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## 1. Introduction

In recent years, the existence of periodic solutions for second-order Liénard equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}+g(u)=e\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its special case have been studied by many researchers, we refer the readers to $[1,3$, $4,6,7,9-12]$ and the references therein.

Let us consider the so-called one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian operator $\left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}$, where $p>1$ and $\phi_{p}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\phi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s$ for $s \neq 0$ and $\phi_{p}(0)=0$. Periodic boundary conditions containing this operator have been considered in [2,5].

In [8], Manásevich and Mawhin investigated the existence of periodic solutions to some system cases involving the fairly general vector-valued operator $\phi$. They considerd the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right), \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ satisfies some monotonicity conditions which ensure that $\phi$ is a homeomorphism onto $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Recently, in [16] we studied the existence of periodic solutions for the nonlinear differential equation with a $p$-Laplacian-like operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Motivated by the work of [13], in this paper we use new polar coordinates [13] to investigate the existence of periodic solutions for the second-order generalized Liénard equations with $p$-Laplacian-like operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}+g(u)=e\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right), \quad t \in[0, T] . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we always assume that $\phi, g \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), f \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right), e \in$ $\mathbb{C}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. And the following conditions also hold.
(H1) $\phi$ is continuous and strictly increasing, $y \phi(y)>0$ for $y \neq 0$, and there exist $p>2$, $m_{2} \geq m_{1}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}|y|^{p-1} \leq|\phi(y)| \leq m_{2}|y|^{p-1} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H2) $e \in \mathbb{C}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, periodic in $t$ with period $T$, there exist $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}>0$, and $p>k>2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|e(t, x, y)| \leq \alpha_{1}|x|^{p-1}+\beta_{1}|y|^{k-1}+\gamma_{1} \quad \text { for }(t, x, y) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H3) $f \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, there exist $\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}, \gamma_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, y)| \leq \alpha_{2}|x|^{p-2}+\beta_{2}|y|^{k-2}+\gamma_{2} \quad \text { for }(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H4) There exist $\lambda, \mu$, and $n \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{p^{\prime}-1}\right)^{p-1}\left(\frac{2 n \pi_{p}}{T}\right)^{p}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{m_{1}}+\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{2}}{m_{1}}\right)^{p /(p-1)}\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)^{2}}<\lambda \\
& \leq \frac{g(x)}{\phi(x)} \leq \mu<\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{p^{\prime}+1}\right)^{p-1}\left(\frac{2(n+1) \pi_{p}}{T}\right)^{p}  \tag{1.8}\\
& \quad-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{m_{2}}-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{\alpha_{2}}{m_{2}}\right)^{p /(p-1)}\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime}=p(p-1), \quad \pi_{p}=\frac{2 \pi(p-1)^{1 / p}}{p \sin (\pi / p)} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H5) Solutions of (1.4) are unique with respect to initial value.
In this paper, we use a new coordinate to estimate the time when a point moves along a trajectory around the origin and then give some sufficient conditions for the existence of periodic solutions of (1.4).

## 2. Periodic solutions with a Laplacian-like operator

Let $v=\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)$. Then (1.4) is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}=\phi^{-1}(v), \\
v^{\prime}=-g(u)-f\left(u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right) \phi^{-1}(v)+e\left(t, u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $u(t, \xi, \eta)$ denote the solution of (1.4) which satisfies the initial value condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0, \xi, \eta)=\xi, \quad v(0, \xi, \eta)=\eta, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have the following conclusion.
Lemma 2.1. Suppose (H1)-(H5) hold, then for all $c>0$, there exists constant $A>0$ such that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}|\xi|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}|\eta|^{p /(p-1)}=A^{2}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}|u(t, \xi, \eta)|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}|v(t, \xi, \eta)|^{p /(p-1)} \geq c^{2} \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T] . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $(u(t), v(t)), t \in[0, T]$, be a solution of (2.1) satisfying $u(0, \xi, \eta)=\xi, v(0, \xi, \eta)=$ $\eta$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}(t)=\frac{1}{p}|u(t)|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}|v(t)|^{p /(p-1)} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that (H1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{|v|}{m_{2}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \leq\left|\phi^{-1}(v)\right| \leq\left(\frac{|v|}{m_{1}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{d r^{2}(t)}{d t}\right|=\left||u(t)|^{p-2} u(t) u^{\prime}(t)+|v(t)|^{(2-p) /(p-1)} v(t) v^{\prime}(t)\right| \\
& \leq|u|^{p-1}\left|\phi^{-1}(v)\right|+|v|^{1 /(p-1)}\left|-g(u)-f\left(u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right) \phi^{-1}(v)+e\left(t, u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right)\right| \\
& \leq|u|^{p-1}\left|\phi^{-1}(v)\right|+\mu|v|^{1 /(p-1)}|\phi(u)| \\
& +|v|^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\alpha_{2}|u|^{p-2}+\beta_{2}\left|\phi^{-1}(v)\right|^{k-2}+\gamma_{2}\right)\left|\phi^{-1}(v)\right| \\
& +|v|^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\alpha_{1}|u|^{p-1}+\beta_{1}\left|\phi^{-1}(v)\right|^{k-1}+\gamma_{1}\right) \\
& \leq|u|^{p-1}\left(\frac{|v|}{m_{1}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}+\mu m_{2}|v|^{1 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-1} \\
& +\alpha_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|v|^{2 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-2}+\beta_{2} m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)}|v|^{k /(p-1)} \\
& +\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|v|^{2 /(p-1)}+\alpha_{1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-1} \\
& +\beta_{1} m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)}|v|^{k /(p-1)}+\gamma_{1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& =l_{1}|u|^{p-1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)}+l_{2}|v|^{k /(p-1)}+l_{3}|v|^{2 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-2}+l_{4}|v|^{2 /(p-1)}+\gamma_{1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)} \text {, } \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 Periodic solutions for Liénard equations

