

## THE INITIAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM OF A MIXED-TYPED HEMIVARIATIONAL INEQUALITY

GUO XINGMING

(Received 20 May 1999)

**ABSTRACT.** A mixed-typed differential inclusion with a weakly continuous nonlinear term and a nonmonotone discontinuous nonlinear multi-valued term is studied, and the existence and decay of solutions are established.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 34G20, 35M10, 35M20.

**1. Introduction.** In the present paper, the following initial boundary value problem of a degenerate multi-valued hyperbolic-parabolic inequality will be considered:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{u}(t) + A(t)(\dot{u})(t) + B(u)(t) + \varphi(u(x, t)) &\ni f(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(x, t) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in \Sigma = \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad \dot{u}(0) = u_1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $A$  is a weakly continuous operator;  $B$  is a linear, continuous, and symmetric operator;  $\varphi$  is a nonmonotonous, discontinuous, and nonlinear set-valued mapping.

Physical motivations for studying inequality (1.1) come partly from problems of continuum mechanics and optimal control problems, where nonmonotone, nonlinear, discontinuous, and multi-valued constitutive laws and boundary or external constraints lead to various typed hemivariational inequalities, the mixed hyperbolic-parabolic hemivariational inequality is one of those [11, 12, 14].

For inequality (1.1), its stationary problems have been studied by many researchers (see [1, 2, 4, 13, 14, 15] and references therein). When  $\varphi$  degenerates into a class of single-valued mappings, inequality (1.1) becomes an equation, and when  $A$  and  $B$  were some special linear mappings and satisfy some conditions, equation (2.1) and some of its evolution equations have been investigated and applied intensively (see [5, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10] and the references therein).

In this paper, we investigate the existence and decay of weak solution of the mixed hyperbolic-parabolic inequality (1.1) with  $\varphi$ ,  $A$ , and  $B$  satisfying some conditions. We apply the Faedo-Galerkin method for the proof of existence of solutions.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with regular boundary  $\Gamma$ . Let  $T$  denote a positive real number,  $Q = \Omega \times [0, T]$ . Suppose that  $b \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ , for every  $\rho > 0$ , set

$$b_{\rho}(\xi) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{|\xi_1 - \xi| < \rho} b(\xi_1), \quad \bar{b}_{\rho}(\xi) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{|\xi_1 - \xi| < \rho} b(\xi_1), \quad (2.1)$$

they are all monotone for  $\rho > 0$ . Set

$$b_\rho(\xi) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} b_\rho(\xi), \quad \bar{b}(\xi) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{b}_\rho(\xi), \quad \varphi(\xi) = [b(\xi), \bar{b}(\xi)]. \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $J(\xi) = \int_0^\xi b(t) dt$ , then  $\partial^c J(\xi) \subseteq \varphi(\xi)$ , where  $\partial^c J(\xi)$  denotes the Clarke-subdifferential of  $J$  (see [2]). If  $b(\xi_\pm)$  exists for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\varphi(\xi) = \partial^c J(\xi)$ . If  $b$  is continuous at the point  $\xi$ , then  $\varphi(\xi)$  is single-valued at  $\xi$ , if  $J$  is convex,  $\varphi(\xi)$  is maximal monotone (see [2]).

Let  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the inner product of  $L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the dual pair between  $V$  and  $V' = H^{-1}(\Omega)$  which is compatible with the inner product of  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Let  $\|x\|_X$  denote the norm of the element  $x$  of the Banach space  $X$ .

Considering the following initial boundary value problem of a hyperbolic-parabolic hemivariational inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{u}(t) + A(t)\dot{u}(t) + Bu(t) + g(t) &= f(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(x, t) &= 0, \quad \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in \Sigma = \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \\ u(0) &= u_0, \quad \dot{u}(0) = u_1, \\ g(x, t) &\in \varphi(u(x, t)), \quad \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in Q_T = \Omega \times [0, T], \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where  $f$ ,  $u_0$ , and  $u_1$  are given.

