

Research Article

An Iterative Scheme with a Countable Family of Nonexpansive Mappings for Variational Inequality Problems in Hilbert Spaces

Yeol Je Cho¹ and Shenghua Wang^{2,3}

¹ Department of Mathematics Education and the RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, South Korea

² School of Applied Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, China

³ Department of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, South Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Shenghua Wang, sheng-huawang@hotmail.com

Received 13 December 2009; Accepted 8 February 2010

Academic Editor: Jong Kim

Copyright © 2010 Y. J. Cho and S. Wang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce a new iterative scheme with a countable family of nonexpansive mappings for the variational inequality problems in Hilbert spaces and prove some strong convergence theorems for the proposed schemes.

1. Introduction

Let H be a Hilbert space and C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let $F : H \rightarrow H$ be a nonlinear mapping. The classical variational inequality problem (for short, $VI(F, C)$) is to find a point $x \in C$ such that

$$\langle F(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x^* \in C. \quad (1.1)$$

This variational inequality was initially studied by Kinderlehrer and Stampacchia [1]. Since then, many authors have introduced and studied many kinds of the variational inequality problems (inclusions) and applied them to many fields.

It is well known that, if F is a strongly monotone and Lipschitzian mapping on C , then the $VI(F, C)$ has a unique solution (see [2]).

Let $T : H \rightarrow H$ be a mapping. Recall that a mapping $T : H \rightarrow H$ is nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in H. \quad (1.2)$$

The set of fixed points of T is denoted by $F(T)$. Recently, the iterative methods for nonexpansive mappings and some kinds of nonlinear mappings have been applied to solve the convex minimization problems (see [3–7]).

A typical problem is to minimize a quadratic function over the set of the fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping on H :

$$\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle x, b \rangle, \quad (1.3)$$

where C is the fixed point set of a nonexpansive mapping T on H , b is a given point in H and A is a strongly positive operator, that is, there is a constant $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ such that

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \bar{\gamma} \|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in H. \quad (1.4)$$

Recently, for solving the variational inequality on A , Marino and Xu [8] introduced the following general iterative scheme:

$$x_{n+1} = (I - \alpha_n A)Tx_n + \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n), \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where A is a strongly positive linear bounded operator on H , f is a contraction on H and $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$.

More precisely, they gave the following result.

Theorem MX (see [8, Theorem 3.4]). *Let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by algorithm (1.5) with the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (C1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$,
- (C2) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
- (C3) either $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n+1} / \alpha_n = 1$.

Then the scheme $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.5) converges strongly to an element $x^ \in C = F(T)$ which is the unique solution of the variational inequality (for short, VI($A - \gamma f, C$)):*

$$\langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (1.6)$$

Let $f : H \rightarrow H$ be a contraction with coefficient $0 < \alpha < 1$ and let $A, B : H \rightarrow H$ be two strongly positive linear bounded operators with coefficients $\bar{\gamma} \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta > 0$, respectively.

Motivated and inspired by the iterative scheme (1.5), Ceng et al. [9] introduced the following so-called *hybrid viscosity-like approximation algorithms* with variable parameters for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces.

Theorem CGY1 (see [9, Theorem 3.1]). Let $0 < \gamma\alpha < \beta$ and $\bar{\gamma} \in (0, 1)$. Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, \min\{1, \|B\|^{-1}\})$. Starting with an arbitrary initial guess $x_0 \in H$, generate a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by the following iterative scheme:

$$x_{n+1} = (I - \lambda_{n+1}A)Tx_n + \lambda_{n+1}[Tx_n - \mu_{n+1}(BTx_n - \gamma f(x_n))], \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Assume that

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$,
- (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$,
- (iii) either $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| < \infty$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n / \lambda_{n+1} = 1$,
- (iv) $(1 - \bar{\gamma}) / (\beta - \gamma\alpha) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \mu < (2 - \bar{\gamma}) / (\beta - \gamma\alpha)$.