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
l_{1}=m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}+\mu m_{2}+\alpha_{1}, \quad l_{2}=\beta_{1} m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)}+\beta_{2} m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)}, \\
l_{3}=\alpha_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}, \quad l_{4}=\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}, \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

while

$$
\begin{align*}
l_{1}|u|^{p-1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)} & \leq l_{1}\left(\frac{1}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}+\frac{p-1}{p}|u|^{p}\right) \\
& \leq l_{1} \max \left\{p-1, \frac{1}{p-1}\right\}\left(\frac{1}{p}|u|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}\right) \\
& =l_{1} \max \left\{p-1, \frac{1}{p-1}\right\} r^{2}, \\
l_{2}|v|^{k /(p-1)} & \leq \frac{k}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}+\frac{p-k}{p} l_{2}^{p /(p-k)} \leq \frac{k}{p-1} r^{2}+\frac{p-k}{p} l_{2}^{p /(p-k)}  \tag{2.9}\\
l_{3}|v|^{2 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-2} & \leq l_{3}\left(\frac{2}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}+\frac{p-2}{p}|u|^{p}\right) \leq l_{3}\left(\frac{2}{p-1}+p-2\right) r^{2}, \\
l_{4}|v|^{2 /(p-1)} & \leq \frac{2}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}+\frac{p-2}{p} l_{4}^{p /(p-2)} \leq \frac{2}{p-1} r^{2}+\frac{p-2}{p} l_{4}^{p /(p-2)}, \\
\gamma_{1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)} & \leq \frac{1}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}+\frac{p-1}{p} \gamma_{1}^{p /(p-1)} \leq \frac{1}{p-1} r^{2}+\frac{p-1}{p} \gamma_{1}^{p /(p-1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d r^{2}(t)}{d t}\right| \leq b r^{2}(t)+a \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
a=\frac{p-k}{p} l_{2}^{p /(p-k)}+\frac{p-2}{p} l_{4}^{p /(p-2)}+\frac{p-1}{p} \gamma_{1}^{p /(p-1)}, \\
b=l_{1} \max \left\{p-1, \frac{1}{p-1}\right\}+l_{3}\left(\frac{2}{p-1}+p-2\right)+\frac{k+3}{p-1} . \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(r^{2}(0)+\frac{a}{b}\right) e^{-b T} & \leq\left(r^{2}(0)+\frac{a}{b}\right) e^{-b t} \leq\left(r^{2}(t)+\frac{a}{b}\right)  \tag{2.12}\\
& \leq\left(r^{2}(0)+\frac{a}{b}\right) e^{b t} \leq\left(r^{2}(0)+\frac{a}{b}\right) e^{b T}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
\end{align*}
$$

