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#### Abstract

We consider the stability and stabilization of impulsive stochastic delay differential equations (ISDDEs). Using the Lyapunov-Razumikhin method, we obtain the sufficient conditions to guarantee the $p$ th moment exponential stability of ISDDEs. Then the almost sure exponential stability is considered and the sufficient conditions of the almost sure exponential stability are obtained. Moreover, the stabilization problem of ISDDEs is studied and the criterion on impulsive stabilization of ISDDEs is established. At last, examples are presented to illustrate the correctness of our results.


## 1. Introduction

In recent years, the systems with stochastic or impulsive effects were studied by many authors due to their importance in many branches of science and industry, see [1-10] and references therein. In practice, a given system may be with stochastic, delay and impulsive effects simultaneously, so it is necessary to investigate the properties of impulsive stochastic delay differential equations.

There are a lot of papers discussing ISDDEs, for example, see [11-17] and the references therein. In [11, 13], the authors studied the stability of a class of impulsive delay differential equations where the impulsive effects are nonlinear. In [12], the stability of a nonlinear ISDDE was studied and the equivalent relation between the stability of the nonlinear stochastic differential delay system under impulsive control and that of a corresponding nonlinear stochastic differential delay system without impulses was established. In [14], the authors studied the stability of nonlinear impulsive stochastic differential equations in terms of two measures and the concept of perturbing Lyapunov functions is introduced to discuss stability properties. In [15], the $p$ th exponential stability and almost sure exponential stability were studied by the Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional method. In [16], the authors considered
the $p$ th moment exponential stability by using an inequality and the propertied of M-cone. In [17], the authors studied the mean square exponential stability of ISDDEs by the formula for the variation of parameters and Cauchy matrix.

From the existing lectures, we can see the stability of ISDDEs is a main research direction. For ISDDEs, there are at least two questions on stability that need be answered, one is that if the stochastic delay differential equation (SDDE) without impulse is stable, what kinds of the impulses can the system tolerate so that it remains stable? The other is if a SDDE without impulse is unstable, what kind of impulsive effects should we take to make the ISDDEs be stable? The first one we call the question of stability, the second one we call the question of stabilization.

As we all know, the Lyapunov-Razumikhin method is a powerful tool to investigate the stability; however, to our best knowledge, there is few work on ISDDEs by using Lya-punov-Razumikhin method.

In this paper, we use the Lyapunov-Razumikhin method to answer the questions of stability and stabilization of ISDDEs, give the sufficient conditions ensuring the $p$ th moment exponential stability of ISDDEs, and present the criteria of almost sure exponential stability of ISDDEs. The Lyapunov-Razumikhin method does not require that the formal derivative of the Lyapunov function falls into a restriction in all time; it just need to satisfy the restriction under some situation; therefore, our results relax the restrictions in some existing lectures. At last, examples are given to illustrate the efficiency of our results.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a complete probability space with a natural filtration $\left\{\mathscr{F}_{t}\right\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions (i.e., it is right continuous and $\mathscr{F}_{0}$ contains all $P$-null sets). $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ denotes the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space with the Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$. Let $P C\left([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to denote the set of piecewise right continuous functions $\psi:[-\tau, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the sup-norm $\|\psi\|=$ $\sup _{-\tau \leqslant \theta \leqslant 0}|\psi(\theta)|$. Take $P C_{\mathcal{F}_{0}}^{b}\left([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to denote the family of all bounded $\mathcal{F}_{0}$-measurable $P C\left([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$-valued random variables $\psi$ satisfying $\|\psi\|=\sup _{-\tau \leqslant \theta \leqslant 0} \mathbb{E}|\psi(\theta)|<\infty$, and $P C_{\mathscr{F}_{t}}^{p}\left([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denote the family of all $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-measurable $P C\left([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$-valued random variables $\psi$ satisfying $\int_{-\tau}^{0} \mathbb{E}|\psi(\theta)|^{p} d \theta<\infty$, where $\mathbb{E}$ denotes the expectation with respect to the given probability measure $P$.