Then the scheme $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.7) converges strongly to an element $x^* \in C = F(T)$ which is the unique solution of the variational inequality (for short, $\text{VI}(A - I + \mu(B - \gamma f), C)$):

$$\langle [A - I + \mu(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (1.8)$$

Theorem CGY2 (see [9, Theorem 3.2]). Let $0 < \gamma\alpha < \beta$ and $\bar{\gamma} \in (0, 1)$. Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, \min\{1, \|B\|^{-1}\})$. Starting with an arbitrary initial guess $x_0 \in H$, generate a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by the following iterative scheme:

$$x_{n+1} = (I - \lambda_{n+1}A)T_{[n+1]}x_n + \lambda_{n+1}[T_{[n+1]}x_n - \mu_{n+1}(BT_{[n+1]}x_n - \gamma f(x_n))], \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (1.9)$$

Assume that

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$,
- (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$,
- (iii) either $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n+N} - \lambda_n| < \infty$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n / \lambda_{n+N} = 1$,
- (iv) $(1 - \bar{\gamma}) / (\beta - \gamma\alpha) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \mu < (2 - \bar{\gamma}) / (\beta - \gamma\alpha)$.

In addition, assume that

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) = F(T_1 T_2 \cdots T_N) = F(T_N T_1 \cdots T_2) \\ &= \cdots = F(T_2 T_3 \cdots T_N T_1). \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Then the scheme $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.9) converges strongly to an element $x^* \in C = F(T)$ which is the unique solution of the variational inequality (for short, $\text{VI}(A - I + \mu(B - \gamma f), C)$):

$$\langle [A - I + \mu(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (1.11)$$

In this paper, motivated and inspired by the above research results, we introduce a new iterative process with a countable family of nonexpansive mappings for the variational inequality problem in Hilbert spaces.

More precisely, let H be a Hilbert space and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable family of nonexpansive mappings from H to H such that $C = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f : H \rightarrow H$ be a contraction with coefficient $0 < \alpha < 1$ and A, B be strongly positive linear bounded operators with coefficients $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta > 0$, respectively. Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset (0, 1]$ with $\alpha_0 = 1$. Take three fixed numbers γ, μ_1 and μ_2 such that $0 < \gamma\alpha < \beta, \mu_1 \in (0, 1]$ and $\mu_2 \in ((1 - \eta\mu_1)/(\beta - \gamma\alpha), \min\{1, \|B\|^{-1}, (2 - \eta\mu_1)/(\beta - \gamma\alpha)\}]$. For any $x_1 \in H$, generate the iterative scheme $\{x_n\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} = & \alpha_n [(I - \lambda_n \mu_1 A)x_n + \lambda_n [x_n - \mu_2 (Bx_n - \gamma f(x_n))]] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) T_i x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

We prove that the iterative scheme $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.12) strongly converges to an element $x^* \in C$ which is the unique solution of the variational inequality (for short, $VI(\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f), C)$):

$$\langle [\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (1.13)$$

2. Preliminaries

Let H be a Hilbert space and T be a nonexpansive mapping of H into itself such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. For all $\hat{x} \in F(T)$ and $x \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - \hat{x}\|^2 & \geq \|Tx - T\hat{x}\|^2 = \|Tx - \hat{x}\|^2 = \|Tx - x + (x - \hat{x})\|^2 \\ & = \|Tx - x\|^2 + \|x - \hat{x}\|^2 + 2\langle Tx - x, x - \hat{x} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

and hence

$$\|Tx - x\|^2 \leq 2\langle x - Tx, x - \hat{x} \rangle, \quad \forall \hat{x} \in F(T), x \in H. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a Hilbert space H and let $x \in H$. Throughout this paper, $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ denote that $\{x_n\}$ strongly converges to $x \in H$ and $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a point $x \in H$, respectively.

Lemma 2.1 (see [10]). *Let C be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and T be a nonexpansive mapping from C into itself. Then $I - T$ is demiclosed at zero, that is,*

$$x_n \rightharpoonup x, \quad x_n - Tx_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{implies } x = Tx. \quad (2.3)$$

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the equality:

$$\|x + y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle - \|y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H. \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.2. Let H be a real Hilbert space. Then the following identity holds:

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H. \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [4, 11]). Let $\{s_n\}$, $\{c_n\}$ be the sequences of nonnegative real numbers and let $\{a_n\} \subset (0, 1)$. Suppose that $\{b_n\}$ is a sequence of real numbers such that

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1 - a_n)s_n + b_n + c_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$. Then the following results hold.

