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We study tubular surfaces in Euclidean 3-space satisfying some equations in terms of the Gaussian curvature, the mean curvature, the second Gaussian curvature, and the second mean curvature. This paper is a completion of Weingarten and linear Weingarten tubular surfaces in Euclidean 3 -space.

## 1. Introduction

Let $f$ and $g$ be smooth functions on a surface $M$ in Euclidean 3-space $\mathrm{E}^{3}$. The Jacobi function $\Phi(f, g)$ formed with $f, g$ is defined by

$$
\Phi(f, g)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
f_{s} & f_{t}  \tag{1.1}\\
g_{s} & g_{t}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $f_{s}=\partial f / \partial s$ and $f_{t}=\partial f / \partial t$. In particular, a surface satisfying the Jacobi equation $\Phi(K, H)=0$ with respect to the Gaussian curvature $K$ and the mean curvature $H$ on a surface $M$ is called a Weingarten surface or a $W$-surface. Also, if a surface satisfies a linear equation with respect to $K$ and $H$, that is, $a K+b H=c,(a, b, c) \neq(0,0,0), a, b, c \in I R$, then it is said to be a linear Weingarten surface or a LW-surface [1].

When the constant $b=0$, a linear Weingarten surface $M$ reduces to a surface with constant Gaussian curvature. When the constant $a=0$, a linear Weingarten surface $M$ reduces to a surface with constant mean curvature. In such a sense, the linear Weingarten surfaces can be regarded as a natural generalization of surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature or with constant mean curvature [1].

If the second fundamental form II of a surface $M$ in $\mathrm{E}^{3}$ is nondegenerate, then it is regarded as a new pseudo-Riemannian metric. Therefore, the Gaussian curvature $K_{\mathrm{II}}$ is the second Gaussian curvature on $M$ [1].

For a pair $(X, Y), X \neq Y$, of the curvatures $K, H, K_{\text {II }}$ and $H_{\text {II }}$ of $M$ in $\mathrm{E}^{3}$, if $M$ satisfies $\Phi(X, Y)=0$ by $a X+b Y=c$, then it said to be a $(X, Y)$-Weingarten surface or $(X, Y)$-linear Weingarten surface, respectively [1].

Several geometers have studied $W$-surfaces and $L W$-surfaces and obtained many interesting results [1-9]. For the study of these surfaces, Kühnel and Stamou investigated ruled $(X, Y)$-Weingarten surfaces in Euclidean 3-space $\mathrm{E}^{3}$ [7, 9]. Also, Baikoussis and Koufogiorgos studied helicoidal $\left(H, K_{\text {II }}\right)$-Weingarten surfaces [10]. Dillen, and sodsiri, and Kühnel, gave a classification of ruled ( $X, Y$ )-Weingarten surfaces in Minkowski 3-space $\mathrm{E}_{1}^{3}$, where $(X, Y) \in\left\{K, H, K_{\text {II }}\right\} \quad[2-4]$. Koufogiorgos, Hasanis, and Koutroufiotis investigated closed ovaloid ( $X, Y$ )-linear Weingarten surfaces in $\mathrm{E}^{3}[11,12]$. Yoon, Blair and Koufogiorgos classified ruled $(X, Y)$-linear Weingarten surfaces in $\mathrm{E}^{3}[8,13,14]$. Ro and Yoon studied tubes in Euclidean 3-space which are $(K, H),\left(K, K_{\text {II }}\right),\left(H, K_{\text {II }}\right)$-Weingarten, and linear Weingarten tubes, satisfying some equations in terms of the Gaussian curvature, the mean curvature, and the second Gaussian curvature [1].

Following the Jacobi equation and the linear equation with respect to the Gaussian curvature $K$, the mean curvature $H$, the second Gaussian curvature $K_{\text {II }}$, and the second mean curvature $H_{\text {II }}$, an interesting geometric question is raised: classify all surfaces in Euclidean 3space satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi(X, Y)=0 \\
& a X+b Y=c \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X, Y \in\left\{K, H, K_{\text {II }}, H_{\text {II }}\right\}, X \neq Y$ and $(a, b, c) \neq(0,0,0)$.
In this paper, we would like to contribute the solution of the above question by studying this question for tubes or tubular surfaces in Euclidean 3-space $\mathrm{E}^{3}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

