c)} + \epsilon h) + cI(\phi_{w(c)} + \epsilon g, \psi_{w(c)} + \epsilon h),$$
(4.2)

and so is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{c}(g,h) &= \int_{0}^{L} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(g^{2} + g_{x}^{2} + h^{2} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \phi_{w(c)}^{2} g^{2} + cgh \right\} dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{L} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(1 - c^{2} \right) g^{2} + g^{'2} - 3 \phi_{w(c)}^{2} g^{2} \right] + \frac{1}{2} (h + cg)^{2} dx \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &:= Q_{c}^{1}(g) + \frac{1}{2} \left\| h + cg \right\|_{0}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\end{aligned}$$

$$(4.3)$$

Note that Q_c is the sum of the quadratic form Q_c^1 associated to the operator $-(d^2/dx^2)+1-c^2-3\phi_w^2$ and the nonnegative term $(1/2)||h + cg||_0^2$. From (3.2) for the dnoidal wave $(\phi_{w(c)}, \psi_{w(c)})$, it follows that $g = \phi'_{w(c)}$ and $h = \psi'_{w(c)}$ satisfy $\mathcal{L}_c(g, h) = 0$. To see that this is the only eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue zero and the other expected properties of the operator \mathcal{L}_c , we will first consider the following result about the periodic eigenvalue problem:

$$\mathcal{L}_{dn}\xi := \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + w - 3\phi_w^2\right)\xi = \lambda,$$

$$\xi(0) = \xi(L), \xi'(0) = \xi'(L),$$
(4.4)

where ϕ_w is given by Proposition 3.1.

The following result is a consequence of the Floquet theory (Magnus and Winkler [13]) and can be found in [12].

Theorem 4.1. Let \mathcal{L}_{dn} be the linear operator defined on $H^2_{per}([0, L])$ by (4.4). Then the first three eigenvalues β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 of \mathcal{L}_{dn} are simple, and satisfy $\beta_1 < 0 = \beta_2 < \beta_3$, and ϕ' is the eigenfunction of β_2 . Moreover, the rest of the spectrum consists of a discrete set of eigenvalues which are double.

To prove that the kernel of \mathcal{L}_c is spanned by $(d/dx)(\phi_{w(c)}, \psi_{w(c)})$, consider the quadratic form $Q_c(g, h)$ as the pairing of (g, h) against (\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}) in the $H^1_{\text{per}}([0, L]) \times L^2_{\text{per}}([0, L]) - H^{-1}_{\text{per}}([0, L]) \times L^2_{\text{per}}([0, L])$ duality, where $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h})^t$ is the unbounded operator

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_c := \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \partial_{xx} - 3\phi_{w(c)}^2 & c \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.5)

applied to $(g,h)^t$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_c(g,h)^t = 0$ implies

$$-g'' + (1 - c^2)g - 3\phi_{w(c)}^2 g = 0,$$

$$h = -cg.$$
(4.6)

Now, from the properties of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{dn} = -\partial_x^2 + \omega - 3\phi_w^2$ established in Theorem 4.1, it follows that $g = \lambda \phi'_{w(c)}$ and $h = -cg = -c\lambda \phi'_{w(c)} = \lambda \psi'_{w(c)}$, where $\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

To show that there is a single, simple, negative eigenvalue, consider Q_c^1 defined in (4.3) above. By Theorem 4.1, the operator \mathcal{L}_{dn} has exactly one negative eigenvalue which is simple, say λ_0 , with associated eigenfunction $\zeta > 0$. Thus, Q_c^1 achieves a negative value and so does Q_c . In fact, considering $\overline{\zeta} = (\zeta, -c\zeta)$, we have $\mathcal{L}_c(\overline{\zeta}) = Q_c^1(\zeta) + (1/2)||c\zeta - c\zeta||^2 = Q_c^1(\zeta) = (1/2)\lambda_0 < 0$. Denoting by β_0 the lowest eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_c , we will show that the next eigenvalue β_1 is 0, which is known to be simple, and consequently β_2 is in fact strictly positive. These results are proved using the (min-max) Rayley-Ritz characterization of eigenvalues (see [14, 15]), namely,

$$\beta_{1} = \max_{\substack{(\phi_{1},\psi_{1})\in X \\ \langle g,\phi_{1} \rangle_{1} + \langle h,\psi_{1} \rangle_{0} = 0}} \frac{Q_{c}(g,h)}{\|g\|_{1}^{2} + \|h\|_{0}^{2}}.$$
(4.7)

Choosing $\phi_1 = \zeta$, $\psi_1 = 0$, we obtain the lower estimate

$$\beta_{1} \geq \min_{\substack{(g,h)\in X\setminus\{0\}\\\langle g,\xi\rangle_{1}=0}} \frac{Q_{c}(g,h)}{\|g\|_{1}^{2} + \|h\|_{0}^{2}}.$$
(4.8)

The right-hand side of (4.8) is nonnegative on the subspace $\{(g,h) \in X \setminus \{0\}; (g,\zeta)_1 = 0\}$, since $Q_c^1(g) \ge 0$ by Theorem 4.1. Thus, $\beta_1 = 0$ and, from earlier considerations, β_1 is simple and $\beta_2 > 0$.

