Research Article

Stability of a Cauchy-Jensen Functional Equation in Quasi-Banach Spaces

Jae-Hyeong Bae¹ and Won-Gil Park²

¹ College of Liberal Arts, Kyung Hee University, Yongin 446-701, South Korea

² Division of Computational Sciences in Mathematics, National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 385-16 Doryong-Dong, Yuseong-Gu, Daejeon 305-340, South Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Won-Gil Park, wgpark@nims.re.kr

Received 16 October 2009; Accepted 30 January 2010

Academic Editor: Yeol Je Cho

Copyright © 2010 J.-H. Bae and W.-G. Park. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We obtain the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the Cauchy-Jensen functional equation 2f(x + y, (z + w)/2) = f(x, z) + f(x, w) + f(y, z) + f(y, w).

1. Introduction

In 1940, Ulam proposed the general Ulam stability problem (see [1]).

Let G_1 be a group and let G_2 be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \to G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$ then there is a homomorphism $H : G_1 \to G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$?

In 1941, this problem was solved by Hyers [2] in the case of Banach space. Thereafter, we call that type the Hyers-Ulam stability.

Throughout this paper, let *X* and *Y* be vector spaces. A mapping $g : X \to Y$ is called an *additive mapping* (respectively, an *affine mapping*) if *g* satisfies the Cauchy functional equation g(x+y) = g(x)+g(y) (respectively, the Jensen functional equation 2g((x+y)/2) = g(x)+g(y)). Aoki [3] and Rassias [4, 5] extended the Hyers-Ulam stability by considering variables for Cauchy equation. Using the method introduced in [3], Jung [6] obtained a result for Jensen equation. It also has been generalized to the function case by Găvruta [7] and Jung [8] for Cauchy equation, and by Lee and Jun [9] for Jensen equation.

Definition 1.1. A mapping $f : X \times X \to Y$ is called a *Cauchy-Jensen mapping* if f satisfies the system of equations

$$f(x + y, z) = f(x, z) + f(y, z),$$

2f $\left(x, \frac{y + z}{2}\right) = f(x, y) + f(x, z).$ (1.1)

When $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$, the function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by f(x, y) := axy + bx is a solution of (1.1). In particular, letting x = y, we get a function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $g(x) := f(x, x) = ax^2 + bx$.

For a mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$, consider the functional equation

$$2f\left(x+y,\frac{z+w}{2}\right) = f(x,z) + f(x,w) + f(y,z) + f(y,w).$$
(1.2)

Definition 1.2 (see [10, 11]). Let X be a real linear space. A *quasi-norm* is real-valued function on X satisfying the following.

- (i) $||x|| \ge 0$ for all $x \in X$ and ||x|| = 0 if and only if x = 0.
- (ii) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $x \in X$.
- (iii) There is a constant $K \ge 1$ such that $||x + y|| \le K(||x|| + ||y||)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

The pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a *quasi-normed space* if $\|\cdot\|$ is a quasi-norm on X. The smallest possible K is called the *modulus of concavity* of $\|\cdot\|$. A *quasi-Banach space* is a complete quasi-normed space. A quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *p-norm* (0 if

$$\|x+y\|^{p} \le \|x\|^{p} + \|y\|^{p}$$
(1.3)

for all $x, y \in X$. In this case, a quasi-Banach space is called a *p*-Banach space.

The authors [12] obtained the solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) as follows.

Theorem A. A mapping $f : X \times X \to Y$ satisfies (1.1) if and only if there exist a biadditive mapping $B : X \times X \to Y$ and an additive mapping $A : X \to Y$ such that f(x, y) = B(x, y) + A(x) for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem B. A mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.1) if and only if it satisfies (1.2).

In this paper, we investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of (1.1) and (1.2).

2. Stability of (1.1) **and** (1.2)

Throughout this section, assume that *X* is a quasi-normed space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ and that *Y* is a *p*-Banach space with *p*-norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$. Let *K* be the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|_Y$.