We denote a surface $M$ in $E^{3}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(s, t)=\left(m_{1}(s, t), m_{2}(s, t), m_{3}(s, t)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U$ be the standard unit normal vector field on a surface $M$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{M_{s} \wedge M_{t}}{\left\|M_{s} \wedge M_{t}\right\|^{\prime}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{s}=\partial M(s, t) / \partial s$. Then, the first fundamental form I and the second fundamental form II of a surface $M$ are defined by, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{I}=E d s^{2}+2 F d s d t+G d t^{2} \\
& \mathrm{II}=e d s^{2}+2 f d s d t+g d t^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
E=\left\langle M_{s}, M_{s}\right\rangle, \quad F=\left\langle M_{s}, M_{t}\right\rangle, \quad G=\left\langle M_{t}, M_{t}\right\rangle, \\
e=-\left\langle M_{s}, U_{s}\right\rangle=\left\langle M_{s s}, U\right\rangle, \quad f=-\left\langle M_{s}, U_{t}\right\rangle=\left\langle M_{s t}, U\right\rangle, \quad g=-\left\langle M_{t}, U_{t}\right\rangle=\left\langle M_{t t}, U\right\rangle, \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

[14]. On the other hand, the Gaussian curvature $K$ and the mean curvature $H$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
K=\frac{e g-f^{2}}{E G-F^{2}}, \\
H=\frac{E g-2 F f+G e}{2\left(E G-F^{2}\right)}, \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively. From Brioschi's formula in a Euclidean 3-space, we are able to compute $K_{\text {II }}$ and $H_{\text {II }}$ of a surface by replacing the components of the first fundamental form $E, F$, and $G$ by the components of the second fundamental form $e, f$, and $g$, respectively [14]. Consequently, the second Gaussian curvature $K_{\text {II }}$ of a surface is defined by

$$
K_{\mathrm{II}}=\frac{1}{\left(|e g|-f^{2}\right)^{2}}\left\{\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{1}{2} e_{t t}+f_{s t}-\frac{1}{2} g_{s s} & \frac{1}{2} e_{s} & f_{s}-\frac{1}{2} e_{t}  \tag{2.6}\\
f_{t}-\frac{1}{2} g_{s} & e & f \\
\frac{1}{2} g_{t} & f & g
\end{array}\right|-\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{1}{2} e_{t} & \frac{1}{2} g_{s} \\
\frac{1}{2} e_{t} & e & f \\
\frac{1}{2} g_{s} & f & g
\end{array}\right|\right\},
$$

and the second mean curvature $H_{\text {II }}$ of a surface is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{II}}=H-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{|\operatorname{det} \mathrm{II}|}} \sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{i}}\left(\sqrt{|\operatorname{det} \mathrm{II}|} L^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{j}}(\ln \sqrt{|K|})\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{i}$ and $u^{j}$ stand for " $s$ " and " $\theta=t$ ", respectively, and $L^{i j}=\left(L_{i j}\right)^{-1}$, where $L_{i j}$ are the coefficients of the second fundamental form $[3,4]$.

Remark 2.1. It is well known that a minimal surface has a vanishing second Gaussian curvature, but that a surface with the vanishing second Gaussian curvature need not to be minimal [14].

## 3. Weingarten Tubular Surfaces

Definition 3.1. Let $\alpha:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{E}^{3}$ be a unit-speed curve. A tubular surface of radius $\lambda>0$ about $\alpha$ is the surface with parametrization

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(s, \theta)=\alpha(s)+\lambda[N(s) \cos \theta+B(s) \sin \theta] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a \leq s \leq b$, where $N(s), B(s)$ are the principal normal and the binormal vectors of $\alpha$, respectively [1].

The curvature and the torsion of the curve $\alpha$ are denoted by $\kappa, \tau$. Then, Frenet formula of $\alpha(s)$ is defined by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
T^{\prime}  \tag{3.2}\\
N^{\prime} \\
B^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \kappa & 0 \\
-\mathcal{\kappa} & 0 & \tau \\
0 & -\tau & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T \\
N \\
B
\end{array}\right]
$$

[1]. Furthermore, we have the natural frame $\left\{M_{S}, M_{\theta}\right\}$ given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{s}=(1-\lambda \kappa \cos \theta) T-\lambda \tau \sin \theta N+\lambda \tau \cos \theta B \\
M_{\theta}=-\lambda \sin \theta N+\lambda \cos \theta B \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The components of the first fundamental form are