The above analysis can be summarized in the form of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. Let \mathcal{L}_c be the linear operator defined on $H^2_{\text{per}}([0, L]) \times H^1_{\text{per}}([0, L])$ by (4.1). Then the first two eigenvalues β_0 and β_1 of \mathcal{L}_c are simple and satisfy $\beta_0 < \beta_1 = 0$; $\overrightarrow{\zeta}_c = (\zeta_{1,c}, \zeta_{2,c})$, with $\zeta_{1,c} > 0$ and $\overrightarrow{\phi}'_c$ being the eigenfunctions of β_0 and β_1 , respectively. Moreover, the rest of the spectrum consists of a discrete set of eigenvalues and the mapping $c \to \overrightarrow{\zeta}_c$ is continuous with values in $H^2_{\text{per}}([0, L]) \times H^1_{\text{per}}([0, L])$.

5. Concavity of d(c)

Lemma 5.1. Let $c \in (-1,1)$ and $L > \pi\sqrt{2}$. Then the function d(c) is concave, provided $c^2 < 1/2$ and $1 - c^2 > 2\pi^2/L^2$.

Remark 5.2. Relation (1.8) implies that d''(c) < 0 is equivalent to the condition

$$\frac{d}{dc}I(\phi_{w(c)},\psi_{w(c)}) < 0.$$
(5.1)

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Note that

$$\frac{d}{dc}I(\phi_w,\psi_w) = \frac{d}{dc}\int_0^L \phi_w\psi_w = -\int_0^L \phi_w^2 dx - c\frac{d}{dw} \left[\int_0^L \phi_w^2 dx\right] \frac{dw}{dc}$$
$$= -\int_0^L \phi_w^2 dx + 2c^2 \frac{d}{dw} \left[\int_0^L \phi_w^2 dx\right].$$
(5.2)

Now,

$$\frac{d}{dw}\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{L}\phi_{w}^{2}dx = \frac{4}{L}\frac{d}{dk}[K(k)E(k)]\frac{dk}{dw} > 0.$$
(5.3)

Indeed, we observe from (3.7), (3.8), and (3.11) that

$$\left\|\phi_{w}\right\|^{2} = \sqrt{2}\eta_{1} \int_{0}^{(\eta_{1}/\sqrt{2})L} \mathrm{dn}^{2}(x;k) dx = \frac{8K(k)}{L} \int_{0}^{K} \mathrm{dn}^{2}(x;k) dx,$$
(5.4)

where we used the fact that the Jacobi elliptic function dn has fundamental period 2*K* and is an even function. Now, by using that $\int_0^K \operatorname{cn}^2(x;k) dx = (1/k^2)[E(k) - (k')^2 K(k)]$ and $\operatorname{dn}^2(x;k) = 1 - k^2 + k^2 \operatorname{cn}^2(x;k)$, it follows from (5.4) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \phi_w^2 dx = \frac{4}{L} K(k) E(k).$$
(5.5)

Now, Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 imply that the map $w \to \Lambda(w) \equiv \eta_2(w)$ is strictly decreasing and from (3.10), with $\eta_2 = \eta_2(w)$, we have that

$$\frac{dk}{dw} = \frac{1}{2k} \left[\frac{2\eta_2^2 - 4w\eta_2\eta_2'}{\left(2w - \eta_2^2\right)^2} \right] > 0.$$
(5.6)

Thus, since $k \in (0,1) \rightarrow K(k)E(k)$ is strictly increasing (see Appendix), the claim (5.3) follows from (5.5) and (5.6).

So, from (5.2), (5.3), and (5.5), we get

$$\frac{d}{dc}I(\phi_w,\psi_w) = -\frac{8}{L}K(k)E(k) + \frac{16c^2}{L}\frac{d}{dk}[K(k)E(k)]\frac{dk}{dw}.$$
(5.7)

Now, considering the function Ψ defined by (2.12) in [12] and using (5.6), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial w} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2w - \eta_2^2}(dK/dw)(k(\eta_2, w)) - 2\sqrt{2}K(k(\eta_2, w))(2w - \eta_2^2)^{-(1/2)}}{(2w - \eta_2^2)} \\
= \frac{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2w - \eta_2^2}(dK/dk)(k(\eta_2, w))(\eta_2^2/k(2w - \eta_2^2)^2)}{(2w - \eta_2^2)} \\
- \frac{2\sqrt{2}K(k(\eta_2, w))(2w - \eta_2^2)^{-(1/2)}}{(2w - \eta_2^2)},$$
(5.8)

hence

$$\frac{dk}{dw} = \frac{1}{2k(2w - \eta_2^2)^2} \left\{ 2\eta_2^2 - 4w \left[\frac{k(2w - \eta_2^2)K - \eta_2^2(dK/dk)}{k(2w - \eta_2^2)K - 2w(dK/dk)} \right] \right\} > 0.$$
(5.9)