In this paper, we consider the following impulsive stochastic delay differential system:

$$
\begin{gather*}
d x(t)=f(x(t), x(t-\tau), t) d t+g(x(t), x(t-\tau), t) d B(t), \quad t \neq t_{k} \\
x\left(t_{k}\right)=H_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0^{+}} x\left(t_{k}-h\right), H_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right)=\left(H_{1 k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \ldots, H_{n k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right)\right)^{T}$ represents the impulsive perturbation of $x$ at time $t_{k}$ and satisfies $\left|H_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right)\right| \leqslant \Gamma\left|x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right|, \Gamma \geqslant 0, k=1,2, \ldots$.. The impulsive moments $t_{k}$ satisfy $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}<\cdots$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=\infty$. The functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ are continuous functions; $B(t)=\left(B_{1}(t), B_{2}(t), \ldots, B_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ is an $m$-dimensional standard Brownian motion defined on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$.

The following initial value is imposed on system (2.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(s)=\xi(s), \quad s \in[-\tau, 0] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi(t) \in P C_{\mathcal{F}_{0}}^{b}\left([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

As a standing hypothesis, we suppose that system (2.1) has a unique solution $x(t, \xi)$ for any given initial value $\xi(t) \in P C_{\mathscr{q}_{0}}^{b}\left([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and there exists an $M(p)$ for any $p>0$ such that $\mathbb{E}|x(t, \xi)|^{p}<M(p)$. Suppose $x(t, \xi)$ is left continuous and right limitable. Moreover, we assume that $f(0,0, t) \equiv 0, g(0,0, t) \equiv 0$ and $H_{k}(0)=0$ for any positive integer $k$, then system (2.1) admits a trivial solution.

Let $v_{0}$ denote the set of nonnegative functions $V(x, t)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left([-\tau, 0] \cup \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, which are twice continuously differential in $x$ and once in $t$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$.

If $V(x, t) \in v_{0}$, define an operator $L V: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with system (2.1) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L V(x, y, t)=V_{t}(x, t)+V_{x}(x, t) f(x, y, t)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}\left[g^{T}(x, y, t) V_{x x}(x, t) g(x, y, t)\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{t}(x, t)=\frac{\partial V(x, t)}{\partial t}, \quad V_{x}(x, t)=\left(\frac{\partial V(x, t)}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial V(x, t)}{\partial x_{n}}\right), \quad V_{x x}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V(x, t)}{\partial x_{i} x_{j}}\right)_{n \times n} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.1 (see $[15,16])$. The trivial solution of system $(2.1)$ is said to be $p$ th moment exponentially stable $(p>0)$ if there exist positive constants $\mu$ and $M$ such that for any initial value $\xi(t) \in P C_{q_{0}}^{b}\left([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}|x(t, \xi)|^{p} \leqslant M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\mu t}, \quad t \geqslant 0 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.2 (see [15]). The trivial solution of system (2.1) is said to be almost surely exponentially stable if there exists a positive constant $\gamma$ such that for any initial value $\xi(t) \in P C_{\Psi_{0}}^{b}\left([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $t \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln |x(t, \xi)| \leqslant-\gamma \text { a.s. } \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the trivial solution of system (2.1) is $p$ th moment exponentially stable or almost surely exponentially stable, we also say the system (2.1) is $p$ th moment exponentially stable or almost surely exponentially stable.

## 3. Stability and Stabilization of ISDDEs

In this section, we establish the criteria of $p$ th moment exponential stability for system (2.1) by using the Lyapunov-Razumikhin technique, and the almost sure exponential stability is also considered. Moreover, the stabilization theorem is presented for system (2.1). The results show that impulses may change the stability of a given system. Some techniques used in the proof are motivated by the paper [5].

Theorem 3.1. Assume that there exist a function $V(x, t) \in v_{0}$ and positive constants $p, c_{1}, c_{2}, \lambda, \mu, \gamma$, $q>1, d_{k} \geqslant 0$, and $k$ is any positive integer, such that the following conditions hold:
(1) $c_{1}|x|^{p} \leqslant V(x, t) \leqslant c_{2}|x|^{p}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $t \in[-\tau, 0] \cup \mathbb{R}_{+}$;
(2) $L V(\varphi(t), \varphi(t-\tau), t) \leqslant-\lambda V(\varphi(t), t)$ for all $t \neq t_{k}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$whenever $V(\varphi(t+s), t+s) \leqslant$ $q V(\varphi(t), t)$ for any $s \in[-\tau, 0], q \geqslant e^{\lambda \tau}$;
(3) $V\left(H_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), t_{k}\right) \leqslant\left(1+d_{k}\right) V\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), t_{k}^{-}\right)$;
(4) $\mu \leqslant t_{k}-t_{k-1}, d_{k} \leqslant \Gamma$, and $\ln (1+\Gamma) / \mu<\lambda$.