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\lambda^{2} \tau^{2}+\sigma^{2}, \quad F=\lambda^{2} \tau, \quad G=\lambda^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=1-\lambda_{\kappa} \cos \theta$.
On the other hand, the unit normal vector field $U$ is obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{M_{s} \wedge M_{\theta}}{\left\|M_{s} \wedge M_{\theta}\right\|}=-\varepsilon \cos \theta N-\varepsilon \sin \theta B \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\lambda>0, \varepsilon$ is the sign of $\sigma$ such that if $\sigma<0$, then $\varepsilon=-1$ and if $\sigma>0$, then $\varepsilon=1$. From this, the components of the second fundamental form of $M$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\varepsilon \lambda \tau^{2}-\varepsilon \kappa \cos \theta \sigma, \quad f=\varepsilon \lambda \tau, \quad g=\varepsilon \lambda \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the second fundamental form is nondegenerate, $e g-f^{2} \neq 0$, that is, $\kappa, \sigma$ and $\cos \theta$ are nowhere vanishing. In this case, we can define formally the second Gaussian curvature $K_{\text {II }}$ and the second mean curvature $H_{\text {II }}$ on $M$. On the other hand, the Gauss curvature $K$, the
mean curvature $H$, the second Gaussian curvature $K_{\text {II }}$ and the second mean curvature $H_{\text {II }}$ are obtained by using (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
K=-\frac{\kappa \cos \theta}{\lambda \sigma} \\
H=\frac{\varepsilon(1-2 \lambda \kappa \cos \theta)}{2 \lambda \sigma} \\
K_{\mathrm{II}}=-\frac{\varepsilon \kappa\left(\cos ^{2} \theta-6 \kappa \lambda \cos ^{3} \theta+4 \kappa^{2} \lambda^{2} \cos ^{4} \theta+1\right)}{4 \cos \theta \sigma},  \tag{3.7}\\
H_{\mathrm{II}}=\frac{1}{-8 \varepsilon \lambda \kappa^{3} \cos ^{3} \theta \sigma^{3}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{6} g_{i} \cos ^{i} \theta\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

and where the coefficients $g_{i}$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{0}=3 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2} \tau^{2} \\
g_{1}=2 \lambda \kappa\left(\kappa_{s} \tau-\kappa \tau_{s}\right) \sin \theta-\left(1+6 \lambda^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \kappa^{3} \\
g_{2}=2 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\left(\kappa \tau_{s}-4 \kappa_{s} \tau\right) \sin \theta+\lambda\left(3\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2}+3 \kappa^{4}-2 \kappa \kappa_{s s}-\kappa^{2} \tau^{2}\right), \\
g_{3}=2 \lambda^{2} \kappa\left(2 \kappa^{2} \tau^{2}-\kappa^{3}+\kappa \kappa_{s s}-3\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2}\right)-\kappa^{3},  \tag{3.8}\\
g_{4}=16 \lambda \kappa^{4} \\
g_{5}=-20 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{5} \\
g_{6}=8 \lambda^{3} \kappa^{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Differentiating $K, K_{\text {II }}, H$, and $H_{\text {II }}$ with respect to $s$ and $\theta$, after straightforward calculations, we get,

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{s}=-\frac{\kappa_{s} \cos \theta}{\lambda \sigma^{2}}, \quad K_{\theta}=\frac{\kappa \sin \theta}{\lambda \sigma^{2}},  \tag{3.9}\\
H_{s}=-\frac{\varepsilon \kappa_{s} \cos \theta}{2 \sigma^{2}}, \quad H_{\theta}=\frac{\varepsilon \kappa \sin \theta}{2 \sigma^{2}},  \tag{3.10}\\
\left(K_{\text {II }}\right)_{s}=\frac{\varepsilon \kappa_{s}\left(8 \lambda^{3} \kappa^{3} \cos ^{5} \theta-18 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2} \cos ^{4} \theta+12 \lambda \kappa \cos ^{3} \theta-\cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)}{4 \cos \theta \sigma^{2}},  \tag{3.11}\\
\left(K_{\text {II }}\right)_{\theta}=-\frac{\varepsilon \kappa \sin \theta\left(8 \lambda^{3} \kappa^{3} \cos ^{5} \theta-18 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2} \cos ^{4} \theta+12 \lambda \kappa \cos ^{3} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta-2 \lambda \kappa \cos \theta\right)}{4 \cos ^{2} \theta \sigma^{2}},  \tag{3.12}\\
\left(H_{\text {II }}\right)_{s}=\frac{1}{8 \varepsilon \kappa^{4} \cos ^{3} \theta \sigma^{4}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{6} f_{i} \cos ^{i} \theta\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

