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We introduce two iterative sequence for finding a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of a countable family of strict pseudocontractions in Hilbert Space. Then we study the weak and strong convergence of the sequences.

## 1. Introduction

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$ and let $T$ be a self-mapping of $C$. Then $T$ is said to be a strict pseudocontraction mappings if for all $x, y \in C$, there exists a constant $0 \leq \kappa<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T y\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}+\kappa\|(I-T) x-(I-T) y\|^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(if (1.1) holds, we also say that $T$ is a $\kappa$-strict pseudocontraction). We use $F(T)$ to denote the set of fixed points of $T, \rightharpoonup(\rightarrow)$ to denote weak(strong) convergence, and $W_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)=\{x$ : $\left.\exists x_{n_{k}}-x\right\}$ to denote the $W$-limit set of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$.

Let $f: C \times C \rightarrow R$ be a bifunction where $R$ is the set of real numbers. Then, we consider the following equilibrium problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Find } z \in C \text { such that } f(z, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of such $z \in C$ is denoted by $\operatorname{EP}(f)$. Numerous problems in physics, optimization, and economics can be reduced to find a solution of (1.2). Some methods have been proposed to solve the equilibrium problem (see [1-3]). Recently, S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi [4] introduced an iterative scheme by the viscosity approximation method for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping in Hilbert spaces. They also studied the strong convergence of the sequences generated by their algorithm for a solution of the EP which is also a fixed point of a nonexpansive mapping defined on a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space.

In this paper, thanks to the condition introduced by Aoyama et al. [5], We introduce two iterative sequence for finding a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problems and the set of fixed points of a countable family of strict pseudocontractions mappings in Hilbert Space. Then we study the weak and strong convergence of the sequences. The additional condition is inspired by Marino and Xu [6] and Kim and Xu [7].

## 2. Preliminaries

For solving the equilibrium problem, let us assume that the bifunction $f$ satisfies the following conditions (see [3]):
(A1) $f(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in C$;
(A2) $f$ is monotone, that is, $f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq 0$ for any $x, y \in C$;
(A3) $f$ is upper-hemicontinuous, that is, for each $x, y, z \in C, \limsup _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(t z+(1-$ t) $x, y) \leq f(x, y) ;$
(A4) $f(x, \cdot)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous for each $x \in C$.
Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space. Then there hold the following well-known results:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|t x+(1-t) y\|^{2}=t\|x\|^{2}+(1-t)\|y\|^{2}-t(1-t)\|x-y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in H, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] \\
\|x+y\|^{2}=\|x\|^{2}-\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x-y, y\rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $H$ weakly convergent to $z$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\|z-y\|^{2} \quad \forall y \in H \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the nearest point projection $P_{C}$ from $H$ onto $C$ assigns to each $x \in H$ its nearest point denoted by $P_{C} x$ in $C$; that is, $P_{C} x$ is the unique point in $C$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x-P_{C} x\right\| \leq\|x-y\|, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $x \in H$ and $z \in C$, then $z=P_{C} x$ if and only if there holds the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x-z, y-z\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 (see [6]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let T: $C \rightarrow C$ be a $\kappa$-strict pseudocontraction such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$.
(1) (Demi-closed principle) $T$ is demi-closed on $C$, that is, if $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x \in C$ and $x_{n}-T x_{n} \rightarrow 0$, then $x=T x$.
(2) $T$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T y\| \leq L\|x-y\|=\frac{1+\kappa}{1-\kappa}\|x-y\| \quad \forall x, y \in C . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) The fixed point set $F(T)$ of $T$ is closed and convex so that the projection $P_{F(T)}$ is well defined.

