

Research Article

The Monotonicity Results for the Ratio of Certain Mixed Means and Their Applications

Zhen-Hang Yang

Power Supply Service Center, Zhejiang Electric Power Company, Electric Power Research Institute, Hangzhou 310014, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhen-Hang Yang, yzhkm@163.com

Received 22 July 2012; Accepted 26 September 2012

Academic Editor: Edward Neuman

Copyright © 2012 Zhen-Hang Yang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We continue to adopt notations and methods used in the papers illustrated by Yang (2009, 2010) to investigate the monotonicity properties of the ratio of mixed two-parameter homogeneous means. As consequences of our results, the monotonicity properties of four ratios of mixed Stolarsky means are presented, which generalize certain known results, and some known and new inequalities of ratios of means are established.

1. Introduction

Since the Ky Fan [1] inequality was presented, inequalities of ratio of means have attracted attentions of many scholars. Some known results can be found in [2–14]. Research for the properties of ratio of bivariate means was also a hotspot at one time.

In this paper, we continue to adopt notations and methods used in the paper [13, 14] to investigate the monotonicity properties of the functions Q_{if} ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{1f}(p) &:= \frac{g_{1f}(p; a, b)}{g_{1f}(p; c, d)}, \\ Q_{2f}(p) &:= \frac{g_{2f}(p; a, b)}{g_{2f}(p; c, d)}, \\ Q_{3f}(p) &:= \frac{g_{3f}(p; a, b)}{g_{3f}(p; c, d)}, \\ Q_{4f}(p) &:= \frac{g_{4f}(p; a, b)}{g_{4f}(p; c, d)}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$g_{1f}(p) = g_{1f}(p; a, b) := \sqrt{\mathcal{H}_f(p, q)\mathcal{H}_f(2k - p, q)}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$g_{2f}(p) = g_{2f}(p; a, b) := \sqrt{\mathcal{H}_f(p, p + m)\mathcal{H}_f(2k - p, 2k - p + m)}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$g_{3f}(p) = g_{3f}(p; a, b) := \sqrt{\mathcal{H}_f(p, 2m - p)\mathcal{H}_f(2k - p, 2m - 2k + p)}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$g_{4f}(p) = g_{4f}(p; a, b) := \sqrt{\mathcal{H}_f(pr, ps)\mathcal{H}_f((2k - p)r, (2k - p)s)}, \quad (1.5)$$

the $q, r, s, k, m \in \mathbb{R}$, $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $b/a > d/c \geq 1$, $\mathcal{H}_f(p, q)$ is the so-called two-parameter homogeneous functions defined by [15, 16]. For conveniences, we record it as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let $f: \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \{(x, x), x \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a first-order homogeneous continuous function which has first partial derivatives. Then, $\mathcal{H}_f: \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called a homogeneous function generated by f with parameters p and q if \mathcal{H}_f is defined by for $a \neq b$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_f(p, q; a, b) &= \left(\frac{f(a^p, b^p)}{f(a^q, b^q)} \right)^{1(p-q)}, \quad \text{if } pq(p-q) \neq 0, \\ \mathcal{H}_f(p, p; a, b) &= \exp\left(\frac{a^p f_x(a^p, b^p) \ln a + b^p f_y(a^p, b^p) \ln b}{f(a^p, b^p)} \right), \quad \text{if } p = q \neq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where $f_x(x, y)$ and $f_y(x, y)$ denote first-order partial derivatives with respect to first and second component of $f(x, y)$, respectively.

If $\lim_{y \rightarrow x} f(x, y)$ exists and is positive for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then further define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_f(p, 0; a, b) &= \left(\frac{f(a^p, b^p)}{f(1, 1)} \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{if } p \neq 0, q = 0, \\ \mathcal{H}_f(0, q; a, b) &= \left(\frac{f(a^q, b^q)}{f(1, 1)} \right)^{1/q}, \quad \text{if } p = 0, q \neq 0, \\ \mathcal{H}_f(0, 0; a, b) &= a^{f_x(1,1)/f(1,1)} b^{f_y(1,1)/f(1,1)}, \quad \text{if } p = q = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

and $\mathcal{H}_f(p, q; a, a) = a$.