and where $f_{i}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{0}= & 3 \kappa^{2} \tau\left(\kappa_{s} \tau-2 \kappa \tau_{s}\right), \\
f_{1}= & 2 \kappa\left(2 \kappa_{s}\left(\kappa_{s} \tau-\kappa \tau_{s}\right)-\kappa \kappa_{s s} \tau\right) \sin \theta+\left(3 \kappa \tau_{s}-2 \kappa_{s} \tau\right) 6 \lambda \kappa^{3} \tau \\
f_{2}= & 2 \lambda \kappa^{2}\left(9 \kappa_{s}\left(\kappa \tau_{s}-\kappa_{s} \tau\right)+2 \kappa \kappa_{s s} \tau\right) \sin \theta+6 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{4} \tau\left(3 \kappa_{s} \tau-2 \kappa \tau_{s}\right)+\kappa_{s}\left(9\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2}-10 \kappa \kappa_{s S}\right) \\
& +\kappa^{2} \tau\left(2 \kappa \tau_{s}-\kappa_{s} \tau\right), \\
f_{3}= & 2 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{3}\left(\kappa_{s}\left(16 \kappa_{s} \tau-7 \kappa \tau_{s}\right)-4 \kappa \tau \kappa_{s s}\right) \sin \theta \\
& +2 \lambda \kappa\left(15 \kappa \kappa_{s} \kappa_{s s}-\left(15\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2}+\kappa^{4}\right) \kappa_{s}+\kappa^{2} \tau\left(2 \tau \kappa_{s}-5 \kappa \tau_{s}\right)\right), \\
f_{4}= & 2 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\left(5 \kappa_{s}\left(3\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2}-2 \kappa \kappa_{s S}\right)+2 \kappa^{2} \tau\left(2 \kappa \tau_{s}-3 \tau \kappa_{s}\right)+\kappa^{4} \kappa_{s}\right)-2 \kappa^{4} \kappa_{s} \\
f_{5}= & 6 \lambda \kappa^{5} \kappa_{s} \\
f_{6}= & -4 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{6} \kappa_{s} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)_{\theta}=\frac{1}{8 \varepsilon \lambda \kappa^{3} \cos ^{4} \theta \sigma^{4}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{6} h_{i} \cos ^{i} \theta\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where the coefficients $h_{i}$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{0}=-9 \lambda \kappa^{2} \tau^{2} \sin \theta, \\
h_{1}=2 \kappa^{3}\left(1+15 \lambda^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \sin \theta+4 \lambda \mathcal{\kappa}\left(\kappa \tau_{s}-\kappa_{s} \tau\right), \\
h_{2}=\lambda\left(2 \kappa \kappa_{s s}-8 \kappa^{4}+\kappa^{2} \tau^{2}\left(1-30 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\right)-3\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2}\right) \sin \theta+6 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\left(3 \kappa_{s} \tau-2 \kappa \tau_{s}\right), \\
h_{3}=4 \lambda^{2} \kappa\left(2 \kappa^{4}-\kappa^{2} \tau^{2}-2 \kappa \kappa_{s s}+3\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2}\right) \sin \theta+2 \lambda \kappa\left(\kappa_{s} \tau-\kappa \tau_{s}+4 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\left(\kappa \tau_{s}-4 \kappa_{s} \tau\right)\right), \\
h_{4}=2 \lambda \kappa^{3}\left(3 \lambda^{2}\left(2 \kappa \tau^{2}-\kappa^{3}+\kappa_{s s}\right)+\kappa\right) \sin \theta+2 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\left(4\left(\kappa \tau_{s}-\tau \kappa_{s}\right)-9 \lambda\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{3}\right), \\
h_{5}=6 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{3}\left(\lambda\left(4 \kappa_{s} \tau-\kappa \tau_{s}\right)-\kappa^{2} \sin \theta\right), \\
h_{6}=4 \lambda^{3} \kappa^{6} \sin \theta . \tag{3.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, we consider a tubular surface $M$ in $E^{3}$ satisfying the Jacobi equation $\Phi\left(K, H_{\text {II }}\right)=$ 0 . By using (3.9), (3.13), and (3.15), we obtain $\Phi\left(K, H_{\text {II }}\right)$ in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{s}\left(H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)_{\theta}-K_{\theta}\left(H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)_{s}=\frac{-\varepsilon}{4 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{3} \sigma^{5} \cos ^{3} \theta} \sum_{i=0}^{4} u_{i} \cos ^{i} \theta \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to the Gaussian curvature $K$ and the second mean curvature $H_{\text {III }}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{0}= & -3 \lambda \tau \kappa^{2}\left(\kappa_{s} \tau+\kappa \tau_{s}\right) \sin \theta, \\
u_{1}= & \kappa^{3}\left(\left(6 \lambda^{2} \tau^{2}+1\right) \kappa_{s}+6 \lambda^{2} \kappa \tau \tau_{s}\right) \sin \theta-\lambda \kappa^{2} \kappa_{s s} \tau+\lambda \kappa^{3} \tau_{s S} \\
u_{2}= & \lambda\left(\kappa^{2} \kappa_{s S s}-4 \kappa \kappa_{s} \kappa_{s S}-3 \kappa^{4} \kappa_{s}+3\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{3}+\kappa^{3} \tau \tau_{s}\right) \sin \theta+\lambda^{2} \kappa^{3}\left(3 \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}+4 \kappa_{s S} \tau-\kappa \tau_{s S}\right), \\
u_{3}= & \lambda \kappa\left\{\left(7 \lambda \kappa \kappa_{s} \kappa_{s s}-\lambda \kappa^{2} \kappa_{s s s}-6 \lambda\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{3}+2 \lambda \kappa^{4} \kappa_{s}-4 \lambda \kappa^{3} \tau \tau_{s}\right) \sin \theta\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\kappa \kappa_{s S} \tau+\kappa \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}-\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2} \tau-\kappa^{2} \tau_{s S}\right)\right\}, \\
u_{4}= & -\lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\left\{4 \kappa \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}-4 \tau\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2}-\kappa^{2} \tau_{s s}+\kappa \kappa_{s s} \tau\right\} . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by $\Phi\left(K, H_{\text {II }}\right)=0$, (3.17) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{4} u_{i} \cos ^{i} \theta=0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.2. Let $M$ be a tubular surface defined by (3.1) with nondegenerate second fundamental form. $M$ is a $\left(K, H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)$-Weingarten surface if and only if $M$ is a tubular surface around a circle or a helix.