Let $A=\left[\left(c^{2}+a / b\right) e^{b T}-a / b\right]^{1 / 2}$, then $r(0)=A$ implies $r(t) \geq c$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $(u(t), v(t))$ be a solution of (2.1). Suppose the conditions of (H1)-(H5) are satisfied. Then there is $R$ such that under the generalized polar coordinates, $r(0) \geq R$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \theta(t)}{d t} \leq 0, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Applying generalized polar coordinates,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u=p^{1 / p} r^{2 / p}|\cos \theta|^{(2-p) / p} \cos \theta \\
v=\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{(p-1) / p} r^{2(p-1) / p}|\sin \theta|^{(p-2) / p} \sin \theta \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{gather*}
r \cos \theta=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}|u|^{(p-2) / 2} u, \\
r \sin \theta=\sqrt{\frac{p-1}{p}}|v|^{(2-p) / 2(p-1)} v . \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $\theta=\tan ^{-1}\left[\sqrt{p-1}\left(|v|^{((2-p) / 2(p-1))} v /|u|^{((p-2) / 2)} u\right)\right]$. So we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta^{\prime}= & \frac{|u|^{((p-2) / 2)}|v|^{\mid(2-p) / 2(p-1))}}{2 \sqrt{p-1} r^{2}}\left[u v^{\prime}-(p-1) u^{\prime} v\right] \\
=-\frac{|u|^{((p-2) / 2)}|v|^{((2-p) / 2(p-1))}}{2 \sqrt{p-1} r^{2}} & {\left[u g(u)+u f\left(u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right) \phi^{-1}(v)\right.}  \tag{2.16}\\
& \left.+(p-1) v \phi^{-1}(v)-u e\left(t, u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u g(u)+u f\left(u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right) \phi^{-1}(v)+(p-1) v \phi^{-1}(v)-u e\left(t, u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right) \\
& \geq \lambda u \phi(u)+(p-1) v \phi^{-1}(v)-|u|\left(\alpha_{2}|u|^{p-2}+\beta_{2}\left|\phi^{-1}(v)\right|^{k-2}+\gamma_{2}\right)\left|\phi^{-1}(v)\right| \\
& \quad-|u|\left(\alpha_{1}|u|^{p-1}+\beta_{1}\left|\phi^{-1}(v)\right|^{k-1}+\gamma_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \lambda m_{1}|u|^{p}+(p-1) m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}|v|^{p /(p-1)}-\alpha_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)} \\
&-\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u||v|^{1 /(p-1)}-\alpha_{1}|u|^{p}-\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)}|u||v|^{(k-1) /(p-1)}-\gamma_{1}|u| \\
&=\left(\lambda m_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)|u|^{p}+(p-1) m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}|v|^{p /(p-1)}-\alpha_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& \quad-\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u||v|^{1 /(p-1)}-\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)}|u||v|^{(k-1) /(p-1)}-\gamma_{1}|u| . \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\frac{p(p-1)}{4(k-1)} m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}, \quad \beta^{\prime}=\frac{4\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)(k-1)}{p(p-1)} m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)} m_{2}^{1 /(p-1)}, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) & m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)}|u||v|^{(k-1) /(p-1)} \\
= & \tau|u|\left(|v|^{(k-1) /(p-1)} \beta^{\prime}\right) \leq \tau|u|\left(\frac{k-1}{p-1}|v|+\frac{p-k}{p-1} \beta^{\prime(p-1) /(p-k)}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{4} p m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u||v|+\frac{p(p-k)}{4(k-1)} m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)} \beta^{\prime(p-1) /(p-k)}|u| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} p m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}\left(\frac{1}{p}|u|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}\right)+\frac{p(p-k)}{4(k-1)} m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)} \beta^{\prime(p-1) /(p-k)}|u| . \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1}=\frac{1}{4} p(p-1) m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}, \quad \beta_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{4 \gamma_{2}}{p(p-1)}\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}, \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u||v|^{1 /(p-1)}= & \tau_{1}|u|\left(|v|^{1 /(p-1)} \beta_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
\leq & \tau_{1}|u|\left(\frac{1}{p-1}|v|+\frac{p-2}{p-1} \beta_{1}^{\prime(p-1) /(p-2)}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{4} p m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u||v|+\frac{p(p-2)}{4} m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)} \beta_{1}^{\prime(p-1) /(p-2)}|u| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4} p m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}\left(\frac{1}{p}|u|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{p(p-2)}{4} m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)} \beta_{1}^{\prime(p-1) /(p-2)}|u| . \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}=\frac{1}{4} p(p-1) m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}, \quad \beta_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{4 \alpha_{2}}{p(p-1)}\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& \quad=\tau_{2}\left(|v|^{1 /(p-1)} \beta_{2}^{\prime}|u|^{p-1}\right) \leq \tau_{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}+\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\beta_{2}^{\prime}|u|^{p-1}\right)^{p /(p-1)}\right)  \tag{2.23}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{4} p m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}\left(\frac{1}{p}|u|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}\right)+\frac{p-1}{p} \tau_{2}{\beta_{2}^{\prime}}_{2}^{p /(p-1)}|u|^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

