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Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $A, B$ be two rings. An additive map $h: A \rightarrow B$ is called $n$-Jordan homomorphism if $h\left(a^{n}\right)=(h(a))^{n}$ for all $a \in A$. In this paper, we establish the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of $n$-Jordan homomorphisms on Banach algebras. Also we show that (a) to each approximate 3-Jordan homomorphism $h$ from a Banach algebra into a semisimple commutative Banach algebra there corresponds a unique 3-ring homomorphism near to $f$, (b) to each approximate $n$-Jordan homomorphism $h$ between two commutative Banach algebras there corresponds a unique $n$-ring homomorphism near to $f$ for all $n \in\{3,4,5\}$.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $A, B$ be two rings (algebras). An additive map $h: A \rightarrow B$ is called $n$-Jordan homomorphism ( $n$-ring homomorphism) if $h\left(a^{n}\right)=(h(a))^{n}$ for all $a \in A,\left(h\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)=\right.$ $\prod_{i=1}^{n} h\left(a_{i}\right)$, for all $\left.a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A\right)$. If $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a linear $n$-ring homomorphism, we say that $h$ is $n$-homomorphism. The concept of $n$-homomorphisms was studied for complex algebras by Hejazian et al. [1] (see also [2, 3]). A 2-Jordan homomorphism is a Jordan homomorphism, in the usual sense, between rings. Every Jordan homomorphism is an $n$-Jordan homomorphism, for all $n \geq 2$, (e.g., [4, Lemma 6.3.2]), but the converse is false, in general. For instance, let $A$ be an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $h: A \rightarrow A$ be a nonzero Jordan homomorphism on $A$. Then, $-h$ is a 3 -Jordan homomorphism. It is easy to check that $-h$ is not 2-Jordan homomorphism or 4 -Jordan homomorphism. The concept of $n$-Jordan homomorphisms was studied by the first author [5]. A classical question in the theory of functional equations is that "when is it true that a mapping which approximately satisfies a functional equation $\mathcal{\varepsilon}$ must be somehow close to an exact solution of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon}$ ?" Such
a problem was formulated by Ulam [6] in 1940 and solved in the next year for the Cauchy functional equation by Hyers [7]. It gave rise to the stability theory for functional equations. Subsequently, various approaches to the problem have been introduced by several authors. For the history and various aspects of this theory we refer the reader to monographs [8-12]. Applying a theorem of Hyers [7], Rassias [13], and Gajda [14], Bourgin [15] proved the stability problem of ring homomorphisms between unital Banach algebras. Badora [16] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of ring homomorphisms, which generalizes the result of Bourgin. Recently, Miura et al. [17] proved the Hyers-UlamRassias stability of Jordan homomorphisms. The stability problem of $n$-homomorphisms between Banach algebras, has been proved by the first author [18]. In this paper, we consider the stability, in the sense of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias, of $n$-Jordan homomorphisms on Banach algebras.

## 2. Main Result

By a following similar way as in [17], we obtain the next theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Let $A$ be a normed algebra, let $B$ be a Banach algebra, let $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $p, q$ be a real numbers such that $p, q<1$ or $p, q>1$, and that $q>0$. Assume that $f: A \rightarrow B$ satisfies the system of functional inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f(a+b)-f(a)-f(b)\| & \leq \varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|b\|^{p}\right),  \tag{2.1}\\
\left\|f\left(a^{n}\right)-f(a)^{n}\right\| & \leq \delta\|a\|^{n q} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Then, there exists a unique $n$-Jordan homomorphism $h: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(a)-h(a)\| \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{\left|2-2^{p}\right|}\|a\|^{p} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in A$.