Then, for any $\xi(t) \in P C_{\mathcal{F}_{0}}^{b}\left([-\tau, 0] ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $t \geqslant 0$, the solution $x(t, \xi)$ of system (2.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}|x(t, \xi)|^{p} \leqslant \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\gamma t} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=\min \{\lambda-\ln (1+\Gamma) / \mu, \ln q / \tau\}$, that is, system (2.1) is pth moment exponentially stable.
Proof. For a given $\xi$, let $x(t)=x(t, \xi)$ and write $V(x(t), t)=V(t)$ for the simplicity.
We claim

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V(t) \leqslant c_{2} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+d_{i}\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right)$, where $d_{0}=0$.
Let

$$
Q(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbb{E} V(t)-c_{2}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+d_{i}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t}, & t \in\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
\mathbb{E} V(t)-c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t}, & t \in[-\tau, 0]
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to check $Q(t)$ is continuous and differentiable in $\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}(t)=\mathbb{E} L V(t)+\lambda c_{2}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+d_{i}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right)$.
To verify (3.2), we just need to show that $Q(t) \leqslant 0$ for all $t \geqslant 0$.
We first show that $Q(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \in\left(0, t_{1}\right)$.
For $t \in[-\tau, 0]$, we have $e^{-\lambda t} \geqslant 1$; using condition 1 , we can get $Q(t) \leqslant 0$. Let $\alpha$ be an arbitrary positive constant; we claim

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(t) \leqslant \alpha, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left(0, t_{1}\right)$.

If (3.5) is not true, then there must exist a $\bar{t} \in\left(0, t_{1}\right)$, such that $Q(t)>\alpha$, which implies that there exists a $t^{*} \in(0, \bar{t})$ such that $Q\left(t^{*}\right)=\alpha$ and $Q(t) \leqslant \alpha$ for $t \in\left[-\tau, t^{*}\right]$ being the continuity of $Q(t)$ in $\left[-\tau, t^{*}\right]$. Noting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right)=Q\left(t^{*}\right)+c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t^{*}}=\alpha+c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t^{*}}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the fact $q \geqslant e^{\lambda \tau}, Q\left(t^{*}+s\right)=\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}+s\right)-c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda\left(t^{*}+s\right)}, Q\left(t^{*}+s\right) \leqslant \alpha$ for $s \in[-\tau, 0]$, we have, for any $s \in[-\tau, 0]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}+s\right) & =Q\left(t^{*}+s\right)+c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda\left(t^{*}+s\right)} \\
& \leqslant \alpha+c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda\left(t^{*}-\tau\right)} \\
& \leqslant\left(\alpha+c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t^{*}}\right) e^{\lambda \tau}  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right) e^{\lambda \tau} \leqslant q \mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By virtue of condition 2 , we can obtain $\mathbb{E} L V\left(t^{*}\right) \leqslant-\lambda \mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right)$; then

$$
\begin{align*}
Q^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right) & =\mathbb{E} L V\left(t^{*}\right)+\lambda c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t^{*}} \\
& \leqslant-\lambda\left(\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right)-c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t^{*}}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& =-\lambda Q\left(t^{*}\right)=-\lambda \alpha<0,
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts the definition of $t^{*}$. So we get $Q(t) \leqslant \alpha$ for all $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right)$. Let $\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}$; we obtain $Q(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right)$.

Now, assume $Q(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{m}\right)$. In view of condition 3 , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
Q\left(t_{m}\right) & =\mathbb{E} V\left(t_{m}\right)+c_{2}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m}\left(1+d_{i}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m}} \\
& \leqslant\left(1+d_{m}\right)\left(\mathbb{E} V\left(t_{m}^{-}\right)+c_{2}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(1+d_{i}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m}}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\left(1+d_{m}\right) Q\left(t_{m}^{-}\right) \leqslant 0
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we will show, for arbitrary $\alpha>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(t) \leqslant \alpha \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of contradiction, suppose (3.10) is not true. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{t}=\inf \left\{t \in\left[t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right) \mid Q(t)>\alpha\right\} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.9), we have $\tilde{t} \neq t_{m}$. The continuity of $Q(t)$ in $\left[t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right)$ yields $Q(\tilde{t})=\alpha$ and $Q(t) \leqslant \alpha$ for $t \in\left[t_{m}, \tilde{t}\right]$.