From (5.7), (5.9), and using that $2w - \eta_2^2 = \eta_2^2 / (k')^2$, we obtain $\theta(L/8)(dI(\phi_w, \psi_w)/dc) = \theta\{-EK + 2c^2((E^2 - k'^2K^2)/kk'^2)(dk/dw)\} = -\theta EK + 2c^2(E^2 - k'^2K^2)K(\eta_2^2 - 2w)(k')^2 = K\{-\eta_2^2E(k^2\eta_2^2K - 2wE + 2wk'^2K)\} + K\{2c^2(E^2 - k'^2K^2)(k')^2(\eta_2^2 - 2w)\}$, or equivalently,

$$\theta \frac{L}{8K} \frac{dI(\phi_w, \psi_w)}{dc} = -\eta_2^2 E \left(k^2 \eta_2^2 K - 2wE + 2wk'^2 K \right) + 2c^2 (k')^2 \left(E^2 - k'^2 K^2 \right) \left(\eta_2^2 - 2w \right)$$
$$= \eta_2^2 \left(-2wk'^2 - \eta_2^2 k^2 \right) EK + \left(2c^2 (k')^2 \eta_2^2 - 4c^2 w (k')^2 + 2w \eta_2^2 \right) E^2$$
$$+ 2c^2 (k')^2 \eta_2^2 K^2,$$
(5.10)

where $\theta = \eta_2^2 (k^2 \eta_2^2 K - 2wE + 2wk'^2 K) < 0.$ Now, given that $(k')^2 = \eta_2^2 / (2w - \eta_2^2)$, we rewrite the coefficient of E^2 in (5.10) as

$$2c^{2}(k')^{2}\eta_{2}^{2} - 4c^{2}w(k')^{2} + 2w\eta_{2}^{2} = 2c^{2}\frac{\eta_{2}^{4}}{2w - \eta_{2}^{2}} - 4c^{2}w\frac{\eta_{2}^{2}}{2w - \eta_{2}^{2}} + 2w\eta_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{2c^{2}\eta_{2}^{4} - 4c^{2}w\eta_{2}^{2} + 4w^{2}\eta_{2}^{2} - 2w\eta_{2}^{4}}{2w - \eta_{2}^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\eta_{2}^{2}(2w - \eta_{2}^{2})(2w - 2c^{2})}{2w - \eta_{2}^{2}} = \eta_{2}^{2}(2w - 2c^{2}).$$
(5.11)

Also, the coefficient of *EK* can be rewritten as $\eta_2^2(-2wk'^2 - \eta_2^2k^2) = 2\eta_2^4$. Thus,

$$\frac{L}{8K}\frac{dI(\phi_w,\psi_w)}{dc} = \frac{-2\eta_2^4 EK + 2\eta_2^2(w-c^2)E^2 + 2c^2(k')^2\eta_2^2K^2}{\eta_2^2(k^2\eta_2^2K - 2wE + 2wk'^2K)}.$$
(5.12)

We remark that we can write w as a function of complete elliptic integrals. In fact, by integrating (3.4) from 0 to L, we obtain

$$w = w(c) = \frac{\int_0^L \phi_w^3(\xi) d\xi}{\int_0^L \phi_w(\xi) d\xi},$$
(5.13)

which is well defined, since the solution ϕ_w is positive.

Now, using (3.7), the expression 314.01 in [11], and the fact that $F(\pi/2; k) = K(k)$ (see Appendix), we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{w}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{0}^{L} \eta_{1} \mathrm{dn}\left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}\xi; k\right) d\xi = \sqrt{2} \int_{0}^{\eta_{1}L/\sqrt{2}} \mathrm{dn}(y; k) dy$$

$$= \sqrt{2} \int_{0}^{2K} \mathrm{dn}(y; k) dy = 2\sqrt{2} \int_{0}^{K} \mathrm{dn}(y; k) dy = \pi\sqrt{2}.$$
(5.14)

Similarly using (3.7), the expression 314.03 in [11], and the special values sn0 = 0, and snK = 1, cnK = 0 (see Appendix), it follows that

$$\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{w}^{3}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{0}^{L} \eta_{1}^{3} dn^{3} \left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}\xi;k\right) d\xi = \sqrt{2}\eta_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{2K} dn^{3}(y;k) d\xi$$
$$= 2\sqrt{2}\eta_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{K} dn^{3}(y;k) d\xi = 16\sqrt{2}\frac{K^{2}}{L^{2}}\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(1+(k')^{2}\right)\frac{\pi}{2}+k^{2}\mathrm{sn}K\mathrm{cn}K\right] \qquad (5.15)$$
$$= 4\pi\sqrt{2}\left(1+(k')^{2}\right)\frac{K^{2}}{L^{2}}.$$

Substituting (5.14) and (5.15) in (5.13), we deduce that

$$w(c) = 1 - c^{2} = 4\left(1 + \left(k'\right)^{2}\right)\frac{K^{2}}{L^{2}}.$$
(5.16)

