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For $x, y>0, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a+b \neq 0$, the generalized Muirhead mean $M(a, b ; x, y)$ with parameters $a$ and $b$ and the identric mean $I(x, y)$ are defined by $M(a, b ; x, y)=\left(\left(x^{a} y^{b}+x^{b} y^{a}\right) / 2\right)^{1 /(a+b)}$ and $I(x, y)=(1 / e)\left(y^{y} / x^{x}\right)^{1 /(y-x)}, x \neq y, I(x, y)=x, x=y$, respectively. In this paper, the following results are established: (1) $M(a, b ; x, y)>I(x, y)$ for all $x, y>0$ with $x \neq y$ and $(a, b) \in\{(a, b) \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2}: a+b>0, a b \leq 0,2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1 \geq 0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \geq 0\right\} ;(2) M(a, b ; x, y)<I(x, y)$ for all $x, y>0$ with $x \neq y$ and $(a, b) \in\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a \geq 0, b \geq 0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \leq 0\right\} \cup\{(a, b) \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2}: a+b<0\right\}$; (3) if $(a, b) \in\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a>0, b>0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b)>0\right\} \cup\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a b<\right.$ $\left.0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b)<0\right\}$, then there exist $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}>0$ such that $M\left(a, b ; x_{1}, y_{1}\right)>I\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $M\left(a, b ; x_{2}, y_{2}\right)<I\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$.

## 1. Introduction

For $x, y>0, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a+b \neq 0$, the generalized Muirhead mean $M(a, b ; x, y)$ with parameters $a$ and $b$ and the identric mean $I(x, y)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
M(a, b ; x, y)=\left(\frac{x^{a} y^{b}+x^{b} y^{a}}{2}\right)^{1 /(a+b)},  \tag{1.1}\\
I(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{e}\left(\frac{y^{y}}{x^{x}}\right)^{1 /(y-x)}, & x \neq y \\
x, & x=y\end{cases} \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively.

The generalized Muirhead mean was introduced by Trif [1], the monotonicity of $M(a, b ; x, y)$ with respect to $a$ or $b$ was discussed, and a comparison theorem and a Minkowski-type inequality involving the generalized Muirhead mean $M(a, b ; x, y)$ were discussed.

It is easy to see that the generalized Muirhead mean $M(a, b ; x, y)$ is continuous on the domain $\{(a, b ; x, y): a+b \neq 0 ; x, y>0\}$ and differentiable with respect to $(x, y) \in(0,+\infty) \times$ $(0,+\infty)$ for fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a+b \neq 0$. It is symmetric in $a$ and $b$ and in $x$ and $y$. Many means are special cases of the generalized Muirhead mean, for example,

$$
\begin{gather*}
M(p, 0 ; x, y) \quad \text { is the power or Hölder mean, } \\
M(0,1 ; x, y) \text { is the arithmetic mean, } \\
M(a, a ; x, y) \text { is the geometric mean, }  \tag{1.3}\\
M(0,-1 ; x, y) \text { is the harmonic mean. }
\end{gather*}
$$

The well-known Muirhead inequality [2] implies that if $x, y>0$ are fixed, then $M(a, b ; x, y)$ is Schur convex on the domain $\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a+b>0\right\}$ and Schur concave on the domain $\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a+b<0\right\}$. Chu and Xia [3] discussed the Schur convexity and Schur concavity of $M(a, b ; x, y)$ with respect to $(x, y) \in(0, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$ for fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a+b \neq 0$.

Recently, the identric mean $I(x, y)$ has been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities for the identric mean $I(x, y)$ can be found in the literature [4-13].

The power mean of order $r$ of the positive real numbers $x$ and $y$ is defined by

$$
M_{r}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{x^{r}+y^{r}}{2}\right)^{1 / r}, & r \neq 0  \tag{1.4}\\ \sqrt{x y}, & r=0\end{cases}
$$

The main properties of the power mean $M_{r}(x, y)$ are given in [14]. In particular, $M_{r}(x, y)$ is continuous and increasing with respect to $r \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $x, y>0$. Let $A(x, y)=$ $(1 / 2)(x+y)$,

$$
L(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{y-x}{\log y-\log x}, & x \neq y  \tag{1.5}\\ x, & x=y\end{cases}
$$

$G(x, y)=\sqrt{x y}$, and $H(x, y)=2 x y /(x+y)$ be the arithmetic, logarithmic, geometric, and harmonic means of two positive numbers $x$ and $y$. Then it is well known that

$$
\begin{align*}
\min \{x, y\} & <H(x, y)=M(0,-1 ; x, y)=M_{-1}(x, y) \\
& <G(x, y)=M(a, a ; x, y)=M_{0}(x, y)<L(x, y)<I(x, y)  \tag{1.6}\\
& <A(x, y)=M(0,1 ; x, y)=M_{1}(x, y)<\max \{x, y\}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y>0$ with $x \neq y$.