- (1) If $b_n \leq \beta a_n$, where $(\beta \geq 0)$, then $\{s_n\}$ is a bounded sequence.
- (2) If one has

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \infty, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} \leq 0, \quad (2.7)$$

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.4 (see [8]). Let H be a real Hilbert space, $f : H \rightarrow H$ be a contraction with coefficient $0 < \alpha < 1$ and B be a strongly positive linear bounded operator with coefficient $\beta > 0$. Then, for any γ with $0 < \gamma < \beta/\alpha$,

$$\langle x - y, (B - \gamma f)x - (B - \gamma f)y \rangle \geq (\beta - \gamma\alpha)\|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H, \quad (2.8)$$

that is, $B - \gamma f$ is strongly monotone with coefficient $\beta - \gamma\alpha$.

Lemma 2.5. Assume A is a strongly monotone linear bounded operator on a Hilbert space H with coefficient $\alpha > 0$. Take a fixed number ρ such that $0 < \rho \leq \|A\|^{-1}$. Then $\|I - \rho A\| \leq 1 - \rho\alpha$.

Proof. The proof method is mainly from the idea of Marino and Xu [8, Lemma 2.5]. It is known that the norm of a linear bounded self-adjoint operator V on H is as follows:

$$\|V\| = \sup\{|\langle Vx, x \rangle| : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Now, for all $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$, we see that (here 0 denotes zero point in H)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|I - \rho A\| &= \sup\{\langle (I - \rho A)x, x \rangle : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\} \\
 &= \sup\{\langle (I - \rho A)x - (I - \rho A)0, x - 0 \rangle : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\} \\
 &= \sup\{\langle (x - 0) - \rho(Ax - A0), x - 0 \rangle : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\} \\
 &= \sup\{\|x\|^2 - \rho\langle (Ax - A0), x - 0 \rangle : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\} \\
 &\leq \sup\{1 - \rho\alpha\|x - 0\|^2 : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\} \\
 &= 1 - \rho\alpha.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.6. Lemma 2.5 still holds if A is a strongly positive linear bounded operator (see [8, Lemma 2.5]). That is, Lemma 2.5 in this section and Lemma 2.5 in [8] both hold when A is a strongly monotone linear bounded operator or a strongly positive linear bounded one because an operator on a Hilbert space is strongly monotone linear if and only if it is strongly positive linear.

In fact, if A is a strongly monotone linear operator with coefficient $\alpha > 0$ on a Hilbert space H , then, for all $x \in H$,

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle = \langle Ax - A0, x - 0 \rangle \geq \alpha\|x - 0\|^2 = \alpha\|x\|^2, \tag{2.11}$$

which shows that A is strongly positive linear. Assume that A is a strongly positive linear operator with coefficient $\alpha > 0$ on H . Then, for all $x, y \in H$,

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle = \langle A(x - y), x - y \rangle \geq \alpha\|x - y\|^2, \tag{2.12}$$

which shows that A is strongly monotone and linear.

3. Main Results

Let H be a Hilbert space and C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of H . Let $f : H \rightarrow H$ be a contraction with coefficient $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $A, B : H \rightarrow H$ be strongly positive linear bounded operator with coefficient $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta > 0$, respectively. Take a fixed number γ such that $0 \leq \gamma\alpha < \beta$. Then, from Lemma 2.4, it follows that $B - \gamma f$ is strongly monotone with

coefficient $\beta - \gamma\alpha > 0$. For any fixed numbers $\sigma_1 \in (0, 1]$ and $\sigma_2 \in ((1 - \eta\sigma_1)/(\beta - \gamma\alpha), (2 - \eta\sigma_1)/(\beta - \gamma\alpha))$, we have $\theta = \eta\sigma_1 - 1 + \sigma_2(\beta - \gamma\alpha) \in (0, 1)$, which can be seen easily from the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 < \frac{2 - \eta\sigma_1}{\beta - \gamma\alpha} &\iff \sigma_2(\beta - \gamma\alpha) < 2 - \eta\sigma_1 \\ &\iff \theta = \eta\sigma_1 - 1 + \sigma_2(\beta - \gamma\alpha) < 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - \eta\sigma_1}{\beta - \gamma\alpha} < \sigma_2 &\iff \sigma_2(\beta - \gamma\alpha) + \eta\sigma_1 > 1 \\ &\iff \theta = \eta\sigma_1 - 1 + \sigma_2(\beta - \gamma\alpha) > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\sigma_1 A - I + \sigma_2(B - \gamma f))x - (\sigma_1 A - I + \sigma_2(B - \gamma f))y\| \\ &= \|(\sigma_1 A - I)(x - y) + \sigma_2(B - \gamma f)(x - y)\| \\ &\leq \|\sigma_1 A - I\| \|x - y\| + \sigma_2[\|B(x - y)\| + \gamma\|fx - fy\|] \\ &\leq [\|\sigma_1 A - I\| + \sigma_2(\|B\| + \gamma\alpha)] \|x - y\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

which implies that $\sigma_1 A - I + \sigma_2(B - \gamma f)$ is Lipschitzian with coefficient $\|\sigma_1 A - I\| + \sigma_2(\|B\| + \gamma\alpha) > 0$.

