

Fredman's reciprocity, invariants of abelian groups, and the permanent of the Cayley table

Dmitri I. Panyushev

Received: 18 September 2009 / Accepted: 12 May 2010 / Published online: 4 June 2010
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010

Abstract Let \mathcal{R} be the regular representation of a finite abelian group G and let C_n denote the cyclic group of order n . For $G = C_n$, we compute the Poincaré series of all C_n -isotypic components in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{R} \otimes \wedge \mathcal{R}$ (the symmetric tensor exterior algebra of \mathcal{R}). From this we derive a general reciprocity and some number-theoretic identities. This generalises results of Fredman and Elashvili–Jibladze. Then we consider the Cayley table, \mathcal{M}_G , of G and some generalisations of it. In particular, we prove that the number of formally different terms in the permanent of \mathcal{M}_G equals $(\mathcal{S}^n \mathcal{R})^G$, where n is the order of G .

Keywords Molien formula · Poincaré series · Permanent · Ramanujan's sum

1 Introduction

In the beginning of the 1970s, Fredman [7] considered the problem of computing the number of vectors $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$ with non-negative integer components that satisfy

$$\lambda_0 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} = m \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} j\lambda_j \equiv i \pmod{n}. \quad (1.1)$$

He denoted this number by $S(n, m, i)$. Using generating functions, Fredman obtained an explicit formula for $S(n, m, i)$, which immediately showed that $S(n, m, i) = S(m, n, i)$. The latter is said to be *Fredman's reciprocity*. Using a necklace interpretation, he also constructed a bijection between the vectors enumerated by $S(n, m, i)$

D.I. Panyushev (✉)
Independent University of Moscow, Bol'shoi Vlasevskii per. 11, 119002 Moscow, Russia
e-mail: panyush@mccme.ru

and those enumerated by $S(m, n, i)$. However, these results did not attract attention and remained unnoticed.

Later, Elashvili and Jibladze [4, 5] (partly with Pataraiia [6]) rediscovered these results using Invariant Theory. Let $C_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ be the cyclic group of order n and \mathcal{R} the space of its regular representation over \mathbb{C} . Choose a basis $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ for \mathcal{R} consisting of C_n -eigenvectors. More precisely, if $\gamma \in C_n$ is a generator and $\zeta = \sqrt[n]{1}$ a fixed primitive root of unity, then $\gamma \cdot v_i = \zeta^i v_i$. Write χ_i for the linear character $C_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ that takes γ to ζ^i . The monomial $v_0^{\lambda_0} \dots v_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}}$ has degree m and weight χ_i if and only if $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$ satisfies (1.1). Thus, $S(n, m, i)$ is the dimension of the space of C_n -semi-invariants of weight χ_i in the m th symmetric power $S^m \mathcal{R}$. This space can also be understood as the C_n -isotypic component of type χ_i in $S^m \mathcal{R}$, denoted by $(S^m \mathcal{R})_{C_n, \chi_i}$. To stress the connection with cyclic groups, we will write $a_i(C_n, m)$ in place of $S(n, m, i)$. The celebrated Molien formula provides a closed expression for the generating function (Poincaré series)

$$\mathcal{F}((S^* \mathcal{R})_{C_n, \chi_i}; t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_i(C_n, m) t^m,$$

where $(S^* \mathcal{R})_{C_n, \chi_i} = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} (S^m \mathcal{R})_{C_n, \chi_i}$ is the (C_n, χ_i) -isotypic component in $S^* \mathcal{R}$. Then extracting the coefficient of t^m yields a formula for $a_i(C_n, m)$, see (2.2). It is worth stressing that Molien’s formula is a very efficient tool that provides a uniform approach to various combinatorial problems and paves the way for further generalisations; see, e.g. [12].

In this note, we elaborate on two topics. *First*, generalising results of Fredman and Elashvili–Jibladze, we compute the Poincaré series for each C_n -isotypic component in the bi-graded algebra $S^* \mathcal{R} \otimes \wedge^* \mathcal{R}$ and then $\dim(S^p \mathcal{R} \otimes \wedge^m \mathcal{R})_{C_n, \chi_i}$ for all p, m, i (Theorem 3.2). From this we derive a more general reciprocity, see (3.5). As a by-product of these computations, we obtain some interesting identities, e.g.

