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We derive the modified $p$-adic $q$-measures related to $q$-Nasybullin's type lemma.

## 1. Introduction

Let $p$ be a fixed prime number. Throughout this paper, the symbols $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}$, and $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ denote the ring of rational integers, the ring of $p$-adic rational integers, the field of $p$-adic rational numbers, and the completion of algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, respectively. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of natural numbers and $\mathbb{Z}_{+}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. The $p$-adic absolute value in $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ is normalized in such a way that $|p|_{p}=1 / p$ (see [1-17]). For $f \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, let $\bar{f}=[f, p]$ be the least common multiple of $f$ and $p$. We set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}=\frac{\lim _{\bar{n}} \mathbb{Z}}{\bar{f} p^{n} \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \text { for } n \geq 0, \\
& \mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}^{*}=\underset{\substack{0<a<\bar{f} p \\
(a, p)=1}}{\cup}\left(a+\bar{f} p \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right),  \tag{1.1}\\
& a+\bar{f} p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}} \mid x \equiv a\left(\bmod \bar{f} p^{n}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ lies in $0 \leq a<\bar{f} p^{n}$.

When one talks of $q$-extension, $q$ is variously considered as an indeterminate, a complex number $q \in \mathbb{C}$, or a $p$-adic number $q \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$. In this paper, we assume that $q \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$ with $|1-q|_{p}<1$ (see [1-6, 18-23]). As the definition of $q$-number, we use the following notations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x]_{q}=\frac{1-q^{x}}{1-q}, \quad[x]_{-q}=\frac{1-(-q)^{x}}{1+q} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [1-23]).
Let $\mathrm{UD}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ be the space of uniformly differentiable function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. For $f \in \mathrm{UD}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, the $p$-adic $q$-invariant integral on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{q}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} f(x) d \mu_{q}(x)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1+q}{1+q^{p^{N}}} \sum_{x=0}^{p^{N}-1} f(x)(-q)^{x} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see $[2,3]$ ).
The $q$-Euler numbers, $\varepsilon_{n, q}$, can be determined inductively by

$$
\varepsilon_{0, q}=1, \quad q(q \varepsilon+1)^{n}+\varepsilon_{n, q}= \begin{cases}{[2]_{q}} & \text { if } n=0  \tag{1.4}\\ 0 & \text { if } n>0\end{cases}
$$

with the usual convention of replacing $\varepsilon^{i}$ by $\varepsilon_{i, q}$ (see [11]). The modified $q$-Euler numbers $E_{n, q}$ of $\varepsilon_{n, q}$ are defined in [2] as follows:

$$
E_{0, q}=\frac{[2]_{q}}{2}, \quad(q E+1)^{n}+E_{n, q}= \begin{cases}{[2]_{q}} & \text { if } n=0,  \tag{1.5}\\ 0 & \text { if } n>0,\end{cases}
$$

with the usual convention of replacing $E^{i}$ by $E_{i, q}$. For any positive integer $N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{q}\left(a+\bar{f} p^{N} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=\frac{(-q)^{a}}{\left[\bar{f} p^{N}\right]_{-q}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is known as a measure on $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}\left(\right.$ see [9]). In [2], the Witt's type formulas for $E_{n, q}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n, q}=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} q^{-x}[x]_{q}^{n} d \mu_{q}(x)=[2]_{q} \frac{1}{(1-q)^{n}} \sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l}(-1)^{l} \frac{1}{1+q^{q}} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modified $q$-Euler polynomials are also defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n, q}(x)=\left([x]_{q}+q^{x} E\right)^{n}=\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l} E_{l, q} q^{l x}[x]_{q}^{n-l}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the usual convention of replacing $E^{n}$ by $E_{n, q}$ (see [2]). Thus, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n, q}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} q^{-t}[x+t]_{q}^{n} d \mu_{q}(t)=[2]_{q} \frac{1}{(1-q)^{n}} \sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l}(-1)^{l} \frac{q^{l x}}{1+q^{l}} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently Govil and Gupta [22] have introduced a new type of q-integrated Meyer-König-Zeller-Durrmeyer (q-MKZD) operators, obtained moments for these operators, and estimated the convergence of these integrated q-MKZD operators. In this paper, we consider the q-extension which is in a direction different than that of Govil and Gupta [22].