Proof. Put $s:=-\operatorname{sgn}(p-1)$, and $h(a):=\lim _{m}\left(1 / 2^{s m}\right) f\left(2^{s m} a\right)$ for all $a \in A$. It follows from $[13,14]$ that $h$ is additive map satisfies (2.3). We will show that $h$ is $n$-Jordan homomorphism. Since $\lim _{m} 2^{\text {smn(q-1) }}=0$, it follows from (2.2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{m} & \frac{1}{2^{s m n}}\left\{\left\|f\left(\left(2^{s m} a\right)\left(2^{s m} a\right) \cdots\left(2^{s m} a\right)\right)-\left(f\left(2^{s m} a\right)\right)^{n}\right\|\right\} \\
& \leq \lim _{m} \frac{1}{2^{s m n}} \delta\left\|2^{s m} a\right\|^{n q}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\lim _{m}\left(2^{s m n(q-1)}\right) \delta\|a\|^{n q}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
h\left(a^{n}\right) & =\lim _{m} \frac{1}{2^{s m n}} f\left(2^{s m n}\left(a^{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{m} \frac{1}{2^{s m n}} f\left(\left(2^{s m} a\right)\left(2^{s m} a\right) \cdots\left(2^{s m} a\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{m} \frac{1}{2^{s m n}}\left\{f\left(\left(2^{s m} a\right)\left(2^{s m} a\right) \cdots\left(2^{s m} a\right)\right)-\left(f\left(2^{s m} a\right)\right)^{n}+\left(f\left(2^{s m} a\right)\right)^{n}\right\} \\
& =(h(a))^{n} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a \in A$. In other words, $h$ is $n$-Jordan homomorphism. The uniqueness property of $h$ follows from [13, 14].

Theorem 2.2. Let A be a normed algebra, let B be a Banach algebra, let $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $p, q$ be real numbers such that $p<1$ and $q<0$. If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping, with $f(0)=0$, such that the inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) are valid. Then, there exists a unique $n$-Jordan homomorphism h: $A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(a)-h(a)\| \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{\left|2-2^{p}\right|}\|a\|^{p} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in A$.
Proof. Assume that $\|0\|^{p}=\infty$. It follows from [13] that there exists an additive map $h: A \rightarrow B$ satisfies (2.6). It suffices to show that $h\left(a^{n}\right)=h(a)^{n}$ for all $a \in A$. Since $h$ is additive, we get $h(0)=0$, and so the case $a=0$ is omitted. Let $a \in A-\{0\}$ be arbitrarily. If $a^{n} \neq 0$, then the proof of Theorem 2.1 works well, and $h\left(a^{n}\right)=h(a)^{n}$. Thus we need to consider only the case $a^{n}=0$. Since $f(0)=0$, it follows from (2.2), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{2^{m n}}\left(f\left(2^{m} a\right)\right)^{n}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{m n}} \delta\left\|2^{m} a\right\|^{n q}=2^{m n(q-1)} \delta\|a\|^{n q} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m} \frac{1}{2^{m n}}\left(f\left(2^{m} a\right)\right)^{n}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(a)=\lim _{m} \frac{1}{2^{m}}\left(f\left(2^{m} a\right)\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(a)^{n}=\lim _{m}\left\{\frac{1}{2^{m n}}\left(f\left(2^{m} a\right)\right)^{n}\right\}=0, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves $h\left(a^{n}\right)=0=h(a)^{n}$, whenever $a^{n}=0$. This completes the proof.
By [17, Theorem 1.1] and [5, Theorem 2.5], we have the following theorem.
Theorem 2.3. Let $n \in\{2,3\}$ be fixed. Suppose $A$ is a Banach algebra, which needs not to be commutative, and suppose $B$ is a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. Then, each $n$-Jordan homomorphism $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a n-ring homomorphism.

Let $n \in\{2,3\}$ be fixed. As a direct corollary, we show that to each approximate $n$-Jordan homomorphism $f$ from a Banach algebra into a semisimple commutative Banach algebra there corresponds a unique $n$-ring homomorphism near to $f$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $n \in\{2,3\}$ be fixed. Suppose $A$ is a Banach algebra, which needs not to be commutative, and suppose $B$ is a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. Let $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$ be nonnegative real numbers and let $p, q$ be a real numbers such that $(p-1)(q-1)>0, q \geq 0$ or $(p-1)(q-1)>$ $0, q<0$ and $f(0)=0$. Assume that $f: A \rightarrow B$ satisfies the system of functional inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f(a+b)-f(a)-f(b)\| & \leq \varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|b\|^{p}\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
\left\|f\left(a^{n}\right)-f(a)^{n}\right\| & \leq \delta\|a\|^{n q}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Then, there exists a unique n-ring homomorphism $h: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(a)-h(a)\| \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{\left|2-2^{p}\right|}\|a\|^{p} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in A$.