Remark 1.2. Witkowski [17] proved that if the function $(x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y)$ is a symmetric and first-order homogeneous function, then for all p, q $\mathcal{H}_f(p, q; a, b)$ is a mean of positive numbers a and b if and only if f is increasing in both variables on \mathbb{R}_+ . In fact, it is easy to see that the condition “ $f(x, y)$ is symmetric” can be removed.

If $\mathcal{H}_f(p, q; a, b)$ is a mean of positive numbers a and b , then it is called two-parameter homogeneous mean generated by f .

For simpleness, $\mathcal{H}_f(p, q; a, b)$ is also denoted by $\mathcal{H}_f(p, q)$ or $\mathcal{H}_f(a, b)$.

The two-parameter homogeneous function $\mathcal{H}_f(p, q; a, b)$ generated by f is very important because it can generates many well-known means. For example, substituting

$L = L(x, y) = (x - y) / (\ln x - \ln y)$ if $x, y > 0$ with $x \neq y$ and $L(x, x) = x$ for f yields Stolarsky means $\mathcal{L}_L(p, q; a, b) = S_{p,q}(a, b)$ defined by

$$S_{p,q}(a, b) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{q a^p - b^p}{p a^q - b^q} \right)^{1/(p-q)}, & \text{if } pq(p - q) \neq 0, \\ L^{1/p}(a^p, b^p), & \text{if } p \neq 0, q = 0, \\ L^{1/q}(a^q, b^q), & \text{if } q \neq 0, p = 0, \\ I^{1/p}(a^p, b^p), & \text{if } p = q \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{ab}, & \text{if } p = q = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where $I(x, y) = e^{-1}(x^x/y^y)^{1/(x-y)}$ if $x, y > 0$, with $x \neq y$, and $I(x, x) = x$ is the identric (exponential) mean (see [18]). Substituting $A = A(x, y) = (x + y)/2$ for f yields Gini means $\mathcal{L}_A(p, q; a, b) = G_{p,q}(a, b)$ defined by

$$G_{p,q}(a, b) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{a^q + b^q} \right)^{1/(p-q)}, & \text{if } p \neq q, \\ Z^{1/p}(a^p, b^p), & \text{if } p = q, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

where $Z(a, b) = a^{a/(a+b)}b^{b/(a+b)}$ (see [19]).

As consequences of our results, the monotonicity properties of four ratios of mixed Stolarsky means are presented, which generalize certain known results, and some known and new inequalities of ratios of means are established.

2. Main Results and Proofs

In [15, 16, 20], two decision functions play an important role, that are,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(x, y) &= \frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y} = (\ln f(x, y))_{xy} = (\ln f)_{xy}, \\ \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}(x, y) &= (x - y) \frac{\partial(x\mathcal{O})}{\partial x} = (x - y)(x\mathcal{O})_x. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

In [14], it is important to another key decision function defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_3(x, y) := -xy(x\mathcal{O})_x \ln^3\left(\frac{x}{y}\right), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{O} = (\ln f)_{xy}, \quad x = a^t, \quad y = b^t. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that the function T defined by

$$T(t) := \ln f(a^t, b^t), \quad t \neq 0 \quad (2.3)$$

has well properties (see [15, 16]). And it has shown in [14, (3.4)], [16, Lemma 4] the relation among $T'''(t)$, $\mathcal{J}(x, y)$ and $\mathcal{T}_3(x, y)$:

$$T'''(t) = t^{-3}\mathcal{T}_3(x, y), \quad \text{where } x = a^t, \quad y = b^t, \quad (2.4)$$

$$T'''(t) = -Ct^{-3}\mathcal{J}(x, y), \quad \text{where } C = xy(x - y)^{-1}(\ln x - \ln y)^3 > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, it has revealed in [14, (3.5)] that

$$\mathcal{T}_3(x, y) = \mathcal{T}_3\left(\frac{x}{y}, 1\right) = \mathcal{T}_3\left(1, \frac{y}{x}\right). \quad (2.6)$$

Now, we observe the monotonicities of ratio of certain mixed means defined by (1.1).