Proof. Let us assume that $M$ is a $\left(K, H_{\text {II }}\right)$-Weingarten surface, then the Jacobi equation (3.19) is satisfied. Since polynomial in (3.19) is equal to zero for every $\theta$, all its coefficients must be zero. Therefore, the solutions of $u_{0}=u_{1}=u_{2}=u_{3}=u_{4}=0$ are $\kappa_{s}=0, \tau=0$ and $\kappa_{s}=0, \tau_{s}=0$ that is, $M$ is a tubular surface around a circle or a helix, respectively.

Conversely, suppose that $M$ is a tubular surface around a circle or a helix, then it is easily to see that $\Phi\left(K, H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)=0$ is satisfied for the cases both $\mathcal{K}_{s}=0, \tau=0$ and $\mathcal{K}_{s}=0, \tau_{s}=0$. Thus M is a $\left(K, H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)$-Weingarten surface.

We suppose that a tubular surface $M$ with nondegenerate second fundamental form in $\mathrm{E}^{3}$ is $\left(H, H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)$-Weingarten surface. From (3.10), (3.13), and (3.15), $\Phi\left(H, H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{s}\left(H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)_{\theta}-H_{\theta}\left(H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)_{s}=\frac{1}{8 \lambda \kappa^{3} \sigma^{5} \cos ^{3} \theta} \sum_{i=0}^{4} v_{i} \cos ^{i} \theta, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to the variable $\cos \theta$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{0}=3 \lambda \tau \kappa^{2}\left(\kappa \tau_{s}+\kappa_{s} \tau\right) \sin \theta \\
& v_{1}=-\kappa^{3}\left(\kappa_{s}+6 \lambda^{2} \tau\left(\kappa_{s} \tau+\kappa \tau_{s}\right)\right) \sin \theta+\lambda \kappa^{2}\left(\kappa_{s s} \tau-\kappa \tau_{s s}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{2}= & \lambda\left(3 \kappa^{4} \kappa_{s}-3\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{3}+4 \kappa \kappa_{s} \kappa_{s S}-\kappa^{3} \tau \tau_{s}-\kappa^{2} \kappa_{s s s}\right) \sin \theta \\
& +\lambda^{2} \kappa^{3}\left(\kappa \tau_{s s}-3 \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}-4 \kappa_{s s} \tau\right), \\
v_{3}= & \lambda^{2} \kappa\left(6\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{3}+\kappa^{2} \kappa_{s s s}-7 \kappa \kappa_{s} \kappa_{s s}-2 \kappa^{4} \kappa_{s}+4 \kappa^{3} \tau \tau_{s}\right) \sin \theta \\
& +\lambda \kappa\left(\kappa^{2} \tau_{s s}+\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2} \tau-\kappa \kappa_{s s} \tau-\kappa \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}\right) \\
v_{4}= & -\lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\left(\kappa^{2} \tau_{s s}-4 \kappa \kappa_{s s} \tau-4 \kappa \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}+4\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2} \tau\right) . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by $\Phi\left(H, H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)=0$, (3.22) becomes in following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{4} v_{i} \cos ^{i} \theta=0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we state the following theorem.
Theorem 3.3. Let $M$ be a tubular surface defined by (3.1) with nondegenerate second fundamental form. $M$ is a $\left(H, H_{\text {II }}\right)$-Weingarten surface if and only if $M$ is a tubular surface around a circle or a helix.

