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By the notation and monotone convergence theorem of Henstock-Kurzweil integral, we investigate the existence of continuous solutions for the second order boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions in which the nonlinearities $f(t, x(t))$ are allowed to have the singularities in $t$ and are not Lebesgue integrable.

## 1. Introduction

The singular boundary value problems

$$
\begin{gather*}
-x^{\prime \prime}=f(t, x), \quad t \in(0,1), \\
\alpha x(0)-\beta x^{\prime}(0)=0,  \tag{1.1}\\
\gamma x(1)+\delta x^{\prime}(1)=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f(t, x)$ may be singular at $t=0$ and $t=1$, have been studied extensively; see, for example, $[1-8]$, and the references contained therein.

In [7], Taliaferro showed that problem (1.1) has a $C[0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1)$ solution, where $\beta=0, \delta=0$, and $f(t, x)=q(t) x^{-\lambda}, \lambda>0, q \in C(0,1)$ with $q>0$ and $\int_{0}^{1} t(1-t) q(t) d t<+\infty$.

Since then, there are many improvements of this result in literatures for more general case.

In [5] and other literatures, the authors studied (1.1) in the case where $f(t, x)=$ $q(t) g(x), g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous, and $q \in C(0,1)$ with $\int_{0}^{1} t(1-t) q(t) d t<+\infty$ or in the case where $f(t, x):(0,1) \times R \rightarrow R$ is continuous and satisfies $|f(t, x)| \leq h(t)$ with $h \in C\left((0,1), R^{+}\right)$and $\int_{0}^{1} t(1-t) q(t) d t<+\infty$. We note that $f(t, x)$ admit a time singularity at $t=0$ and $/$ or $t=1$ and space singularity at $x=0$.

In [4], the authors considered (1.1) when $\beta=0, \delta=0, f(t, x)=q(t) g(x), g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ is continuous, and $q \in L^{1}(0,1), q(t) \geq 0$ a.e. (in particular, $q$ is allowed to have a finite number of singularities).

In [1], Agarwal and $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Regan studied (1.1) when $\beta=0, \delta=0$, and $f(t, x)$ satisfies the following caratheodory conditions.
$\left(C_{1}\right)$ The map $x \mapsto f(t, x)$ is continuous for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$.
$\left(C_{2}\right)$ The map $t \mapsto f(t, x)$ is measurable for all $x \in R$.
$\left(C_{3}\right)$ There exists $h \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(0,1)$ with $\int_{0}^{1} t(1-t) h(t) d t<+\infty$ such that $|f(t, x)| \leq h(t)$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$ and $x \in R$.

In [8], the authors studied (1.1) with $\beta=0$ as well as $\delta=0$ and supposed that $f(t, x)=$ $f_{1}(t, x)+q(t), f_{1}(t, x):(0,1) \times R \rightarrow R$ is continuous, and $q \in L^{1}(0,1)$.

It is noticed that the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, x)=f_{1}(t, x)+2 \sin \frac{1}{t}-\frac{2}{t} \cos \frac{1}{t}-\frac{1}{t^{2}} \sin \frac{1}{t} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f_{1}(t, x):(0,1) \times R \rightarrow R$ being continuous is not included in all those papers abovementioned.

In this paper, motivated by this case, relying on theory of Henstock-Kurzweil integral, we investigate the following second order boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
-x^{\prime \prime}=f(t, x), \quad t \in(0,1), \\
x(0)-k_{1} x^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(s, x(s)) d s,  \tag{1.3}\\
x(1)+k_{2} x^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(s, x(s)) d s,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are nonnegative constants and $f(t, x), h_{i}(t, x)(i=1,2)$ are not certainly $L^{1}$ integrable.

Henstock-Kurzweil integral encompasses the Newton, Riemann and Lebesgue integrals. A particular feature of this integral is that integrals of highly oscillating function which occur in quantum theory and nonlinear analysis such as $F^{\prime}(t)$, where $F(t)=t^{2} \sin t^{-2}$ on $(0,1]$ and $F(0)=0$, can be defined.