On the other hand, from Lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (\sigma_1 A - I + \sigma_2(B - \gamma f))x - (\sigma_1 A - I + \sigma_2(B - \gamma f))y, x - y \rangle \\ &= \sigma_1 \langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle + \sigma_2 \langle (B - \gamma f)x - (B - \gamma f)y, x - y \rangle - \|x - y\|^2 \\ &\geq \sigma_1 \eta \|x - y\|^2 + \sigma_2(\beta - \gamma\alpha) \|x - y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 \\ &= \theta \|x - y\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

which implies that $\sigma_1 A - I + \sigma_2(B - \gamma f)$ is strongly monotone with coefficient $\theta > 0$. Hence the variational inequality (for short, $\text{VI}(\sigma_1 A - I + \sigma_2(B - \gamma f), C)$)

$$\langle \sigma_1 A - I + \sigma_2(B - \gamma f)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C \quad (3.5)$$

has the unique solution.

Let $T : H \rightarrow H$ be a nonexpansive mapping. Take two fixed numbers μ_1 and μ_2 such that $\mu_1 \in (0, 1]$ and $\mu_2 \in (0, \min\{1, \|B\|^{-1}\}]$ and, for all $\lambda \in (0, \min\{1, \|A\|^{-1}/\mu_1\})$, define a mapping $T^\lambda : H \rightarrow H$ by

$$T^\lambda x = (I - \lambda\mu_1 A)Tx + \lambda[Tx - \mu_2(BTx - \gamma f(x))], \quad \forall x \in H. \quad (3.6)$$

Then we have the following results.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\mu_2 \in ((1-\eta\mu_1)/(\beta-\gamma\lambda), (2-\eta\mu_1)/(\beta-\gamma\lambda))$, then T^λ is a contraction with coefficient $1 - \lambda\tau$, where $\tau = \eta\mu_1 - 1 + \mu_2(\beta - \gamma\alpha) \in (0, 1)$, that is,*

$$\|T^\lambda x - T^\lambda y\| \leq (1 - \lambda\tau)\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in H. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.5 and Remark 2.6, it follows that, for all $x, y \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^\lambda x - T^\lambda y\| &= \|(I - \lambda\mu_1 A)Tx + \lambda[Tx - \mu_2(BTx - \gamma f(x))] \\ &\quad - (I - \lambda\mu_1 A)Ty - \lambda[Ty - \mu_2(BTy - \gamma f(y))]\| \\ &\leq \|(I - \lambda\mu_1 A)Tx - (I - \lambda\mu_1 A)Ty\| \\ &\quad + \lambda\|Tx - \mu_2(BTx - \gamma f(x)) - [Ty - \mu_2(BTy - \gamma f(y))]\| \\ &\leq \|(I - \lambda\mu_1 A)\| \|Tx - Ty\| \\ &\quad + \lambda[\|(I - \mu_2 B)Tx - (I - \mu_2 B)Ty\| + \mu_2\gamma\|f(x) - f(y)\|] \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda\mu_1\eta)\|x - y\| + \lambda[\|(I - \mu_2 B)\| \|Tx - Ty\| + \mu_2\gamma\alpha\|x - y\|] \\ &\leq \{1 - \lambda\mu_1\eta + \lambda[1 - \mu_2(\beta - \gamma\alpha)]\}\|x - y\| \\ &= \{1 - \lambda[\mu_1\eta - 1 + \mu_2(\beta - \gamma\alpha)]\}\|x - y\| \\ &= (1 - \lambda\tau)\|x - y\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a countable family of nonexpansive mappings from H into itself such that $C = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Since each $F(T_i)$ is closed and convex, then C is closed and convex.