Let $\varphi : X \times X \times X \to [0,\infty)$ and $\psi : X \times X \times X \to [0,\infty)$ be two functions such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, z) = 0, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, y, z) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} \varphi(x, y, 3^n z) = 0, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} \varphi(x, 3^n y, 3^n z) = 0$$
(2.2)

for all $x, y, z \in X$, and

$$M(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{pj}} \varphi \left(2^j x, 2^j y, z \right)^p < \infty,$$
(2.3)

$$N(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^{p_j}} \psi(x, 3^j y, 3^j z)^p < \infty$$
(2.4)

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that a mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequalities

$$\|f(x+y,z) - f(x,z) - f(y,z)\|_{Y} \le \varphi(x,y,z),$$
(2.5)

$$\left\|2f\left(x,\frac{y+z}{2}\right) - f\left(x,y\right) - f\left(x,z\right)\right\|_{Y} \le \psi(x,y,z)$$
(2.6)

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then the limits

$$F_{C}(x,y) := \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{j}} f(2^{j}x,y), \qquad F_{J}(x,y) := \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{3^{j}} f(x,3^{j}y)$$
(2.7)

exist for all $x, y \in X$ and the mappings $F_C : X \times X \to Y$ and $F_J : X \times X \to Y$ are Cauchy-Jensen mappings satisfying

$$\|f(x,y) - F_C(x,y)\|_{Y} \le \frac{1}{2}M(x,x,y)^{1/p},$$
 (2.8)

$$\|f(x,y) - f(x,0) - F_J(x,y)\|_Y \le \frac{K}{3} N(x,y,y)^{1/p}$$
(2.9)

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Letting y = x and replacing z by y in (2.5) then,

$$\|f(2x,y) - 2f(x,y)\|_{Y} \le \varphi(x,x,y)$$
(2.10)

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing x by $2^n x$ in the above inequality and dividing by 2^{n+1} , we get

$$\left\|\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}f(2^{n+1}x,y) - \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^nx,y)\right\|_{Y} \le \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\varphi(2^nx,2^nx,y)$$
(2.11)

for all $x, y \in X$ and all nonnegative integers *n*. Since Y is a *p*-Banach space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f\left(2^{n+1}x, y\right) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x, y) \right\|_Y^p &\leq \sum_{j=m}^n \left\| \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1}x, y) - \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x, y) \right\|_Y^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{j=m}^n \frac{1}{2^{pj}} \varphi\left(2^j x, 2^j y, y\right)^p \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.12)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all nonnegative integers n and m with $n \ge m$. Therefore we conclude from (2.3) and (2.12) that the sequence $\{(1/2^n)f(2^nx, y)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y for all $x, y \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{(1/2^n)f(2^nx, y)\}$ converges in Y for all $x, y \in X$. So one can define the mapping $F_C : X \times X \to Y$ by

$$F_C(x,y) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x, y)$$
(2.13)

for all $x, y \in X$. Letting m = 0 and passing the limit $n \to \infty$ in (2.12), we get (2.8). Now, we show that F_C is a Cauchy-Jensen mapping. It follows from (2.1), (2.11), and (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{C}(2x,y) - 2F_{C}(x,y)\|_{Y} &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{2^{n}} f(2^{n+1}x,y) - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} f(2^{n}x,y) \right\|_{Y} \\ &= 2\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f\left(2^{n+1}x,y\right) - \frac{1}{2^{n}} f(2^{n}x,y) \right\|_{Y} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi(2^{n}x,2^{n}x,y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$
(2.14)

for all $x, y \in X$. So $F_C(2x, y) = 2F_C(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