$$\exp\left(\frac{z}{1-z^2}\right) = \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (1+z^d)^{\varphi(d)/d},$$

where φ is Euler’s totient function. In Sect. 4, several identities related to isotypic components in $\wedge^* \mathcal{R}$ are given; some of them are valid for an arbitrary finite abelian group G , see Theorem 4.4. *Second*, in Sect. 5, we study some properties of the Cayley table, \mathcal{M}_G , of G . If $G = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$, then \mathcal{M}_G can be regarded as n by n matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}] \simeq S^* \mathcal{R}$. For $G = C_n$, \mathcal{M}_G is nothing but a generic *circulant matrix*. The permanent of \mathcal{M}_G , $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$, is a sum of monomials in x_i ’s of degree n . Using [8], we prove that the number of different monomials occurring in this sum equals $\dim(S^n \mathcal{R})^G$. Then we introduce the extended Cayley table, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_G$ (which is a matrix of order $n + 1$), and characterise the monomials occurring in $\text{per}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_G)$ (Theorem 5.8). This characterisation implies that the number of different monomials in $\text{per}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_G)$ equals $\dim(S^{n+1} \mathcal{R})^G$. Both $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$ and $\det(\mathcal{M}_G)$ belong to $S^n \mathcal{R}$, and we prove that $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$ is G -invariant, whereas $\det(\mathcal{M}_G)$ is a semi-invariant whose weight is the sum of all elements of the dual group \hat{G} . The latter means that in many cases $\det(\mathcal{M}_G)$ is invariant, too. In Sect. 6, we discuss some open problems related to $(S^* \mathcal{R})^G$ and $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$.

Notation $\#(M)$ is the cardinality of a finite set M ; (n, m) is the greatest common divisor of $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$; G is always a finite group.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Ramanujan’s sums

Two important number-theoretic functions are *Euler’s totient function* φ and the *Möbius function* μ . Recall that $\varphi(n)$ is the number of all primitive roots of unity of order n . Given $i, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1$, the *Ramanujan’s sum*, $c_n(i)$, is the sum of i th powers of the primitive roots of unity of order n . In particular, $c_n(0) = \varphi(n)$. There are two useful expressions for Ramanujan’s sums:

$$c_n(i) = \sum_{d|(n,i)} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)d, \quad c_n(i) = \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi\left(\frac{n}{(n,i)}\right)} \cdot \mu\left(\frac{n}{(n,i)}\right),$$

see [9, Theorems 271 and 272]. These formulae also show that $c_n(1) = \mu(n), c_n(i) = c_n(n-i)$, and $c_n(i)$ is always a rational integer.

2.2 Molien’s formula for the symmetric algebra

Let G be a finite group and V a finite-dimensional G -module. The original Molien formula computes the Poincaré series of the graded algebra of invariants $(\mathcal{S} \cdot V)^G = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} (\mathcal{S}^m V)^G$. More generally, there is a similar formula for the Poincaré series of any G -isotypic component in $\mathcal{S} \cdot V$. Let χ be an irreducible representation of G and $(\mathcal{S} \cdot V)_{G,\chi}$ the isotypic component of type χ in $\mathcal{S} \cdot V$. By definition, the Poincaré series of $(\mathcal{S} \cdot V)_{G,\chi}$ is the power series $\mathcal{F}((\mathcal{S} \cdot V)_{G,\chi}; t) := \sum_{m \geq 0} \dim((\mathcal{S}^m V)_{G,\chi})t^m$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}((\mathcal{S} \cdot V)_{G,\chi}; t) = \frac{\deg(\chi)}{\#(G)} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \frac{\text{tr}(\chi(\gamma^{-1}))}{\det_V(\mathbb{1} - t\gamma)},$$

see, e.g. [12, Theorem 2.1]. Here $\mathbb{1}$ is the identity matrix in $GL(V)$. (The algebra of invariants corresponds to the trivial one-dimensional representation, i.e., if $\deg(\chi) = 1$ and $\chi(\gamma) = 1$ for all $\gamma \in G$.)

Let \mathcal{R} be the space of the regular representation of G . For the G -module \mathcal{R} , Molien’s formula can be presented in a somewhat simpler form.

Proposition 2.1 [2, V.1.8] *Let $\varphi_G(d)$ be the number of elements of order d in G . Then*

$$\mathcal{F}((\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{R})^G; t) = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_G(d)}{(1 - t^d)^{\#(G)/d}}.$$

This can easily be extended to an arbitrary χ . If $\text{ord}(\gamma)$ is the order of $\gamma \in G$, then

$$\mathcal{F}((\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{R})_{G,\chi}; t) = \frac{\deg(\chi)}{\#(G)} \sum_{d|\#G} \frac{\sum_{\gamma: \text{ord}(\gamma)=d} \text{tr}(\chi(\gamma^{-1}))}{(1 - t^d)^{\#(G)/d}}. \tag{2.1}$$

In fact, we prove below a more general formula (Lemma 3.1).