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a symmetric, first-order homogenous, and three-time differentiable function, and $\mathcal{T}_3(1, u)$ strictly increase (decrease) with $u > 1$ and decrease (increase) with $0 < u < 1$. Then, for any $a, b, c, d > 0$ with $b/a > d/c \geq 1$ and fixed $q \geq 0, k \geq 0$, but q, k are not equal to zero at the same time, Q_{1f} is strictly increasing (decreasing) in p on (k, ∞) and decreasing (increasing) on $(-\infty, k)$.*

The monotonicity of Q_{1f} is converse if $q \leq 0, k \leq 0$, but q, k are not equal to zero at the same time.

Proof. Since $f(x, y) > 0$ for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$, so $T'(t)$ is continuous on $[p, q]$ or $[q, p]$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$, then (2.13) in [13] holds. Thus we have

$$\ln g_{1f}(p) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \mathcal{L}_f(p, q) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \mathcal{L}_f(2k - p, q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 T'(t_{11}) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 T'(t_{12}) dt, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$t_{12} = tp + (1 - t)q, \quad t_{11} = t(2k - p) + (1 - t)q. \quad (2.8)$$

Partial derivative leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (\ln g_{1f}(p))' &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 tT''(t_{12}) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 tT''(t_{11}) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 tT''(|t_{12}|) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 tT''(|t_{11}|) dt \quad (\text{by [13], (2.7)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 t \int_{|t_{11}|}^{|t_{12}|} T'''(v) dv dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\ln Q_{1f}(p))' &= (\ln g_{1f}(p; a, b))' - (\ln g_{1f}(p; c, d))' \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 t \int_{|t_{11}|}^{|t_{12}|} T'''(v) dv dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 t \int_{|t_{11}|}^{|t_{12}|} T'''(v; c, d) dv dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 t(|t_{12}| - |t_{11}|) \frac{\int_{|t_{11}|}^{|t_{12}|} (T'''(v; a, b) - T'''(v; c, d)) dv}{|t_{12}| - |t_{11}|} dt \\
 &:= \int_0^1 t(|t_{12}| - |t_{11}|) h(|t_{11}|, |t_{12}|) dt,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$h(x, y) := \begin{cases} \frac{\int_x^y (T'''(v; a, b) - T'''(v; c, d)) dv}{y - x}, & \text{if } x \neq y, \\ T'''(x; a, b) - T'''(x; c, d), & \text{if } x = y. \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

Since $\mathcal{T}_3(1, u)$ strictly increase (decrease) with $u > 1$ and decrease (increase) with $0 < u < 1$, (2.4) and (2.6) together with $b/a > d/c \geq 1$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 T'''(v; a, b) - T'''(v; c, d) &= v^{-3} (\mathcal{T}_3(a^v, b^v) - \mathcal{T}_3(c^v, d^v)) \\
 &= v^{-3} \left(\mathcal{T}_3 \left(1, \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^v \right) - \mathcal{T}_3 \left(1, \left(\frac{d}{c} \right)^v \right) \right) > (<)0, \quad \text{for } v > 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

and therefore $h(x, y) > (<)0$ for $x, y > 0$. Thus, in order to prove desired result, it suffices to determine the sign of $(|t_{12}| - |t_{11}|)$. In fact, if $q \geq 0, k \geq 0$, then for $t \in [0, 1]$

$$|t_{12}| - |t_{11}| = \frac{t_{12}^2 - t_{11}^2}{|t_{12}| + |t_{11}|} = 4t \frac{q(1-t) + kt}{t_{12} + t_{11}} (p - k) = \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } p > k, \\ < 0, & \text{if } p < k. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

It follows that

$$(\ln Q_{1f}(p))' = \begin{cases} > (<)0, & \text{if } p > k, \\ < (>)0, & \text{if } p < k. \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

Clearly, the monotonicity of Q_{1f} is converse if $q \leq 0, k \leq 0$.

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.2. *The conditions are the same as those of Theorem 2.1. Then, for any $a, b, c, d > 0$ with $b/a > d/c \geq 1$ and fixed m, k with $k \geq 0, k + m \geq 0$, but m, k are not equal to zero at the same time, Q_{2f} is strictly increasing (decreasing) in p on (k, ∞) and decreasing (increasing) on $(-\infty, k)$.*

The monotonicity of Q_{2f} is converse if $k \leq 0$ and $k + m \leq 0$, but m, k are not equal to zero at the same time.