Using (5.16) and $\eta_2^2 = 2w(k')^2/(1+(k')^2)$, the numerator of (5.12) will be positive if and only if $c^2(k')^2K^2 > (c^2 - w)E^2 + \eta_2^2EK \Leftrightarrow (1-w)(k')^2K^2 > (1-2w)E^2 + (2w(k')^2/(1+(k')^2))EK \Leftrightarrow w[2E^2 - (k')^2K^2 - (2(k')^2/(1+k'^2))EK] > E^2 - (k')^2K^2 \Leftrightarrow w > ((E^2 - (k')^2K^2)/((2E^2 - (k')^2K^2) - (2(k')^2/(1+k'^2))EK)).$

Remark 5.3. $2E^2 - (k')^2 K^2 - (2(k')^2/(1+k'^2))EK > 0$ since the functions EK and E + K are strictly increasing (see Appendix).

claim 1.

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \frac{E^2 - (k')^2 K^2}{2E^2 - (k')^2 K^2 - \left(2(k')^2 / (1 + k'^2)\right) EK} = \frac{2}{5}.$$
(5.17)

Proof of claim. Indeed, denoting by $f(k) := E^2 - (k')^2 K^2$ and by $g(k) := E^2 - (2(k')^2/(1+k'^2))EK$, we use *L*'Hospital's rule to find the limit (5.17). Specifically, we show using (A.3) that

$$\lim_{k \to 0} f^{(j)}(k) = \lim_{k \to 0} g^{(j)}(k) = 0, \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, 3),$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} f^{(4)}(k) = \frac{3\pi^2}{4}, \quad \lim_{k \to 0} g^{(4)}(k) = \frac{9\pi^2}{8},$$
(5.18)

which implies our claim.

Note that, by $\lim_{k\to 1} k^{\prime 2} K^2 = 0$, we have that

$$\lim_{k \to 1} \frac{E^2 - (k')^2 K^2}{E^2 - (k')^2 K^2 + E^2 - \left(2(k')^2 / (1 + k'^2)\right) EK} = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(5.19)

Moreover, $0 < ((E^2 - (k')^2 K^2) / (E^2 - (k')^2 K^2 + E^2 - (2(k')^2 / (1 + k'^2))EK)) < 1/2$ for all $k \in (0, 1)$, since $E^2 - (2k'^2 / (1 + k'^2))K^2 > E^2 - k'^2 K^2$ for all $k \in (0, 1)$. In, addition we get $(1 + k'^2)K > 2E$, since the function $m(k) := (1 + k'^2)K - 2E$ has the following properties: m(0) = 0 and m'(k) > 0 for all $k \in (0, 1)$. We conclude that the function

$$\frac{f(k)}{f(k) + g(k)} = \frac{E^2 - (k')^2 K^2}{E^2 - (k')^2 K^2 + E^2 - \left(2(k')^2 / (1 + k'^2)\right) EK}$$
(5.20)

is strictly positive on [0,1]. Now, continuity plus (5.17) and (5.19) implies that $c_0 := \max_{0 \le k \le 1} (f(k)/(f(k) + g(k)))$ satisfies $0 < c_0 \le 1/2$.

This concludes the lemma.

6. Instability

Consider the function d(c) defined by (1.9). We now examine the relation between concavity properties of d and the properties of the functional H near the critical point $\vec{\phi}_c$ subject to the constraint $I(\vec{u}) = I(\vec{\phi}_c)$.

Theorem 6.1. Let $c \neq 0$ be fixed. If d''(c) < 0, then there exists a curve $w \to \overrightarrow{\Phi}_w$ which satisfies $I(\overrightarrow{\Phi}_w) = I(\overrightarrow{\phi}_c), \ \overrightarrow{\Phi}_c = \overrightarrow{\phi}_c$, and on which $H(\overrightarrow{u})$ has a strict local maximum at $\overrightarrow{u} = \overrightarrow{\phi}_c$.

Proof. We follow the ideas of [3, 8, 9]. Let $\vec{\zeta}_c$ be the unique, negative eigenfunction of \mathcal{L}_c . Define $\vec{\Phi}_w := \vec{\phi}_w + s(w)\vec{\zeta}_c$, for w near c, where s(w) satisfies s(c) = 0 and $I(\vec{\Phi}_w) = I(\vec{\phi}_c)$. The function s(w) can be defined by the implicit function theorem, since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} I\left(\overrightarrow{\phi}_w + s\overrightarrow{\zeta}_c\right)\Big|_{\{s=0,w=c\}} = \int_0^L (\phi_c \zeta_{2,c} + \psi_c \zeta_{1,c}) dx, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\vec{\zeta}_c = (\zeta_{1,c}, \zeta_{2,c})$ with $\zeta_{2,c} = (c/(\beta_0 - 1))\zeta_{1,c}$, and β_0 is the unique negative eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_c and $\psi_c = -c\phi_c$, $\phi_c > 0$. Thus