Since $\mathbb{E} V(\tilde{t})=Q(\tilde{t})+c_{2} \prod_{i=0}^{m}\left(1+d_{i}\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda \tilde{t}}$, then, for $s \in[-\tau, 0]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} V(\tilde{t}+s) & =Q(\tilde{t}+s)+c_{2}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m}\left(1+d_{i}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda(\tilde{t}+s)} \\
& \leqslant \alpha+c_{2}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m}\left(1+d_{i}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda(\tilde{t}-\tau)}  \tag{3.12}\\
& \leqslant\left[\alpha+c_{2}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m}\left(1+d_{i}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda \tilde{t}}\right] e^{\lambda \tau} \\
& =\mathbb{E} V(\tilde{t}) e^{\lambda \tau} \leqslant q \mathbb{E} V(\tilde{t}) .
\end{align*}
$$

In view of condition 2 , we obtain $\mathbb{E} L V(\tilde{t}) \leqslant-\lambda \mathbb{E} V(\tilde{t})$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
Q^{\prime}(\tilde{t}) & =\mathbb{E} L V(\tilde{t})+\lambda c_{2}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m}\left(1+d_{i}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda \tilde{t}} \\
& \leqslant-\lambda\left[\mathbb{E} V(\tilde{t})-c_{2}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m}\left(1+d_{i}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda \tilde{t}}\right]  \tag{3.13}\\
& =-\lambda Q(\tilde{t})=-\lambda \alpha<0,
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts the definition of $\tilde{t}$. Therefore, $Q(t) \leqslant \alpha$ for all $t \in\left[t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right)$. Let $\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}$; we have $Q(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \in\left[t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right)$. Thus, by induction, we obtain $Q(t) \leqslant 0$ holds for $t \geqslant 0$; hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V(t) \leqslant c_{2}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+d_{i}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t} \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by condition 1 , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}|x|^{p} & \leqslant \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+d_{i}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} \exp \{n \ln (1+\Gamma)-\lambda t\}  \tag{3.15}\\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} \exp \left\{\frac{\ln (1+\Gamma)}{\mu} t-\lambda t\right\} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\gamma t} .
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof.
The following theorem states the almost sure exponential stability of system (2.1). In the proof, the classical method used in [4] is borrowed and this method was also adopted in paper [15].

Theorem 3.2. Suppose all of the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and in addition $p \geqslant 2$. If there exist positive constants $T$ and $K$ such that $0<t_{k}-t_{k-1} \leqslant T$ and for all $t \geqslant 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}|f(\phi(t), \phi(t-\tau), t)|^{p}+\mathbb{E}\left(\operatorname{trace}\left[g^{T}(\phi(t), \phi(t-\tau), t) g(\phi(t), \phi(t-\tau), t)\right]\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \quad \leqslant K \sup _{-\tau \leqslant \theta \leqslant 0} \mathbb{E}|\phi(t+\theta)|^{p} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

then for all $\xi \in P C_{q_{0}}^{b}\left([-\tau, 0] ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln |x(t, \xi)| \leqslant-\frac{\gamma}{p} \text { a.s. } \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From system (2.1), we can get, for $t \in\left(t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t_{n-1}<t<t_{n}}|x(t)|^{p}\right) \leqslant 3^{p-1}( & \mathbb{E}\left|x\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right|^{p}+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}}|f(x(s), x(s-\tau), s)| d s\right]^{p} \\
& \left.+\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t_{n-1}<t<t_{n}}\left|\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t} g(x(s), x(s-\tau), s) d B(s)\right|^{p}\right]\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Hölder's inequality, condition (3.16), and Theorem 3.1, we derive that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}}|f(x(s), x(s-\tau), s)| d s\right]^{p} & \leqslant\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)^{p-1} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \mathbb{E}|f(x(s), x(s-\tau), s)|^{p} d s \\
& \leqslant T^{p-1} K \int_{t_{n-1}-\tau \leqslant \theta \leqslant 0}^{t_{n}} \sup \mathbb{E}|x(t+\theta)|^{p} d s \\
& \leqslant T^{p-1} K \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\gamma s} d s  \tag{3.19}\\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} T^{p} K \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\gamma t_{n-1}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} T^{p} e^{T} K \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\gamma t_{n}} .
\end{align*}
$$