For the literatures in which the theory of Henstock-Kurzweil integral to study differential equations is used we refer to [9-14] and so on.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we make some preliminaries in Henstock-Kurzweil integral; in Section 3, we will prove the equivalence of problem (1.3) and an integral equation as well as existence and uniqueness of solution for the linear problem
which associate with (1.3); in Section 4, we are devoted to the existence results for the singular problem (1.3). An example will be given in Section 5.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce the basic facts on Henstock-Kurzweil integrability, a concept that extends the classical Lebesgue integrability on the real line. All notations and properties can be found in the references (see, e.g., $[13,14]$ ).

Let $[0,1]$ be the real unit interval provided with the $\sigma$-algebra $\Sigma$ of Lebesgue measurable sets with the Lebesgue measure $\mu$.

Definition 2.1 (see $[13,14]$ ). One says that $D=\left\{\left(I_{i}, t_{i}\right)\right\}$ is a tagged partition of $[0,1]$ if $\left\{I_{i}\right\}$ is a finite family of closed subintervals $I_{i}$ of $[0,1]$ which are nonoverlapping, that is, their interiors are pairwise disjoint, and whose union is $[0,1]$, and if $t_{i} \in I_{i}$. Given a function $\delta:[0,1] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ (called a gauge of $[0,1])$, one says that a tagged partition $D=\left\{\left(I_{i}, t_{i}\right)\right\}$ is $\delta$-fine if $I_{i} \subset\left(t_{i}-\delta\left(t_{i}\right), t_{i}-\delta\left(t_{i}\right)\right)$ for every $i$.

Definition 2.2 (see $[13,14]$ ). A function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow R$ is said to be Henstock-Kurzweil (shortly HK) integrable if there exists a real $z$ satisfying that, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there is a gauge $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(t_{i}\right) \mu\left(I_{i}\right)-z\right|<\varepsilon \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\delta_{\varepsilon}$-finite partition $D=\left\{\left(I_{i}, t_{i}\right)\right\}$. One says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Henstock-Kurzweil (shortly HK) integral of $f$ over $[0,1]$.
A function $F$ is absolutely continuous (or $\mathrm{AC}^{*}$ ) on $E \subset[0,1]$ if for each $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\sum_{i} \omega\left(F ;\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]\right)<\epsilon$ whenever $\left\{\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]\right\}$ is a finite collection of nonoverlapping intervals that have endpoints in $E$ and satisfy $\sum_{i}\left(d_{i}-c_{i}\right)<\delta$ while $\omega\left(F ;\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]\right)$ denotes the oscillation of $f$ over $\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(F ;\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]\right)=\sup \left\{|F(x)-F(y)|: x, y \in\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]\right\} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $F$ is generalized absolutely continuous (or ACG ${ }^{*}$ ) on $E$ if $F$ is continuous on $E$ and if $E$ can be expressed as a countable union of sets on each of which $F$ is absolutely continuous (or $\mathrm{AC}^{*}$ ).

For the Lebesgue integral of function $f$, we denote that $\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s$.
Denote by $C$ the continuous functions space on $[0,1]$, by AC the absolutely continuous functions space on $[0,1]$, by $\mathrm{ACG}^{*}$ the generalized absolutely continuous functions space on $[0,1]$, and by $H$ the space of HK-integrable functions from $[0,1]$ to $R$. Assume that the space $C$ is equipped with pointwise ordering and normed by the maximum norm, and that the space $H$ is equipped with a.e. pointwise ordering and normed by the Alexiewicz norm.

The following Lemma 2.3-Lemma 2.7 are from [13, 14].
Lemma 2.3. The Henstock-Kurzweil integral is linear, and additive over nonoverlapping intervals of [0,1].