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}I(\overrightarrow{\phi}_w + s\overrightarrow{\zeta}_c)\Big|_{\{s=0,w=c\}} = -c\left(1 + \frac{1}{1-\beta_0}\right)\int_0^L \phi_c \zeta_{1,c} dx \neq 0.$$
(6.2)

It is easy to see that

$$\left. \frac{d^2}{dw^2} I\left(\overrightarrow{\Phi}_w\right) \right|_{\{w=c\}} = \langle \mathcal{L}_c \overrightarrow{y}, \overrightarrow{y} \rangle, \tag{6.3}$$

where $\vec{y} = \partial \vec{\Phi}_w / \partial w|_{\{w=c\}} = \partial \vec{\phi}_c / \partial c + s'(c) \vec{\zeta}_c$. In fact, by some calculations, we have that

$$d''(c) = -s'(c) \left\langle I'\left(\vec{\phi}_{c}\right), \vec{\xi}_{c} \right\rangle,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{c}\vec{y} = -I'\left(\vec{\phi}_{c}\right) + s'(c)\mathcal{L}_{c}\vec{\xi}_{c},$$
(6.4)

so that

$$\left\langle \mathcal{L}_{c}\overrightarrow{y},\overrightarrow{y}\right\rangle = -s'(c)\left\langle I'\left(\overrightarrow{\phi}_{c}\right),\overrightarrow{\zeta}_{c}\right\rangle + s'(c)^{2}\left\langle \overrightarrow{\zeta}_{c},\mathcal{L}_{c}\overrightarrow{\zeta}_{c}\right\rangle < 0$$

$$(6.5)$$

in view of the fact that d''(c) < 0.

To prove the instability, we need the following lemmas which are proved in [8] and as in the analogous case of [7]; therefore, we state them without proof.

Lemma 6.2. There exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a unique C^1 map $\alpha : U_{\epsilon} \to \mathbb{R}$, such that, for any $\overrightarrow{u} = (u, v) \in U_{\epsilon}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left\langle \overrightarrow{u} \left(\cdot + \alpha(\overrightarrow{u}), \partial_x \overrightarrow{\phi}_c \right) \right\rangle = 0,$$

$$\alpha(\overrightarrow{u}(\cdot + r)) = \alpha(\overrightarrow{u}) - r, \quad modulo \ the \ period,$$

$$\alpha'(\overrightarrow{u}) = \frac{\partial_x \overrightarrow{\phi}_c \left(\cdot - \alpha(\overrightarrow{u}) \right)}{\left\langle \overrightarrow{u}, \partial_x^2 \overrightarrow{\phi}_c \left(\cdot - \alpha(\overrightarrow{u}) \right) \right\rangle},$$
(6.6)

where U_{ϵ} is the "tube"

$$U_{\epsilon} = \left\{ \overrightarrow{u} \in X : \inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \overrightarrow{u} - \tau_{s} \left(\overrightarrow{\phi}_{c} \right) \right\|_{X} < \epsilon \right\}.$$
(6.7)

Definition 6.3. For $\vec{u} \in U_{\epsilon}$, define $B(\vec{u})$ by the formula

$$B(\vec{u}) = \vec{y}(\cdot - \alpha(\vec{u})) - K\partial_x \alpha'(\vec{u}), \qquad (6.8)$$

where $K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

By Lemma 6.2, B may also be expressed as

$$B(\vec{u}) = \vec{y}(\cdot - \alpha(\vec{u})) - \frac{\langle K\vec{y}(\cdot - \alpha(\vec{u})), \vec{u} \rangle}{\langle \partial_x^2 \vec{\phi}_c(\cdot - \alpha(\vec{u})), \vec{u} \rangle} K \partial_x^2 \vec{\phi}_c(\cdot - \alpha(\vec{u})).$$
(6.9)

Lemma 6.4. *B* is a C^1 function from U_{ϵ} into *X*, *B* commutes with translations, $B(\vec{\phi}_c) = \vec{y}$, and $\langle B(\vec{u}), K\vec{u} \rangle = 0$, for any $\vec{u} \in U_{\epsilon}$.

Lemma 6.5. There exists a C^1 function

$$\Pi : \left\{ \overrightarrow{v} \in U_{\varepsilon} : I(\overrightarrow{v}) = I(\overrightarrow{\phi}_{c}) \right\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
(6.10)

which is invariant under translations, such that if $\vec{v} \in U_{\epsilon}$ with $I(\vec{v}) = I(\vec{\phi}_c)$ and \vec{v} not being a translation of $\vec{\phi}_c$, we have

$$H(\vec{\phi}_c) < H(\vec{v}) + \Pi(\vec{v}) \langle H'(\vec{v}), B(\vec{v}) \rangle.$$
(6.11)

Lemma 6.6. The curve $w \to \overrightarrow{\Phi}_w$ constructed in Theorem 6.1 satisfies $H(\overrightarrow{\Phi}_w) < H(\overrightarrow{\phi}_c)$ for $w \neq c$, and $\langle H'(\overrightarrow{\Phi}_w), B(\overrightarrow{\Phi}_w) \rangle$ changes sign as w passes through c, with $c \neq 0$.