Proof. By (2.13) in [13] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln g_{2f}(p) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \mathcal{L}_f(p, p+m) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \mathcal{L}_f(2k-p, 2k-p+m) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 T'(t_{22}) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 T'(t_{21}) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$t_{22} = tp + (1-t)(p+m), \quad t_{21} = t(2k-p) + (1-t)(2k-p+m). \quad (2.16)$$

Direct calculation leads to

$$(\ln g_{2f}(p))' = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 T''(t_{22}) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 T''(t_{21}) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{|t_{21}|}^{|t_{22}|} T'''(v) dv dt, \quad (2.17)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} (\ln Q_{2f}(p))' &= (\ln g_{2f}(p; a, b))' - (\ln g_{2f}(p; c, d))' \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{|t_{21}|}^{|t_{22}|} T'''(v; a, b) dv dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{|t_{21}|}^{|t_{22}|} T'''(v; c, d) dv dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (|t_{22}| - |t_{21}|) h(|t_{21}|, |t_{22}|) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where $h(x, y)$ is defined by (2.11). As shown previously, $h(x, y) > (<)0$ for $x, y > 0$ if $\mathcal{T}_3(1, u)$ strictly increase (decrease) with $u > 1$ and decrease (increase) with $0 < u < 1$; it remains to determine the sign of $(|t_{22}| - |t_{21}|)$. It is easy to verify that if $k \geq 0$ and $k + m \geq 0$, then

$$|t_{22}| - |t_{21}| = \frac{t_{22}^2 - t_{21}^2}{|t_{22}| + |t_{21}|} = 4 \frac{k + m(1-t)}{|t_{22}| + |t_{21}|} (p-k) = \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } p > k, \\ < 0, & \text{if } p < k. \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

Thus, we have

$$(\ln Q_{2f}(p))' = \begin{cases} > (<)0, & \text{if } p > k, \\ < (>)0, & \text{if } p < k. \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

Clearly, the monotonicity of Q_{2f} is converse if $k \leq 0$ and $k + m \leq 0$.

The proof ends. \square

Theorem 2.3. *The conditions are the same as those of Theorem 2.1. Then, for any $a, b, c, d > 0$ with $b/a > d/c \geq 1$ and fixed $m > 0$, $0 \leq k \leq 2m$, Q_{3f} is strictly increasing (decreasing) in p on (k, ∞) and decreasing (increasing) on $(-\infty, k)$.*

The monotonicity of Q_{2f} is converse if $m < 0$, $2m \leq k \leq 0$.

Proof. From (2.13) in [13], it is derived that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln g_{3f}(p) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \mathcal{L}_f(p, 2m - p) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \mathcal{L}_f(2k - p, 2m - 2k + p) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 T'(t_{32}) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 T'(t_{31}) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$t_{32} = (tp + (1-t)(2m-p)), \quad t_{31} = (t(2k-p) + (1-t)(2m-2k+p)). \quad (2.22)$$

Simple calculation yields

$$(\ln g_{3f}(p))' = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (2t-1)(T''(t_{32}) - T''(t_{31})) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (2t-1) \int_{|t_{31}|}^{|t_{32}|} T'''(v; a, b) dv dt. \quad (2.23)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (\ln Q_{3f}(p))' &= (\ln g_{3f}(p; a, b))' - (\ln g_{3f}(p; c, d))' \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (2t-1) \int_{|t_{31}|}^{|t_{32}|} (T'''(v; a, b) - T'''(v; c, d)) dv dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (2t-1)(|t_{32}| - |t_{31}|) h(|t_{31}|, |t_{32}|) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

where $h(x, y)$ is defined by (2.11). It has shown that $h(x, y) > (<)0$ for $x, y > 0$ if $\mathcal{T}_3(1, u)$ strictly increase (decrease) with $u > 1$ and decrease (increase) with $0 < u < 1$, and we have also to check the sign of $(2t-1)(|t_{32}| - |t_{31}|)$. Easy calculation reveals that if $m > 0$, $0 \leq k \leq 2m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (2t-1)(|t_{32}| - |t_{31}|) &= (2t-1) \frac{(t_{32}^2 - t_{31}^2)}{|t_{32}| + |t_{31}|} \\ &= 4(2t-1)^2 \frac{tk + (1-t)(2m-k)}{|t_{32}| + |t_{31}|} (p-k) \\ &= \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } p > k, \\ < 0, & \text{if } p < k, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

which yields

$$(\ln Q_{3f}(p))' = \begin{cases} > (<)0, & \text{if } p > k, \\ < (>)0, & \text{if } p < k. \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

It is evident that the monotonicity of Q_{3f} is converse if $m < 0, 2m \leq k \leq 0$.