On the other hand it follows from (2.1), (2.5), (2.6), and (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|F_{C}(x+y,z)-F_{C}(x,z)-F_{C}(y,z)\right\|_{Y} &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \left\|f(2^{n}x+2^{n}y,z)-f(2^{n}x,z)-f(2^{n}y,z)\right\|_{Y} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi(2^{n}x,2^{n}y,z) = 0, \\ \left\|2F_{C}\left(x,\frac{y+z}{2}\right)-F_{C}(x,y)-F_{C}(y,z)\right\|_{Y} &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \left\|f\left(2^{n}x,\frac{y+z}{2}\right)-f(2^{n}x,y)-f(2^{n}y,z)\right\|_{Y} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi(2^{n}x,y,z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.15)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Thus F_C is a Cauchy-Jensen mapping. Next, setting z = -y in (2.6) and replacing y by -y and z by 3y in (2.6), one can obtain that

$$\|2f(x,0) - f(x,y) - f(x,-y)\|_{Y} \le \psi(x,y,-y),$$

$$\|2f(x,y) - f(x,-y) - f(x,3y)\|_{Y} \le \psi(x,-y,3y),$$

(2.16)

respectively, for all $x, y \in X$. By two above inequalities,

$$\|3f(x,y) - 2f(x,0) - f(x,3y)\|_{Y} \le K(\psi(x,y,-y) + \psi(x,-y,3y))$$
(2.17)

for all $x, y \in X$. By the same method as above, one can find a Cauchy-Jensen mapping F_J which satisfies (2.9). In fact, $F_J(x, y) := \lim_{j \to \infty} (1/3^j) f(x, 3^j y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

From now on, let χ : $X \times X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{6^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 3^n z, 3^n w) = 0,$$
(2.18)

$$L(x, y, z, w) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{6^{pj}} \chi \left(2^j x, 2^j y, 3^j z, 3^j w \right)^p < \infty$$
(2.19)

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$.

We will use the following lemma in order to prove Theorem 2.3.

Lemma 2.2 (see [13]). Let $0 and let <math>x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ be nonnegative real numbers. Then

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j\right)^p \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^p.$$
(2.20)

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that a mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies f(x, 0) = f(0, x) = 0 and the inequality

$$\left\|2f\left(x+y,\frac{z+w}{2}\right) - f(x,z) - f(x,w) - f(y,z) - f(y,w)\right\|_{Y} \le \chi(x,y,z,w)$$
(2.21)

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Then the limit $F(x, y) := \lim_{j \to \infty} (1/6^j) f(2^j x, 3^j y)$ exists for all $x, y \in X$ and the mapping $F : X \times X \to Y$ is the unique Cauchy-Jensen mapping satisfying

$$\|f(x,y) - F(x,y)\|_{Y} \le \frac{K}{6}\tilde{\chi}(x,y)^{1/p},$$
 (2.22)

where

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\chi}(x,y) &:= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{6^{pj}} \Big[3^p \chi \Big(2^j x, 2^j x, 3^j y, -3^j y \Big)^p \\ &+ K^{3p} \Big(\chi \Big(2^j x, 2^j x, -3^j y, 3^j y \Big)^p + \chi \Big(2^j x, 2^j x, 3^j y, 3^j y \Big)^p \Big) \\ &+ K^{2p} \chi \Big(2^j x, 2^j x, -3^j y, 3^{j+1} y \Big)^p + \frac{K^p}{2^p} \chi \Big(2^j x, 2^j x, 3^{j+1} y, 3^{j+1} y \Big)^p \Big] \end{split}$$
(2.23)

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Letting y = x in (2.21), we get

$$\left\|2f\left(2x,\frac{z+w}{2}\right) - 2f(x,z) - 2f(x,w)\right\|_{Y} \le \chi(x,x,z,w)$$
(2.24)

for all $x, z, w \in X$. Putting z = y and w = -y in (2.24), we get

$$\|2f(x,y) + 2f(x,-y)\|_{Y} \le \chi(x,x,y,-y)$$
(2.25)