Throughout this paper, let $f : H \rightarrow H$ be a contraction with coefficient $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $A, B : H \rightarrow H$ be strongly positive linear bounded mapping with coefficient $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta > 0$, respectively. Take a fixed number γ such that $0 < \gamma\alpha < \beta$. Suppose that $\mu_1 \in (0, 1]$, $\mu_2 \in ((1 - \eta\mu_1)/(\beta - \gamma\alpha), \min\{1, \|B\|^{-1}, (2 - \eta\mu_1)/(\beta - \gamma\alpha)\})$ (assuming that $(1 - \eta\mu_1)/(\beta - \gamma\alpha) < \min\{1, \|B\|^{-1}\}$ such that $((1 - \eta\mu_1)/(\beta - \gamma\lambda), \min\{1, \|B\|^{-1}, (2 - \eta\mu_1)/(\beta - \gamma\lambda)\})$ is nonempty), $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset (0, \min\{1, \|A\|^{-1}/\mu_1\})$ with $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n > 0$ and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset (0, 1]$ with $\alpha_0 = 1$.

Now, we can rewrite the iterative scheme (1.12) as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T^{\lambda_n} x_n + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) T_i x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (3.9)$$

where $T^{\lambda_n} x_n = (I - \lambda_n \mu_1 A)x_n + \lambda_n [x_n - \mu_2 (Bx_n - \gamma f(x_n))]$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, for all $x, y \in H$, we have

$$\|T_n^{\lambda_n} x - T_n^{\lambda_n} y\| \leq (1 - \lambda_n \tau)\|x - y\|, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (3.10)$$

where $\tau = \eta\mu_1 - 1 + \mu_2(\beta - \gamma\alpha) \in (0, 1)$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\{\alpha_n\}$ is strictly decreasing, then the scheme $\{x_n\}$ defined by (3.9) is bounded.*

Proof. Since $\|T^{\lambda_n}p - p\| = \lambda_n\|(\mu_1A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f))p\|$, it follows from (3.10) that, for all $p \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \left\| \alpha_n(T^{\lambda_n}x_n - p) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i)(T_i x_n - p) \right\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|T^{\lambda_n}x_n - p\| + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \|T_i x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|T^{\lambda_n}x_n - T^{\lambda_n}p\| + \alpha_n \|T^{\lambda_n}p - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n(1 - \lambda_n\tau) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\lambda_n \|(\mu_1A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f))p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\| \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n\lambda_n\tau) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\lambda_n \|(\mu_1A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f))p\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

By induction, we obtain

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \max \left\{ \|x_1 - p\|, \frac{1}{\tau} \|(\mu_1A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f))p\| \right\}. \quad (3.12)$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and so are $\{T^{\lambda_n}x_n\}$ and $\{T_i x_n\}$ for each $i \geq 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If $\{\alpha_n\}$ is strictly decreasing and the following conditions hold:*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| < \infty, \quad (3.13)$$

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$.

Proof. By the iterative scheme (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - x_n &= \alpha_n T^{\lambda_n} x_n + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) T_i x_n - \left(\alpha_{n-1} T^{\lambda_{n-1}} x_{n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) T_i x_{n-1} \right) \\ &= \alpha_n (T^{\lambda_n} x_n - T^{\lambda_n} x_{n-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) (T_i x_n - T_i x_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) T_i x_{n-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) T_i x_{n-1} + \alpha_n T^{\lambda_n} x_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-1} T^{\lambda_{n-1}} x_{n-1} \\ &= \alpha_n (T^{\lambda_n} x_n - T^{\lambda_n} x_{n-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) (T_i x_n - T_i x_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) T_n x_{n-1} + (\alpha_{n-1} \lambda_{n-1} - \alpha_n \lambda_n) [(\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2 (B - \gamma f)) x_{n-1}] \\ &\quad + (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}) x_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha_n \left(T^{\lambda_n} x_n - T^{\lambda_n} x_{n-1} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) (T_i x_n - T_i x_{n-1}) \\
&\quad + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) T_n x_{n-1} + (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}) x_{n-1} \\
&\quad + [(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) \lambda_n + (\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n) \alpha_{n-1}] [(\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2 (B - \gamma f)) x_{n-1}]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &\leq \alpha_n \left\| T^{\lambda_n} x_n - T^{\lambda_n} x_{n-1} \right\| + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_n) \|T_i x_n - T_i x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) \|T_n x_{n-1}\| + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) \|x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + [(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) \lambda_n + |\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n| \alpha_{n-1}] \|(\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2 (B - \gamma f)) x_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n (1 - \lambda_n \tau) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) \|T_n x_{n-1}\| + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) \|x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + [(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) + |\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n|] \|(\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2 (B - \gamma f)) x_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n \lambda_n \tau) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) M + |\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n| M,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where M is a constant. Since $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, \min\{1, \|A\|^{-1}/\mu_1\})$, there exists a constant $\lambda' > 0$ such that $\lambda_n \geq \lambda'$ for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore, we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n \lambda' \tau) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + [(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) + |\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n|] M. \tag{3.16}$$