Let $K$ be a field over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Then we call a function $\mu$ a $K$-measure on $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{*}{f}}$ if $\mu$ is finitely additive function defined on open-closed subsets in $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}^{*}$, whose values are in the field $K$. Any open-closed subset in $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}^{*}$ is a disjoint union of some finite intervals $I_{a, n}=a+p^{n} \bar{f} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}^{\prime}}^{*}$ where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is prime to $\bar{f}$, and therefore a $K$-measure $\mu$ is determined by its values on all intervals in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{f}{f}}^{*}$. Let $Q^{(f)}$ denote the set of all rational numbers, whose denominator is a divisor of $\bar{f} p^{n}$ for some $n \geq 0$. In Section 2 , we derive the modified $p$-adic $q$-measures related to $q$ Nasybullin's type lemma.

## 2. The Modified $p$-Adic $q$-Measure

Let $T$ be a $K$-valued function defined on $Q^{(f)}$ with the following property.
There exist two constants $A, B \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} T\left(\left[\frac{x+k}{p}\right]_{q^{p}}\right)(-1)^{k} & =A T\left([x]_{q}\right)+B T\left([p x]_{q^{1 / p}}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
T\left([x+1]_{q}\right) & =T\left([x]_{q}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for any number $x \in Q^{(f)}$. Suppose that $\rho$ is a root of the equation $y^{2}=A y+B p$. Then we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(I_{a, n}\right)=\rho^{-n}(-1)^{a} T\left(\left[\frac{a}{p^{n} \bar{f}}\right]_{q^{n \bar{f}}}\right)+B \rho^{-(n+1)}(-1)^{a} T\left(\left[\frac{a}{p^{n-1} \bar{f}}\right]_{q^{p^{n-1} \bar{f}}}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any interval $I_{a, n}$. From (2.2), we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \mu\left(I_{a+p^{n} \bar{f} k, n+1}\right) \\
& =\rho^{-(n+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} T\left(\left[\frac{a+p^{n} \bar{f} k}{p^{n+1} \bar{f}}\right]_{q^{p+1} \bar{f}}\right)(-1)^{a+k}+B \rho^{-(n+2)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} T\left(\left[\frac{a+p^{n} \bar{f} k}{p^{n} \bar{f}}\right]_{q^{n} \overline{\bar{f}}}\right)(-1)^{a+k} \\
& =\rho^{-(n+1)}(-1)^{a} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} T\left(\left[\frac{k+a / p^{n} \bar{f}}{p}\right]_{\left(q^{p n} \bar{f}\right)^{p}}\right)(-1)^{k}+B \rho^{-(n+2)}(-1)^{a} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} T\left(\left[\frac{a}{p^{n} \bar{f}}+k\right]_{q^{p^{n \bar{f}}}}\right)(-1)^{k} \\
& =\rho^{-(n+1)}(-1)^{a} A T\left(\left[\frac{a}{p^{n} \bar{f}}\right]_{q^{n \bar{n}}}\right)+\rho^{-(n+1)} B(-1)^{a} T\left(\left[\frac{a}{p^{n-1} \bar{f}}\right]_{q^{p-1 \bar{f}}}\right) \\
& +B \rho^{-(n+2)}(-1)^{a} p T\left(\left[\frac{a}{p^{n} \bar{f}}\right]_{p^{p^{n \bar{\prime}}}}\right) \\
& =\rho^{-(n+2)}(-1)^{a}(\rho A+B p) T\left(\left[\frac{a}{p^{n} \bar{f}}\right]_{q^{p^{n \bar{f}}}}\right)+\rho^{-(n+1)} B(-1)^{a} T\left(\left[\frac{a}{p^{n-1} \bar{f}}\right]_{q^{p-1 \bar{F}}}\right) \\
& =\mu\left(I_{a, n}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(I_{a, n}\right)=\sum_{\substack{b\left(\bmod p^{n+1} \bar{f}\right) \\ b=a\left(\bmod p^{n} \bar{f}\right)}} \mu\left(I_{b, n+1}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1. For $f \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $\bar{f}=[p, f]$, let $T$ be a $K$-valued function defined on $Q^{(f)}$ with the following properties.