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing z by -y and w by -y in (2.24), we get

$$\|f(2x,-y) - 2f(x,-y)\|_{Y} \le \frac{1}{2}\chi(x,x,-y,-y)$$
(2.26)

for all $x, y \in X$. By (2.25) and (2.26), we have

$$\|2f(x,y) + f(2x,-y)\|_{Y} \le K\left(\chi(x,x,y,-y) + \frac{1}{2}\chi(x,x,-y,-y)\right)$$
(2.27)

for all $x, y \in X$. Setting z = y and w = -3y in (2.24), we get

$$\|f(2x,-y) - f(x,y) - f(x,-3y)\|_{Y} \le \frac{1}{2}\chi(x,x,y,-3y)$$
(2.28)

for all $x, y \in X$. By (2.27) and the above inequality, we get

$$\|3f(x,y) + f(x,-3y)\|_{Y} \le K^{2} \left(\chi(x,x,y,-y) + \frac{1}{2}\chi(x,x,-y,-y) \right) + \frac{K}{2}\chi(x,x,y,-3y)$$
(2.29)

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing y by 3y in (2.26), we get

$$\|f(2x, -3y) - 2f(x, -3y)\|_{Y} \le \frac{1}{2}\chi(x, x, -3y, -3y)$$
(2.30)

for all $x, y \in X$. By (2.29) and the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|6f(x,y) + f(2x,-3y)\|_{Y} &\leq K^{3}(2\chi(x,x,y,-y) + \chi(x,x,-y,-y)) + K^{2}\chi(x,x,y,-3y) \\ &+ \frac{K}{2}\chi(x,x,-3y,-3y) \end{aligned}$$
(2.31)

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing y by -y in the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|6f(x,-y) + f(2x,3y)\|_{Y} &\leq K^{3}(2\chi(x,x,-y,y) + \chi(x,x,y,y)) + K^{2}\chi(x,x,-y,3y) \\ &+ \frac{K}{2}\chi(x,x,3y,3y) \end{aligned}$$
(2.32)

for all $x, y \in X$. By (2.25) and the above inequality, we get

$$\|6f(x,y) - f(2x,3y)\|_{Y} \le \chi_{*}(x,y),$$
(2.33)

where

$$\chi_{*}(x,y) := 3K\chi(x,x,y,-y) + K^{4}(2\chi(x,x,-y,y) + \chi(x,x,y,y)) + K^{3}\chi(x,x,-y,3y) + \frac{K^{2}}{2}\chi(x,x,3y,3y)$$

$$(2.34)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing x by $2^n x$ and y by $3^n y$ in the above inequality and dividing 6^{n+1} , we get

$$\left\|\frac{1}{6^{n}}f(2^{n}x,3^{n}y) - \frac{1}{6^{n+1}}f(2^{n+1}x,3^{n+1}y)\right\|_{Y} \le \frac{1}{6^{n+1}}\chi_{*}(2^{n}x,3^{n}y)$$
(2.35)

for all $x, y \in X$ and all nonnegative integers *n*. Since $\|\cdot\|_Y$ is a *p*-norm, we have

$$\left\|\frac{1}{6^{n+1}}f(2^{n+1}x,3^{n+1}y) - \frac{1}{6^m}f(2^mx,3^my)\right\|_Y^p \le \sum_{j=m}^n \left\|\frac{1}{6^{j+1}}f(2^{j+1}x,3^{j+1}y) - \frac{1}{6^j}f(2^jx,3^jy)\right\|_Y^p \le \frac{1}{6^p}\sum_{j=m}^n \frac{1}{6^{pj}}\chi_*\left(2^jx,3^jy\right)^p$$

$$(2.36)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all nonnegative integers n and m with $n \ge m$. Therefore we conclude from (2.18) and (2.36) that the sequence $\{(1/6^n)f(2^nx, 3^ny)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y for all $x, y \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{(1/6^n)f(2^nx, 3^ny)\}$ converges in Y for all $x, y \in X$. So one can define the mapping $F : X \times X \to Y$ by

$$F(x,y) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{6^n} f(2^n x, 3^n y)$$
(2.37)

for all $x, y \in X$. Letting m = 0, passing the limit $n \to \infty$ in (2.36), and applying lemma, we get (2.22). Now, we show that *F* is a Cauchy-Jensen mapping. By lemma, we infer that