Thus the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.4. *The conditions are the same as those of Theorem 2.1. Then, for any $a, b, c, d > 0$ with $b/a > d/c \geq 1$ and fixed $k, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r + s \neq 0$, Q_{4f} is strictly increasing (decreasing) in p on (k, ∞) and decreasing (increasing) on $(-\infty, k)$ if $k(r + s) > 0$.*

The monotonicity of Q_{4f} is converse if $k(r + s) < 0$.

Proof. By (2.13) in [13], $\ln \mathcal{L}_f(pr, ps)$ can be expressed in integral form

$$\ln \mathcal{L}_f(pr, ps) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{r-s} \int_s^r T'(pt) dt, & \text{if } r \neq s, \\ T'(pr), & \text{if } r = s. \end{cases} \quad (2.27)$$

The case $r = s \neq 0$ has no interest since it can come down to the case of $m = 0$ in Theorem 2.2. Therefore, we may assume that $r \neq s$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln g_{4f}(p) &= \ln \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_f(pr, ps) \mathcal{L}_f((2k-p)r, (2k-p)s)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r-s} \int_s^r T'(pt) dt + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r-s} \int_s^r T'((2k-p)t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} (\ln g_{4f}(p))' &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r-s} \int_s^r t T''(pt) dt - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r-s} \int_s^r t T''((2k-p)t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r-s} \int_s^r t (T''(pt) - T''((2k-p)t)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Note that $T''(t)$ is even (see [13, (2.7)]) and so $t(T''(pt) - T''((2k-p)t))$ is odd, then make use of Lemma 3.3 in [13], $(\ln g_{4f}(p))'$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} (\ln g_{4f}(p))' &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{r+s}{|r|-|s|} \int_{|s|}^{|r|} t (T''(|pt|) - T''(|(2k-p)t|)) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{r+s}{|r|-|s|} \int_{|s|}^{|r|} t \int_{|t_{41}|}^{|t_{42}|} T'''(v) dv dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

where

$$t_{42} = pt, \quad t_{41} = (2k - p)t. \tag{2.31}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (\ln Q_{4f}(p))' &= (\ln g_{4f}(p; a, b))' - (\ln g_{4f}(p; c, d))' \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{r+s}{|r|-|s|} \int_{|s|}^{|r|} t \int_{|t_{41}|}^{|t_{42}|} (T'''(v; a, b) - T'''(v; c, d)) dv dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{r+s}{|r|-|s|} \int_{|s|}^{|r|} t(|t_{42}| - |t_{41}|)h(|t_{41}|, |t_{42}|) dt, \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

where $h(x, y)$ is defined by (2.11). We have shown that $h(x, y) > (<)0$ for $x, y > 0$ if $\mathcal{T}_3(1, u)$ strictly increase (decrease) with $u > 1$ and decrease (increase) with $0 < u < 1$, and we also have

$$\operatorname{sgn}(|t_{42}| - |t_{41}|) = \operatorname{sgn}(t_{42}^2 - t_{41}^2) = \operatorname{sgn}(k) \operatorname{sgn}(p - k). \tag{2.33}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sgn} Q'_{4f}(p) &= \operatorname{sgn}(r+s) \operatorname{sgn}(k) \operatorname{sgn}(p-k) \operatorname{sgn} h(|t_{41}|, |t_{42}|) \\ &= \begin{cases} > (<)0, & \text{if } k(r+s) > 0, p > k, \\ < (>)0, & \text{if } k(r+s) > 0, p < k, \\ < (>)0, & \text{if } k(r+s) < 0, p > k, \\ > (<)0, & \text{if } k(r+s) < 0, p < k. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

