

## ALMOST PERIODIC SOLUTIONS TO SYSTEMS OF PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

JANPOU NEE  
*Academia Sinica*  
*Institute of Mathematics*  
*Nankang, Taipei, 11529, Taiwan, ROC*

(Received February, 1994; revised May, 1994)

### ABSTRACT

In this paper we show that the second-order differential solution is  $\mathbb{L}^2$ -almost periodic, provided it is  $\mathbb{L}^2$ -bounded, and the growth of the components of a nonlinear function of a system of parabolic equation is bounded by any pair of consecutive eigenvalues of the associated Dirichlet boundary value problems.

**Key words:** Almost Periodic Solutions, System of Nonlinear Parabolic Equations.

**AMS (MOS) subject classifications:** 35B15, 35K55, 35K99.

### 1. Introduction

Foias et al. [2] proved that if a solution of some system of parabolic equations in  $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $L^2$ -bounded satisfying certain conditions then it is a  $L^2$ -almost periodic solution.

Recall that a continuous function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  is  $X$ -almost periodic if for every  $\epsilon$  there is a relatively dense subset  $T_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\sup_t \|f(t + \tau) - f(t)\|_X < \epsilon, \quad \forall \tau \in T_\epsilon,$$

where  $X$  is some Banach space.

Recently, Corduneanu [1] and Yang [4] extended the results of Foias to nonlinear parabolic equations. In this paper we extend the results of Corduneanu [1] and Yang [4] to the following system of nonlinear parabolic equations,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + f(t, x, u) \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $u$ , and  $f \in \mathbb{R}^m$  are  $m$ -vector valued functions,  $\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ , and  $\Omega$  is some bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sufficiently smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Moreover, we assume that  $f: \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  satisfies the following conditions (cf. [1, 4]):

(CI)  $f(t, x, u)$  is continuous and  $\mathbb{L}^2$ -almost periodic in  $t$ , and uniformly continuous with respect to  $u_j$ .

(CII) The matrix  $\mathbf{D}(f) = (f_{i,j})$  is diagonalizable, with eigenvalues  $\mu_j$ , and for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , there exists some integer  $i(j)$  such that  $\lambda_{i(j)-1} < \mu_j < \lambda_{i(j)}$ .

Here  $f_{i,j} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_j}$ , and  $\mathbb{L}^2 = L^2(\Omega) \times \dots \times L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $m$ -times. We call matrix  $\mathbf{D}(f)$  diagonalizable if there exists a nonsingular matrix  $M$  such that  $M\mathbf{D}(f)M^{-1} = I$ , at every triple  $(t, x, u)$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix. Similarly,  $M$  is nonsingular if  $\det M \neq 0$  and  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of matrix  $\mathbf{D}(f)$  if  $\det(\mathbf{D}(f) - \mu) = 0$ . Notice that condition (CII) implies that  $\mu_j > 0$ , since  $\lambda_j$  is the eigenvalues of Laplacian in the domain  $\Omega$  corresponding to the eigenfunction  $\phi_j$ , which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi_j + \lambda_j\phi_j = 0 \\ \phi_j|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

We arrange  $\lambda_j$  in the ascending order

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \dots \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

To simplify the notation, we use  $\lambda_0$  to denote 0, and the function space  $\mathbb{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}) = C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \times \dots \times C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $m$ -times.

## 2. Main Result

Before we prove the main theorem of this paper we first derive a useful a priori estimate of the following problem,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w - (\Delta + D)w = v, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where  $w, v$  are  $m$ -vector valued functions,  $D = (\delta_{i,j}\nu_j)$  is a diagonal matrix, and  $\delta_{i,j}$  is the Kronecker delta,  $\nu_j$  are positive real numbers satisfying  $\lambda_{i(j)-1} < \nu_j < \lambda_{i(j)}$ . Here  $i(j)$  is the same as in the condition (CII).

**Lemma 1:** *Let  $w, v \in \mathbb{C}^2(\bar{\Omega})$  be  $\mathbb{L}^2$ -bounded satisfying problem (3). If  $\nu_j$  satisfies the assumption above, then*

$$\sup_t \int_{\Omega} w_j^2(t, x) dx \leq \max\{(\nu_j - \lambda_{i(j)-1})^{-2}, (\nu_j - \lambda_{i(j)})^{-2}\} \sup_t \int_{\Omega} v_j^2(t, x) dx. \tag{4}$$

**Proof:** It is well known that  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  form an orthogonal basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$ , thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_j(t, x) &= \sum_k a_{j,k} \phi_k, \\ v_j(t, x) &= \sum_k b_{j,k} \phi_k. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$

The Parseval formula and the assumption of  $L^2(\Omega)$ -boundedness imply that

$$\sum_{j,k} a_{j,k}^2 = \int_{\Omega} w^2 \leq c, \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{j,k} b_{j,k}^2 = \int_{\Omega} v^2 \leq c,$$

for some positive constant  $c$  which is independent of  $t$ .