Put $c_n = [(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) + |\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n|] M$. Since $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n| < \infty$, we have $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$. By Lemma 2.3, it follows that $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.4. *If $\{\alpha_n\}$ is strictly decreasing and the following conditions hold:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| < \infty \tag{3.17}$$

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_i x_n\| = 0$, for all $i \geq 1$.

Proof. By the iterative scheme (3.9), we have

$$x_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) (x_n - T_i x_n) - (1 - \alpha_n) x_n = \alpha_n T^{\lambda_n} x_n, \tag{3.18}$$

that is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i)(x_n - T_i x_n) = \alpha_n \langle T^{\lambda_n} x_n - x_n \rangle + (x_n - x_{n+1}). \quad (3.19)$$

Hence, for any $p \in C$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \langle x_n - T_i x_n, x_n - p \rangle = \alpha_n \langle T^{\lambda_n} x_n - x_n, x_n - p \rangle + \langle x_n - x_{n+1}, x_n - p \rangle. \quad (3.20)$$

Since each T_i is nonexpansive, it follows from (2.2) that

$$\|T_i x_n - x_n\|^2 \leq 2 \langle x_n - T_i x_n, x_n - p \rangle. \quad (3.21)$$

Hence, combining (3.21) with (3.20), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \|T_i x_n - x_n\|^2 &\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \langle x_n - T_i x_n, x_n - p \rangle \\ &= 2\alpha_n \langle T^{\lambda_n} x_n - x_n, x_n - p \rangle + 2 \langle x_n - x_{n+1}, x_n - p \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \|T_i x_n - x_n\|^2 \leq \alpha_n \langle T^{\lambda_n} x_n - x_n, x_n - p \rangle + \langle x_n - x_{n+1}, x_n - p \rangle. \quad (3.23)$$

Since each $(\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \|T_i x_n - x_n\|^2 \geq 0$ and $\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i > 0$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \|T_i x_n - x_n\|^2 \leq \alpha_n \langle T^{\lambda_n} x_n - x_n, x_n - p \rangle + \langle x_n - x_{n+1}, x_n - p \rangle, \quad (3.24)$$

that is,

$$\|T_i x_n - x_n\|^2 \leq \frac{2\alpha_n}{\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i} \langle T^{\lambda_n} x_n - x_n, x_n - p \rangle + \frac{2}{\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i} \langle x_n - x_{n+1}, x_n - p \rangle. \quad (3.25)$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ and $\{T^{\lambda_n} x_n\}$ are both bounded, there exists a constant $M' > 0$ such that

$$\|T_i x_n - x_n\|^2 \leq M' \left(\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i} + \frac{1}{\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \right). \quad (3.26)$$

By Lemma 3.3 and the assumption condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_i x_n - x_n\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \quad (3.27)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Finally, we give the main result in this paper.

Theorem 3.5. *If $\{\alpha_n\}$ is strictly decreasing and the following conditions hold:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| < \infty, \quad (3.28)$$

then the scheme $\{x_n\}$ defined by (3.9) converges strongly to an element $x^ \in C$ which is the unique solution of the variational inequality $(VI(\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f), C))$:*

$$\langle [\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (3.29)$$

Proof. First, we prove that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle -[\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \leq 0$.