This proof is accomplished. □

3. Applications

As shown previously, $S_{p,q}(a, b) = \mathcal{H}_L(p, q; a, b)$, where $L = L(x, y)$ is the logarithmic mean. Also, it has been proven in [14] that $\mathcal{T}'_3(1, u) < 0$ if $u > 1$ and $\mathcal{T}'_3(1, u) > 0$ if $0 < u < 1$. From the applications of Theorems 2.1–2.4, we have the following.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $a, b, c, d > 0$ with $b/a > d/c \geq 1$. Then, the following four functions are all strictly decreasing (increasing) on (k, ∞) and increasing (decreasing) on $(-\infty, k)$:*

(i) Q_{1L} is defined by

$$Q_{1L}(p) = \frac{\sqrt{S_{p,q}(a, b)S_{2k-p,q}(a, b)}}{\sqrt{S_{p,q}(c, d)S_{2k-p,q}(c, d)}}, \tag{3.1}$$

for fixed $q \geq (\leq)0$, $k \geq (\leq)0$, but q, k are not equal to zero at the same time,

(ii) Q_{2L} is defined by

$$Q_{2L}(p) = \frac{\sqrt{S_{p,p+m}(a,b)S_{2k-p,2k-p+m}(a,b)}}{\sqrt{S_{p,p+m}(c,d)S_{2k-p,2k-p+m}(c,d)}}, \quad (3.2)$$

for fixed m, k with $k \geq (\leq) 0$ and $k + m \geq (\leq) 0$, but m, k are not equal to zero at the same time,

(iii) Q_{3L} is defined by

$$Q_{3L}(p) = \frac{\sqrt{S_{p,2m-p}(a,b)S_{2k-p,2m-2k+p}(a,b)}}{\sqrt{S_{p,2m-p}(c,d)S_{2k-p,2m-2k+p}(c,d)}}, \quad (3.3)$$

for fixed $m > (<) 0$, $k \in [0, 2m]$ ($[2m, 0]$).

(iv) Q_{4L} is defined by

$$Q_{4L}(p) = \frac{\sqrt{S_{pr,ps}(a,b)S_{(2k-p)r,(2k-p)s}(a,b)}}{\sqrt{S_{pr,ps}(c,d)S_{(2k-p)r,(2k-p)s}(c,d)}}, \quad (3.4)$$

for fixed $k, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $k(r + s) > (<) 0$.

Remark 3.2. Letting in the first result of Corollary 3.1, $q = k$ yields Theorem 3.4 in [13] since $\sqrt{S_{p,k}S_{2k-p,k}} = S_{p,2k-p}$. Letting $q = 1$, $k = 0$ yields

$$\frac{G(a,b)}{G(c,d)} = Q_{1L}(\infty) < \frac{\sqrt{S_{p,1}(a,b)S_{-p,1}(a,b)}}{\sqrt{S_{p,1}(c,d)S_{-p,1}(c,d)}} < Q_{1L}(0) = \frac{L(a,b)}{L(c,d)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Inequalities (3.5) in the case of $d = c$ were proved by Alzer in [21]. By letting $q = 1$, $k = 1/2$ from $Q_{1L}(1/2) > Q_{1L}(1) > Q_{1L}(2)$, we have

$$\frac{A(a,b) + G(a,b)}{A(c,d) + G(c,d)} > \frac{\sqrt{L(a,b)I(a,b)}}{\sqrt{L(c,d)I(c,d)}} > \frac{\sqrt{A(a,b)G(a,b)}}{\sqrt{A(c,d)G(c,d)}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Inequalities (3.6) in the case of $d = c$ are due to Alzer [22].

Remark 3.3. Letting in the second result of Corollary 3.1, $m = 1$, $k = 0$ yields Cheung and Qi's result (see [23, Theorem 2]). And we have

$$\frac{G(a,b)}{G(c,d)} = Q_{2L}(\infty) < \frac{\sqrt{S_{p,p+1}(a,b)S_{-p,-p+1}(a,b)}}{\sqrt{S_{p,p+1}(c,d)S_{-p,-p+1}(c,d)}} < Q_{2L}(0) = \frac{L(a,b)}{L(c,d)}. \quad (3.7)$$

When $d = c$, inequalities (3.7) are changed as Alzer's ones given in [24].