First we list some assumptions:

- (1)  $\exists c > 0$ ,  $|b(\xi)| \leq c(1 + |\xi|)$ , a.e.  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (2)  $A: L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \rightarrow L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  is weakly continuous, and  $A(t)$  is nonnegative, that is,  $\langle A(t)v, v \rangle \geq 0$ , for a.e.  $t \geq 0$  and every  $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ .
- (3) The function  $t \rightarrow \langle A(t)u, v \rangle$  is measurable on  $[0, T]$  for all  $u, v \in L^2(\Omega)$ .
- (4)  $B: H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$  is linear, continuous, symmetric, and semicoercive, that is,  $\exists c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0, c_3 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Bv\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} &\leq c_1 \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}, \quad \langle Bu, v \rangle = \langle Bv, u \rangle, \quad \forall u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \langle Bv, v \rangle + c_3 \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\geq c_2 \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $\beta$  be any mollifier satisfying  $\beta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\text{supp } \beta \subset (-1, 1)$ , and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta(\xi) d\xi = 1$ . Set

$$b_\varepsilon(\xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta\left(\frac{\xi - z}{\varepsilon}\right) b(z) dz = \int_{|z| \leq 1} \beta(z) b(\xi - \varepsilon z) dz, \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

It is easy to see that  $b_\varepsilon$  is a smooth function, and also satisfies assumption (1) with possible different constant  $c$  if  $b$  is agreeable with assumption (1). For convenience, we denote  $b_{1/n}$  by  $b_n$  for any positive integer  $n$ .

### 3. Existence of solution

**THEOREM 3.1.** Assume that  $f \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ ,  $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Then, under assumptions (1), (2), (3), and (4), there exists a function  $u$  defined in  $\Omega \times [0, T]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)), \\ \dot{u} &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; H^{-1}(\Omega)), \\ \ddot{u} &\in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{u}(t) + A(t)\dot{u}(t) + Bu(t) + g(t) &= f(t), \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)), \\ g(t) &\in \varphi(u(x, t)), \quad \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T], \\ u(0) &= u_0, \quad \dot{u}(0) = u_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a subset of  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfying  $\overline{\text{span}\{e_n\}} = V$ ,  $(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Let  $x_n = \sum_1^n \omega_i^1 e_i \rightarrow u_0$  strongly in  $V$  and  $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ ,  $y_n = \sum_1^n \omega_i^2 e_i \rightarrow u_1$  strongly in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

Considering the following regularized equation of inequality (1.1)

$$\ddot{\xi}^n = M^n + N^n + h, \quad \xi^n|_{t=0} = \omega^{1n}, \quad \dot{\xi}^n|_{t=0} = \omega^{2n}, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\xi^n = \{\xi_i^n\}_{1 \times n}$ ,  $\omega^{1n} = \{\omega_i^1\}_{1 \times n}$ ,  $\omega^{2n} = \{\omega_i^2\}_{1 \times n}$ ,  $h = \{ \langle f, e_i \rangle \}_{1 \times n}$ ,  $M^n = \{M_i^n\}_{1 \times n}$ ,  $M_i^n = -(A(t)(\sum_1^n \dot{\xi}_j^n e_j), e_i)$ ,  $N^n = \{N_i^n\}_{1 \times n}$ ,  $N_i^n = -\langle B(\sum_1^n \xi_j^n e_j), e_i \rangle - \langle b_n(\sum_1^n \xi_j^n e_j), e_i \rangle$ , where “.” denotes time derivate.

Equation (3.3) is a vector-valued ordinary differential equation and its local solution  $\xi^n$  exists on  $I_n = [0, T_n]$ ,  $0 < T_n \leq T$ . Set  $u_n(t) = \sum_1^n \xi_j^n e_j$  ( $t \in I_n$ ). Equation (3.3) is equal to

$$\langle \ddot{u}_n, e_i \rangle = -(A(t)\dot{u}_n, e_i) - \langle Bu_n, e_i \rangle - \langle b_n(u_n), e_i \rangle + \langle f, e_i \rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.4)$$

Multiplying (3.4) by  $\dot{\xi}_i^n$ , summing over from  $i = 1$  to  $i = n$  and integrating over  $[0, t]$  ( $t \leq I_n$ ), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{u}_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \langle Bu_n(t), u_n(t) \rangle + 2 \int_0^t (A\dot{u}_n, \dot{u}_n) d\tau + 2 \int_0^t \langle b_n(u_n), \dot{u}_n \rangle d\tau \\ = 2 \int_0^t \langle f, \dot{u}_n \rangle d\tau + \langle y_n, y_n \rangle + \langle Bx_n, x_n \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