In virtue of Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t_{n-1}<t<t_{n}} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t}|g(x(s), x(s-\tau), s) d B(s)|^{p}\right] \leqslant C_{p} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \operatorname{trace}\left[g^{T} g\right] d s\right)^{p / 2} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p}$ is a positive constant dependent on $p$ only. One can then show in the same way as in (3.19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t_{n-1}<t<t_{n}} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t}|g(x(s), x(s-\tau), s) d B(s)|^{p}\right] \leqslant \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} C_{p} T^{p / 2} e^{T} K \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\gamma t_{n}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.15), (3.19), and (3.21) into (3.18) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t_{n-1}<t<t_{n}}|x(t)|^{p}\right) \leqslant K_{1} e^{-\gamma t_{n}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}=\frac{3^{p-1} c_{2}}{c_{1}} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p}\left[1+K e^{T}\left(T^{p}+C_{p} T^{p / 2}\right)\right] \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $t=t_{n-1}$, keeping $\left|H_{n-1}\left(x\left(t_{n-1}^{-}\right)\right)\right| \leqslant \Gamma\left|x\left(t_{n-1}^{-}\right)\right|$and (3.15) in mind, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|x\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right|=\mathbb{E}\left|H_{n-1}\left(x\left(t_{n-1}^{-}\right)\right)\right| \leqslant \Gamma \mathbb{E}\left|x\left(t_{n-1}^{-}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \Gamma e^{T} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\gamma t_{n}} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $K_{2}=\max \left\{K_{1}, c_{2} / c_{1} \Gamma e^{T+t_{0}} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t_{n-1} \leqslant t<t_{n}}|x(t)|^{p}\right) \leqslant K_{2} e^{-\gamma t_{n}} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that (3.25) implies the required (3.17).
Let $\epsilon$ be an arbitrary constant satisfying $0<\epsilon<\gamma$. By virtue of (3.25) and Markovian inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\omega: \sup _{t_{n-1} \leqslant t<t_{n}}|x(t)|^{p}>e^{-(\gamma-\epsilon) t_{n}}\right) \leqslant e^{(\gamma-\epsilon) t_{n}} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t_{n-1} \leqslant t<t_{n}}|x(t)|^{p}\right) \leqslant K_{2} e^{-\varepsilon t_{n}} \leqslant K_{2} e^{t_{0}} e^{-n \mu \epsilon} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Borel-Cantelli lemma, we can obtain that for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t_{n-1} \leqslant t<t_{n}}|x(t)|^{p} \leqslant e^{-(\gamma-\epsilon) t_{n}} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all but finitely many $n$. Hence there exists an $N(\omega)$, for all $\omega \in \Omega$ but a $P$-null set, such that (3.27) holds when $n>N(\omega)$. Then we have, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, if $t_{n-1} \leqslant t<t_{n}$, $n>N(\omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(t)|^{p} \leqslant e^{-(\gamma-\epsilon) t_{n}} \leqslant e^{-(\gamma-\epsilon) t} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t} \ln |x(t)| \leqslant-\frac{\gamma-\epsilon}{p} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (3.17) follows by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

In the following, we give two corollaries.
Corollary 3.3. Assume there exist positive constants $\lambda, \alpha, \mu, p, c_{1}, c_{2}$ and a function $V(x, t) \in \mathcal{v}_{0}$ such that
(1) $c_{1}|x|^{p} \leqslant V(x, t) \leqslant c_{2}|x|^{p}$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times[-\tau, \infty)$;
(2) $V_{t}(x, t)+V_{x}(x, t) f(x, x(t-\tau), t)+(1 / 2) \operatorname{trace}\left[g^{T}(x, x(t-\tau), t) V_{x x}(x(t), t) g(x, x(t-\right.$ $\tau), t)] \leqslant-\lambda V(x, t)+\alpha V(x(t-\tau), t-\tau)$ for all $t \in\left(t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right)$, where $\lambda>e^{\lambda \tau} \alpha$;
(3) $V\left(H_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), t_{k}\right) \leqslant\left(1+d_{k}\right) V\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), t_{k}^{-}\right)$;
(4) $\mu \leqslant t_{k}-t_{k-1}, d_{k} \leqslant \Gamma$, and $\ln (1+\Gamma) / \mu<\lambda-e^{\lambda \tau} \alpha$.