Lemma 2.4. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow R$ be HK-integrable and let $g:[0,1] \rightarrow R$ be bounded variation. Then $f g$ is HK-integrable, and for every $t \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H K) \int_{0}^{t} f(s) g(s) d s=g(t)(H K) \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s-\int_{0}^{t}\left(g^{\prime}(s)(H K) \int_{0}^{s} f(\tau) d \tau\right) d s \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $f_{ \pm}:[0,1] \rightarrow R$ be HK-integrable. If $f_{-}(s) \leq f_{+}(s)$ for almost every $s \in[0,1]$, and if $[a, b] \subseteq[0,1]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H K) \int_{a}^{b} f_{-}(s) d s \leq(H K) \int_{a}^{b} f_{+}(s) d s \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow R$ be HK-integrable. Then the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}(t)=c+(H K) \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined a function $\tilde{f}:[0,1] \rightarrow R$, which is continuous and belongs to $A C G^{*}$, a.e. derivable and $\tilde{f}^{\prime}(t)=\underset{\sim}{f}(t)$ a.e. on $[0,1]$.
$\tilde{f}$ is called a primitive of $f$.
Lemma 2.7. Assume that functions $f_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow R, n \in N$ and $f_{ \pm}:[0,1] \rightarrow R$ are HK-integrable, that the sequence $\left\{f_{n}(s)\right\}$ is increasing (respectively decreasing) for almost every $s \in[0,1]$, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{-}(s) \leq f_{n}(s) \leq f_{+}(s) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in N$ and a.e. $s \in[0,1]$. Then there exists such an HK-integrable function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow R$, that $f(s)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(s)$ for a.e. $s \in[0,1]$, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(H K) \int_{0}^{1} f_{n}(s) d s=(H K) \int_{0}^{1} f(s) d s \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Linear Problem

We know that the homogeneous problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-x^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad t \in(0,1) \\
x(0)-k_{1} x^{\prime}(0)=0  \tag{3.1}\\
x(1)+k_{2} x^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

has only the trivial solution and Green's function is

$$
G(t, s)=\frac{1}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1} \begin{cases}\left(k_{1}+t\right)\left(k_{2}+1-s\right), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1  \tag{3.2}\\ \left(k_{1}+s\right)\left(k_{2}+1-t\right), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to prove the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. For every $t \in[0,1]$, functions $s \mapsto G(t, s)$ and $s \mapsto(\partial G / \partial t)(t, s)$ are derivable on $[0, t)$ and $(t, 1]$ and their derivations are absolutely continuous.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\sigma:[0,1] \rightarrow R$ be an HK-integrable function, then
(1) for every $t \in[0,1], G(t, s) \sigma(s)$ and $(\partial G / \partial t)(t, s) \sigma(s)$ are HK-integrable in $s$;
(2) the function, where $u_{\sigma}:[0,1] \rightarrow R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\sigma}(t)=(H K) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) \sigma(s) d s, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is derivable a.e. on $[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\sigma}^{\prime}(t)=(H K) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s) \sigma(s) d s \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) $u_{\sigma}(t)$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\sigma}(0)-k_{1} u_{\sigma}^{\prime}(0)=0,  \tag{3.5}\\
& u_{\sigma}(1)+k_{2} u_{\sigma}^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{align*}
$$

(4) $u_{\sigma}^{\prime}(t)$ is derivable a.e. on $[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\sigma(t), \quad \text { a.e. in }[0,1] . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (1) From Lemma 3.1, since we know that $G(t, s)$ and $(\partial G / \partial t)(t, s)$ are absolutely continuous respect to $s$, and $\sigma(s) \in H$, the conclusions are in as follows.
(2) Since

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\sigma}(t)= & (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) \sigma(s) d s \\
= & \frac{k_{1}+t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{t}^{1}\left(k_{2}+1-s\right) \sigma(s) d s  \tag{3.7}\\
& +\frac{k_{2}+1-t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{t}\left(k_{1}+s\right) \sigma(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

it follows from Lemma 2.6 that, for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\sigma}^{\prime}(t)= & \frac{1}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{t}^{1}\left(k_{2}+1-s\right) \sigma(s) d s-\frac{k_{1}+t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}\left(k_{2}+1-t\right) \sigma(t) \\
& -\frac{1}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{t}\left(k_{1}+s\right) \sigma(s) d s+\frac{k_{2}+1-t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}\left(k_{1}+t\right) \sigma(t)  \tag{3.8}\\
= & (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s) \sigma(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