We select $\lambda$ large enough such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\lambda m_{1}-\alpha_{1}-\frac{p-1}{p} \tau_{2} \beta_{2}^{\prime p /(p-1)}-m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}>0 \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $d=\gamma_{1}+(p(p-k) / 4(k-1)) m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)} \beta^{\prime(p-1) /(p-k)}+(p(p-2) / 4) m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}$ $\beta_{1}^{\prime(p-1) /(p-2)}$, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d|u|=\delta p|u|\left(\frac{d}{\delta p}\right) \leq \delta|u|^{p}+(p-1) \delta\left(\frac{d}{p \delta}\right)^{p /(p-1)}, \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& u g(u)+u f\left(u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right) \phi^{-1}(v)+(p-1) v \phi^{-1}(v)-u e\left(t, u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{4} p m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}\left[\frac{1}{p}|u|^{p} p+\frac{p-1}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}\right]-(p-1) \delta\left(\frac{d}{p \delta}\right)^{p /(p-1)}  \tag{2.26}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{4} p m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)} r^{2}(t)-(p-1) \delta\left(\frac{d}{p \delta}\right)^{p /(p-1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 implies that there is $\mathbb{R}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} p m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)} r^{2}(t)>(p-1) \delta\left(\frac{d}{p \delta}\right)^{p /(p-1)} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $r(0)>\mathbb{R}$, then our assertion is verified.
Lemma 2.3. Assume that (H1)-(H5) hold, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}|\xi|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}|\eta|^{p /(p-1)}=A^{2} \quad(A \gg 1) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(T, \xi, \eta), v(T, \xi, \eta)) \neq\left(\lambda^{2 / p} \xi, \lambda^{2(p-1) / p} \eta\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is an arbitrary positive number.
Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}|\xi|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}|\eta|^{p /(p-1)}=A^{2}, \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}|u(t, \xi, \eta)|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}|v(t, \xi, \eta)|^{p /(p-1)} \geq c^{2} \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T] . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the generalized polar coordinates (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t) \geq c \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T] \text { if } r(0)=A \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, when $r(0) \rightarrow \infty$, it holds uniformly from (H1)-(H3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\theta^{\prime}=\frac{|u|^{(p-2) / 2}|v|^{(2-p) / 2(p-1)}}{2 \sqrt{p-1} r^{2}}\left[u g(u)+u f\left(u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right) \phi^{-1}(v)\right. \\
&\left.+(p-1) v \phi^{-1}(v)-u e\left(t, u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right)\right] \\
& \geq \frac{|u|^{(p-2) / 2}|v|^{(2-p) / 2(p-1)}}{2 \sqrt{p-1} r^{2}}[ \left(\lambda m_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)|u|^{p}+(p-1) m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}|v|^{p /(p-1)} \\
&-\alpha_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)}-\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u||v|^{1 /(p-1)} \\
&\left.-\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)}|u||v|^{(k-1) /(p-1)}-\gamma_{1}|u|\right] \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& \quad=m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}\left(|v|^{1 /(p-1)}\right)\left[\alpha_{2}\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-1}\right] \\
& \quad \leq m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}\left[\frac{1}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}+\frac{p-1}{p} \alpha_{2}^{p /(p-1)}\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}\right)^{p /(p-1)^{2}}|u|^{p}\right]  \tag{2.34}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{p} m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}|v|^{p /(p-1)}+\frac{p-1}{p} \alpha_{2}^{p /(p-1)} m_{1}^{-p /(p-1)^{2}} m_{2}^{1 /(p-1)^{2}}|u|^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{align*}
-\theta^{\prime} \geq & \frac{|u|^{(p-2) / 2}|v|^{(2-p) / 2(p-1)}}{2 \sqrt{p-1} r^{2}}[ \\
& \left(\lambda m_{1}-\alpha_{1}-\widetilde{\alpha}\right)|u|^{p}+\frac{p^{\prime}-1}{p^{\prime}}(p-1) m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)}|v|^{p /(p-1)} \\
& -\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u||v|^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& \left.-\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)}|u||v|^{(k-1) /(p-1)}-\gamma_{1}|u|\right] \\
= & \frac{\left.p|\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p}|\cos \theta|\right|^{(p-2) / p}}{2(p-1)^{1 / p}}\left[\left(\lambda m_{1}-\alpha_{1}-\widetilde{\alpha}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta+\frac{p^{\prime}-1}{p^{\prime}} m_{2}^{-1 /(p-1)} \sin ^{2} \theta\right] \\
- & \frac{\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)} p^{2 / p}}{2(p-1)^{2 / p} r^{2(p-2) / p}}|\cos \theta||\sin \theta|^{(4-p) / p} \\
& -\frac{\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)} p^{k / p}}{2(p-1)^{k / p} r^{2(p-k) / p}}|\cos \theta||\sin \theta|^{(2 k-p) / p} \\
& -\frac{\gamma_{1} p^{1 / p}}{2(p-1)^{1 / p} r^{2(p-1) / p}|\cos \theta||\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p}} \\
= & a_{1}\left(b_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)|\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p}|\cos \theta|^{(p-2) / p} \\
& -\frac{\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)} p^{2 / p}}{2(p-1)^{2 / p} r^{2(p-2) / p}}|\cos \theta||\sin \theta|^{(4-p) / p} \\
& -\frac{\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)} p^{k / p}}{2(p-1)^{k / p} r^{2(p-k) / p}}|\cos \theta||\sin \theta|^{(2 k-p) / p}  \tag{2.35}\\
& -\frac{\gamma_{1} p^{1 / p}}{2(p-1)^{1 / p} r^{2(p-1) / p}}|\cos \theta||\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\alpha}=\frac{p-1}{p} \alpha_{2}^{p /(p-1)} m_{1}^{-p /(p-1)^{2}} m_{2}^{1 /(p-1)^{2}}, \quad p^{\prime}=p(p-1), \\
a_{1}=\frac{p\left(p^{\prime}-1\right)}{2 p^{\prime}(p-1)^{1 / p} m_{2}^{1 /(p-1)}}, \quad b_{1}=\frac{p^{\prime}}{p^{\prime}-1}\left(\lambda m_{1}-\alpha_{1}-\widetilde{\alpha}\right) m_{2}^{1 /(p-1)} . \tag{2.36}
\end{gather*}
$$