Then, the trivial solution of system (2.1) is pth moment exponentially stable.
Furthermore, if there exists a positive constant $T$ such that $t_{k}-t_{k-1} \leqslant T$ and the following inequality holds for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, y, t)| \vee|g(x, y, t)| \leqslant K(|x|+|y|) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the trivial solution of system (2.1) is almost surely exponentially stable.
Proof. Take $q=e^{\lambda \tau}$. Obviously, we just need to verify the condition 2 of Theorem 3.1.

$$
\begin{align*}
L V(x(t), x(t-\tau), t)= & V_{t}(x(t), t)+V_{x}(x(t), t) f(x(t), x(t-\tau), t) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(g^{T}(x(t), x(t-\tau), t) V_{x x}(x(t), t) g(x(t), x(t-\tau), t)\right)  \tag{3.31}\\
\leqslant & -\lambda V(x(t), t)+\alpha V(x(t-\tau), t-\tau) .
\end{align*}
$$

If $t \geqslant 0$ and $t \in\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right), s \in[-\tau, 0]$, the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x(t+s), t+s) \leqslant q V(x(t), t) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
L V(x(t), x(t-\tau), t) & \leqslant-\lambda V(x(t), t)+\alpha q V(x(t), t) \\
& =-(\lambda-\alpha q) V(x(t), t)=-\left(\lambda-\alpha e^{\lambda \tau}\right) V(x(t), t) \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Condition 2 of Theorem 3.1 is verified, then the $p$ th moment exponential stability for the trivial solution of system (2.1) is obtained. The almost sure exponential stability is followed directly by virtue of Theorem 3.2.

The 2th moment exponential stability; is also called mean square exponential stability, the following corollary presents the criteria of mean square exponential stability of system (2.1).

Corollary 3.4. For system (2.1), assume there exist positive constants $a, b, c, d, \mu, \beta_{k}$ such that
(1) $x^{T} f(x, y, t) \leqslant-a|x|^{2}+b|y|^{2}$, and $|g(x, y, t)|^{2} \leqslant c|x|^{2}+d|y|^{2}, 2 a-c>0$ and $2 a-c-$ $e^{(2 a-c) \tau}(2 b+d)>0$;
(2) $\left|H_{k}(x)\right| \leqslant \beta_{k}|x|$;
(3) $\mu \leqslant t_{k+1}-t_{k}, \beta_{k}^{2} \leqslant \Gamma+1, \ln (\Gamma+2) / \mu<2 a-c-e^{(2 a-c) \tau}(2 b+d)$.

Then the trivial solution of system (2.1) is mean square exponentially stable.
Proof. Let $V(x, t)=|x|^{2}$; then

$$
\begin{align*}
L V(x(t), x(t-\tau), t) & =2 x^{T} f(x(t), x(t-\tau), t)+|g(x(t), x(t-\tau), t)|^{2} \\
& \leqslant-2 a|x|^{2}+2 b|x(t-\tau)|^{2}+c|x|^{2}+d|x(t-\tau)|^{2}  \tag{3.34}\\
& =(-2 a+c)|x|^{2}+(2 b+d)|x(t-\tau)|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The conditions of Corollary 3.3 are easily to be verified, and the required result can be obtained.

Now we are on the position to state the stabilization theorem.
Theorem 3.5. Assume there exist a function $V(x, t) \in v_{0}$ and positive constants $p, c_{1}, c_{2}, c, \lambda, \alpha$ such that
(1) $c_{1}|x|^{p} \leqslant V(x, t) \leqslant c_{2}|x|^{p}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$;
(2) $L V(x(t), x(t-\tau), t) \leqslant c V(x(t), t)$, for all $t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$, whenever $q V(x(t), t) \geqslant V(t+$ $s, x(t+s))$, for $s \in[-\tau, 0]$, where $q \geqslant \max \left\{e^{2 \lambda \alpha}, e^{c \alpha}\right\}$;
(3) $V\left(H_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), t_{k}\right) \leqslant d_{k} V\left(t_{k}^{-}, x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right)$, where $d_{k}>0$;
(4) $\tau \leqslant t_{k+1}-t_{k} \leqslant \alpha$ and $\ln d_{k}+\lambda \alpha<-\lambda\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)$.

Then the trivial solution of system (2.1) is pth moment exponentially stable.
Remark 3.6. From condition 2, we can see that the formal derivative of $V(x, t)$ can be positive since $c$ is a positive constant; this means that the original system without impulses may be unstable. Therefore, this theorem is called the stabilization theorem.