Proof. Considering $\Phi\left(H, H_{\text {II }}\right)=0$ and by using (3.13), one can obtaine the solutions $\mathcal{\kappa}_{s}=0$, $\tau=0$, and $\kappa_{s}=0, \tau_{s}=0$ of the equations $v_{0}=v_{1}=v_{2}=v_{3}=v_{4}=0$ for all $\theta$. Thus, it is easly proved that $M$ is a $\left(H, H_{\text {II }}\right)$-Weingarten surface if and only if $M$ is a tubular surface around a circle or a helix.

We consider a tubular surface $M$ is $\left(K_{\text {II }}, H_{\text {II }}\right)$-Weingarten surface with nondegenerate second fundamental form in $\mathrm{E}^{3}$. By using (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), and (3.15), $\Phi\left(K_{\mathrm{II}}, H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K_{\text {II }}\right)_{s}\left(H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)_{\theta}-\left(K_{\mathrm{II}}\right)_{\theta}\left(H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)_{s}=\frac{-1}{16 \lambda \mathcal{K}^{3} \sigma^{5} \cos ^{5} \theta} \sum_{i=0}^{9} w_{i} \cos ^{i} \theta, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{0}=3 \lambda \tau \kappa^{2}\left(\kappa \tau_{s}-2 \kappa_{s} \tau\right) \sin \theta, \\
& w_{1}= \\
& \kappa^{3}\left(\kappa_{s}+18 \lambda^{2} \tau\left(\kappa_{s} \tau-2 \kappa \tau_{s}\right)\right) \sin \theta+\lambda \kappa\left(4 \kappa_{s}\left(\kappa \tau_{s}-\kappa_{s} \tau\right)+\kappa \kappa_{s s} \tau-\kappa^{2} \tau_{s s}\right), \\
& w_{2}= \\
& \quad\left\{\left(6 \kappa \kappa_{s s}-18 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{4} \tau^{2}-3 \kappa^{4}-6\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2}-2 \kappa^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \lambda \kappa_{s}+4\left(3 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}-1\right) \lambda \kappa^{3} \tau \tau_{s}-\lambda \kappa^{2} \kappa_{s s s}\right\} \sin \theta \\
& \\
& \quad+3 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\left(\kappa_{s}\left(6 \kappa_{s} \tau-5 \kappa \tau_{s}\right)-2 \kappa \kappa_{s s} \tau+\kappa^{2} \tau_{s s}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{3}=\left\{\left(\kappa^{2}+38 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2} \tau^{2}+4 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{4}-23 \lambda^{2} \kappa \kappa_{s S}+24 \lambda^{2}\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2}\right) \kappa \kappa_{s}+48 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{4} \tau \tau_{s}+3 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{3} \kappa_{S S S}\right\} \sin \theta \\
& -\lambda \kappa\left\{2\left(\lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}-1\right) \kappa^{2} \tau_{S S}+\left(32 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}-3\right)\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2} \tau_{S}-\left(14 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}-3\right) \kappa \kappa_{s} \tau_{S}\right. \\
& \left.+2\left(1-4 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\right) \kappa \kappa_{s s} \tau\right\}, \\
& w_{4}=-\lambda\left\{\left(2 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}-1\right) \kappa^{2} \kappa_{s s}+4\left(1-5 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\right) \kappa \kappa_{s} \kappa_{s S}+\left(134 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}-1\right) \kappa^{3} \tau \tau_{s}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(3\left(10 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}-1\right)\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2}+\left(2\left(57 \tau^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right) \lambda^{2}+13\right) \kappa^{4}\right) \kappa_{s}\right\} \sin \theta \\
& +\lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\left(22 \kappa \kappa_{s S} \tau+17 \kappa \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}-14\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2} \tau-16 \kappa^{2} \tau_{S S}\right), \\