2.3 Formulae of Fredman and Elashvili–Jibladze

Recall that $a_i(C_n, m) = \dim S^m(\mathcal{R})_{C_n, \chi_i}$ or, equivalently, it is the number of vectors satisfying (1.1). In particular, $a_0(C_n, m) = \dim S^m(\mathcal{R})^{C_n}$. If the elements of C_n are regarded as the roots of unity of order n , then χ_i is the character $\xi \mapsto \xi^i$, $\xi \in C_n$. Here $\varphi_{C_n}(d)$ is almost Euler’s totient function. That is, $\varphi_{C_n}(d) = \varphi(d)$, if $d|n$; and $\varphi_{C_n}(d) = 0$ otherwise. Using (2.1) with $G = C_n$ and $\chi = \chi_i$, we see that $\deg(\chi_i) = 1$ and $\sum_{\gamma: \text{ord}(\gamma)=d} \chi_i(\gamma^{-1}) = c_d(d - i)$. Then extracting the coefficient of t^m yields a nice-looking formula (Fredman [7], Elashvili–Jibladze [5])

$$a_i(C_n, m) = \frac{1}{n + m} \sum_{d|(n,m)} c_d(i) \binom{n/d + m/d}{n/d}. \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.2 Both Fredman’s approach, see (1.1), and cyclic group interpretation presuppose that $a_i(C_n, m)$ is defined for $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$. But (2.2) shows that $a_i(C_n, m)$ is naturally defined for $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $(n, m) \neq (0, 0)$.

It follows from (2.2) that $a_i(C_n, m) = a_i(C_m, n)$. In [4–6], this equality is named the “Hermite reciprocity”. As it has no relation to Hermite and was first proved by Fredman, the term *Fredman’s reciprocity* seems to be more appropriate.

From (2.2), one can derive the equality

$$\sum_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{N}^2, (n,m) \neq (0,0)} a_i(C_n, m) x^n y^m = - \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_d(i)}{d} \log(1 - x^d - y^d). \tag{2.3}$$

(Cf. [4, Remark 2], [6, Sect. 4].)

3 Symmetric tensor exterior algebra and Poincaré series

As above, let V be a G -module. We consider the Poincaré series of the G -isotypic components in $S^p V \otimes \wedge^q V$. Let $(S^p V \otimes \wedge^q V)_{G, \chi}$ denote the isotypic component corresponding to an irreducible representation χ . It is a bi-graded vector space and its Poincaré series is the formal power series

$$\mathcal{F}((S^p V \otimes \wedge^q V)_{G, \chi}; s, t) = \sum_{p, q \geq 0} \dim(S^p V \otimes \wedge^q V)_{G, \chi} s^p t^q.$$

(Clearly, it is a polynomial with respect to t .) It is known that

$$\mathcal{F}((S^p V \otimes \wedge^q V)^G; s, t) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \frac{\det_V(\mathbb{1} + t\gamma)}{\det_V(\mathbb{1} - s\gamma)},$$

see [1, Theorem 1.33]. A similar argument provides the formula for an arbitrary G -isotypic component:

$$\mathcal{F}((S^p V \otimes \wedge^q V)_{G, \chi}; s, t) = \frac{\deg(\chi)}{\#G} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \text{tr}(\chi(\gamma^{-1})) \frac{\det_V(\mathbb{1} + t\gamma)}{\det_V(\mathbb{1} - s\gamma)}. \tag{3.1}$$

For, in place of the Reynolds operator $\frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \gamma$ (the projection to the subspace of G -invariants), one should merely exploit the operator $\frac{\text{deg}(\chi)}{\#(G)} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \text{tr}(\chi(\gamma^{-1}))\gamma$ (the projection to the isotypic component of type χ).

Lemma 3.1 *For the regular representation \mathcal{R} of G , the right-hand side of (3.1) can be written as*

$$\frac{\text{deg}(\chi)}{\#G} \sum_{d \geq 1} \left(\sum_{\gamma: \text{ord}(\gamma)=d} \text{tr}(\chi(\gamma^{-1})) \cdot \left(\frac{1 - (-t)^d}{1 - s^d} \right)^{\#(G)/d} \right).$$

Proof If $\gamma \in G$ is of order d , then $\langle \gamma \rangle \simeq C_d$ and each coset of $\langle \gamma \rangle$ in G is a cycle of length d with respect to the multiplication by γ . Hence, in a suitable basis of \mathcal{R} , the matrix of γ in $GL(\mathcal{R})$ consists of $(\#G)/d$ diagonal blocks

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

of size d . Since

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -s & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -s & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & -s \\ -s & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1 - s^d,$$

we obtain $\frac{\det_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{1} + t\gamma)}{\det_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{1} - s\gamma)} = \left(\frac{1 - (-t)^d}{1 - s^d} \right)^{\#(G)/d}$, which proves the lemma. □

Now, we apply this lemma to the regular representation of C_n . Recall that the number $\binom{a+b+c}{a, b, c}$ is defined to be $\frac{(a+b+c)!}{a!b!c!}$.