Denote $\hat{b}=\min \left\{b_{1}, 1\right\}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\theta^{\prime} \geq & a_{1}\left(b_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)|\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p}|\cos \theta|^{(p-2) / p} \\
& -\frac{\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)} p^{2 / p}}{2 \hat{b}(p-1)^{2 / p} r^{2(p-2) / p}}\left(b_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)|\cos \theta||\sin \theta|^{(4-p) / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)} p^{k / p}}{2 \hat{b}(p-1)^{k / p} r^{2(p-k) / p}}\left(b_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)|\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p}|\cos \theta|^{(p-2) / p} \\
& -\frac{\gamma_{1} p^{1 / p}}{2 \hat{b}(p-1)^{1 / p} r^{2(p-1) / p}}\left(b_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)|\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p}|\cos \theta|^{(p-2) / p} \\
= & \hat{a}_{1}\left(b_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)|\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p}|\cos \theta|^{(p-2) / p}, \tag{2.37}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}_{1}=a_{1}-\frac{\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)} p^{2 / p}}{2 \hat{b}(p-1)^{2 / p} r^{2(p-2) / p}}-\frac{\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{2}^{(1-k) /(p-1)} p^{k / p}}{2 \hat{b}(p-1)^{k / p} r^{2(p-k) / p}}-\frac{\gamma_{1} p^{1 / p}}{2 \hat{b}(p-1)^{1 / p} r^{2(p-1) / p}} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that it takes time $\Delta t$ for the motion $(r(t), \theta(t))\left(r(0)=A, \theta(0)=\theta_{0}\right)$ to complete one cycle around the origin. It follows from the above inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta t & <\int_{\theta_{0}}^{\theta_{0}+2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{\hat{a}_{1}\left(b_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)|\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p}|\cos \theta|^{(p-2) / p}} \\
& =\frac{4}{\hat{a}_{1}} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\left(b_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)|\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p}|\cos \theta|^{(p-2) / p}} . \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\tan ^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{1}}} \tan \theta \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t<\frac{4}{\hat{a}_{1} b_{1}^{1 / p}} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \eta}{|\tan \eta|^{(2-p) / p}}=\frac{2}{\hat{a}_{1} b_{1}^{1 / p}} B\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{p-1}{p}\right)=\frac{2 \pi}{\hat{a}_{1} b_{1}^{1 / p} \sin (\pi / p)}, \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (H4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} b_{1}^{1 / p} \sin \frac{\pi}{p}=\frac{\pi}{\pi_{p}}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}-1}{p^{\prime}}\right)^{(p-1) / p}\left(\frac{\lambda m_{1}-\alpha_{1}-\tilde{\alpha}}{m_{2}}\right)^{1 / p}>\frac{2 n \pi}{T} . \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

So there exists $\sigma>0$ such that $\left(a_{1}-\sigma\right) b_{1}^{1 / p} \sin (\pi / p)>2 n \pi / T$. For the $\sigma>0$, there exists $\mathbb{R}^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)} p^{2 / p}}{2 \hat{b}(p-1)^{2 / p} r^{2(p-2) / p}}+\frac{\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{2}^{(1-k) /(p-1)} p^{k / p}}{2 \hat{b}(p-1)^{k / p} r^{2(p-k) / p}}+\frac{\gamma p^{1 / p}}{2 \hat{b}(p-1)^{1 / p} r^{2(p-1) / p}}<\sigma \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $A>\mathbb{R}^{\prime}$ large enough. So we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{a}_{1} b_{1}^{1 / p} \sin \frac{\pi}{p}=\left(a_{1}\right. & -\frac{\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)} p^{2 / p}}{2 \hat{b}(p-1)^{2 / p} r^{2(p-2) / p}}-\frac{\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{2}^{(1-k) /(p-1)} p^{k / p}}{2 \hat{b}(p-1)^{k / p} r^{2(p-k) / p}} \\
& \left.-\frac{\gamma p^{1 / p}}{2 \hat{b}(p-1)^{1 / p} r^{2(p-1) / p}}\right) b_{1}^{1 / p} \sin \frac{\pi}{p}>\left(a_{1}-\sigma\right) b_{1}^{1 / p} \sin \frac{\pi}{p}>\frac{2 n \pi}{T} . \tag{2.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T}{\Delta t}>n \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