The following sharp inequality is due to Carlson [15]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x, y)<\frac{1}{3} M(0,1 ; x, y)+\frac{2}{3} M(a, a ; x, y) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y>0$ with $x \neq y$.
Pittenger [16] proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\frac{2}{3}, 0 ; x, y\right)=M_{2 / 3}(x, y)<I(x, y)<M_{\log _{2}}(x, y)=M(\log 2,0 ; x, y) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y>0$ with $x \neq y$, and $M_{\log 2}(x, y)$ and $M_{2 / 3}(x, y)$ are the optimal upper and lower power mean bounds for the identric mean $I(x, y)$.

In $[8,9]$, Sándor established that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x, y)>\frac{2}{3} M(0,1 ; x, y)+\frac{1}{3} M(a, a ; x, y) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y>0$ with $x \neq y$.
Alzer and Qiu [5] proved the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha M(0,1 ; x, y)+(1-\alpha) M(a, a ; x, y)<I(x, y)<\beta M(0,1 ; x, y)+(1-\beta) M(a, a ; x, y) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y>0$ with $x \neq y$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 2 / 3$ and $\beta \geq 2 / e$.
In [3], Chu and Xia proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(a, b ; x, y) \geq A(x, y) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y>0$ and $(a, b) \in\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:(a-b)^{2} \geq a+b>, a b \leq 0\right\}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(a, b ; x, y) \leq A(x, y) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y>0$ and $(a, b) \in\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:(a-b)^{2} \leq a+b, a^{2}+b^{2} \neq 0\right\} \cup\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a+b<0\right\}$.
Our purpose in what follows is to compare the generalized Muirhead mean $M(a, b ; x, y)$ with the identric mean $I(x, y)$. Our main result is Theorem 1.1 which follows.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $E_{1}=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a+b>0, a b \leq 0,2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1 \geq\right.$ $\left.0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \geq 0\right\}, E_{2}=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a \geq 0, b \geq 0, a^{2}+b^{2} \neq 0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \leq\right.$ $0\} \cup\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a+b<0\right\}$, and $E_{3}=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a>0, b>0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b)>\right.$ $0\} \cup\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a b<0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b)<0\right\}$. The following statements hold,
(1) If $(a, b) \in E_{1}$, then $M(a, b ; x, y)>I(x, y)$ for all $x, y>0$ with $x \neq y$.
(2) If $(a, b) \in E_{2}$, then $M(a, b ; x, y)<I(x, y)$ for all $x, y>0$ with $x \neq y$.
(3) If $(a, b) \in E_{3}$, then there exist $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}>0$ such that $M\left(a, b ; x_{1}, y_{1}\right)>I\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $M\left(a, b ; x_{2}, y_{2}\right)<I\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$.

## 2. Lemma

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we need Lemma 2.1 that follows.
Lemma 2.1. Let $a$ and $b$ be two real numbers such that $a>b$ and $a+b \neq 0$. Let one define the function $f:[1,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\frac{1}{a+b}\left[-b t^{a-b+1}+a t^{a-b}-a t^{b-a+1}+b t^{b-a}+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-2 a b-a-b\right)(t-1)\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the following statements hold.
(1) If $b>0$ and $3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \leq 0$, then $f(t)<0$ for $t>1$.
(2) If $b<0, a+b>0,2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1 \geq 0$, and $3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \geq 0$, then $f(t)>0$ for $t>1$.
(3) If $a+b<0$, then $f(t)<0$ for $t>1$.

Proof. Simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(1)=0  \tag{2.2}\\
f^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{a+b}\left[-b(a-b+1) t^{a-b}+a(a-b) t^{a-b-1}+a(a-b-1) t^{b-a}\right.  \tag{2.3}\\
\left.-b(a-b) t^{b-a-1}+a^{2}+b^{2}-2 a b-a-b\right] \\
f^{\prime}(1)=\frac{3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b)}{a+b}  \tag{2.4}\\
f^{\prime \prime}(t)=(a-b) t^{b-a-2} f_{1}(t) \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{a+b}\left[-b(a-b+1) t^{2 a-2 b+1}+a(a-b-1) t^{2 a-2 b}\right.  \tag{2.6}\\
-a(a-b-1) t+b(a-b+1)] \\
f_{1}(1)=0  \tag{2.7}\\
f_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{a+b}\left[-b(a-b+1)(2 a-2 b+1) t^{2 a-2 b}\right.  \tag{2.8}\\
\left.+2 a(a-b)(a-b-1) t^{2 a-2 b-1}-a(a-b-1)\right] \\
f_{1}^{\prime}(1)=\frac{a-b}{a+b}\left[2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1\right]  \tag{2.9}\\
f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)=2(a-b) t^{2 a-2 b-2} f_{2}(t) \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{a+b}[-b(a-b+1)(2 a-2 b+1) t+a(a-b-1)(2 a-2 b-1)]  \tag{2.11}\\
f_{2}(1)=\frac{a-b}{a+b}\left[2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1\right]  \tag{2.12}\\
f_{2}^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{b(a-b+1)(2 a-2 b+1)}{a+b} \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

(1) We divide the proof of Lemma 2.1(1) into two cases.