& w_{5}=\lambda^{2} \kappa\left\{55 \kappa \kappa_{s} \kappa_{s S}+4\left(33 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}-4\right) \mathcal{\kappa}^{3} \tau \tau_{s}+2\left(66 \lambda^{2} \tau^{2}+25\right) \mathcal{\kappa}^{4} \mathcal{\kappa}_{s}-\left(13 \kappa^{2}+42\right) \mathcal{\kappa}_{s S S}\right\} \sin \theta \\
& -\lambda \kappa\left\{\left(50 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}-1\right) \kappa \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}+\left(1-32 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\right)\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2} \tau+\left(1-32 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\right) \kappa^{2} \tau_{S S}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(74 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}-1\right) \kappa \kappa_{s S} \tau\right\}, \\
& w_{6}=2 \lambda^{3} \kappa^{2}\left(63\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{3}-24 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{4} \kappa_{s} \tau^{2}-41 \kappa^{4} \kappa_{s}+33 \kappa^{3} \tau \tau_{s}-78 \kappa \kappa_{s} \kappa_{s S}-24 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{5} \tau \tau_{s}+15 \kappa^{2} \kappa_{s S S}\right) \sin \theta \\
& +\lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\left(16\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2} \tau-26 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{4} \tau_{s S}-16 \kappa \kappa_{s s} \tau+13 \kappa^{2} \tau_{s S}-16 \kappa \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}+54 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{3} \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}+80 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{3} \kappa_{s s} \tau\right), \\
& w_{7}=2 \lambda^{4} \kappa^{3}\left(30 \kappa^{4} \kappa_{s}-13 \kappa^{2} \kappa_{S S S}-40 \kappa^{3} \tau \tau_{S}+79 \kappa \kappa_{s} \kappa_{S S}-60\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{3}\right) \sin \theta+ \\
& -2 \lambda^{3} \kappa^{3}\left(33\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2} \tau_{s}-33 \kappa \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}-4 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{4} \tau_{s s}+12 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{3} \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}+15 \kappa^{2} \tau_{s s}+16 \lambda^{2} \kappa^{3} \kappa_{s s} \tau-33 \kappa \kappa_{s s} \tau\right), \\
& w_{8}=-8 \lambda^{5} \kappa^{4}\left(-6\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{3}+2 \kappa^{4} \kappa_{s}-4 \kappa^{3} \tau \tau_{s}+7 \kappa \kappa_{s} \kappa_{s s}-\kappa^{2} \kappa_{s S s}\right) \sin \theta \\
& +2 \lambda^{4} \kappa^{4}\left(13 \kappa^{2} \tau_{s s}+4\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2} \tau-40 \kappa\left(\kappa_{s s} \tau+\kappa_{s} \tau_{s}\right)\right), \\
& w_{9}=8 \lambda^{5} \kappa^{5}\left\{4 \kappa \kappa_{s} \tau_{s}-4\left(\kappa_{s}\right)^{2} \tau-\kappa^{2} \tau_{s S}+4 \kappa \kappa_{s S} \tau\right\} . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\Phi\left(K_{\text {II }}, H_{\text {II }}\right)=0$, then (3.23) becomes in following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{9} w_{i} \cos ^{i} \theta=0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.4. Let $M$ be a tubular surface defined by (3.1) with nondegenerate second fundamental form. $M$ is a $\left(K_{\mathrm{II}}, H_{\mathrm{II}}\right)$-Weingarten surface if and only if $M$ is a tubular surface around a circle or a helix.

Proof. It can be easly proved similar to Theorems 3.2 and 3.3.
Consequently, we can give the following main theorem for the end of this part.

Theorem 3.5. Let $(X, Y) \in\left\{\left(K, H_{\mathrm{II}}\right),\left(H, K_{\mathrm{II}}\right),\left(H_{\mathrm{II}}, K_{\mathrm{II}}\right)\right\}$, and let $M$ be a tubular surface defined by (3.1) with nondegenerate second fundamental form. $M$ is a $(X, Y)$-Weingarten surface if and only if $M$ is a tubular surface around a circle or a helix.

Thus, the study of Weingarten tubular surfaces in 3-dimensional Euclidean space is completed with [1].