as

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)} & =m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}\left(|v|^{1 /(p-1)}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}|u|^{p-1}\right) \\
& \leq m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}\left[\frac{1}{p}|v|^{p /(p-1)}+\frac{p-1}{p} \alpha_{2}^{p /(p-1)}|u|^{p}\right]  \tag{2.46}\\
& =\frac{1}{p} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|v|^{p /(p-1)}+\frac{p-1}{p} \alpha_{2}^{p /(p-1)} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u|^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<-\theta^{\prime}=\frac{|u|^{(p-2) / 2}|v|^{(2-p) / 2(p-1)}}{2 \sqrt{p-1} r^{2}}[ & u g(u)+u f\left(u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right) \phi^{-1}(v)+(p-1) v \phi^{-1}(v) \\
& \left.-u e\left(t, u, \phi^{-1}(v)\right)\right] \\
\leq \frac{|u|^{(p-2) / 2}|v|^{(2-p) / 2(p-1)}}{2 \sqrt{p-1} r^{2}}[ & \left(\mu m_{2}+\alpha_{1}\right)|u|^{p}+(p-1) m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|v|^{p /(p-1)} \\
& +\alpha_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u|^{p-1}|v|^{1 /(p-1)}+\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u||v|^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& \left.+\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)}|u||v|^{(k-1) /(p-1)}+\gamma_{1}|u|\right] \\
\leq \frac{|u|^{(p-2) / 2}|v|^{(2-p) / 2(p-1)}}{2 \sqrt{p-1} r^{2}}[ & \left(\mu m_{2}+\alpha_{1}+\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)|u|^{p}+\frac{p^{\prime}+1}{p^{\prime}}(p-1) m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|v|^{p /(p-1)} \\
& +\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}|u||v|^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& \left.+\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)}|u||v|^{(k-1) /(p-1)}+\gamma_{1}|u|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \frac{p|\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p}|\cos \theta|(p-2) / p}{2(p-1)^{1 / p}}\left[\left(\mu m_{2}+\alpha_{1}+\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta+\frac{p^{\prime}+1}{p^{\prime}} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)} \sin ^{2} \theta\right] \\
& +\frac{\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)} p^{2 / p}}{2(p-1)^{2 / p} r^{2(p-2) / p}}|\cos \theta||\sin \theta|^{(4-p) / p} \\
& +\frac{\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)} p^{k / p}}{2(p-1)^{k / p} r^{2(p-k) / p}}|\cos \theta||\sin \theta|^{(2 k-P) / p} \\
& +\frac{\gamma_{1} p^{1 / p}}{2(p-1)^{1 / p} r^{2(p-1) / p}}|\cos \theta||\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p} \\
= & a_{2}\left(b_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)|\sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p}|\cos \theta|^{(p-2) / p} \\
& +\frac{\gamma_{2} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)} p^{2 / p}}{2(p-1)^{2 / p} r^{2(p-2) / p}}|\cos \theta||\sin \theta|^{(4-p) / p} \\
& +\frac{\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) m_{1}^{(1-k) /(p-1)} p^{k / p}}{2(p-1)^{k / p} r^{2(p-k) / p}}|\cos \theta||\sin \theta|^{(2 k-p) / p} \\
& +\frac{\gamma_{1} p^{1 / p}}{2(p-1)^{1 / p} r^{2(p-1) / p}}|\cos \theta \| \sin \theta|^{(2-p) / p} \tag{2.47}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime}=\frac{p-1}{p} \alpha_{2}^{p /(p-1)} m_{1}^{-1 /(p-1)}, \quad a_{2}=\frac{p\left(p^{\prime}+1\right)}{2 p^{\prime}(p-1)^{1 / p} m_{1}^{1 /(p-1)}},  \tag{2.48}\\
b_{2}=\frac{p^{\prime}}{p^{\prime}+1}\left(\mu m_{2}+\alpha_{1}+\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) m_{1}^{1 /(p-1)},
\end{gather*}
$$

with the similar argument, we also get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T}{\Delta t}<n+1 \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n<\frac{T}{\Delta t}<n+1 . \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