Case 1. $b>0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \leq 0$, and $2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1 \leq 0$. From (2.13), (2.12), (2.9), and (2.4), we clearly see that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{2}^{\prime}(t)<0, & f_{2}(1) \leq 0,  \tag{2.14}\\
f_{1}^{\prime}(1) \leq 0, & f^{\prime}(1) \leq 0 .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, $f(t)<0$ for $t \in(1,+\infty)$ easily follows from (2.2), (2.5), (2.7), (2.10), and (2.14).

Case 2. $b>0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \leq 0$, and $2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1>0$; we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a<\frac{1}{2} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we clearly see that $2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1=\left(2 a^{2}-3 a+1\right)-\left(4 a b-2 b^{2}+3 b\right)<2 a^{2}-3 a+$ $1=(2 a-1)(a-1) \leq 0$ for $1 / 2 \leq a<1$, and $2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1 \leq-(5 / 3)(a+b)+1<-2 / 3<0$ for $a \geq 1$ and $3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \leq 0$.

Equation (2.15) and $3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \leq 0$ imply that

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 a-2 b-1<0 \\
a^{2}+b^{2}-2 a b-a-b=(a-b)^{2}-(a+b)<0 . \tag{2.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, $f(t)<0$ for $t>1$ follows from (2.16) together with that $f(t)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(t)=\frac{1}{a+b}\left[a t^{b-a+1}\left(t^{2 a-2 b-1}-1\right)-b t^{b-a}\left(t^{2 a-2 b+1}-1\right)\right.  \tag{2.17}\\
\left.+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-2 a b-a-b\right)(t-1)\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

(2) If $b<0, a+b>0,2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1 \geq 0$ and $3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \geq 0$, then from (2.13), (2.12), (2.9), and (2.4) we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0, & f_{2}(1) \geq 0,  \tag{2.18}\\
f_{1}^{\prime}(1) \geq 0, & f^{\prime}(1) \geq 0 .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, $f(t)>0$ for $t \in(1,+\infty)$ easily follows from (2.2), (2.5), (2.7), and (2.10) together with (2.18).
(3) If $a+b<0$, then we clearly see that inequalities (2.14) again hold, and $f(t)<0$ for $t>1$ follows from (2.2), (2.5), (2.7), and (2.10) together with (2.14).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For convenience, we introduce the following classified regions in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{11}= & \left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a+b>0, a>0, b<0,2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1 \geq 0,\right. \\
& \left.3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \geq 0\right\}, \\
E_{12}= & \left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a+b>0, a<0, b>0,2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1 \geq 0,\right. \\
& \left.3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \geq 0\right\}, \\
E_{13}= & \left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a=0, b \geq 1\right\}, \\
E_{14}= & \left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: b=0, a \geq 1\right\}, \\
E_{21}= & \left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a>b>0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \leq 0\right\}, \\
E_{22}= & \left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: b>a>0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b) \leq 0\right\}, \\
E_{23}= & \left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a=0,0<b \leq \frac{2}{3}\right\}, \\
E_{24}= & \left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: b=0,0<a \leq \frac{2}{3}\right\}, \\
E_{25}= & \left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a>b, a+b<0\right\}, \\
E_{26}= & \left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: b>a, a+b<0\right\}, \\
E_{27}= & \left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a=b \neq 0\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{31}=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a>b>0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b)>0\right\}, \\
& E_{32}=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: b>a>0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b)>0\right\}, \\
& E_{33}=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a>0, b<0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b)<0\right\}, \\
& E_{34}=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a<0, b>0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b)<0\right\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we clearly see that $E_{1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} E_{1 i}, E_{2}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{7} E_{2 i}$, and $E_{3}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} E_{3 i}$.
Without loss of generality, we assume that $y>x$. From the symmetry we clearly see that Theorem 1.1 is true if we prove that $M(a, b ; x, y)-I(x, y)$ is positive, negative, and neither positive nor negative with respect to $(x, y) \in\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y>x>0\right\}$ for $(a, b) \in E_{11} \cup E_{13}$, $E_{21} \cup E_{23} \cup E_{25} \cup E_{27}$, and $E_{31} \cup E_{33}$.