Remark 3.4. In the third result of Corollary 3.1, letting $k = m$ also leads to Theorem 3.4 in [13]. Put $m = 1/2, k = 1/4$. Then from $Q_{3L}(1/4) > Q_{3L}(1/2)$, we obtain a new inequality

$$\frac{He_{1/2}(a, b)}{He_{1/2}(c, d)} > \frac{\sqrt{L(a, b)I_{1/2}(a, b)}}{\sqrt{L(c, d)I_{1/2}(c, d)}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Putting $m = 1/2, k = 1/3$ leads to another new inequality

$$\frac{A_{1/3}(a, b)}{A_{1/3}(c, d)} > \frac{\sqrt{S_{1/6,5/6}(a, b)I_{1/2}(a, b)}}{\sqrt{S_{1/6,5/6}(c, d)I_{1/2}(c, d)}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Remark 3.5. Letting in the third result of Corollary 3.1, $k = 1/2$ and $(r, s) = (1, 0), (1, 1), (2, 1)$, and we deduce that all the following three functions

$$p \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{L_p(a, b)L_{1-p}(a, b)}}{\sqrt{L_p(c, d)L_{1-p}(c, d)}}, \quad p \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{I_p(a, b)I_{1-p}(a, b)}}{\sqrt{I_p(c, d)I_{1-p}(c, d)}}, \quad p \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{A_p(a, b)A_{1-p}(a, b)}}{\sqrt{A_p(c, d)A_{1-p}(c, d)}}, \tag{3.10}$$

are strictly decreasing on $(1/2, \infty)$ and increasing on $(-\infty, 1/2)$, where $L_p = L^{1/p}(a^p, b^p)$, $I_p = I^{1/p}(a^p, b^p)$, and $A_p = A^{1/p}(a^p, b^p)$ are the p -order logarithmic, identric (exponential), and power mean, respectively, particularly, so are the functions $\sqrt{L_p L_{1-p}}, \sqrt{I_p I_{1-p}}, \sqrt{A_p A_{1-p}}$.

4. Other Results

Let $d = c$ in Theorems 2.1–2.4. Then, $\mathcal{H}_f(p, q; c, d) = c$ and $T'''(t; c, c) = 0$. From the their proofs, it is seen that the condition “ $\mathcal{T}_3(1, u)$ strictly increases (decreases) with $u > 1$ and decreases (increases) with $0 < u < 1$ ” can be reduce to “ $T'''(v) > (<)0$ for $v > 0$ ”, which is equivalent with $\mathcal{J} = (x - y)(x\mathcal{J})_x < (>)0$, where $\mathcal{J} = (\ln f)_{xy}$, by (2.4). Thus, we obtain critical theorems for the monotonicities of $g_{if}, i = 1 - 4$, defined as (1.2)–(1.5).

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a symmetric, first-order homogenous, and three-time differentiable function and $\mathcal{J} = (x - y)(x\mathcal{J})_x < (>)0$, where $\mathcal{J} = (\ln f)_{xy}$. Then, for $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, the following four functions are strictly increasing (decreasing) in p on (k, ∞) and decreasing (increasing) on $(-\infty, k)$:

- (i) g_{1f} is defined by (1.2), for fixed $q, k \geq 0$, but q, k are not equal to zero at the same time;
- (ii) g_{2f} is defined by (1.3), for fixed m, k with $k \geq 0$ and $k + m \geq 0$, but m, k are not equal to zero at the same time;
- (iii) g_{3f} is defined by (1.4), for fixed $m > 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq 2m$;
- (iv) g_{4f} is defined by (1.5), for fixed $k, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $k(r + s) > 0$.

If f is defined on $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \{(x, x), x \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$, then $T'(t)$ may be not continuous at $t = 0$, and (2.13) in [13] may not hold for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ but must be hold for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_+$. And then, we easily derive the following from the proofs of Theorems 2.1–2.4.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \{(x, x), x \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a symmetric, first-order homogenous and three-time differentiable function and $\mathcal{Q} = (x - y)(x\mathcal{D})_x < (>)0$, where $\mathcal{D} = (\ln f)_{xy}$. Then for $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ the following four functions are strictly increasing (decreasing) in p on $(k, 2k)$ and decreasing (increasing) on $(0, k)$:

- (i) g_{1f} is defined by (1.2), for fixed $q, k > 0$;
- (ii) g_{2f} is defined by (1.3), for fixed m, k with $k > 0$ and $k + m > 0$;
- (iii) g_{3f} is defined by (1.4), for fixed $m > 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq 2m$;
- (iv) g_{4f} is defined by (1.5), for fixed $k, r, s > 0$.