Theorem 3.2 *The Poincaré series of the (C_n, χ_i) -isotypic component equals*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}((\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{R} \otimes \wedge \cdot \mathcal{R})_{C_n, \chi_i}; s, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} c_d(i) \frac{(1 - (-t)^d)^{n/d}}{(1 - s^d)^{n/d}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} c_d(i) \left(\sum_{a=0}^{n/d} (-1)^{(d+1)a} \binom{n/d}{a} t^{ad} \right) \left(\sum_{b \geq 0} \binom{(n/d) + b - 1}{(n/d) - 1} s^{bd} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Consequently,

$$\dim(S^p \mathcal{R} \otimes \wedge^m \mathcal{R})_{C_n, \chi_i} = \frac{(-1)^m}{p+n} \sum_{d|n, p, m} (-1)^{m/d} c_d(i) \binom{(n+p)/d}{m/d, p/d, (n-m)/d}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof This is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 3.1. If $G = C_n$, then $\deg(\chi_i) = 1$ and $\sum_{\gamma: \text{ord}(\gamma)=d} \chi_i(\gamma^{-1}) = c_d(n-i) = c_d(i)$, which proves (3.2).

We leave it to the reader to extract the coefficient of $t^m s^p$ in (3.2) and obtain (3.3). □

Letting $n = q + m$ yields a more symmetric form of (3.3):

$$\dim(S^p \mathcal{R} \otimes \wedge^m \mathcal{R})_{C_{q+m}, \chi_i} = \frac{(-1)^m}{p+q+m} \sum_{d|p, q, m} (-1)^{m/d} c_d(i) \binom{(m+p+q)/d}{m/d, p/d, q/d}. \tag{3.4}$$

As the right-hand side is symmetric with respect to p and q , we get an equality for dimensions of isotypic components related to the regular representations of two cyclic groups, (C_{q+m}, \mathcal{R}) and $(C_{p+m}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}})$:

$$\dim(S^p \mathcal{R} \otimes \wedge^m \mathcal{R})_{C_{q+m}, \chi_i} = \dim(S^q \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \otimes \wedge^m \tilde{\mathcal{R}})_{C_{p+m}, \chi_i}. \tag{3.5}$$

For $m = 0$, this simplifies to Fredman’s reciprocity [7, (4)]. It would be interesting to have a combinatorial interpretation of this symmetry in the spirit of Fredman’s approach.

Remark 3.3

- (1) Letting $t = 0$ in (3.2) or $m = 0$ in (3.3), we get known formulae for the isotypic components in the symmetric algebra of \mathcal{R} , see [4, 5]. Letting $s = 0$ in (3.2) or $n = 0$ in (3.3), we get interesting formulae for the isotypic components in the exterior algebra of \mathcal{R} , see the next section.
- (2) If d is always odd (e.g. at least one of m, p, q is odd), then $(-1)^{m+\frac{m}{d}} = 1$ and the right-hand side of (3.4) becomes totally symmetric with respect to p, q, m .

The following is a generalisation of (2.3):

Proposition 3.4

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(p, q, m) \in \mathbb{N}^3, p+q+m \geq 1} \dim(S^p \mathcal{R} \otimes \wedge^m \mathcal{R})_{C_{q+m}, \chi_i} \cdot x^p y^q z^m \\ &= - \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_d(i)}{d} \log(1 - x^d - y^d + (-z)^d). \end{aligned}$$

Proof By (3.4), the left-hand side equals

$$\sum_{p+q+m \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^m}{p+q+m} \sum_{d|p, q, m} (-1)^{m/d} c_d(i) \binom{(p+q+m)/d}{p/d, q/d, m/d} x^p y^q z^m.$$

Letting $p/d = \alpha, q/d = \beta, m/d = \gamma$, we rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_d(i)}{d} \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^\gamma}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \binom{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} x^{\alpha d} y^{\beta d} (-z)^{\gamma d} \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_d(i)}{d} \sum_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=k} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k}{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \right) (x^d)^\alpha (y^d)^\beta (-(-z)^d)^\gamma \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_d(i)}{d} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(x^d + y^d - (-z)^d)^k}{k} = - \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_d(i)}{d} \log(1 - x^d - y^d + (-z)^d). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Specialising the equality of Proposition 3.4, we get some interesting identities.