but

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \langle b_n(u_n), \dot{u}_n \rangle d\tau &= \int_{\Omega} J(u_n(x, \tau)) \Big|_0^t dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \int_0^{u_n(x,t)} b_n(\lambda) d\lambda - \int_0^{u_n(x,0)} b_n(\lambda) d\lambda \right\} dx, \\
\left| \int_0^t \langle b_n(u_n), \dot{u}_n \rangle d\tau \right| &\leq c \int_{\Omega} \left\{ |u_n(x, t)| + |u_n(x, 0)| + \left| \int_0^{u_n(x,t)} |\lambda| d\lambda \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left| \int_0^{u_n(x,0)} |\lambda| d\lambda \right| \right\} dx, \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \int_0^{u_n(x,t)} |\lambda| d\lambda \right| = \frac{1}{2} |u_n(x, t)|^2,$$

$$\left| \int_0^{u_n(x,0)} |\lambda| d\lambda \right| = \frac{1}{2} |u_n(x, 0)|^2,$$

$$\left| \int_0^t \langle b_n(u_n), \dot{u}_n \rangle d\tau \right| \leq \frac{c}{2} (1 + |\Omega|) \{ |u_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |x_n|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \},$$

where  $|\Omega|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of the domain  $\Omega$ .

From (3.5), it follows that there exists  $c_4 > 0$  such that

$$|\dot{u}_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |u_n(t)|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_4 + \left\{ c_3 + \frac{c}{2} (1 + |\Omega|) \right\} |u_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle f, \dot{u}_n \rangle d\tau. \tag{3.7}$$

We note that

$$u_n(t) = u_n(0) + \int_0^t \dot{u}_n d\tau, \tag{3.8}$$

$$|u_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq |u_n(0)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t |\dot{u}_n|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau,$$

using Hölder's inequality, we get that there exists  $c_5, c_6 > 0$  such that

$$|u_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_5 + c_6 \int_0^t |\dot{u}_n|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \langle f, \dot{u}_n \rangle d\tau &\leq |f|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \cdot |\dot{u}_n|_{L^2(0,t;L^2(\Omega))} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} (|f|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + |\dot{u}_n|_{L^2(0,t;L^2(\Omega))}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.7), (3.9), and (3.10), we obtain that there exists  $c_7, c_8 > 0$  such that

$$|\dot{u}_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + c_2 |u_n(t)|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_7 + c_8 \int_0^t |\dot{u}_n(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \quad (t \in I_n), \tag{3.11}$$

this implies that

$$|\dot{u}_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_7 + c_8 \int_0^t |\dot{u}_n(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \quad (t \in I_n). \tag{3.12}$$

Using Gronwall's inequality it follows that

$$|\dot{u}_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_7 \exp(c_8 t) \quad (t \in I_n). \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore, from (3.9), (3.11), and (3.13), we get that there exists  $c_9 > 0$ ,

$$|\dot{u}_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c_9, \quad |u_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c_9, \quad |u_n(t)|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq c_9, \quad (t \in I_n), \quad (3.14)$$

where  $c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8, c_9$  are positive constants independent of  $n$  and  $T_n$ , from which we can assert that  $I_n = [0, T]$  ( $\forall n$ ).

For every  $\eta \in \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ , from (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \ddot{u}_n, \eta \rangle| &\leq |A(t)(\dot{u}_n)|_{L^2(\Omega)} \cdot |\eta|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |f(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)} \cdot |\eta|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + |b_n(u_n)|_{L^2(\Omega)} \cdot |\eta|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |B| \cdot |u_n|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \cdot |\eta|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where  $|B|$  is the norm of linear continuous operator  $B$

$$\begin{aligned} &|\ddot{u}_n(t)|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \\ &= \sup_{|\eta|_V=1} |\langle \ddot{u}_n(t), \eta \rangle| = \sup_{\substack{\eta \in \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \\ |\eta|_V=1}} |\langle \ddot{u}_n(t), \eta \rangle| \\ &\leq c_{10} \left( |A(t)(\dot{u}_n)|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |f(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |b_n(u_n)|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) + |B| \cdot |u_n(t)|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where  $c_{10}$  is the imbedding constant which  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  imbeds in  $L^2(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} |b_n(u_n)(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} |b_n(u_n)(t)|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} c^2 (1 + |u_n(x, t)|)^2 dx \\ &\leq 2c^2 \int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_n(x, t)|^2) dx = 2c^2 (|\Omega| + |u_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

this shows that  $\{b_n(u_n)\}$  is also a bounded subset of  $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ . Since  $A$  is weakly continuous, it must be a bounded operator from  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  to  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ . But  $\{\dot{u}_n\}$  is a bounded subset of  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $\{A(t)(\dot{u}_n)\}$  must be a bounded subset of  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ . Inequality (3.16) implies that  $\{\ddot{u}_n\}$  is a bounded subset of  $L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$ .