Lemma 2.2 (see [5]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space and let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of mapping of $C$ into itself. Suppose $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup _{x \in C}\left\|T_{n+1} x-T_{n} x\right\|<\infty$. Then, for each $y \in C$, $\left\{T_{n} y\right\}$ converges strongly to some point of $C$. Moreover, let $T$ be a mapping of $C$ into itself defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T y=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n} y \quad \forall y \in C \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in C}\left\|T_{n} x-T x\right\|=0$.
Lemma 2.3 (see [8]). Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of $H$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $H$ and $u \in H$. Let $q=P_{C} u$. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is such that $W_{w}\left(x_{n}\right) \subset C$ and satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-u\right\| \leq\|u-q\| \quad \forall n \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $x_{n} \rightarrow q$.
Lemma 2.4 (see [9]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ into $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1), (A2), (A3), and(A4). Then, for any $\lambda>0$ and $x \in H$, there exists $z \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\langle z, y\rangle+\frac{1}{\lambda}\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, if $T_{\lambda} x=\{z \in C: f\langle z, y\rangle+(1 / \lambda)\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}$, then the following holds:
(1) $T_{\lambda} x$ is single-valued;
(2) $T_{\lambda} x$ is firmly nonexpansive, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\lambda} x-T_{\lambda} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle T_{\lambda} x-T_{\lambda} y, x-y\right\rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H ; \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) $F\left(T_{\lambda}\right)=\operatorname{EP}(f)$;
(4) $\mathrm{EP}(f)$ is closed and convex.

## 3. Weak Convergence Theorems

Theorem 3.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$ and let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of $\kappa_{n}$-strict pseudocontractions mappings on $C$ into itself with $0 \leq \kappa_{n}<1$. Assume that $\kappa=\max \left\{\kappa_{n}: n \geq 1\right\}$. Let $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying (A1), (A2), (A3), (A4), and $\mathrm{EP}(f) \cap \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be sequence generated by $x_{1} \in \mathrm{C}$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
f\left(z_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-z_{n}, z_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,  \tag{3.1}\\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} z_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n} z_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ with $\mathcal{K}+\delta<\alpha_{n}<1-\delta$ for all $n$, where $\delta \in(0,1)$ is a small enough constant, and $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $(0, \infty)$ with $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right|<\infty$. Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup _{x \in B}\left\|T_{n+1} x-T_{n} x\right\|<\infty$ for any bounded subset $B$ of $C$ and let $T$ be a mapping of $C$ into itself defined by $T x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n} x$ for all $x \in C$ and suppose that $F(T)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$. Then the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ converge weakly to an element of $F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(f)$.

Proof. Pick $p \in F(T) \cap E P(f)$. Then from the definition of $T r$ in Lemma 2.4, we have $z_{n}=T_{r_{n}} x_{n}$, and therefore $\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|=\left\|T_{r_{n}} x_{n}-T_{r_{n}} p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}= & \left\|\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(T_{n} z_{n}-p\right)+\alpha_{n}\left(z_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \alpha_{n}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|T_{n} z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\kappa\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& -\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(\alpha_{n}-\kappa\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(\alpha_{n}-\kappa\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{\kappa}+\delta<\alpha_{n}<1-\delta$ for all $n$, we get $\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$; that is, the sequence $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ is decreasing. Hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists. In particular, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Since $T_{r}$ is firmly nonexpensive, $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is also bounded. Also (3.2) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^{2}}\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup _{x \in\left\{z_{n}\right\}}^{\left\|T_{n+1} x-T_{n} x\right\|<\infty . . ~} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply Lemma 2.2 to get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{n} z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|+\sup \left\{\left\|T_{n} z-T z\right\|: z \in\left\{z_{n}\right\}\right\} \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we claim that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0$. Indeed, let $p$ be an arbitrary element of $F(T) \cap E P(f)$. Then as above