- (A) Taking $x = y = 0$ forces $p = q = 0$ on the left-hand side, which leads to the equality

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \dim(\wedge^m \mathcal{R})_{C_m, \chi_i} z^m = - \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_d(i)}{d} \log(1 + (-z)^d).$$

For $i = 0$, we have

$$c_d(0) = \varphi(d) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(\wedge^m \mathcal{R})^{C_m} = \begin{cases} 1 & m \text{ odd;} \\ 0 & m \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

That is, $\frac{z}{1-z^2} = - \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(d)}{d} \log(1 + (-z)^d)$. Replacing z with $-z$ and exponentiating, we finally obtain:

$$\exp\left(\frac{z}{1-z^2}\right) = \prod_{d \geq 1} (1 + z^d)^{\varphi(d)/d}.$$

- (B) Likewise, for $x = z = 0$ (or just $x = 0$ in (2.3)), we get

$$- \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_d(i)}{d} \log(1 - y^d) = \begin{cases} y/(1-y) & i = 0; \\ 0 & i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$\exp\left(\frac{-y}{1-y}\right) = \prod_{d \geq 1} (1 - y^d)^{\varphi(d)/d}.$$

4 On the exterior algebra of the regular representation

In case of the exterior algebra of a G -module, the Poincaré series of an isotypic component is actually a polynomial in t , which can be evaluated for any t . Here we gather some practical formulae for the regular representations and for cyclic groups.

First, using (3.1) and Lemma 3.1 with trivial χ and $s = 0$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}((\wedge \cdot \mathcal{R})^G; t) = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{d \geq 1} \varphi_G(d) (1 - (-t)^d)^{\#(G)/d}.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{F}((\wedge \cdot \mathcal{R})^G; t)$ always has the factor $1 + t$ and

$$\dim(\wedge \cdot \mathcal{R})^G = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}} \varphi_G(d) 2^{\#(G)/d}. \tag{4.1}$$

Note that here G is not necessarily abelian!

Example 4.1 For $G = \mathfrak{S}_3$, we have $\varphi_G(1) = 1$, $\varphi_G(2) = 3$, and $\varphi_G(3) = 2$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{F}((\wedge \cdot \mathcal{R})^{\mathfrak{S}_3}; t) = \frac{1}{6} ((1+t)^6 + 3(1-t^2)^3 + 2(1+t^3)^2) = 1 + t + t^2 + 4t^3 + 4t^4 + t^5.$$

For $G = \mathcal{C}_n$, there are precise assertions for all G -isotypic components in $\wedge \cdot \mathcal{R}$. Using Theorem 3.2 with $s = 0$ and $p = 0$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}((\wedge \cdot \mathcal{R})_{\mathcal{C}_n, \chi_i}; t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} c_d(i) (1 - (-t)^d)^{n/d}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\dim((\wedge^m \mathcal{R})_{\mathcal{C}_n, \chi_i}) = \frac{(-1)^m}{n} \sum_{d|n, m} (-1)^{m/d} c_d(i) \binom{n/d}{m/d} =: b_i(\mathcal{C}_n, m). \tag{4.3}$$

Again, it is convenient to replace n with $q + m$ in (4.3). Then

$$b_i(\mathcal{C}_{q+m}, m) = \dim((\wedge^m \mathcal{R})_{\mathcal{C}_{q+m}, \chi_i}) = \frac{(-1)^m}{q+m} \sum_{d|q, m} (-1)^{m/d} c_d(i) \binom{q/d + m/d}{m/d}.$$

From this we derive the following observation:

Proposition 4.2 *If q or m is odd, then $b_i(\mathcal{C}_{q+m}, m) = a_i(\mathcal{C}_q, m)$ and also $b_i(\mathcal{C}_{q+m}, m) = b_i(\mathcal{C}_{q+m}, q)$.*

Example 4.3 $b_i(\mathcal{C}_{2n-1}, n - 1) = a_i(\mathcal{C}_{n-1}, n)$, and it is the $(n - 1)$ th Catalan number regardless of i .

Remark If n is odd, then $\wedge^n \mathcal{R}$ is the trivial \mathcal{C}_n -module, and therefore $\wedge^m \mathcal{R} \simeq \wedge^{n-m} \mathcal{R}$ as \mathcal{C}_n -modules. This “explains” the equality $b_i(\mathcal{C}_n, m) = b_i(\mathcal{C}_n, n - m)$ for n odd.

Substituting $t = 1$ in (4.2) yields a nice formula for dimension of the whole isotypic component:

$$\dim((\wedge \cdot \mathcal{R})_{\mathcal{C}_n, \chi_i}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} c_d(i) 2^{n/d}. \tag{4.4}$$

For $i = 0$, this becomes a special case of (4.1). There is a down-to-earth interpretation of (4.4) that does not invoke Invariant Theory. As in the introduction, choose a basis $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ for \mathcal{R} such that v_i has weight χ_i . Then

$$v_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{j_m} \in (\wedge^m \mathcal{R})_{C_n, \chi_i} \iff j_1 + \dots + j_m \equiv i \pmod n.$$

Consequently, $\dim(\wedge^i \mathcal{R})_{C_n, \chi_i}$ equals the number of subsets $J \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $|J| \equiv i \pmod n$. (Here $|J|$ stands for the sum of elements of J .) Hence our invariant-theoretic approach proves the following purely combinatorial fact:

$$\#\{J \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \mid |J| \equiv i \pmod n\} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n, d \text{ odd}} c_d(i) 2^{n/d}.$$

For $i = 0$, this is nothing but the number of subsets of C_n summing to the neutral element (in the additive notation). We provide a similar interpretation for any abelian group.