Therefore, there exist a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$ , still denoted by itself, and a function  $u$  such that  $u \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ ,  $\dot{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $\ddot{u} \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly-star in } L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)), \\ \dot{u}_n &\rightharpoonup \dot{u} \quad \text{weakly-star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ \ddot{u}_n &\rightharpoonup \ddot{u} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^{-1}(\Omega)), \\ b_n(u_n) &\rightharpoonup g \quad \text{weakly-star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Since, the space  $W(V)$  defined by  $W(V) = \{u \in L^2(0, T; V), \dot{u} \in L^2(0, T; V')\}$  forms a real Hilbert space with the norm  $|u|_W = |u|_{L^2(0, T; V)} + |\dot{u}|_{L^2(0, T; V')}$  and is continuously imbedded in  $C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ , it is obvious that  $u \in C(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $\dot{u} \in C(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$ . Hence,  $u(0)$ ,  $\dot{u}(0)$  make sense.

For  $\lambda \in L^2(0, T)$ , from (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \ddot{u}_n, \lambda e_i \rangle dt &= - \int_0^T (A(t)(\dot{u}_n), \lambda e_i) dt - \int_0^T \langle B(u_n), \lambda e_i \rangle dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \langle b_n(u_n), \lambda e_i \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle f(t), \lambda e_i \rangle dt, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

For every given positive integer  $i$ , let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.19), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \ddot{u}, \lambda e_i \rangle dt &= - \int_0^T (A(t)(\dot{u}), \lambda e_i) dt - \int_0^T \langle B(u), \lambda e_i \rangle dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \langle g, \lambda e_i \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle f(t), \lambda e_i \rangle dt, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Therefore, we have from (3.20)

$$\ddot{u}(t) + A(t)(\dot{u}) + B(u) + g(t) = f(t), \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)). \quad (3.21)$$

Next, we demonstrate that

$$g(x, t) \in \varphi(u(x, t)) \quad \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in Q_T = \Omega \times [0, T]. \quad (3.22)$$

Since,  $u_n(x, t) \rightarrow u(x, t)$  a.e.  $(x, t) \in Q_T$ , by Eropoß's theorem [9], for every  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a subset  $Q_\delta \subseteq Q_T = \Omega \times [0, T]$ ,  $|Q_\delta| \leq \delta$ ,

$$u_n(x, t) \rightarrow u(x, t) \quad \text{uniformly in } Q_T \setminus Q_\delta \quad (3.23)$$

that is, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $\bar{N}$ , when  $n \geq \bar{N}$ ,

$$|u_n(x, t) - u(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall (x, t) \in Q_T \setminus Q_\delta. \quad (3.24)$$

It is obvious that, when  $1/n \leq \varepsilon$  and  $n \geq \bar{N}$ , for almost everywhere  $(x, t) \in Q_T \setminus Q_\delta$

$$b_n(u_n(x, t)) = b_n(u(x, t)) = \bar{b}_n(u_n(x, t)) \leq \bar{b}_\varepsilon(u_n(x, t)) \leq \bar{b}_{2\varepsilon}(u(x, t)). \quad (3.25)$$

For every  $\mu \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_T \setminus Q_\delta} g(x, t) \mu(x, t) dx dt &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_T \setminus Q_\delta} b_n(u_n(x, t)) \mu(x, t) dx dt \\ &\leq \int_{Q_T \setminus Q_\delta} \bar{b}_{2\varepsilon}(u(x, t)) \mu(x, t) dx dt, \\ \int_{Q_T \setminus Q_\delta} g(x, t) \mu(x, t) dx dt &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{Q_T \setminus Q_\delta} \bar{b}_{2\varepsilon}(u(x, t)) \mu(x, t) dx dt \\ &\leq \int_{Q_T \setminus Q_\delta} \bar{b}(u(x, t)) \mu(x, t) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Analogously, we can obtain

$$\int_{Q_T \setminus Q_\delta} g(x, t) \mu(x, t) dx dt \geq \int_{Q_T \setminus Q_\delta} \underline{b}(u(x, t)) \mu(x, t) dx dt. \quad (3.27)$$