Proof. Let $x(t)=x(t, \xi)$ be a solution of (2.1) with $x(t)=\xi(t), t \in[-\tau, 0]$ and write $V(x(t), t)=$ $V(t)$ for simplicity. Choose $M \geqslant 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p}<M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{1}} e^{-\alpha c}<M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{1}} \leqslant q c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show, for any positive integer $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V(t) \leqslant M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{k}}, \quad t \in\left[t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V(t) \leqslant M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{1}}, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From condition 1 and (3.35), we have, for $t \in[-\tau, 0]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V(t) \leqslant c_{2} \mathbb{E}|x(t)|^{p} \leqslant c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p}<M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{1}} e^{-\alpha c} . \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (3.37) is not true, then there must be a $\bar{t} \in\left(0, t_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V(\bar{t})>M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{1}}>M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{1}} e^{-\alpha c}>c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} \geqslant \mathbb{E} V(s) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s \in[-\tau, 0]$.
Then there exists a $t^{*} \in(0, \bar{t})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right)=M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{1}}, \quad \mathbb{E} V(t) \leqslant M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{1}}, \quad t \in\left[-\tau, t^{*}\right] \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is a $t^{* *} \in\left[0, t^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{* *}\right)=c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p}, \quad \mathbb{E} V(t) \geqslant c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{P}, \quad t^{* *} \leqslant t \leqslant t^{*} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have, for any $t \in\left[t^{* *}, t^{*}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V(t+s) \leqslant M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{1}} \leqslant q c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{P} \leqslant q \mathbb{E} V(t), \quad s \in[-\tau, 0] \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From condition 2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} L V(t) \leqslant c \mathbb{E} V(t) \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left[t^{* *}, t^{*}\right]$. Since $t^{*}-t^{* *}<\alpha$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right) e^{-\alpha c}-\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{* *}\right) & \leqslant \mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right) e^{-c\left(t^{*}-t^{* *}\right)}-\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{* *}\right) \\
& =\int_{t^{* *}}^{t^{*}} e^{-c\left(s-t^{* *}\right)}(-c \mathbb{E} V(s)+\mathbb{E} L V(s)) d s \leqslant 0, \tag{3.44}
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right) e^{-\alpha c} \leqslant \mathbb{E} V\left(t^{* *}\right) \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{1}} e^{-\alpha c} \leqslant c_{2} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{P} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in conflict with (3.35). We obtain that (3.37) holds, that is, (3.36) holds when $k=1$.
Now we assume that (3.36) holds when $k=1,2,3, \ldots, m, m$ is a positive integer and $m \geqslant 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V(t) \leqslant M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{k}}, \quad t \in\left[t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right) \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

especially,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V\left(t_{m}^{-}\right) \leqslant M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m}} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

From conditions 3, 4 and (3.48), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} V\left(t_{m}\right) & \leqslant d_{m} \mathbb{E} V\left(t_{m}^{-}\right) \\
& <e^{-\lambda \alpha-\lambda\left(t_{m+1}-t_{m}\right)} M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m}} \\
& =e^{-\lambda \alpha} M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}}  \tag{3.49}\\
& <M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we will show that (3.36) holds when $k=m+1$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V(t) \leqslant M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}}, \quad t \in\left[t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right) \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (3.50) is not true, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{t}=\inf \left\{t \in\left[t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right) \mid \mathbb{E} V(t)>M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}}\right\} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.49), we know $\bar{t} \neq t_{m}$; by the continuity of $\mathbb{E} V(t)$ in $\left[t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbb{E} V(\bar{t})=M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}}  \tag{3.52}\\
\mathbb{E} V(t) \leqslant M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}}, \quad t \in\left[t_{m}, \bar{t}\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.49), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V\left(t_{m}\right)<e^{-\lambda \alpha} M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}}<E V(\bar{t}) \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

there must be a $t^{*} \in\left(t_{m}, \bar{t}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right)=e^{-\lambda \alpha} M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}}, \\
\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right) \leqslant \mathbb{E} V(t) \leqslant \mathbb{E} V(\bar{t}), \quad t \in\left[t^{*}, \bar{t}\right] . \tag{3.54}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\tau \leqslant t_{k+1}-t_{k} \leqslant \alpha$, and $s \in[-\tau, 0]$, when $t \in\left[\mathrm{t}^{*}, \bar{t}\right]$, we get $t+s \in\left[t_{m+1}, \bar{t}\right]$. From (3.48) and (3.53), when $t \in\left[t^{*}, \bar{t}\right], s \in[-\tau, 0]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} V(t+s) & \leqslant M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m}} \\
& =M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}} e^{\lambda\left(t_{m+1}-t_{m}\right)} \\
& \leqslant M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}} e^{\lambda \alpha}  \tag{3.55}\\
& =e^{2 \lambda \alpha} \mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right) \leqslant q \mathbb{E} V(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