6.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2

First, consider $c \neq 0$ with |c| < 1. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given small enough. By Lemma 6.6, we can choose $\vec{u}_0 \in X$ arbitrarily close to $\vec{\phi}_c$ such that $I(\vec{u}_0) = I(\vec{\phi}_c)$, $H(\vec{u}_0) < H(\vec{\phi}_c)$ e $\langle H'(\vec{u}_0) \rangle$, and $B(\vec{u}_0) \rangle > 0$. To prove the instability of $\vec{\phi}_c$, it suffices to show that there are some elements $\vec{u}_0 \in X$ which are close to $\vec{\phi}_c$ but for which the solution \vec{u} of (1.2) with initial data \vec{u}_0 exits from U_e in finite time. Let $[0, t_1)$ denote the maximal interval for which $\vec{u}(\cdot, t)$ lies continuously in U_e . By Lemma 2.1, $t_1 > 0$. Let T be the maximum existence time of solution \vec{u} in (1.1) with initial data \vec{u}_0 . If T is finite, then we have the X-instability for $\vec{\phi}_c$ by definition. So we may assume that $T = +\infty$ and it suffices to show that $t_1 < +\infty$.

In view of Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, \vec{u} has the following properties: $\vec{u} \in C([0,t_1];X), \vec{u}(0,x) = \vec{u}_0(x)$, and $I(\vec{u}(t))$ and $H(\vec{u}(t))$ are constant for $t \in [0,t_1)$.

Let $\rho(t) = \alpha(\overrightarrow{u}(t))$, where α is defined by Lemma 6.2 and define

$$\vec{y}(z) = \frac{d\vec{\Phi}_c}{dc} = \frac{d\vec{\phi}_c}{dc} + s'(c)\vec{\chi}_c,$$

$$\vec{Y}(x) = \int_0^x K\vec{y}(z)dz,$$

$$A(t) = \int_0^L \vec{Y}(x - \rho(t)) \cdot \vec{u}(x,t)dx, \quad \text{for } 0 \le t < t_1,$$

$$\vec{\gamma} = \int_0^L K\vec{y}(x)dx.$$
(6.12)

The function A(t) serves as a Lyapunov function in our argument.

Now we estimate dA/dt. By differentiation,

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = -\rho'(t) \int_0^L K \overrightarrow{y} \left(x - \rho(t) \right) \cdot \overrightarrow{u}(x,t) dx + \int_0^L \overrightarrow{Y} \left(x - \rho(t) \right) \cdot \frac{\partial \overrightarrow{u}}{\partial t}(x,t) dx, \tag{6.13}$$

where $\partial \vec{u} / \partial t$ is in distribution sense. Since $\rho'(t) = d\alpha(\vec{u}(t)) / dt = \langle \alpha'(\vec{u}), (\partial \vec{u} / \partial t) \rangle$,

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = \left\langle -\langle K\vec{y}(\cdot - \rho), \vec{u} \rangle \alpha'(\vec{u}) + \vec{Y}(\cdot - \rho), \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} \right\rangle.$$
(6.14)

Since

$$\frac{d\vec{u}}{dt} = JH'(\vec{u}), \text{ where } J = \partial_x K, \qquad \partial_x \vec{Y} = K\vec{y}, \tag{6.15}$$

it follows that

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = \langle -\langle K\vec{y}(\cdot - \rho(t)), \vec{u} \rangle \partial_x \alpha'(\vec{u}) - K\vec{y}(\cdot - \rho(t)), KH'(\vec{u}) \rangle
= \langle -\langle K\vec{y}(\cdot - \rho(t)), \vec{u} \rangle K \partial_x \alpha'(\vec{u}) - \vec{y}(\cdot - \rho(t)), H'(\vec{u}) \rangle
= -\langle B(\vec{u}), H'(\vec{u}) \rangle.$$
(6.16)

Since

$$0 < H(\vec{\phi}_c) - H(\vec{u}_0) = H(\vec{\phi}_c) - H(\vec{u}(t)), \tag{6.17}$$

Lemma 6.5 implies that

$$0 < \Pi(\vec{u}(t)) \langle B(\vec{u}(t)), H'(\vec{u}(t)) \rangle.$$
(6.18)

By $\langle B(\vec{u}_0), H'(\vec{u}_0) \rangle > 0$ and continuity, we obtain $\langle B(\vec{u}(t)), H'(\vec{u}(t)) \rangle > 0$ for $0 < t < t_1$. Moreover, since $\vec{u}(t) \in U_e$ and $\Pi(\vec{\phi}_c) = 0$, we may assume that $0 < \Pi(\vec{u}(t)) < 1$ for $0 < t < t_1$, by choosing e smaller if necessary. Therefore, for all $t \in [0, t_1)$, by Lemma 6.5,

$$\langle B(\vec{u}(t)), H'(\vec{u}(t)) \rangle \ge \Pi(\vec{u}(t)) \langle B(\vec{u}(t)), H'(\vec{u}(t)) \rangle$$

$$> H(\vec{\phi}_c) - H(\vec{u}(t)) = H(\vec{\phi}_c) - H(\vec{u}_0) > 0.$$
 (6.19)

Hence,

$$-\frac{dA}{dt} \ge H\left(\vec{\phi}_c\right) - H\left(\vec{u}_0\right) > 0.$$
(6.20)

So we conclude that $t_1 < +\infty$.