There exist two constants $A, B \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} T\left(\left[\frac{x+k}{p}\right]_{q^{p}}\right)(-1)^{k} & =A T\left([x]_{q}\right)+B T\left([p x]_{q^{1 / p}}\right),  \tag{2.5}\\
T\left([x+1]_{q}\right) & =T\left([x]_{q}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in Q^{(f)}$. Suppose that $\rho$ is a root of the equation $y^{2}=A y+B p$. Then there exists a $K(\rho)$ measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{f}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(I_{a, n}\right)=\rho^{-n}(-1)^{a} T\left(\left[\frac{a}{p^{n} \bar{f}}\right]_{q^{n \bar{\beta}}}\right)+B \rho^{-(n+1)}(-1)^{a} T\left(\left[\frac{a}{p^{n-1} \bar{f}}\right]_{q p^{n-1 \bar{f}}}\right), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any interval $I_{a, n}$.
From (1.9), we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n, q}(x)=[p]_{q}^{n} \frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{p}}} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1}(-1)^{a} E_{n, q^{p}}\left(\frac{x+a}{p}\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E_{m, q}(x)$ be the $m$ th $q$-Euler polynomials and let $P_{m}\left([x]_{q}\right)$ be the $m$ th $q$-Euler functions, that is, for $0 \leq x<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}\left([x]_{q}\right)=E_{m, q}(x) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\lim _{q \rightarrow 1} P_{m}\left([x]_{q}\right)=P_{m}(x)$ is the Euler function. By (2.7), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{p}}}[p]_{q}^{m} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1}(-1)^{a} P_{m}\left(\left[\frac{x+i}{p}\right]_{q^{p}}\right)=P_{m}\left([x]_{q}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the $q$-Euler function $P_{m}\left([x]_{q}\right)$ satisfies the properties of Theorem 2.1 with constants

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=[p]_{q}^{-m} \frac{[2]_{q^{p}}}{[2]_{q}}, \quad B=0 . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\rho \neq 0$ is equal to $[p]_{q}^{-m}\left([2]_{q^{p}} /[2]_{q}\right)$, as $\rho^{2}=A \rho+B p$ reduces simply to $\rho^{2}=$ $[p]_{q}^{-m}\left([2]_{q p} /[2]_{q}\right) \rho$. Therefore, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, let the function $\mu_{m}=\mu_{m, q}$ be defined on $I_{a, n}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{m}\left(I_{a, n}\right)=\left[\bar{f} p^{n}\right]_{q}^{m} \frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{n} \overline{\bar{f}}}}(-1)^{a} P_{m}\left(\left[\frac{a}{p^{n} \bar{f}}\right]_{q^{p^{n \bar{f}}}}\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mu_{m}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_{p}(q)$-measure on $\mathbb{Z}_{\vec{f}}^{*}$.