If we substitute L, A , and I for f , where L, A , and I denote the logarithmic, arithmetic, and identric (exponential) mean, respectively, then from Theorem 4.1, we will deduce some known and new inequalities for means. Similarly, letting in Theorem 4.2 $f(x, y) = D(x, y) = |x - y|, K(x, y) = (x + y)|\ln(x/y)|$, where $x, y > 0$ with $x \neq y$, we will obtain certain companion ones of those known and new ones. Here no longer list them.

Disclosure

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

References

- [1] E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1961.
- [2] W. L. Wang, G. X. Li, and J. Chen, "Some inequalities of ratio of means," *Journal of Chéndū University of Science and Technology*, vol. 1988, no. 6, pp. 83–88, 1988.
- [3] J. Chen and Z. Wang, "The Heron mean and the power mean inequalities," *Hunan Bulletin of Mathematics*, vol. 1988, no. 2, pp. 15–16, 1988 (Chinese).
- [4] C. E. M. Pearce and J. Pečarić, "On the ration of Logarithmic means," *Anzeiger der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche*, vol. 131, pp. 39–44, 1994.
- [5] C. P. Chen and F. Qi, "Monotonicity properties for generalized logarithmic means," *Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 1, no. 2, article 2, 2004.
- [6] F. Qi, S. X. Chen, and C. P. Chen, "Monotonicity of ratio between the generalized logarithmic means," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 559–564, 2007.
- [7] F. Qi and S. X. Chen, "Complete monotonicity of the logarithmic mean," *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 799–804, 2007.
- [8] E. Neuman and J. Sándor, "Inequalities for the ratios of certain bivariate means," *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 383–396, 2008.
- [9] C. P. Chen, "The monotonicity of the ratio between generalized logarithmic means," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 345, no. 1, pp. 86–89, 2008.
- [10] C. P. Chen, "Stolarsky and Gini means," *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, vol. 11, no. 4, article 11, 2008.
- [11] C. P. Chen, "The monotonicity of the ratio between Stolarsky means," *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, vol. 11, no. 4, article 15, 2008.
- [12] L. Losonczi, "Ratio of Stolarsky means: Monotonicity and comparison," *Publicationes Mathematicae*, vol. 75, no. 1-2, article 18, pp. 221–238, 2009.
- [13] Z. H. Yang, "Some monotonicity results for the ratio of two-parameter symmetric homogeneous functions," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2009, Article ID 591382, 12 pages, 2009.
- [14] Z. H. Yang, "Log-convexity of ratio of the two-parameter symmetric homogeneous functions and an application," *Journal of Inequalities and Special Functions*, no. 11, pp. 16–29, 2010.
- [15] Z. H. Yang, "ON the homogeneous functions with two parameters and its monotonicity," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 4, article 101, 2005.

- [16] Z. H. Yang, "On the log-convexity of two-parameter homogeneous functions," *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 499–516, 2007.
- [17] A. Witkowski, "On two- and four-parameter families," *RGMA Research Report Collection*, vol. 12, no. 1, article 3, 2009.
- [18] K. B. Stolarsky, "Generalizations of the Logarithmic Mean," *Mathematics Magazine*, vol. 48, pp. 87–92, 1975.
- [19] C. Gini, "Diuna formula comprensiva delle media," *Metron*, vol. 13, pp. 3–22, 1938.
- [20] Z. H. Yang, "On the monotonicity and log-convexity of a four-parameter homogeneous mean," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2008, Article ID 149286, 12 pages, 2008.
- [21] H. Alzer, "Über Mittelwerte, die zwischen dem geometrischen und dem logarithmischen, Mittel zweier Zahlen liegen," *Anzeiger der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche*, vol. 1986, pp. 5–9, 1986 (German).
- [22] H. Alzer, "Ungleichungen für Mittelwerte," *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 422–426, 1986.
- [23] W.-S. Cheung and F. Qi, "Logarithmic convexity of the one-parameter mean values," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 231–237, 2007.
- [24] H. Alzer, "Über eine einparametrische familie von Mittelwerten, II," *Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften. Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse. Sitzungsberichte*, vol. 1988, pp. 23–29, 1989 (German).



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