(3) Since

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\sigma}(0)= & \frac{k_{1}}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1}\left(k_{2}+1-s\right) \sigma(s) d s \\
u_{\sigma}^{\prime}(0)= & \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{u_{\sigma}(t)-u_{\sigma}(0)}{t} \\
= & \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{t}\left[\frac{t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{t}^{1}\left(k_{2}+1-s\right) \sigma(s) d s\right. \\
& \quad+\frac{k_{2}+1-t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{t}\left(k_{1}+s\right) \sigma(s) d s  \tag{3.9}\\
& \left.\quad-\frac{k_{1}}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1}\left(k_{2}+1-s\right) \sigma(s) d s\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1}\left(k_{2}+1-s\right) \sigma(s) d s-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{t}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{t} s \sigma(s) d s,
\end{align*}
$$

we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{t}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{t} s \sigma(s) d s=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by Lemma 2.4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{t} s \sigma(s) d s=t(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(s) d s-\int_{0}^{t}\left((\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{s} \sigma(\tau) d \tau\right) d s . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote that $g(s)=(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{s} \sigma(\tau) d \tau$; then $g(s) \in \mathrm{ACG}^{*}$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} g(s)=0$. There exists $t_{0} \in[0, t]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s=g\left(t_{0}\right) t \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{t}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{t} s \sigma(s) d s=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(s) d s-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} g\left(t_{0}\right)=0 . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\sigma}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1}\left(k_{2}+1-s\right) \sigma(s) d s,  \tag{3.14}\\
u_{\sigma}(0)-k_{1} u_{\sigma}^{\prime}(0)=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

The proof of another condition $u_{\sigma}(1)+k_{2} u_{\sigma}^{\prime}(1)=0$ is similar.
(4) Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\sigma}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}\left(-(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{t}\left(k_{1}+s\right) \sigma(s) d s+(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{t}^{1}\left(k_{2}+1-s\right) \sigma(s) d s\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$, there exists a subset $I$ of $[0,1]$ with $\mu([0,1] \backslash I)=0$ such that $u_{\sigma}^{\prime}(t) \in \mathrm{ACG}^{*}$ on $I$. Relying on Lemma $2.6, u_{\sigma}^{\prime}(t)$ is derivable a.e. on $I$ and, therefore, a.e. on $[0,1]$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
-u_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\frac{1}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}\left(\left(k_{1}+t\right) \sigma(t)+\left(k_{2}+1-t\right) \sigma(t)\right)  \tag{3.16}\\
& =\sigma(t), \quad \text { a.e. in }[0,1] .
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.3. Given functions $\sigma(t), \rho_{1}(t), \rho_{2}(t) \in H$. Then the following nonhomogeneous linear problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-x^{\prime \prime}(t)=\sigma(t), \quad t \in(0,1), \\
x(0)-k_{1} x^{\prime}(0)=(H K) \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{1}(s) d s,  \tag{3.17}\\
x(1)+k_{2} x^{\prime}(1)=(H K) \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{2}(s) d s,
\end{gather*}
$$

has a unique solution $x \in A C G^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=p(t)+(H K) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) \sigma(s) d s \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(t)=\frac{k_{2}+1-t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(H K) \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{1}(s) d s+\frac{k_{1}+t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(H K) \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{2}(s) d s \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We notice that $p(t) \in C^{2}[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
-p^{\prime \prime}(t)=0, \quad t \in(0,1) \\
p(0)-k_{1} p^{\prime}(0)=(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{1}(s) d s  \tag{3.20}\\
p(1)+k_{2} p^{\prime}(1)=(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{2}(s) d s
\end{gather*}
$$

The facts associated with Lemma 3.2 deduce that the function $x(t)$ satisfies $x(t) \in \mathrm{ACG}^{*}, x^{\prime}(t)$ is derivable a.e. on $[0,1]$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-x^{\prime \prime}(t)=\sigma(t), \quad \text { a.e. }[0,1] \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x(t)$ verifies the boundary conditions. The uniqueness of solution of (3.17) follows from Lemma 3.1.