To prove this, we pick a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle -[\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle -[\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x_{n_i} - x^* \rangle. \quad (3.30)$$

Without loss of generality, we may, further, assume that $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup \hat{x}$ for some $\hat{x} \in H$. From Lemmas 2.1 and 3.3, it follows that $\hat{x} \in F(T_i)$ for each $i \geq 1$ and so $\hat{x} \in C = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$. Since x^* is the unique solution of the problem $VI(\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f), C)$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle -[\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle = \langle -[\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f)]x^*, \hat{x} - x^* \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.31)$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &= \left\| \left[\alpha_n (T^{\lambda_n} x_n - T^{\lambda_n} x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_n) (T_i x_n - x^*) \right] + \alpha_n (T^{\lambda_n} x^* - x^*) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| \alpha_n (T^{\lambda_n} x_n - T^{\lambda_n} x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_n) (T_i x_n - x^*) \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle T^{\lambda_n} x^* - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq [\alpha_n (1 - \lambda_n \tau) \|x_n - x^*\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x^*\|]^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle -[\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n \lambda_n \tau) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle -[\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n \lambda' \tau) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle -[\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where $\lambda' > 0$ is a constant such that $\lambda_n \geq \lambda'$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle -[\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \leq 0$, by Lemma 2.3, we conclude that the scheme $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to x^* . This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.6. (1) For each $n \geq 1$, a simple example on control parameters is $\alpha_n = 1/n$ and $\lambda_n = \lambda$, where λ is a constant in $(0, \min\{1, \|A\|^{-1}/\mu_1\})$.

(2) We obtain the desired results without any assumptions on the family $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. For example, in Theorem CGY2, the authors gave the strong condition (1.10).

Remark 3.7. (1) If $T_1 = T_2 = \dots = T_n = \dots = T$ in (3.9), then we have the following iterative scheme:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n [(I - \lambda_n \mu_1 A)x_n + \lambda_n (x_n - \mu_2 (Bx_n - \gamma f(x_n)))] + (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (3.33)$$

and the scheme $\{x_n\}$ defined by (3.33) converges strongly to an element $x^* \in C$ which is the unique solution of the variational inequality $(VI(\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f), C))$:

$$\langle [\mu_1 A - I + \mu_2(B - \gamma f)]x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (3.34)$$

(2) If $A = I$ and $\mu_1 = 1$ in (3.33), then we have the following iterative scheme:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n (I - \lambda_n \mu_2 (B - \gamma f))x_n + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i)T_i x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (3.35)$$

and the scheme $\{x_n\}$ defined by (3.35) converges strongly to an element $x^* \in C$ which is the unique solution of the variational inequality $(VI(B - \gamma f, C))$:

$$\langle (\mu_2(B - \gamma f))x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (3.36)$$

(3) Furthermore, if $\mu_2 = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$ in (3.35), then we have the following iterative scheme:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n (I - \lambda_n B)x_n + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i)T_i x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (3.37)$$

and the scheme $\{x_n\}$ defined by (3.37) converges strongly to an element $x^* \in C$ which is the unique solution of the variational inequality $(VI(B, C))$, which is Stampacchia's variational inequality:

$$\langle Bx^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (3.38)$$

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (KRF-2008-313-C00050).

References

- [1] D. Kinderlehrer and G. Stampacchia, *An Introduction to Variational Inequalities and Their Applications*, vol. 88 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1980.
- [2] H.-K. Xu, "Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 298, no. 1, pp. 279–291, 2004.
- [3] F. Deutsch and I. Yamada, "Minimizing certain convex functions over the intersection of the fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 19, no. 1-2, pp. 33–56, 1998.
- [4] H.-K. Xu, "Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 240–256, 2002.
- [5] H. K. Xu, "An iterative approach to quadratic optimization," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 116, no. 3, pp. 659–678, 2003.
- [6] I. Yamada, "The hybrid steepest descent method for the variational inequality problem over the intersection of fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings," in *Inherently Parallel Algorithms in Feasibility and Optimization and Their Applications*, D. Butnariu, Y. Censor, and S. Reich, Eds., vol. 8 of *Stud. Comput. Math.*, pp. 473–504, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2001.
- [7] I. Yamada, N. Ogura, Y. Yamashita, and K. Sakaniwa, "Quadratic optimization of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 19, no. 1-2, pp. 165–190, 1998.
- [8] G. Marino and H.-K. Xu, "A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 318, no. 1, pp. 43–52, 2006.
- [9] L.-C. Ceng, S.-M. Guu, and J.-C. Yao, "Hybrid viscosity-like approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 605–617, 2009.
- [10] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 28 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [11] P.-E. Maingé, "Approximation methods for common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 325, no. 1, pp. 469–479, 2007.