Hence, (3.26) and (3.27) imply that

$$g(x, t) \in \varphi(u(x, t)) \quad \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in Q_T \setminus Q_\delta. \quad (3.28)$$

Finally, let  $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ , we get

$$g(x, t) \in \varphi(u(x, t)) \quad \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in Q_T = \Omega \times [0, T]. \quad (3.29)$$

Let  $\lambda \in C^1[0, T]$ ,  $\lambda(T) = 0$ , integrating by parts the left-hand side of equations (3.19) and (3.20) gives

$$-\langle \dot{u}_n(0), \lambda(0)e_i \rangle - \int_0^T \langle \dot{u}_n, \dot{\lambda}e_i \rangle dt = - \int_0^T (A(t)(\dot{u}_n), \lambda e_i) dt - \int_0^t \langle B(u_n), \lambda e_i \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle b_n(u_n), \lambda e_i \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle f(t), \lambda e_i \rangle dt, \quad (3.30)$$

$$-\langle \dot{u}(0), \lambda(0)e_i \rangle - \int_0^T \langle \dot{u}, \dot{\lambda}e_i \rangle dt = - \int_0^T (A(t)(\dot{u}), \lambda e_i) dt - \int_0^t \langle B(u), \lambda e_i \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle g, \lambda e_i \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle f(t), \lambda e_i \rangle dt, \quad (3.31)$$

making comparison between (3.30) and (3.31) we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \dot{u}_n(0) - \dot{u}(0), e_i \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (3.32)$$

therefore, this implies that

$$\dot{u}_n(0) \rightharpoonup \dot{u}(0) \quad \text{weakly in } H^{-1}(\Omega) \quad (3.33)$$

uniqueness of limit implies that  $\dot{u}(0) = u_1$  (in  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ ).

Let  $\lambda \in C^2[0, T]$ ,  $\lambda(T) = 0$ ,  $\dot{\lambda}(T) = 0$ . Analogously, integrating by parts the left-hand side of equations (3.30) and (3.31), and making comparison with the obtained results again gives:  $u(0) = u_0$  (in  $L^2(\Omega)$ ).  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.2.** Let  $f \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Assume that  $b$  satisfies

(1')  $b(\xi)\xi \geq 0$  for almost everywhere  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\exists \bar{c} > 0$ ,

$$|b(\xi)| \leq \bar{c}(1 + |\xi|^p), \quad \text{a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ if } n > 2, 0 < p \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}; \text{ if } n \leq 2, 0 \leq p < \infty. \quad (3.34)$$

Then, under assumptions (2), (3), and (4), there exists a function  $v$  defined in  $\Omega \times [0, T]$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} v &\in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)), \quad \dot{v} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ \dot{v} + A(t)(\dot{v}) + B(v) + \bar{g}(t) &= f(t) \quad \text{in } L^1(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) + L^1(\Omega)), \\ \bar{g}(x, t) &\in \varphi(v(x, t)) \quad \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in Q_T = \Omega \times [0, T], \\ v(0) &= u_0, \quad \dot{v}(0) = u_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

**PROOF.** It is also easy to see that  $b_\varepsilon$  satisfies assumption (1') with possible different constant  $\bar{c}$ . Analogously to Theorem 3.1, we still may get (3.5), where  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a basis of  $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  satisfying  $(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Set

$$J_n(\xi) = \int_0^\xi b_n(t) dt, \quad (3.36)$$

then  $J_n(\xi) \geq 0$ ,  $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \langle b_n(u_n), \dot{u}_n \rangle d\tau &= \int_\Omega J_n(u_n(x, t)) dx - \int_\Omega J_n(u_n(x, 0)) dx \geq - \int_\Omega J_n(u_n(x, t)) dx \\ |b_n(\xi)| &\leq \left| \int_{|z| \leq 1} \beta(z) \left| b\left(\xi - \frac{z}{n}\right) \right|^p dz \right| \leq d_1 + d_2 |\xi|^p, \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

where  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are positive constants independent of  $n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |J_n(x_n)| &= \left| \int_0^{x_n} b_n(t) dt \right| \leq (\operatorname{sgn} x_n) \cdot \int_0^{x_n} |b_n(t)| dt \\ &\leq (\operatorname{sgn} x_n) \cdot \int_0^{x_n} (d_1 + d_2 |t|^p) dt = d_1 |x_n| + \frac{d_2 |x_n|^{p+1}}{(p+1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} J_n(x_n) dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |J_n(x_n)| dx \leq d_1 |x_n|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \frac{d_2 |x_n|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)}^{p+1}}{(p+1)}.$$