Substituting equation (5) into equation (3) yields

$$a'_{j,k}(t) + (\lambda_k - \nu_j)a_{j,k}(t) = b_{j,k}(t), \tag{7}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, m, k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus for any  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$a_{j,k}(t) = e^{-(\nu_j - \lambda_k)(t_0 - t)} a_{j,k}(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-(\nu_j - \lambda_k)(s - t)} b_{j,k}(s) ds.$$

Since  $\lambda_{i(j)-1} < \nu_j < \lambda_{i(j)}$ , we have  $\nu_j - \lambda_k > 0$  for  $k \leq i(j) - 1$ . Thus for  $t_0 > t$ , the following is true

$$|a_{j,k}(t)| \leq e^{-(\nu_j - \lambda_k)(t_0 - t)} |a_{j,k}(t_0)| + \frac{1 - e^{-(\nu_j - \lambda_k)(t_0 - t)}}{\nu_j - \lambda_k} |b_{j,k}(t)|.$$

Using (5), (6), and the fact that  $a_{j,k}, b_{j,k}$  are bounded functions of  $t$ , and letting  $t_0 \rightarrow \infty$ , the above inequality yields

$$\sup_t |a_{j,k}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\nu_j - \lambda_k} \sup_t |b_{j,k}(t)|.$$

Similarly, the above inequality is true for  $k \geq i(j)$  which implies

$$\sup_t |a_{j,k}(t)| \leq \alpha_j \sup_t |b_{j,k}(t)|, \tag{8}$$

where

$$\alpha_j = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\nu_j - \lambda_{i(j)-1}}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{i(j)} - \nu_j} \right\}.$$

Thus the assertion of the lemma holds.

**Theorem 2:** *If  $u$  is a  $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $L^2$ -bounded solution of problem (1), and if  $f_{j,i}$  is a continuous function satisfying conditions (CI) and (CII), then  $u$  is  $L^2$ -almost periodic.*

**Proof:** Let  $u$  be a solution of equation (1), then for a given  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  we define the vector valued function  $w = u(t + \tau, x) - u(t, x)$ . Then  $w$  satisfies the following equation,

$$\begin{cases} w_t - \Delta w = f(t + \tau, w, x, u(t + \tau, x)) - f(t, x, u(t, x)), \\ w |_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Applying the mean value theorem to  $f_j$  with respect to the component  $u_i$  and letting  $\alpha_{j,i}$  be a constant in the interval  $(0, 1)$ , we have that

$$\psi_{j,i} = \alpha_{j,i} u_i(t + \tau, x) + (1 - \alpha_{j,i}) u_i(t, x),$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & f_j(t, x, u_1(t, x), \dots, u_{i-1}(t, x), u_i(t + \tau, x), \dots, u_m(t + \tau, x)) \\ & - f_j(t, x, u_1(t, x), \dots, u_i(t, x), u_{i+1}(t + \tau, x), \dots, u_m(t + \tau, x)) \\ & = f_{j,i}(t, x, u_1(t, x), \dots, \psi_i, u_{i+1}(t + \tau, x), \dots, u_m(t + \tau, x))w_i(t, x). \end{aligned}$$

Let the vector valued functions  $\Psi_{j,i}$  be

$$\Psi_{j,i} = (u_1(t, x), \dots, u_{i-1}(t, x), \psi_i, u_{i+1}(t + \tau, x), \dots, u_m(t + \tau, x)),$$

then  $w_j$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w_j - \Delta w_j &= \sum_i f_{j,i}(t + \tau, x, \Psi_i)w_i \\ &+ f_j(t + \tau, x, u(t, x)) - f_j(t, x, u(t, x)), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and boundary condition

$$w_j|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Since  $w_j$  are  $L^2(\Omega)$ -bounded, we have

$$w_j(t, x) = \sum_k a_{j,k}(t)\phi_k,$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . The condition (CII) implies that for every  $j$  there exist two constants  $\bar{\theta}_j$  and  $\hat{\theta}_j$ , and some integer  $i(j) \geq 1$  such that

$$\lambda_{i(j)-1} < \bar{\theta}_j \leq \mu_j \leq \hat{\theta}_j < \lambda_{i(j)}.$$

Recall that  $\lambda_0$  is 0.

Equation (9) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w_j - (\Delta w_j + \nu_j) &= \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}} f_{j,i}(t + \tau, x, \psi_i)w_i + (f_{j,j} - \nu_j)w_j \\ &+ f_j(t + \tau, x, u(t, x)) - f_j(t, x, u(t, x)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\theta}_j < \nu_j < \hat{\theta}_j$ . Observe that the  $\nu_j$  are real; and they will be determined later. By inequality (8), we immediately obtain

$$\sup_t \left\{ (1 - k_j) |w_j| - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}} \beta_{j,i} |w_i| \right\} \leq \gamma_j \sup_t |v_j|,$$

where

$$k_j = \frac{|f_{j,j} - \nu_j|}{\alpha_j}, \quad \beta_{j,i} = \frac{|f_{j,i}|}{\alpha_j}, \quad \gamma_j = \frac{1}{\alpha_j}, \quad \alpha_j = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\nu_j - \lambda_{i(j)-1}}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{i(j)} - \nu_j} \right\},$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Let  $\epsilon_i > 0$ , satisfy

$$\sup_t \left\{ (1 - k_j) |w_j| - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}} \beta_{j,i} |w_i| \right\} = \gamma_j \sup_t |v_j| + \epsilon_i.$$