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}(1/6^n)\chi_*(2^nx,3^ny) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$. It follows from (2.18), (2.35), and the above equality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| F(2x,3y) - 6F(x,y) \right\|_{Y} &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{6^{n}} f(2^{n+1}x,3^{n+1}y) - \frac{1}{6^{n-1}} f(2^{n}x,3^{n}y) \right\|_{Y} \\ &= 6 \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{6^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1}x,3^{n+1}y) - \frac{1}{6^{n}} f(2^{n}x,3^{n}y) \right\|_{Y} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{6^{n}} \chi_{*}(2^{n}x,3^{n}y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$
(2.38)

for all $x, y \in X$. So F(2x, 3y) = 6F(x, y) for all $x, y \in X$.

On the other hand it follows from (2.18), (2.21), and (2.37) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2F(x+y,\frac{z+w}{2}) - F(x,z) - F(x,w) - F(y,z) - F(y,w) \right\|_{Y} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{6^{n}} \left\| f\left(2^{n}x + 2^{n}y,\frac{3^{n}z + 3^{n}w}{2}\right) - f(2^{n}x,3^{n}z) - f(2^{n}x,3^{n}w) - f(2^{n}y,3^{n}z) - f(2^{n}y,3^{n}w) \right\|_{Y} \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.39)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{6^{n}} \chi(2^{n}x,2^{n}y,3^{n}z,3^{n}w) = 0$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Hence the mapping *F* satisfies (1.2). To prove the uniqueness of *F*, let $G : X \to Y$ be another Cauchy-Jensen mapping satisfying (2.22). It follows from (2.19) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{6^{pn}} L(2^n x, 2^n y, 3^n z, 3^n w) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{6^{pj}} \chi \left(2^j x, 2^j y, 3^j z, 3^j w \right)^p = 0$$
(2.40)

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{6^{pn}} \tilde{\chi}(2^n x, 3^n y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$. So it follows from (2.22) and (2.37) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x,y) - G(x,y)\|_{Y}^{p} &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{6^{pn}} \|f(2^{n}x,3^{n}y) - G(2^{n}x,3^{n}y)\|_{Y}^{p} \\ &\leq \frac{K^{p}}{6^{p}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{6^{pn}} \tilde{\chi}(2^{n}x,3^{n}y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$
(2.41)

for all $x, y \in X$. So F = G.

References

- S. M. Ulam, A Collection of Mathematical Problems, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Interscience, New York, NY, USA, 1968.
- [2] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 27, pp. 222–224, 1941.
- [3] T. Aoki, "On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces," *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 2, pp. 64–66, 1950.
- [4] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.
- [5] Th. M. Rassias, "On a modified Hyers-Ulam sequence," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 158, no. 1, pp. 106–113, 1991.
- [6] S.-M. Jung, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation and its application," Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 126, no. 11, pp. 3137–3143, 1998.
- [7] P. Găvruţa, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 431–436, 1994.
- [8] S.-M. Jung, "On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 204, no. 1, pp. 221–226, 1996.
- [9] Y.-H. Lee and K.-W. Jun, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 238, no. 1, pp. 305–315, 1999.
- [10] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis. Vol. 1, vol. 48 of American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2000.
- [11] S. Rolewicz, *Metric Linear Spaces*, PWN-Polish Scientific, Warsaw, Poland; Reidel, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2nd edition, 1984.
- [12] W.-G. Park and J.-H. Bae, "On a Cauchy-Jensen functional equation and its stability," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 323, no. 1, pp. 634–643, 2006.
- [13] A. Najati and M. B. Moghimi, "Stability of a functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive functions in quasi-Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 337, no. 1, pp. 399–415, 2008.