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|T_{r} x_{n}-T_{r} p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\langle T_{r} x_{n}-T_{r} p, x_{n}-p\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle z_{n}-T_{r} p, x_{n}-p\right\rangle  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(\alpha_{n}-\kappa\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-T_{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, from the existence of $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we claim that $W_{w}\left(x_{n}\right) \subset F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(f)$. since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $H$ is reflexive, $W_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)$ is nonempty. Let $w \in W_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)$ be an arbitrary element. Then a subsequence $x_{n_{i}}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $w$. Hence, from (3.11) we know that $z_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup w$. As $\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that $T z_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup w$. Let us show $W_{w}\left(x_{n}\right) \subset \operatorname{EP}(f)$. Since $z_{n}=T_{r_{n}} x_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-z_{n}, z_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-z_{n}, z_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq f\left(y, z_{n}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle y-z_{n_{i}}, \frac{z_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}}\right\rangle \geq f\left(y, z_{n_{i}}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (A4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq f(y, w) \quad \forall y \in C \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $t \in(0,1]$ and $y \in C$, from (A1), and (A4), we also have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =f(t y+(1-t) w, t y+(1-t) w) \\
& \leq t f(t y+(1-t) w, y)+(1-t) f(t y+(1-t) w, w)  \tag{3.16}\\
& \leq t f(t y+(1-t) w, y)
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$and using (A3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(w, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $w \in \mathrm{EP}(f)$. Since $T$ is a strict pseudocontraction mapping, by Lemma 2.1(1) we know that the mapping $T$ is demiclosed at zero. Note that $\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $z_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup w$. Thus, $w \in F(T)$. Consequently, we deduce that $w \subset F(T) \cap \mathrm{EP}(f)$. Since $w$ is an arbitrary element, we conclude that $W_{w}\left(x_{n}\right) \subset F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(f)$.

To see that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ are actually weakly convergent, we take $\bar{x}, \tilde{x} \in$ $W_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(x_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}, x_{m_{j}} \rightharpoonup \tilde{x}\right)$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exist for every $p \in F(T)$, by (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2} & =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(x_{n_{i}}-\tilde{x}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-\bar{x}\right\|^{2}+\|\bar{x}-\tilde{x}\|^{2} \\
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{m_{j}}-\bar{x}\right\|^{2}+\|\bar{x}-\tilde{x}\|^{2}  \tag{3.18}\\
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{m_{j}}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2}+2\|\bar{x}-\tilde{x}\|^{2} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2}+2\|\bar{x}-\tilde{x}\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\tilde{x}=\bar{x}$ and proof is completed.

## 4. Strong Convergence Theorems

Theorem 4.1. Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of $\kappa_{n}{ }^{-}$ strict pseudocontractions mappings on $C$ into itself with $0 \leq \kappa_{n}<1$. Assume that $\kappa=\max \left\{\kappa_{n}: n \geq\right.$ 1\}. Let $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying (A1), (A2), (A3), (A4) and $\operatorname{EP}(f) \cap \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$. For $C_{1}=C$ and $x_{1}=P_{C_{1}} x_{0}$, let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be sequence generated by $x_{0} \in C$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n} x_{n}, \\
z_{n} \in C \text { such that } f\left(z_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-z_{n}, z_{n}-y_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,  \tag{4.1}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{v \in C:\left\|z_{n}-v\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-v\right\|\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}, \quad n \geq 1 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ with $\kappa+\delta<\alpha_{n}<1-\delta$ for all $n$, where $\delta \in(0,1)$ is a small enough constant, and $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $(0, \infty)$ with $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right|<\infty$. Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup _{x \in B}\left\|T_{n+1} x-T_{n} x\right\|<\infty$ for any bounded subset $B$ of $C$ and let $T$ be a mapping of $C$ into itself defined by $T x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n} x$ for all $x \in C$ Suppose that $F(T)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{F(T) \cap E P(f)} x_{0}$.