Since  $L^{p+1}(\Omega) \subset L^1(\Omega)$  and  $u_n(0) = x_n \rightarrow u_0$  strongly in  $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ , and  $|x_n|_{L^1(\Omega)}$  are bounded, and so is  $\int_{\Omega} J_n(x_n(x)) dx$ . From (3.5) we have

$$|\dot{u}_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + c_2 |u_n(t)|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_4 + c_3 |u_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle f, \dot{u}_n \rangle d\tau. \quad (3.39)$$

It is easy to see that (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), (3.13), and (3.14) are still true and the solution of (3.3) can be extended to interval  $[0, T]$ . By Sobolev imbedding theorem, we have, for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ , if  $n > 2$ , then  $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset L^{p^*}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $p^* = 2n/(n-2)$ , and  $|u_n(t)|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_{10} |u_n(t)|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq c_{10} c_9$ ; if  $n = 2$ , then  $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset L^q(\Omega)$ , when  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , so  $|u_n(t)|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_{10} |u_n(t)|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq c_{10} c_9$ ; if  $n = 1$ , then  $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset C(\bar{\Omega})$  and ditto,  $|u_n(t)|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |u_n(x, t)| \leq c_{10} c_9$ , where  $\bar{\Omega}$  denotes the closure of  $\Omega$  and  $c_{10}$  is the imbedding constant which  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  imbeds in  $L^p(\Omega)$  or  $C(\bar{\Omega})$ . Note that, we always have that  $b_n(u_n) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^{p_0}(\Omega))$ , where  $p_0 = (n+1)/(n-2)$  and  $\{b_n(u_n)\}$  is a bounded subset of  $L^\infty(0, T; L^{p_0}(\Omega))$ . Therefore, there exist a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$ , still denoted by itself, and a function  $v$  such that  $v \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ ,  $\dot{v} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup v \quad \text{weakly-star in } L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)), \\ \dot{u}_n &\rightharpoonup \dot{v} \quad \text{weakly-star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ b_n(u_n) &\rightharpoonup g \quad \text{weakly-star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^{p_0}(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Since, the dual of the space  $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  is the space  $L^1(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) + L^1(\Omega))$ , it is easy to obtain from (3.4) that

$$\dot{v}(t) + A(t)\dot{v} + B(v) + \bar{g}(t) = f(t) \quad \text{in } L^1(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) + L^1(\Omega)). \quad (3.41)$$

Analogous to Theorem 3.1, we can complete the proof of this theorem.  $\square$

**REMARK 3.3.** If  $A(t) = A$  and  $A$  is linear, then the uniqueness of such solution will be obtained in the same way as in [3].

#### 4. Decay of the solution

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $T = +\infty$ ,  $f \equiv 0$ . Suppose that for every  $t \geq 0$ , the operator  $A(t)$  satisfies*

$$(A(t)w, w) \geq \delta_0 |w|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \forall w \in L^2(\Omega). \quad (4.1)$$

*Moreover, if  $\langle Bw, w \rangle \geq 0$ ,  $\forall w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  or  $c_3 c_{10}^2 \leq c_2$ , here  $c_{10}$  is an imbedding constant which  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  imbeds in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Then, under conditions of Theorem 3.2, the solution in*

Theorem 3.2 obtained from the regularized equation (3.3) satisfies

$$|\dot{u}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \mu_1 \exp(-\mu_2 t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \geq 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\delta_0, \mu_1, \mu_2$  are positive constants.