To finish the proof, we claim that If $n<T / \Delta t<n+1$, then $(u(T, \xi, \eta), v(T, \xi, \eta)) \neq$ $\left(\lambda^{2 / p} \xi, \lambda^{2(p-1) / p} \eta\right)$. If there is $\lambda>0$ such that $(u(T, \xi, \eta), v(T, \xi, \eta))=\left(\lambda^{2 / p} \xi, \lambda^{2(p-1) / p} \eta\right)$,
then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(p^{1 / p} r(T)^{2 / p}|\cos \theta(T)|^{(2-p) / p} \cos \theta(T),\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{(p-1) / p}\right. \\
& \left.\times r(T)^{2(p-1) / p}|\sin \theta(T)|^{(p-2) / p} \sin \theta(T)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\lambda^{2 / p} p^{1 / p} r(0)^{2 / p}|\cos \theta(0)|^{(2-p) / p} \cos \theta(0), \lambda^{2(p-1) / p}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{(p-1) / p}\right.  \tag{2.51}\\
& \left.\quad \times r(0)^{2(p-1) / p}|\sin \theta(0)|^{(p-2) / p} \sin \theta(0)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{align*}
r(T)^{2 / p}|\cos \theta(T)|^{(2-p) / p} \cos \theta(T) & =\lambda^{2 / p} r(0)^{2 / p}|\cos \theta(0)|^{(2-p) / p} \cos \theta(0),  \tag{2.52}\\
r(T)^{2(p-1) / p}|\sin \theta(T)|^{(p-2 / p} \sin \theta(T) & =\lambda^{2(p-1) / p} r(0)^{2(p-1) / p}|\sin \theta(0)|^{(p-2 / p} \sin \theta(0) . \tag{2.53}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.52) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(T)^{2 / p}|\cos \theta(T)|^{2 / p} \operatorname{sgn} \cos \theta(T)=(\lambda r(0))^{2 / p}|\cos \theta(0)|^{2 / p} \operatorname{sgn} \cos \theta(0), \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

so, sgn $\cos \theta(T)=\operatorname{sgn} \cos \theta(0)$, therefore, $r(T)^{2 / p}|\cos \theta(T)|^{2 / p}=(\lambda r(0))^{2 / p}|\cos \theta(0)|^{2 / p}$, moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(T) \cos \theta(T)=\lambda r(0) \cos \theta(0) \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly from (2.53) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(T) \sin \theta(T)=\lambda r(0) \sin \theta(0) \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, from (2.55) and (2.56), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(T)=\lambda r(0), \quad(\cos \theta(T), \sin \theta(T))=(\cos \theta(0), \sin \theta(0)) . \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(T)=\theta(0)+2 k \pi \quad \text { or } \quad \theta(T)-\theta(0)=2 k \pi . \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, from $n \Delta t<T<(n+1) \Delta t$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta(T)-\theta(0)<\theta(n \Delta t)-\theta(0)=-2 n \pi  \tag{2.59}\\
& \theta(T)-\theta(0)>\theta((n+1) \Delta t)-\theta(0)=-2(n+1) \pi \tag{2.60}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\theta^{\prime}<0$. So there is no integer $k$ such that $\theta(T)-\theta(0)=2 k \pi$.
Therefore, the conclusion follows.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose (H1)-(H5) hold. Then (1.4) has at least one T-periodic solution $u(t)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we know that there exists $A>0(A \gg 1)$ such that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}|\xi|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}|\eta|^{p /(p-1)}=A^{2}, \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(T, \xi, \eta), v(T, \xi, \eta)) \neq\left(\lambda^{2 / p} \xi, \lambda^{2(p-1) / p} \eta\right) \quad \text { for } \lambda>0 \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=u(T, \xi, \eta), \quad \eta_{1}=v(T, \xi, \eta) . \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a two-dimensional open region $D_{A}$ bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{A}=\left\{(\xi, \eta): \frac{1}{p}|\xi|^{p}+\frac{p-1}{p}|\eta|^{p /(p-1)}=A^{2}\right\} \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we define a topological mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
H: D_{A} \longmapsto \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad(\xi, \eta) \longmapsto\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}\right) \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}\right) \neq\left(\lambda^{2 / p} \xi, \lambda^{2(p-1) / p} \eta\right), \quad(\xi, \eta) \in \partial D_{A} \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define a homotopy $h: \bar{D}_{A} \times[0,1] \rightarrow R^{2}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
h(\xi, \eta, \mu) & =-\left(\mu^{2 / p} \xi, \mu^{2(p-1) / p} \eta\right)+\left((1-\mu)^{2 / p} \xi_{1},(1-\mu)^{2(p-1) / p} \eta_{1}\right) \\
& =-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mu^{2 / p} & 0 \\
0 & \mu^{2(p-1) / p}
\end{array}\right) I(\xi, \eta)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(1-\mu)^{2 / p} & 0 \\
0 & (1-\mu)^{2(p-1) / p}
\end{array}\right) H(\xi, \eta) \tag{2.67}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\mu \in[0,1]$. It is easy to see that $h(\xi, \eta, 0), h(\xi, \eta, 1) \neq 0$ for $(\xi, \eta) \in \partial D_{A}$. Then we show that $h(\xi, \eta, \mu) \neq 0$ for $(\xi, \eta) \in \partial D_{A}$, where $\mu \in(0,1)$. If not, there is $\mu_{0} \in(0,1),(\xi, \eta) \in \partial D_{A}$ such that $h\left(\xi, \eta, \mu_{0}\right)=0$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}\right)=\left(\left(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu}\right)^{2 / p} \xi,\left(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu}\right)^{2(p-1) / p} \eta\right) \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is impossible. So $h(\xi, \eta, \mu) \neq 0$ for $\mu \in[0,1]$.
Then, $\operatorname{deg}\left\{h(\xi, \eta, 0), D_{A}, 0\right\}=\operatorname{deg}\left\{h(\xi, \eta, 1), D_{A}, 0\right\}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left\{H, D_{A}, 0\right\}=\operatorname{deg}\left\{-I, D_{A}, 0\right\} \neq 0 . \tag{2.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $H$ has at least one fixed point $\left(\xi^{*}, \eta^{*}\right) \in D_{A}$. It is easy to see that $u(t)=$ $u\left(t, \xi^{*}, \eta^{*}\right)$ is a $T$-periodic solution of (1.4).