Let  $\xi = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m)$ , and rewrite the above equation as

$$M \cdot w = \xi + G \cdot v, \tag{10}$$

where

$$w = (\sup_t |w_1|, \dots, \sup_t |w_m|), \quad v = (\sup_t |v_1|, \dots, \sup_t |v_m|),$$

$M = (m_{i,j})$ ,  $G = (\delta_{i,j} \gamma_j)$  are  $m \times m$  matrices, where  $m_{i,j} = \beta_{i,j}$ , for  $j \neq i$ , and  $m_{j,j} = 1 - k_j$ . Since  $\bar{\theta}_j < \nu_j < \hat{\theta}_j$ , and using condition (CII), we may choose suitable  $\nu_j$  such that  $1 - k_j > 0$ , and  $M$  is diagonalizable. By linear algebra we have

$$w = \xi + G \cdot w.$$

Since  $\xi = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m)$  and  $\epsilon_i > 0$ , we have

$$\sup_t \int_{\Omega} w_j^2(t, x) dx \leq c_j \sup_t \int_{\Omega} v_j^2(t, x) dx, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m,$$

and some constant  $c_j > 0$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

We can easily generalize Theorem 2 to the following system of nonlinear parabolic equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_j - L_j u_j = f_j(t, x, u), \\ B_j u_j |_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where  $L_j$  and  $B_j$  are elliptic operators and boundary operators respectively satisfying

$$L_j = \sum_{\alpha \leq 2} A_{j,\alpha}(x) D^\alpha, \\ B_j u = b_{j,1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + b_{j,0} u.$$

We denote by  $D^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ .

Furthermore, we assume that the principal parts of  $L_j$  be

$$P_j = \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} A_{j,\alpha}(x) D^\alpha,$$

such that  $A_{j,\alpha} \in C^{|\alpha|}(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $b_{j,i} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  are real, and  $L_j$  are self-adjoint operators such that  $\ker(L_j - c_j) = \{0\}$  for some real  $c_j$ . Denote by  $\sigma(L_j)$  the spectrum of  $L_j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, m$  and replace the assumption (CII) by the following,

(CII)' The matrix  $\mathbf{D}(f) = (f_{i,j})$  is diagonalizable with eigenvalue  $\mu_j$  and for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , there exists some integer  $i(j)$  such that  $\lambda_{j,i(j)-1} < \mu_j < \lambda_{j,i(j)}$ , where  $\{\lambda_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  are the eigenvalues of the operators  $L_j$ .

Then by the same argument as used for Theorem 2, we have the following results.

**Theorem 3:** If  $u$  is a  $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\mathbb{L}^2$ -bounded solution of equation (11), and if  $f$  is a continuous function satisfies conditions (CI), (CII)', then  $u$  is  $\mathbb{L}^2$ -almost periodic.

Consider the solution  $u$  of equation (11) in the sense that  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{H}^2)$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}^2 = H_1^2(\Omega) \times \dots \times H_m^2(\Omega)$ , and

$$H_j^2 = \{u \mid u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega) \text{ is real, and } B_j u |_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}.$$

Here  $W^{2,2}(\Omega)$  is the Sobolev space (cf. Ladyženskaja [3]). Let the operator  $\mathcal{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_m)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{L}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^2$  with domain  $D(\mathcal{L}) = \mathfrak{H}^2$ . Then we have a similar result as Theorem 2 (cf. Yang [4]).

**Theorem 4:** *If  $u$  is a  $C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{H}^2)$ , and  $\mathbb{L}^2$ -bounded solution of equation, and if  $f$  satisfies conditions (CI), (CII)', then  $u$  is  $\mathbb{L}^2$ -almost periodic.*

**Remark:** The condition (CII) implies the uniqueness of  $\mathbb{L}^2$ -bounded solution to problem (1).

To prove the uniqueness, we assume that  $u, v$  are the solution to problem (1), and let  $w_j = u_j - v_j$  then  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$  satisfies

$$\partial_t w_j - \Delta w_j = \sum_i f_{j,i}(t, x, \Psi_{j,i}) w_i.$$

Applying Lemma 1, we see that

$$M \cdot w \leq 0$$

where  $M$  is defined as in equation (10). By linear algebra, we obtain  $w \equiv 0$ .

## References

- [1] Corduneanu, C., Almost periodic solution to nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equation, *Nonlinear Anal.-TMA* (1983), 357-363.
- [2] Foias, C., and Zaidman, S., Almost periodic solutions of parabolic systems, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* (1961), 247-262.
- [3] Ladyženskaja, O.A., Solornikov, V.A., and Uralčeva, N.N., *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. of Math. Monographs, 1968.
- [4] Yang, Y., Almost periodic solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* (1988), 231-238.