## 4. Linear Weingarten Tubular Surfaces

In last part of this paper, we study on $\left(K, H_{\text {II }}\right),\left(H, H_{\text {II }}\right),\left(H_{\text {II }}, K_{\text {II }}\right),\left(K, H, H_{\text {II }}\right),\left(K, H, K_{\text {II }}\right)$, $\left(H, K_{\text {II }}, H_{\text {II }}\right),\left(K, K_{\text {II }}, H_{\text {II }}\right)$, and $\left(K, H, K_{\text {II }}, H_{\text {II }}\right)$ linear Weingarten tubular surfaces in $\mathrm{E}^{3} .(K, H),\left(K, K_{\text {II }}\right)$, and $\left(H, K_{\text {II }}\right)$ linear Weingarten tubes are studied in [1].

Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$, and $b$ be constants. In general, a linear combination of $K, H, K_{\text {II }}$ and $H_{\text {II }}$ can be constructed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} K+a_{2} H+a_{3} K_{\mathrm{II}}+a_{4} H_{\mathrm{II}}=b \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the straightforward calculations, we obtained the reduced form of (4.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 b \kappa^{3} \varepsilon \sigma^{3} \cos ^{3} \theta+\sum_{i=0}^{8} p_{i} \cos ^{i} \theta=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients are

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{0}=3 a_{4} \lambda \kappa^{2} \tau^{2}, \\
p_{1}=a_{4} \kappa\left(2 \lambda\left(\kappa_{s} \tau-\kappa \tau_{s}\right) \sin \theta-\kappa^{2}\left(6 \lambda^{2} \tau^{2}+1\right)\right), \\
p_{2}=a_{4} \lambda\left(2 \lambda \kappa^{2}\left(\kappa \tau_{s}-4 \kappa_{s} \tau\right) \sin \theta+\kappa^{2}\left(3 \kappa^{2}-\tau^{2}\right)-2 \kappa \kappa_{s s}+3 \kappa_{s}^{2}\right)+2 a_{3} \lambda \kappa^{4}, \\
p_{3}=a_{4} \kappa\left(2 \lambda^{2}\left(\kappa \kappa_{s S}-\kappa^{4}+2 \kappa^{2} \tau^{2}-3 \kappa_{s}^{2}\right)-5 \kappa^{2}\right)-4 a_{2} \kappa^{3}-4 a_{3} \lambda^{2} \kappa^{5}, \\
p_{4}=8 a_{1} \varepsilon \kappa^{4}+16 a_{2} \lambda \kappa^{4}+2 a_{3} \lambda \kappa^{4}\left(1+\lambda^{2} \kappa^{2}\right)+17 a_{4} \lambda \kappa^{4},  \tag{4.3}\\
p_{5}=-16 a_{1} \varepsilon \lambda \kappa^{5}-20 a_{2} \lambda^{2} \kappa^{5}-16 a_{3} \lambda^{2} \kappa^{5}-20 a_{4} \lambda^{2} \kappa^{5}, \\
p_{6}=8 a_{1} \varepsilon \lambda^{2} \kappa^{6}+8 a_{2} \lambda^{3} \kappa^{6}+34 a_{3} \lambda^{3} \kappa^{6}, \\
p_{7}=-28 a_{3} \lambda^{4} \kappa^{7}, \\
p_{8}=8 a_{3} \lambda^{5} \kappa^{8} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, $p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{7}$, and $p_{8}$ are zero for any $b \in I R$. If $a_{4} \neq 0$ or $a_{3} \neq 0$, from $p_{0}=p_{1}=$ $p_{7}=p_{8}=0$, one has $\kappa=0$. Hence, we can give the following theorems.

Theorem 4.1. Let $(X, Y) \in\left\{\left(K, H_{\text {III }}\right),\left(H, H_{\text {II }}\right),\left(K_{\text {II }}, H_{\text {II }}\right)\right\}$. Then, there are no $(X, Y)$-linear Weingarten tubular surfaces $M$ in Euclidean 3-space defined by (3.1) with nondegenerate second fundamental form.

Theorem 4.2. Let $(X, Y, Z) \in\left\{\left(H, K_{\text {II }}, H_{\text {II }}\right),\left(K, K_{\text {II }}, H_{\text {II }}\right),\left(K, H, H_{\text {II }}\right),\left(K, H, K_{\text {II }}\right)\right\}$. Then, there are no $(X, Y, Z)$-linear Weingarten tubular surfaces $M$ in Euclidean 3-space defined by (3.1) with nondegenerate second fundamental form.

Theorem 4.3. Let $M$ be a tubular surface defined by (3.1) with nondegenerate second fundamental form. Then, there are no $\left(K, H, K_{\text {II }}, H_{I I}\right)$-linear Weingarten surface in Euclidean 3-space.

Consequently, the study of linear Weingarten tubular surfaces in 3-dimensional Euclidean space is completed with [1].
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