If we let $\phi(u)=\varphi_{p}(u)=|u|^{p-2} u, p>2$, then we have the following special cases of (1.4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}+g(u)=p\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right) \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{2.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we can easy get the following results.
Theorem 2.5. Assume (H2) and (H3) hold and solutions of (2.70) are unique with respect to initial value, moreover suppose that there exist $\lambda, \mu$, and $n$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{p^{\prime}-1}\right)^{p-1}\left(\frac{2 n \pi_{p}}{T}\right)^{p}+\alpha_{1}+\frac{p-1}{p} \alpha_{2}^{p / p-1}  \tag{2.71}\\
& \quad<\lambda \leq \frac{g(x)}{\phi_{p}(x)} \leq \mu<\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{p^{\prime}+1}\right)^{p-1}\left(\frac{2(n+1) \pi_{p}}{T}\right)^{p}-\alpha_{1}-\frac{p-1}{p} \alpha_{2}^{p / p-1}
\end{align*}
$$

then (2.70) has at least one T-periodic solution.

## 3. Example

In this section, we present an example to illustrate our main results. Consider the following differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}+g(u)=e\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right), \quad t \in[0, T], \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi(x)=|x|(x+\sin x), \quad f(x, y)=|y|^{3 / 4}+a, \quad a>0, \quad g(x)=2 \phi(x), \\
e(t, x, y)=-\frac{2}{3}|x| x-|y|^{3 / 4} y+b \cos 2 \pi t, \quad b>0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{3}|x|^{2} \leq|\phi(x)| \leq 2|x|^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if $x \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi(x)|=|x|^{2}\left|1+\frac{\sin x}{x}\right|>|x|^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\pi}\right)>\frac{2}{3}|x|^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so (3.3) holds. Therefore, $p=3, m_{1}=2 / 3, m_{2}=2$. Also, we can get $\alpha_{1}=2 / 3, \beta_{1}=1$, $\gamma_{1}=b, \alpha_{2}=0, \beta_{2}=1, \gamma_{2}=a, k=11 / 4$.

Let $n=0$ and $T=1$, then conditions (H1)-(H4) are satisfied.
Now, we check that condition (H5) is satisfied.
Suppose that $x_{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}(t)$ are two different solutions to (3.1) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}, \quad x_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}^{\prime} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y=\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, then $\left(x_{i}(t), y_{i}(t)\right)=\left(x_{i}(t), \phi\left(x_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)(i=1,2)$ are two different solutions to the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime}=\phi^{-1}(y) \\
y^{\prime}=-g(x)-f\left(x, \phi^{-1}(y)\right) \phi^{-1}(y)+e\left(t, x, \phi^{-1}(y)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

satisfying $\left(x_{i}\left(t_{0}\right), y_{i}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=\left(x_{0}, \phi\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right)(i=1,2)$.
Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}(t)>x_{1}(t), \quad t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $x_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}, x_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}^{\prime}$, and $x_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{2}\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$, so there exists $t^{*} \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}^{\prime}(t)>x_{1}^{\prime}(t), \quad t \in\left(t_{0}, t^{*}\right] . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for $t \in\left(t_{0}, t^{*}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2}(t)-y_{1}(t)=-\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\{ & {\left[g\left(x_{2}(s)\right)-g\left(x_{1}(s)\right)\right]+\left[f\left(x_{2}(s), x_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right) x_{2}^{\prime}(s)-f\left(x_{1}(s), x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right] } \\
& \left.\quad\left[e\left(s, x_{2}(s), x_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right)-e\left(s, x_{1}(s), x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right)\right]\right\} d s \\
=-\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\{2 & {\left[\phi\left(x_{2}(s)\right)-\phi\left(x_{1}(s)\right)\right]+2\left[\left|x_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{3 / 4} x_{2}^{\prime}(s)-\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{3 / 4} x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right] } \\
& \left.\quad+a\left(x_{2}^{\prime}(s)-x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left[\left|x_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right| x_{2}^{\prime}(s)-\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right]\right\} d s<0 . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)-\phi\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)<0, \quad t \in\left(t_{0}, t^{*}\right] \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $x_{2}^{\prime}(t)<x_{1}^{\prime}(t), t \in\left(t_{0}, t^{*}\right]$, this is a contradiction.
Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, we can conclude that (3.1) has at least one 1-periodic solution.
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