From condition 2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} L V(t) \leqslant c \mathbb{E} V(t) \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} V(\bar{t}) e^{-\alpha c}-\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right) & \leqslant \mathbb{E} V(\bar{t}) e^{-\left(\bar{t}-t^{*}\right) c}-\mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right) \\
& =\int_{t^{*}}^{\bar{t}} e^{-c\left(s-t^{*}\right)}(-c \mathbb{E} V(s)-\mathbb{E} L V(s)) d s<0, \tag{3.57}
\end{align*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} V(\bar{t}) & \leqslant \mathbb{E} V\left(t^{*}\right) e^{\alpha c}=M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}} e^{-\lambda \alpha} e^{\alpha c} \\
& =M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}} e^{-(\lambda-c) \alpha}  \tag{3.58}\\
& <M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t_{m+1}}=\mathbb{E} V(\bar{t}) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then (3.36) holds when $k=m+1$. By induction, we have that (3.36) holds, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V(t) \leqslant M \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t \in\left[t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right) \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

From condition 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}|x(t)|^{p} \leqslant M^{*} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^{p} e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right), k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M^{*} \geqslant \max \left\{1,\left(M / c_{1}\right)^{1 / p}\right\}$. This completes the proof.

## 4. Applications and Examples

In this section, we consider a nonlinear impulsive stochastic delay differential system. We present the stability criterion and stabilization criterion for this system, then we illustrate the correctness of our results using the numerical experiments.

The following nonlinear impulsive stochastic delay differential system is considered:

$$
\begin{gather*}
d x(t)=\left(a x(t)+b x(t-\tau) \exp \left[-x^{2}(t-\tau)\right]\right) d t+c x(t-\tau) d W(t), \quad t \neq t_{k}  \tag{4.1}\\
x\left(t_{k}\right)=\beta_{k} x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

By virtue of Corollary 3.4, we can get the following corollary directly.
Corollary 4.1. Assume there exist positive constants $\mu$ and $T$ such that the impulsive moments $t_{k}$ satisfy $\mu \leqslant t_{k}-t_{k-1} \leqslant T$, and the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 a+|b|+e^{(-2 a-|b|) \tau}\left(2 b+c^{2}\right)<0 \\
\beta_{k}^{2}<\Gamma+1, \frac{\ln (\Gamma+2)}{\mu}<-2 a-|b|-e^{(-2 a-|b|) \tau}\left(2 b+c^{2}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 1: Mean square exponential stability of system (4.1).


Figure 2: Nonstability of system (4.1) without impulse.
then the trivial solution of system (4.1) is mean square exponentially stable and almost surely exponentially stable.

Using Theorem 3.5 and taking $V(x, t)=|x|^{2}$, we can easily get the following stabilization corollary for system (4.1).

Corollary 4.2. Assume there exist constants $\alpha, \lambda>0$ satisfing
(1) there exists a constant $q>0$ such that $q>\max \left\{e^{2 \lambda \alpha}\right.$, $\left.e^{\gamma \alpha}\right\}$, where $\gamma=2 a+|b|+|b| q+c^{2} q$;
(2) $\tau \leqslant t_{k+1}-t_{k} \leqslant \alpha$ and $\ln \beta_{k}+\lambda \alpha / 2<-(\lambda / 2)\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)$.

Then the trivial solution of system (4.1) is pth moment exponentially stable.
Now let us illustrate the correctness of Corollaries 4.1 and 4.2.


Figure 3: Mean square exponential stability of system (4.1).

Let $a=-1, b=-1, c=1, \tau=1$, and $\beta_{k}=2$. Take $x(s)=s+1$ when $s \in[-1,0]$ and $t_{k+1}-t_{k}=2$. Let $\mu=1$ and $\Gamma=7$; it is easy to verify the conditions of Corollary 4.1 are satisfied. Then the trivial solution of system (4.1) is mean square exponentially stable. The mean square exponential stability is showed in Figure 1. This illustrates the correctness of Corollary 4.1.

Now we consider the stabilization of system (4.1). Take $a=0.1, b=1, c=0.1$, and $\tau=$ 0.1. Let $x(s)=s+1$ when $s \in[-0.1,0]$. It is easy to see that the trivial solution of system (4.1) without impulsive effects is not mean square stable, see Figure 2. Then we take $t_{k+1}-t_{k}=$ $0.2, \beta_{k}=0.8$ and $\alpha=0.2, \lambda=1, q=2$; it can be verified that the conditions of Corollary 4.2 are satisfied; the the trivial solution of system (4.1) is mean square exponentially stable, see Figure 3.
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