Theorem 4.4 *For an abelian group G , let \mathcal{N}_G denote the number of subsets S of G such that $|S| := \sum_{\gamma \in S} \gamma = 0 \in G$. Then $\mathcal{N}_G = \dim(\wedge^* \mathcal{R})^G = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \times \sum_{d \text{ odd}} \varphi_G(d) 2^{\#(G)/d}$.*

Proof In view of (4.1), only the first equality requires a proof. Let (z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}) be a basis for \mathcal{R} consisting of G -eigenvectors, $n = \#(G)$. Here the weight of z_i is a linear character χ_i and $\hat{G} = \{\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-1}\}$ is the dual group of G . One of the χ_i 's is the neutral element of \hat{G} , denoted by $\hat{0}$ in the additive notation. Then

$$z_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge z_{j_m} \in (\wedge^m \mathcal{R})^G \iff \chi_{j_1} + \dots + \chi_{j_m} = \hat{0} \in \hat{G}.$$

Thus, $\dim(\wedge^* \mathcal{R})^G$ equals the number of subsets of \hat{G} summing to $\hat{0}$. However, the groups \hat{G} and G are (non-canonically) isomorphic, hence $\mathcal{N}_G = \mathcal{N}_{\hat{G}}$ and we are done. □

5 On the permanent of the Cayley table of an abelian group

In this section, G is an abelian group, $G = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$. The Cayley table of G , denoted $\mathcal{M}_G = (m_{i,j})$, can be regarded as n by n matrix with entries in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] \simeq \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{R}$. To distinguish the addition in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ and the group operation in G , the latter is denoted by ‘ $\dot{+}$ ’. By definition, $m_{i,j} = x_i \dot{+} x_j$, $i, j = 0, \dots, n - 1$. Hence \mathcal{M}_G is a symmetric matrix. The permanent of \mathcal{M}_G , $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$, is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n in x_i 's, and it does not depend on the ordering of elements of G . Let $p(G)$ denote the number of formally different monomials occurring in $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$.

Remark 5.1 In place of the Cayley table, one can consider the matrix $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_G$ with entries $\hat{m}_{i,j} = x_i \ominus x_j$ (the difference in G). Clearly, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_G$ is obtained from \mathcal{M}_G by rearranging the columns only (or, the rows only), using the permutation on G that takes each

element to its inverse. Therefore, $\text{per}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_G) = \text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$ and $\det(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_G) = \pm \det(\mathcal{M}_G)$. Although $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_G$ is not symmetric in general, an advantage is that every entry on the main diagonal is the neutral elements of G .

Example 5.2 For $G = \mathcal{C}_n$ and the natural ordering of its elements (i.e., when x_i corresponds to i), one obtains a generic *circulant matrix* (the latter means that the rows are successive cyclic permutations of the first row). More precisely, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_n}$ (resp., $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_n}$) is a circulant matrix in Hankel (resp., Toeplitz) form. For instance,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_3} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_0 \\ x_2 & x_0 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_3}) = x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 + 3x_0x_1x_2$. Therefore, $p(\mathcal{C}_3) = 4$.

The function $n \mapsto p(\mathcal{C}_n)$ was studied in [3] where it was pointed out that the main result of Hall [8] shows that $p(\mathcal{C}_n)$ equals the number of solutions to

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_0 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} = n, \\ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} j\lambda_j \equiv 0 \pmod n. \end{cases}$$

That is, $p(\mathcal{C}_n) = a_0(\mathcal{C}_n, n)$ in our notation. Because results of [8] apply to arbitrary finite abelian groups, one can be interested in $p(G)$ in this more general setting. Below, we give an invariant-theoretic answer using that result of Hall.

Let \mathcal{S}_n denote the symmetric group acting by permutations on $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$. Accordingly, \mathcal{S}_n permutes the elements of G by the rule $\pi(x_i) := x_{\pi(i)}$. Recall that

$$\text{per}(m_{i,j}) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m_{i,\pi(i)}.$$

For the matrix \mathcal{M}_G ,

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m_{i,\pi(i)} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x_i + x_{\pi(i)}) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i^{k_i(\pi)} =: \mathbf{x}(\pi)$$

is a monomial in x_i 's of degree n . Note that different permutations may result in the same monomial. The following is essentially proved by M. Hall.