Proof. It follows from Theorems 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3.
Theorem 2.5. Let $n \in\{3,4,5\}$ be fixed, $A, B$ be two commutative algebras, and let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a $n$-Jordan homomorphism. Then, $h$ is n-ring homomorphism.

Proof. For $n=3,4$, (see [5, Theorem 2.2]). Now suppose $n=5$. Then, $h$ is additive and $h\left(a^{5}\right)=$ $(h(a))^{5}$ for all $a \in A$. Replacing $a$ by $a+b$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(5 a^{4} b+10 a^{3} b^{2}+10 a^{2} b^{3}+5 a b^{4}\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
& \quad=5 h\left(a^{4}\right) h(b)+10 h\left(a^{3}\right) h\left(b^{2}\right)+10 h\left(a^{2}\right) h\left(b^{3}\right)+5 h(a) h\left(b^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now, replacing $a$ by $x+y$ in (2.13), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left\{5\left(x^{4}+4 x^{3} y+6 x^{2} y^{2}+4 x y^{3}+y^{4}\right) b+10\left(x^{3}+3 x^{2} y+3 x y^{2}+y^{3}\right) b^{2}\right. \\
&\left.+10\left(x^{2}+2 x y+y^{2}\right) b^{3}+5(x+y) b^{4}\right\} \\
&= 5\left[h(x)^{4}+4 h(x)^{3} h(y)+6 h(x)^{2} h(y)^{2}+4 h(x) h(y)^{3}+h(y)^{4}\right] h(b)  \tag{2.14}\\
&+10\left[h(x)^{3}+3 h(x)^{2} h(y)+3 h(x) h(y)^{2}+h(y)^{3}\right] h(b)^{2} \\
&+10\left[h(x)^{2}+2 h(x) h(y)+h(y)^{2}\right] h(b)^{3}+5[h(x)+h(y)] h(b)^{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.13) and (2.14), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left\{\left(20 x^{3} y b+30 x^{2} y^{2} b+20 x y^{3} b+30 x^{2} y b^{2}+30 x y^{2} b^{2}+20 x y b^{3}\right)\right\} \\
&= 20 h(x)^{3} h(y) h(b)+30 h(x)^{2} h(y)^{2} h(b)+20 h(x) h(y)^{3} h(b)  \tag{2.15}\\
&+30 h(x)^{2} h(y) h(b)^{2}+30 h(x) h(y)^{2} h(b)^{2}+20 h(x) h(y) h(b)^{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.15) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
h\{x y b & {\left.\left[20\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+b^{2}\right)+30(x y+x b+y b)\right]\right\} } \\
= & h(x) h(y) h(b)\left[20 h(x)^{2}+30 h(x) h(y)+20 h(y)^{2}\right.  \tag{2.16}\\
& \left.+30 h(x) h(b)+30 h(y) h(b)+20 h(b)^{2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $b$ by $z+w$ in (2.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
h\{x y z & {\left.\left[20\left(w^{2}+2 z w\right)+30(x y+x w+y w)\right]+x y w\left[20\left(z^{2}+2 z w\right)+30(x y+x z+y z)\right]\right\} } \\
= & h(x) h(y) h(z)\left[20\left(h(w)^{2}+2 h(z) h(w)\right)+30(h(x) h(y)+h(x) h(w)+h(y) h(w))\right] \\
& +h(x) h(y) h(w)\left[20\left(h(z)^{2}+2 h(z) h(w)\right)+30(h(x) h(y)+h(x) h(z)+h(y) h(z))\right] \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $z$ by $t+s$ in (2.17), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left\{x y(t+s)\left[20\left(w^{2}+2(t+s) w\right)+30(x y+x w+y w)\right]\right. \\
&\left.+x y w\left[20\left((t+s)^{2}+2(t+s) w\right)+30(x y+x(t+s)+y(t+s))\right]\right\} \\
&=h(x) h(y) h(t+s) {\left[20\left(h(w)^{2}+2 h(t+s) h(w)\right)\right.}  \tag{2.18}\\
&+30(h(x) h(y)+h(x) h(w)+h(y) h(w))] \\
&+h(x) h(y) h(w)[ 20\left(h(t+s)^{2}+2 h(t+s) h(w)\right) \\
&+30(h(x) h(y)+h(x) h(t+s)+h(y) h(t+s))]
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left[40 x y w t s-30 x^{2} y^{2} w-30 x y s(x y+x w+y w)\right] \\
& \quad=40 h(x) h(y) h(w) h(t) h(s)-30 h(x)^{2} h(y)^{2} h(w) \\
& \quad-30 h(x) h(y) h(s)[h(x) h(y)+h(x) h(w)+h(y) h(w)], \\