## 4. The Nonlinear Problems

In this section we consider the following nonlinear problems:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-x^{\prime \prime}=f(t, x), \quad t \in(0,1), \\
x(0)-k_{1} x^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(s, x(s)) d s,  \tag{4.1}\\
x(1)+k_{2} x^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(s, x(s)) d s .
\end{gather*}
$$

We impose the following hypotheses on the functions $f$ and $h_{1}, h_{2}$.
$\left(H_{1}\right) f(t, x(t))$ and $h_{i}(t, x(t))(i=1,2)$ are HK-integrable whenever $x \in C$.
$\left(H_{2}\right) f(t, x)$ and $h_{i}(t, x)(i=1,2)$ are increasing in $x$ for almost every $t \in[0,1]$.
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ There exist HK-integrable functions $f^{ \pm}$and $h_{i}^{ \pm}(i=1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-}(t) \leq f(t, x(t)) \leq f^{+}(t), \quad h_{i}^{-}(t) \leq h_{i}(t, x(t)) \leq h_{i}^{+}(t) \quad(i=1,2) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

a.e. hold on $[0,1]$ for all $x \in C$.

To prove our results, we need the following fixed point theorem for mappings of $C$ which is proved in [10].

Lemma 4.1. Let $G: C \rightarrow C$ be an increasing mapping which maps every monotone sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of $C$ to a sequence $\left\{G u_{n}\right\}$ which converges pointwise to a function of $C$. If $u^{ \pm} \in C, u^{-} \leq u^{+}, u^{-} \leq G u^{-}$, and $\mathrm{G} u^{+} \leq u^{+}$, then G has in an order interval $\left[u_{-}, u^{+}\right]$of $C$ least and greatest fixed points and they are increasing in $G$.

We prove an existence result for solutions of (4.1).
Theorem 4.2. Assume that the hypotheses $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ are satisfied, then (4.1) has least and greatest solutions in $A C G^{*}$.

Proof. We know from Theorem 3.3 that the solutions $x \in \mathrm{ACG}^{*}$ of (4.1) are the solutions of following operator equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=(T x)(t)=(B x)(t)+(A x)(t), \quad x \in C, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
(B x)(t)= & \frac{k_{2}+1-t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(s, x(s)) d s \\
& +\frac{k_{1}+t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(s, x(s)) d s, \quad t \in[0,1]  \tag{4.4}\\
(A x)(t)= & (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, x(s)) d s, \quad t \in[0,1] .
\end{align*}
$$

The hypothesis $\left(H_{2}\right)$ and Lemma 2.5 imply that if $u, v \in C$ and $u \leq v$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T u=B u+A u \leq B v+A v=T v \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, $T$ is increasing in $C$.
Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be an increasing sequence in $C$, then the hypothess $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ imply that the functions sequences $\left\{f\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right)\right\},\left\{h_{i}\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right)\right\}(i=1,2)$ are increasing in $n$ and belong to $H$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{-}(t) \leq f\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) \leq f^{+}(t), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0,1], n \in N \\
h_{i}^{-}(t) \leq h_{i}\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) \leq h_{i}^{+}(t), \quad(i=1,2), \text { a.e. } t \in[0,1], n \in N, \\
(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} f^{-}(s) d s \leq(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} f\left(s, u_{n}(s)\right) d s \leq(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} f^{+}(s) d s, \quad n \in N  \tag{4.6}\\
(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} h_{i}^{-}(s) d s \leq(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} h_{i}\left(s, u_{n}(s)\right) d s \leq(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} h_{i}^{+}(s) d s, \quad(i=1,2), n \in N
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.7, there exist HK-integrable functions $v, w_{i}(i=1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) \leq v(t), \quad f\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) \longrightarrow v(t), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0,1] \\
h_{i}\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) \leq w_{i}(t), \quad h_{i}\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) \longrightarrow w_{i}(t), \quad(i=1,2), \text { a.e. } t \in[0,1], \\
(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} f\left(s, u_{n}(s)\right) d s \longrightarrow(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} v(s) d s  \tag{4.7}\\
(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} h_{i}\left(s, u_{n}(s)\right) d s \longrightarrow(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} w_{i}(s) d s, \quad(i=1,2)
\end{gather*}
$$