Let $t=y / x>1$, then (1.1) and (1.2) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log M(a, b ; x, y)-\log I(x, y)=\frac{1}{a+b} \log \frac{t^{a}+t^{b}}{2}-\frac{t}{t-1} \log t+1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=\frac{1}{a+b} \log \frac{t^{a}+t^{b}}{2}-\frac{t}{t-1} \log t+1 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then simple computations yield

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} g(t)=0, \\
g^{\prime}(t)=\frac{g_{1}(t)}{(t-1)^{2}} \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(t)=\log t-\frac{(t-1)\left(b t^{b-1}+a t^{a-1}+a t^{b}+b t^{a}\right)}{(a+b)\left(t^{a}+t^{b}\right)} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{1}(1)=0,  \tag{3.6}\\
g_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{(t-1) t^{a+b-2}}{\left(t^{a}+t^{b}\right)^{2}} f(t), \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f(t)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.1.

We divide the proof into three cases.
Case 3. $(a, b) \in E_{11} \cup E_{13}$. We divide our discussion into two subcases.
Subcase 1. $(a, b) \in E_{11}$. From Lemma 2.1(2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)>0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t>1$.
Equations (3.3)-(3.8) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)>0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t>1$.
Therefore, $M(a, b ; x, y)>I(x, y)$ follows from (3.2) and (3.9).
Subcase 2. $(a, b) \in E_{13}$. Then from (1.1), (1.4), and (1.6) together with the monotonicity of the power mean $M_{r}(x, y)$ with respect to $r \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $x, y>0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(a, b ; x, y)=M(0, b ; x, y)=M_{b}(x, y) \geq M_{1}(x, y)>I(x, y) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 4. $(a, b) \in E_{21} \cup E_{23} \cup E_{25} \cup E_{27}$. We divide our discussion into four subcases.
Subcase 3. $(a, b) \in E_{21}$. Then Lemma 2.1(1) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)<0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t>1$.
Therefore, $M(a, b ; x, y)<I(x, y)$ follows from (3.2)-(3.7) and (3.11).
Subcase 4. $(a, b) \in E_{23}$. Then from (1.1), (1.4), and (1.8) together with the monotonicity of the power mean $M_{r}(x, y)$ with respect to $r \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $x, y>0$ we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(a, b ; x, y)=M_{b}(x, y) \leq M_{2 / 3}(x, y)<I(x, y) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcase 5. $(a, b) \in E_{25}$. Then from Lemma 2.1(3) we know that (3.11) holds again; hence, $M(a, b ; x, y)<I(x, y)$.

Subcase 6. $(a, b) \in E_{27}$. Then (1.6) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(a, b ; x, y)=M(a, a ; x, y)=G(x, y)<I(x, y) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 5. $(a, b) \in E_{31} \cup E_{33}$. We divide our discussion into two subcases.

Subcase 7. $(a, b) \in E_{31}$. Then (2.4) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(1)>0 . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (3.14) and the continuity of $f^{\prime}(t)$ imply that there exists $\delta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(t)>0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left[1,1+\delta_{1}\right)$.
From (2.2) and (3.15) we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)>0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left(1,1+\delta_{1}\right)$.
Therefore, $M(a, b ; x, y)>I(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y>x>0, y<\left(1+\delta_{1}\right) x\right\}$ follows from (3.2)-(3.7) and (3.16).

On the other hand, from (3.3) we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g(t)=-\infty . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.2) and (3.3) together with (3.17) imply that there exists sufficient large $\lambda_{1}>1$ such that $M(a, b ; x, y)<I(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y>\lambda_{1} x>0\right\}$.

Subcase 8. $(a, b) \in E_{33}$. Then (2.2) and (2.4) together with the continuity of $f^{\prime}(t)$ imply that there exists $\delta_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)<0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left(1,1+\delta_{2}\right)$.
Therefore, $M(a, b ; x, y)<I(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y>x>0, y<\left(1+\delta_{2}\right) x\right\}$ follows from (3.2)-(3.7) and (3.18).

On the other hand, from (3.3) we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g(t)=+\infty . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.2) and (3.3) together with (3.19) imply that there exists sufficient large $\lambda_{2}>1$ such that $M(a, b ; x, y)>I(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y>\lambda_{2} x>0\right\}$.

Remark 3.1. Let $E_{4}=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a+b \neq 0\right\} \backslash\left(E_{1} \cup E_{2} \cup E_{3}\right)$, then $E_{4}=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a b<\right.$ $\left.0,3(a-b)^{2}-2(a+b)>0,2(a-b)^{2}-3(a+b)+1<0\right\}$. Unfortunately, in this paper we cannot discuss the case of $(a, b) \in E_{4}$; we leave it as an open problem to the readers.
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