Finally, consider the case c = 0 and d''(0) < 0.

Let $N = \{c \mid \text{the dnoidal wave } \overrightarrow{\phi}_c \text{ is } X\text{-unstable}\}$. The curve $c \to \overrightarrow{\phi}_c$ is continuous in *X*. From the definition of stability, the set *N* is closed. Since

$$d''(c) = \left\langle I'\left(\vec{\phi}_c\right), \frac{\partial \vec{\phi}_c}{\partial c} \right\rangle$$
(6.21)

by Theorem 3.2, d''(c) is continuous on $(-\sqrt{1-(2\pi^2/L^2)}, \sqrt{1-(2\pi^2/L^2)}) \subset (-1,1)$. For any nonzero sequence $c_n \to 0$, we have $d''(c_n) \to d''(0) < 0$. Hence $d''(c_n) < 0$ for large n. Thus $c_n \in N$, for large n. It follows that $c = 0 \in N$.

Appendix

In this Appendix, we recall some properties of the Jacobian elliptic integrals that have been used in this work (see [11]).

First, we define the normal elliptic integral of the first and second kinds,

$$F(\varphi, k) := \int_{0}^{\varphi} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - k^{2} \sin^{2} \theta}} = \int_{0}^{y} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1 - t^{2})(1 - k^{2} t^{2})}},$$

$$E(\varphi, k) := \int_{0}^{\varphi} \sqrt{1 - k^{2} \sin^{2} \theta} d\theta = \int_{0}^{y} \sqrt{\frac{1 - k^{2} t^{2}}{1 - t^{2}}} dt,$$
(A.1)

respectively, where $y = \sin \varphi$.

In their algebraic forms, these two integrals possess the following properties: the first is finite for all real (or complex) values of y, including infinity; the second has a simple pole of order 1 for $y = \infty$. The number k is called the *modulus*. This number may take any real or imaginary value. Here we wish to take 0 < k < 1. The number k' is called the *complementary modulus* and is related to k by $k' = \sqrt{1 - k^2}$. The variable φ is the *argument* of the normal elliptic integrals. When y = 1, the integrals above are said to be *complete*. In this case, one writes $F(\pi/2, k) \equiv K(k) \equiv K$ and $E(\pi/2, k) \equiv E(k) \equiv E$.

Some special values of *K* and *E* are $K(0) = E(0) = \pi/2$, E(1) = 1 and $K(1) = +\infty$. For $k \in (0, 1)$, one has K'(k) > 0, K''(k) > 0, E'(k) < 0, E''(k) < 0, and E(k) < K(k). Moreover, E(k) + K(k) and E(k)K(k) are strictly increasing functions in (0, 1).

Now, we give some derivatives of the complete elliptic integrals *K* and *E* and some important limits involving these functions, that we used in this work (cf. [16] ou [11]):

$$\frac{dK}{dk} = \frac{E - k^2 K}{kk'^2}, \qquad \frac{dE}{dk} = \frac{E - K}{k},$$

$$\frac{d^2 E}{dk^2} = -\frac{1}{k} \frac{dK}{dk} = -\frac{E - k'^2 K}{k^2 k'^2},$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \frac{E - k'^2 K}{k^2} = \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{K - E}{k^2} = \frac{\pi}{4},$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \frac{dE}{dk} = \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{(E - K)}{k^2} k = 0,$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \frac{dK}{dk} = 0, \qquad \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{d^2 E}{dk^2} = -\lim_{k \to 0} \frac{1}{k} \frac{dK}{dk} = -\frac{\pi}{4},$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \frac{d^2 K}{dk^2} = \lim_{k \to 0} \left[\frac{1}{k'^2} K + \frac{(3k^2 - 1)}{kk'^2} \frac{dK}{dk} \right] = \frac{\pi}{4},$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \frac{d^3 K}{dk^3} = 0, \qquad \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{d^4 E}{dk^4} = -\frac{9\pi}{16}, \qquad \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{d^4 K}{dk^4} = \frac{27\pi}{16},$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{dK}{dk} \right)^2 = \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{1}{k'^4} \frac{\left(E - k'^2 K \right)}{k^2} \frac{dK}{dk} = 0.$$
(A.2)