& h\left[40 x y w t s-30 x^{2} y^{2} w-30 x y t(x y+x w+y w)\right]  \tag{2.19}\\
& =40 h(x) h(y) h(w) h(t) h(s)-30 h(x)^{2} h(y)^{2} h(w) \\
& \quad-30 h(x) h(y) h(t)[h(x) h(y)+h(x) h(w)+h(y) h(w)] .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.19) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& h[x y(s-t)(x y+x w+y w)] \\
& \quad=h(x) h(y)(h(s)-h(t))[h(x) h(y)+h(x) h(w)+h(y) h(w)] \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $t$ by $-s$ in (2.20), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h[2 x y s(x y+x w+y w)]=2 h(x) h(y) h(s)[h(x) h(y)+h(x) h(w)+h(y) h(w)] . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y, w$ by $x$ in (2.21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(x^{4} s\right)=h(x)^{4} h(s) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $x$ by $x+y$ in above equality to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\left(4 x^{3} y+6 x^{2} y^{2}+4 x y^{3}\right) s\right)=\left(4 h(x)^{3} h(y)+6 h(x)^{2} h(y)^{2}+4 h(x) h(y)^{3}\right) h(s) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $x$ by $x+z$ in (2.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left\{\left[\left(4 x^{3} y+6 x^{2} y^{2}+4 x y^{3}\right)+\left(4 z^{3} y+6 z^{2} y^{2}+4 z y^{3}\right)+12\left(x^{2} z y+x z^{2} y+x z y^{2}\right)\right] s\right\} \\
& =\left\{\left(4 h(x)^{3} h(y)+6 h(x)^{2} h(y)^{2}+4 h(x) h(y)^{3}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+\left(4 h(z)^{3} h(y)+6 h(z)^{2} h(y)^{2}+4 h(z) h(y)^{3}\right)  \tag{2.24}\\
& \left.\quad+12\left(h(x)^{2} h(z) h(y)+h(x) h(z)^{2} h(y)+h(x) h(z) h(y)^{2}\right)\right\} h(s) .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.23) by (2.24), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\{(x y z)(x+y+z) s\}=[(h(x) h(y) h(z))(h(x)+h(y)+h(z))] h(s) . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $z$ by $-x$ in (2.25) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(x^{2} y^{2} s\right)=h(x)^{2} h(y)^{2} h(s) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

replacing $y$ by $y+w$ in (2.26), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(x^{2} y w s\right)=h(x)^{2} h(y) h(w) h(s) . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, replace $x$ by $x+t$ in (2.27), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x t y w s)=h(x) h(t) h(y) h(w) h(s) . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $h$ is 5-ring homomorphism.
Corollary 2.6. Let $n \in\{3,4,5\}$ be fixed. Suppose $A, B$ are commutative Banach algebras. Let $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$ be nonnegative real numbers and let $p, q$ be a real numbers such that $(p-1)(q-1)>0, q \geq 0$ or $(p-1)(q-1)>0, q<0$, and $f(0)=0$. Assume that $f: A \rightarrow B$ satisfies the system of functional inequalities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|f(a+b)-f(a)-f(b)\| \leq \varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|b\|^{p}\right) \\
\left\|f\left(a^{n}\right)-f(a)^{n}\right\| \leq \delta\|a\|^{n q} \tag{2.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Then, there exists a unique n-ring homomorphism $h: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(a)-h(a)\| \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{\left|2-2^{p}\right|}\|a\|^{p} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in A$.
Proof. It follows from Theorems 2.1, 2.2, and 2.5.
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