Denote that

$$
\begin{gather*}
q_{n}(t)=(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f\left(s, u_{n}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in[0,1], \\
q(t)=(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) v(s) d s, \quad t \in[0,1], \\
r_{n}(t)=\frac{k_{2}+1-t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}\left(s, u_{n}(s)\right) d s+\frac{k_{1}+t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} h_{2}\left(s, u_{n}(s)\right) d s,  \tag{4.8}\\
r(t)=\frac{k_{2}+1-t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} w_{1}(s) d s+\frac{k_{1}+t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} w_{2}(s) d s .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then we can easily get that $r_{n}(t) \rightarrow r(t)$ for every $t \in[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leq q(t)-q_{n}(t) & =(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)\left(v(s)-f\left(s, u_{n}(s)\right)\right) d s  \tag{4.9}\\
& \leq G_{0}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1}\left(v(s)-f\left(s, u_{n}(s)\right)\right) d s \longrightarrow 0, \quad \forall t \in[0,1]
\end{align*}
$$

which implies also that $q_{n}(t) \rightarrow q(t)$ for every $t \in[0,1]$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T u_{n}\right)(t) \longrightarrow r(t)+q(t) \in C, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting that

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{ \pm}(t)= & \frac{k_{2}+1-t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}^{ \pm}(s) d s+\frac{k_{1}+t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} h_{2}^{ \pm}(s) d s  \tag{4.11}\\
& +(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f^{ \pm}(s) d s,
\end{align*}
$$

then, by Lemma 2.6, $x^{ \pm} \in$ ACG* ${ }^{*}$. In addition, the hypothesis $\left(H_{3}\right)$ implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{-} \leq x^{+}, \\
& x^{-} \leq T x^{-}, \quad T x^{+} \leq x^{+} . \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.1. We know that $T$ has in the order interval $\left[x^{-}, x^{+}\right]$of $C$ least fixed point $x_{*}$ and greatest fixed point $x^{*}$. The functions $x_{*}(t)$ and $x^{*}(t)$ are least and greatest solutions of (4.1) in $\left[x^{-}, x^{+}\right]$. The hypothesis $\left(H_{3}\right)$ implies also that if $x \in C$, then $T x \in\left[x^{-}, x^{+}\right]$. Thus all the solutions of (4.1) belong to the order interval $\left[x^{-}, x^{+}\right]$, whence $x_{*}(t)$ and $x^{*}(t)$ are least and greatest of all solutions in $C$ of (4.1).

On the other hand, if $x \in C$ is a solution of (4.1), then, from Lemma 2.6,

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t)= & \frac{k_{2}+1-t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(s, x(s)) d s+\frac{k_{1}+t}{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(s, x(s)) d s  \tag{4.13}\\
& +(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, x(s)) d s \in \mathrm{ACG}^{*} .
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is completed.

## 5. An Example

Consider the following problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-x^{\prime \prime}=g(t, x)+\sigma(t), \quad t \in(0,1), \\
x(0)=0,  \tag{5.1}\\
x(1)=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(t)=\frac{t^{2}}{(1-t)^{2}} \sin \frac{1}{t} \sin \frac{1}{1-t}-\frac{(1-t)^{2}}{t^{2}} \sin \frac{1}{t} \sin \frac{1}{1-t^{\prime}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $g(t, x)$ satisfies the following caratheodory conditions:
$\left(L_{1}\right)$ the map $x \mapsto g(t, x)$ is continuous for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$,
$\left(L_{2}\right)$ the map $t \mapsto g(t, x)$ is measurable for all $x \in R$,
( $L_{3}$ ) there exists $h \in L^{1}[0,1]$ with $\int_{0}^{1} h(t) d t<+\infty$ such that $|f(t, x)| \leq h(t)$ for a.e. $t \in$ $[0,1]$ and $x \in R$,
( $\left.L_{4}\right) g(t, x)$ is increasing in $x$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$.
Since function $\sigma(t)$ is not Lebesgue integrable, the results in literature do not hold for (5.1). Let $f(t, x)=g(t, x)+\sigma(t), f^{ \pm}(t)= \pm h(t)+\sigma(t)$, then $f^{-}(t) \leq f(t, x) \leq f^{+}(t)$ and $f(t, x(t))$ is HK-integrable for every continuous $x$ since $g(t, x(t))$ is Lebesgue integrable for every continuous $x$ and $\sigma(t)$ HK-integrable.

Hence, the existence of continuous solution of problem (5.1) is guaranteed by Theorem 4.2.
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