Theorem 5.3 [8, n. 3] *A monomial $\mathfrak{m} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i^{k_i}$ is of the form $\mathbf{x}(\pi)$ for some $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ (i.e., occurs in $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$) if and only if $\sum_i k_i = n$ and $k_0x_0 + \dots + k_{n-1}x_{n-1} = 0 \in G$. [Of course, here $k_i x_i$ stands for $x_i + \dots + x_i$ (k_i times).]*

The necessity of the conditions is easy; a non-trivial argument is required for the sufficiency, i.e., for the existence of π .

Theorem 5.4 $p(G) = \dim \mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{R})^G$.

Proof Let (z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}) be a basis for \mathcal{R} consisting of G -eigenvectors. Recall that the weight of z_i is χ_i and $\hat{G} = \{\chi_0, \dots, \chi_{n-1}\}$ is the dual group. The monomial $z_0^{k_0} \cdots z_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{R}$ is a semi-invariant of G of weight $k_0\chi_0 \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} k_{n-1}\chi_{n-1} \in \hat{G}$. It follows that

$$\dim \mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{R})^G = \left\{ (k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}) \mid \sum_i k_i = n \text{ and } k_0\chi_0 \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} k_{n-1}\chi_{n-1} = \hat{0} \right\}.$$

Modulo the passage from G to \hat{G} , these conditions coincide with those of Theorem 5.3. Since $G \simeq \hat{G}$, we are done. □

Our next goal is to extend these results to a certain matrix of order $n + 1$. We begin with two assertions on $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$ which are of independent interest.

Proposition 5.5 *There is a natural action $* : G \times \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$ such that, for $\gamma \in G$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$, $\text{sign}(\gamma*\pi) = \text{sign}(\pi)$ and $\mathbf{x}(\gamma*\pi) = \mathbf{x}(\pi)$.*

Proof Every $\gamma \in G$ determines a permutation σ_γ on G and thereby an element of \mathcal{S}_n . Namely,

$$(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\sigma_\gamma} (\gamma \dot{+} x_0, \dots, \gamma \dot{+} x_{n-1}).$$

Equivalently, $x_{\sigma_\gamma(i)} = x_i \dot{+} \gamma$. Define the G -action on \mathcal{S}_n by $\gamma*\pi = \sigma_\gamma\pi\sigma_\gamma$. Hence $\text{sign}(\gamma*\pi) = \text{sign}(\pi)$. Recall that $\mathbf{x}(\pi) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x_i \dot{+} x_{\pi(i)})$. Then

$$\mathbf{x}(\gamma*\pi) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x_i \dot{+} x_{\sigma_\gamma\pi\sigma_\gamma(i)}) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (x_{\sigma_\gamma^{-1}(j)} \dot{+} x_{\sigma_\gamma\pi(j)}),$$

where $j = \sigma_\gamma(i)$. By definition, $x_{\sigma_\gamma\pi(j)} = x_{\pi(j)} \dot{+} \gamma$ and $x_j = x_{\sigma_\gamma^{-1}(j)} \dot{+} \gamma$. Thus, the linear factors of $\mathbf{x}(\gamma*\pi)$ remain the same. □

Remark 5.6 Our action ‘ $*$ ’ can be regarded as a generalisation of Lehmer’s ‘‘operator S ’’ for circulant matrices [11, p. 45], i.e., essentially, for $G = \mathcal{C}_n$. Using that operator Lehmer proved that, for $n = p$ odd prime,

$$\det(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_p}) = x_0^p + \cdots + x_{p-1}^p + pF(x_0, \dots, x_{p-1}),$$

where $F \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_{p-1}]$. We note that Lehmer’s argument applies to $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_p})$ as well.

Proposition 5.7 *Suppose that m is a monomial in $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$ such that x_k occurs in m . If $x_k = x_i \dot{+} x_j$ for some i, j , then there is $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ such that $\sigma(i) = j$ and $m = \mathbf{x}(\sigma)$.*

Proof By the assumption on m , there is a $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ such that $m = \mathbf{x}(\pi)$ and $x_k = x_\alpha \dot{+} x_\beta$ for some α, β with $\pi(\alpha) = \beta$. If $\{\alpha, \beta\} \neq \{i, j\}$, then we have to correct π . Take $\gamma \in G$ such that $x_i \dot{+} \gamma = x_\alpha$. Then $x_\beta \dot{+} \gamma = x_j$ and for $\sigma = \gamma*\pi$ we have

$$\sigma(x_i) = \sigma_\gamma\pi\sigma_\gamma(x_i) = \sigma_\gamma\pi(x_\alpha) = \sigma_\gamma(x_\beta) = x_\beta \dot{+} \gamma = x_j.$$

Thus, $\sigma(i) = j$ and also $\mathbf{x}(\sigma) = \mathbf{x}(\pi)$ in view of Proposition 5.5. □

The Cayley table of G is the “addition table” of all elements of G . Define the *extended Cayley table* as an $n+1$ by $n+1$ matrix that the “addition table” of $n+1$ elements of G , with the neutral element is taken twice. More precisely, we assume that $x_0 = x_n = 0$ is the neutral element of G and consider the matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_G = (m_{i,j})$, where $m_{i,j} = x_i \dot{+} x_j$, $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. In this context, \mathcal{S}_{n+1} is regarded as permutation group on $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\text{per}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_G) = \sum_{\tilde{\pi} \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}} \mathbf{x}(\tilde{\pi})$ is a sum of monomials of degree $n + 1$.