Proof. First, we show that $C_{n}$ is closed and convex. It is obvious that $C_{1}=C$ is closed and convex. Suppose that $C_{k}$ is closed and convex for some $k \geq 1$. For $z \in C_{k}$, we know that $\left\|z_{k}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{k}-z\right\|$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{k}-x_{k}\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle z_{k}-x_{k}, x_{k}-z\right\rangle \leq 0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $C_{k+1}$ is closed and convex. Then, $C_{n}$ is closed and convex.
Next, we show by induction that $F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(f) \subset C_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1 . F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(f) \subset C_{1}$ is obvious. Suppose that $F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(f) \subset C_{k}$ for some $k \geq 1$. Let $p \in F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(f) \subset C_{k}$. Putting $z_{n}=T_{r_{n}} y_{n}$ for all $n$, we know from (4.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}= & \left\|T_{r_{n}} y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(T_{n} x_{n}-p\right)+\alpha_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|T_{n} x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\kappa\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& -\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(\alpha_{n}-\kappa\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\delta^{2}\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}, \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence $p \in C_{k+1}$. This implies that $F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(f) \subset C_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.
This implied that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well defined.
From $x_{n}=P_{C_{n}} x_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{0}-x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{0}-y\right\| \quad \forall y \in C_{n} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $F(T) \cap E P(f) \subset C_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{0}-x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{0}-u\right\| \quad \forall u \in F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(f), n \geq 1 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. So are $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{0}-x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{0}-p\right\| \quad \text { where } p=P_{F(T) \cap E P(f)} x_{0} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $x_{n}=P_{C_{n}} x_{0}$ and $x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{0} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{0}-x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{0}-x_{n+1}\right\| . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|$ exists. From $x_{n}=P_{C_{n}} x_{0}$ and $x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{0} \in$ $C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$. we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{0}-x_{n}, x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\rangle \geq 0 . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, from (4.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\| & =\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}+x_{0}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|x_{0}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{0}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{0}-x_{n}, x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\rangle  \tag{4.9}\\
& \leq\left\|x_{0}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{0}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|$ exists, we have that $\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \subset$ $C_{n}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^{2}}\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle z_{n}-x_{n}, p\right\rangle  \tag{4.13}\\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\left\|z_{n}\right\|\right)+2\|p\|\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}=0 . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|=0 . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply Lemma 2.2 to get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{n} x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|  \tag{4.16}\\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|+\sup \left\{\left\|T_{n} x-T x\right\|: x \in\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right\} \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Lastly, we show that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $P_{F(T) \cap E P(f)} x_{0}$. Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $H$ is reflexive, $W_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)$ is nonempty. Let $w \in W_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)$ be an arbitrary element. Then a subsequence $x_{n_{i}}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $w$. From Lemma 2.1 and (4.16), we obtain that $\omega_{w}\left(x_{n}\right) \subset F(T)$. Next, we show $W_{w}\left(x_{n}\right) \subset \operatorname{EP}(f)$. Let $p$ be an arbitrary element of $F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(f)$. From $z_{n}=T_{r_{n}} y_{n}$ and $\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|T_{r} y_{n}-T_{r} p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\langle T_{r} y_{n}-T_{r} p, y_{n}-p\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle z_{n}-T_{r} p, y_{n}-p\right\rangle  \tag{4.17}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-z_{n}, z_{n}-y_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-z_{n}, z_{n}-y_{n}\right\rangle \geq f\left(y, z_{n}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle y-z_{n_{i}}, \frac{z_{n_{i}}-y_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}}\right\rangle \geq f\left(y, z_{n_{i}}\right) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (A4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq f(y, w) \quad \forall y \in C \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $t \in(0,1]$ and $y \in C$, from (A1) and (A4), we also have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =f(t y+(1-t) w, t y+(1-t) w) \\
& \leq t f(t y+(1-t) w, y)+(1-t) f(t y+(1-t) w, w)  \tag{4.24}\\
& \leq t f(t y+(1-t) w, y)
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$and using (A3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(w, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $w \in \operatorname{EP}(f)$. Lemma 2.3 and (4.6) ensure the strong convergence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to $P_{F(T) \cap E P(f)} x_{0}$. This completes the proof.
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