**PROOF.** Let  $u_n$  be a solution of (3.3), that is, satisfies (3.4) and (3.5). Since  $J_n(u_n(x, t)) \geq 0$ , by (3.5) we have

$$|\dot{u}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \langle Bu_n(t), u_n(t) \rangle \leq c_{11} - 2\delta_0 \int_0^t |\dot{u}_n(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau, \quad t \in [0, +\infty), \quad (4.3)$$

where  $c_{11}$  is a positive constant independent of  $n$ . If  $\langle Bw, w \rangle \geq 0$ , for every  $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\langle Bu_n(t), u_n(t) \rangle \geq 0$ . Analogously to [7, Theorem 4], we obtain:

$$|\dot{u}_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_{11} \exp(-2\delta_0 t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \geq 0. \quad (4.4)$$

If  $c_3 c_{10}^2 \leq c_2$ , we get from (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\ddot{u}_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + c_2 |u_n(t)|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq c_{11} + c_3 |u_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2\delta_0 \int_0^t |\dot{u}_n(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq c_{11} + c_3 c_{10}^2 |u_n(t)|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 - 2\delta_0 \int_0^t |\dot{u}_n(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

from which it is permitted to get inequality (4.4).

Since  $|\dot{u}_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c_9$ ,  $\dot{u} \rightarrow \dot{u}$  weakly-star in  $L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$ , it is easy to obtain that  $\dot{u}(t) \rightarrow \dot{u}(t)$  weak in  $L^2(\Omega)$  for a.e.  $t \geq 0$ . But  $L^2(\Omega)$  is a real Hilbert space, therefore,  $|\dot{u}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\dot{u}_n(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ , a.e.  $t \geq 0$ . Finally, we get  $|\dot{u}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_{11} \exp(-2\delta_0 t)$ , (a.e.  $t \geq 0$ ).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Carl and S. Heikkilä, *An existence result for elliptic differential inclusions with discontinuous nonlinearity*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **18** (1992), no. 5, 471-479. MR 92m:35268. Zbl 755.35039.
- [2] K. C. Chang, *Variational methods for nondifferentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **80** (1981), no. 1, 102-129. MR 82h:35025. Zbl 487.49027.
- [3] M. R. Clark, *Existence of solutions for a nonlinear hyperbolic-parabolic equation in a non-cylinder domain*, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **19** (1996), no. 1, 151-160. MR 96h:35073. Zbl 842.35062.
- [4] D. G. Costa and J. V. A. Gonçalves, *Critical point theory for nondifferentiable functionals and applications*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **153** (1990), no. 2, 470-485. MR 91j:58034. Zbl 717.49007.
- [5] O. A. de Lima, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions for an abstract nonlinear hyperbolic-parabolic equation*, *Appl. Anal.* **24** (1987), no. 1-2, 101-116. MR 88i:34124. Zbl 589.35063.
- [6] J. Ferreira, *On weak solutions of semilinear hyperbolic-parabolic equations*, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **19** (1996), no. 4, 751-758. MR 97b:35123. Zbl 861.35062.
- [7] X. Guo, *On existence and uniqueness of solution of hyperbolic differential inclusion with discontinuous nonlinearity*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **241** (2000), no. 2, 198-213. CMP 1 739 202. Zbl 991.25141.

- [8] N. A. Lar'kin, *Boundary value problems in the large for a class of hyperbolic equations*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **18** (1977), no. 6, 1414–1419, p. 1438 (Russian). MR 58#17542.
- [9] J.-L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969 (French). MR 41#4326. Zbl 189.40603.
- [10] A. B. Maciel, *On hyperbolic-parabolic equation with a continuous nonlinearity*, Nonlinear Anal. **20** (1993), no. 6, 745–754. MR 94f:35090. Zbl 802.35060.
- [11] Z. Naniewicz and P. D. Panagiotopoulos, *Mathematical Theory of Hemivariational Inequalities and Applications*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 188, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1995. MR 96d:47067. Zbl 950.05618.
- [12] P. D. Panagiotopoulos, *Inequality Problems in Mechanics and Applications*, Convex and Nonconvex Energy Functions, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, Mass., 1985. MR 88h:49003. Zbl 579.73014.
- [13] ———, *Coercive and semicoercive hemivariational inequalities*, Nonlinear Anal. **16** (1991), no. 3, 209–231. MR 92m:47138. Zbl 733.49012.
- [14] ———, *Hemivariational Inequalities*, Applications in Mechanics and Engineering, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993. MR 97c:73001. Zbl 826.73002.
- [15] J. Rauch, *Discontinuous semilinear differential equations and multiple valued maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1977), no. 2, 277–282. MR 56#835. Zbl 413.35031.

GUO XINGMING: SHANGHAI INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200072, CHINA  
*E-mail address*: xmguo@yc.shu.edu.cn