Remark .7. To see that $\lim_{k\to 0} (d^3K/dk^3) = 0$, we write $K(k) = (\pi/2) \{\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} ((2r)!(2r)!/2^{4r}(r!)^4)k^{2r}\}$ (see [16, page 110]), and then we get

$$\frac{d^{3}K}{dk^{3}} = \frac{\pi}{2}k \left\{ \sum_{r\geq 2} \frac{(2r)!(2r)!}{2^{4r}(r!)^{4}} 2r(2r-1)(2r-2)k^{2r-2} \right\},\tag{A.4}$$

where the series converges absolutely. Actually, denoting by $a_r := ((2r)!(2r)!/2^{4r}(r!)^4)2r(2r-1)(2r-2)k^{2r-2}$, we have $|a_{r+1}|/|a_r| = ((2r+2)^2(2r+1)^3/2^3(r+1)^3(2r-1)(2r-2))k$; then we get $\lim_{r\to\infty} (|a_{r+1}|/|a_r|) < 1$. So, $\lim_{k\to 0} (d^3K/dk^3) = 0$. To see that $\lim_{k\to 0} (d^3E/dk^3) = 0$, we write $E(k) = (\pi/2)\{1 - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} ((2r-2)!(2r)!/(2r))\}$

To see that $\lim_{k\to 0} (d^3E/dk^3) = 0$, we write $E(k) = (\pi/2)\{1 - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} ((2r-2)!(2r)!/2^{4r-1}(r-1)!(r!)^3)k^{2r}\}$ (see [16, page 110]), from which we get

$$\frac{d^{3}E}{dk^{3}} = -\frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ \sum_{r \ge 2} \frac{(2r-2)!(2r)!}{2^{4r-1}(r-1)!(r!)^{3}} 2r(2r-1)(2r-2)k^{2r-3} \right\}.$$
 (A.5)

Proceeding as before, we obtain the desired limit.

To see that $\lim_{k\to 0} (d^4K/dk^4) = 27\pi/16$ and $\lim_{k\to 0} (d^4E/dk^4) = -(9\pi/16)$, differentiating again the series in (A.4) and (A.5), we get $d^4K/dk^4 = (\pi/2) \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} b_r 2r(2r-1)(2r-2)(2r-3)k^{2r-4}$ and $d^4E/dk^4 = -(\pi/2) \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} c_r 2r(2r-1)(2r-2)(2r-3)k^{2r-4}$, where $b_r := ((2r)!(2r)!/2^{4r}(r!)^4)2r(2r-1)(2r-2)(2r-3)k^{2r-4}$ and $c_r := ((2r-2)!(2r)!/2^{4r-1}(r-1)!(r!)^3)2r(2r-1)(2r-2)(2r-3)k^{2r-4}$. It is easy to see that $d^4K/dk^4 \to (\pi/2)b_24! = 27\pi/16$ and $d^4E/dk^4 \to -(\pi/2)c_24! = -(9\pi/16)$, as $k \to 0$.

Acknowledgment

Work partially supported by the FAPESP (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo-Brazil). Grant 2009/14795-0.

References

- J. Boussinesq, "Théorie de l'intumescence liquide appelée onde solitaire ou de translation se propageant dans un canal rectangulaire," *Comptes Rendus*, vol. 72, pp. 755–759, 1871.
- [2] J. Boussinesq, "Théorie des ondes et des remous qui se propagent le long d'un canal rectangulaire horizontal, en communiquant au liquide contenu dans ce canal des vitesses sensiblement pareilles de la surface au fond," *Journal de Mathematiques Pures et Appliquees*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 55–108, 1872.
- [3] J. L. Bona and R. L. Sachs, "Global existence of smooth solutions and stability of solitary waves for a generalized Boussinesq equation," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 118, no. 1, pp. 15–29, 1988.
- [4] Y. Liu, "Instability of solitary waves for generalized Boussinesq equations," Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 537–558, 1993.
- [5] L. K. Arruda, "Nonlinear stability properties of periodic travelling wave solutions of the classical Korteweg-de Vries and Boussinesq equations," *Portugaliae Mathematica*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 225–259, 2009.
- [6] L. K. Arruda, "Orbital stability of a class of periodic travelling wave solutions of the modified Boussinesq equations," preprint.
- [7] J. L. Bona, P. E. Souganidis, and W. A. Strauss, "Stability and instability of solitary waves of Kortewegde Vries type," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A*, vol. 411, no. 1841, pp. 395–412, 1987.
- [8] M. Grillakis, J. Shatah, and W. Strauss, "Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry. I," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 160–197, 1987.
- [9] M. Grillakis, J. Shatah, and W. Strauss, "Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry. II," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 308–348, 1990.
- [10] A. Pazy, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, vol. 44 of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1983.
- [11] P. F. Byrd and M. D. Friedman, Handbook of Elliptic Integrals for Engineers and Scientists, vol. 67 of Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1971.
- [12] J. Angulo, "Nonlinear stability of periodic traveling wave solutions to the Schrödinger and the modified Korteweg-de Vries equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 235, no. 1, pp. 1–30, 2007.
- [13] W. Magnus and S. Winkler, *Hill's Equation*, vol. 20 of *Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1976.
- [14] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, Methods of Mathematical Physics. Vol. II, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1962.
- [15] S. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics: Analysis of Operators, vol. 5, Academic Press, 1978.
- [16] N. I. Akhiezer, Elements of the Theory of Elliptic Functions, vol. 79 of Translations of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1990.