For $f \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $\bar{f}=[f, p]$, let $\chi$ be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo $\bar{f}$. Then the generalized $q$-Euler numbers are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n, x, q}=[\bar{f}]_{q}^{n} \frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{f}}} \sum_{a=0}^{\bar{f}-1} X(a)(-1)^{a} E_{n, q^{\bar{f}}}\left(\frac{a}{\bar{f}}\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.12) and (2.7), we can easily derive the following Witt's formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n, x, q} & =\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}}[x]_{q}^{n} q^{-x} x(x) d \mu_{q}(x) \\
& =[d]_{q}^{n} \frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{d}}} \sum_{a=0}^{\bar{f}-1} x(a)(-1)^{a} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left[\frac{a}{d}+x\right]_{q^{q^{f}}} q^{-d x} d \mu_{q^{d}}(x) \\
& =[\bar{f}]_{q}^{n} \frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{\bar{f}}}} \sum_{a=0}^{\bar{f}-1} x(a)(-1)^{a} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left[\frac{a}{\bar{f}}+x\right]_{q^{\bar{f}}} q^{-\bar{f} x} d \mu_{q^{\bar{f}}}(x)  \tag{2.13}\\
& =[\bar{f}]_{q}^{n} \frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{\bar{f}}}} \sum_{a=0}^{\bar{f}-1} X(a)(-1)^{a} E_{n, q^{\bar{f}}}\left(\frac{a}{\bar{f}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We can compute a $q$-analogue of the $p$-adic $q$-l-function by the following $p$-adic $q$ Mellin Mazur transform with respect to $\mu_{m}$.

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
L\left(\mu_{m}, x\right) & =\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{f}^{*}} x(a) d \mu_{m}(a) \\
& =\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{a\left(\bmod p^{p} \bar{f}\right) \\
a \in \mathbb{Z},(a, p)=1}} x(a) \mu_{m}\left(I_{a, \rho}\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the character $X$ is constant on the interval $I_{a, 0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
L\left(\mu_{m}, x\right) & =\sum_{\substack{a(\bmod \bar{f}) \\
(a, p)=1}} x(a) \mu_{m}\left(I_{a, 0}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{a(\bmod \bar{f}) \\
(a, p)=1}} x(a)[\bar{f}]_{q}^{m} \frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{f}}}(-1)^{a} P_{m}\left(\left[\frac{a}{\bar{f}}\right]_{q^{\bar{f}}}\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
& =E_{m, x, q}-x(p) \frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{p}}}[p]_{q}^{m} E_{m, x, q^{p}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{m, x, q}$ are the $m$ th generalized $q$-Euler numbers attached to $x$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L\left(\mu_{m}, x w^{-m}\right) & =E_{m, x w w^{-m}, q}-x w^{-m}(p) \frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{p}}}[p]_{q}^{m} E_{m, x w^{-m, q}}  \tag{2.16}\\
& =l_{p, q}(-m, x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $q \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$ with $|1-q|_{p}<p^{-1 /(p-1)}$. Let $w$ be the Teichmüller character $\bmod p$. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{f^{\prime}}^{*}$, we set $\langle x\rangle_{q}=[x]_{q} / w(x)$. Note that $\left|\langle x\rangle_{q}-1\right|_{p}\left\langle p^{-1 /(p-1)}\right.$ and $\langle x\rangle_{q}^{s}$ are defined by $\exp \left(s \log _{p}\langle x\rangle_{q}\right)$ for $|s|_{p} \leq 1$. For $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{p, q}(s, x)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{f}^{*}}\langle x\rangle_{q}^{-s} X(x) d \mu_{q}(x) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For (2.14), (2.16) and (2.17), we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{p, q}\left(-k, x w^{k}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{F}^{*}}[x]_{q}^{k} x(x) d \mu_{q}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{F}^{*}} x(x) d \mu_{k}(x) . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\langle x\rangle_{q}-1\right|_{p}\left\langle p^{-1 /(p-1)}\right.$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{f^{\prime}}^{*}$, we have $\langle x\rangle_{q}^{p^{n}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{n}\right)$. Let $k \equiv k^{\prime}\left(\bmod p^{n}(p-1)\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{p, q}\left(-k, x w^{k}\right) \equiv l_{p, q}\left(-k^{\prime}, x w^{k^{\prime}}\right)\left(\bmod p^{n}\right) . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2.3. For $k \equiv k^{\prime}\left(\bmod p^{n}(p-1)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\mu_{k}, x\right) \equiv L\left(\mu_{k^{\prime}}, x\right)\left(\bmod p^{n}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$
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