Example

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{C_3} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_0 & x_1 \\ x_2 & x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{per}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{C_3}) = 2x_0^4 + 10x_0^2x_1x_2 + 4x_0x_1^3 + 4x_0x_2^3 + 4x_1^2x_2^2.$$

Theorem 5.8 *The monomial $\mathfrak{m} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i^{k_i}$ occurs in $\text{per}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_G)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_i k_i = n + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad k_0x_0 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} k_{n-1}x_{n-1} = 0 \in G.$$

Proof “ \Rightarrow ”. Suppose $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbf{x}(\tilde{\pi})$ for some $\tilde{\pi} \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$. Obviously, $\text{deg } \mathfrak{m} = n + 1$. Next,

$$k_0x_0 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} k_{n-1}x_{n-1} = (x_0 \dot{+} x_{\tilde{\pi}(0)}) \dot{+} (x_1 \dot{+} x_{\tilde{\pi}(1)}) \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} (x_n \dot{+} x_{\tilde{\pi}(n)}) = 0,$$

since the multiset $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n = x_0\}$ is closed with respect to taking inverses.

“ \Leftarrow ”. Suppose \mathfrak{m} satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

- $k_0 > 0$. Take $\mathfrak{m}' = x_0^{k_0-1} x_1^{k_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}$. Then \mathfrak{m}' satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.3. Therefore, \mathfrak{m}' is a monomial of $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$ and there is a $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathbf{x}(\pi)$. Embed \mathcal{S}_n into \mathcal{S}_{n+1} as the subgroup preserving the last element n . Let $\tilde{\pi}$ denote π considered as an element of \mathcal{S}_{n+1} . Then $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbf{x}(\tilde{\pi})$.
- $k_0 = 0$. Choose any binomial $x_i x_j$ in \mathfrak{m} and replace it with $(x_i \dot{+} x_j)x_0 = x_k x_0$ (i.e., $x_i \dot{+} x_j = x_k$). That is, $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}'' x_i x_j$ is replaced with $\mathfrak{m}'' x_k x_0 =: \mathfrak{m}' x_0$. By the previous argument, we can find $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathbf{x}(\pi)$ and $\mathfrak{m}' x_0 = \mathbf{x}(\tilde{\pi})$. Since $x_k = x_i \dot{+} x_j$ occurs in $\mathbf{x}(\pi)$, we can apply Proposition 5.7 and assume that $\pi(i) = j$ and hence $\tilde{\pi}(i) = j$. Finally, we replace $\tilde{\pi}$ with $\tilde{\pi} \tau$, where the transposition $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ permutes i and n . One readily verifies that $\mathbf{x}(\tilde{\pi} \tau) = \mathfrak{m}'' x_i x_j = \mathfrak{m}$. □

Corollary 5.9 *The number of different monomials in $\text{per}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_G)$ equals $\dim(\mathcal{S}^{n+1} \mathcal{R})^G$.*

The proof is almost identical to that of Theorem 5.4 and left to the reader.

It follows from Frobenius’ theory of group determinants (see, e.g. [10, Sect. 2]) that, for abelian groups, $\det(\mathcal{M}_G)$ is the product of linear forms in x_i ’s. In case of generic circulant matrices, this fact plays an important role in [11, 13]. For future use, we provide a quick derivation. Recall that $G = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ and

$\hat{G} = \{\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-1}\}$. Consider the n by n complex matrix \mathcal{K}_G , with $(\mathcal{K}_G)_{i,j} = (\chi_j(x_i))$, and the vectors $v_j = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \chi_j(x_i)x_i \in \mathcal{R}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Proposition 5.10 *Under the above notation, we have:*

- (1) v_j is an eigenvector of G corresponding to the weight χ_j^{-1} ;
- (2) $\det(\mathcal{M}_G) \cdot \det(\mathcal{K}_G) = \det(\overline{\mathcal{K}_G})v_0v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}$, where ‘bar’ stands for the complex conjugation;
- (3) $\det(\overline{\mathcal{K}_G}) / \det(\mathcal{K}_G)$ equals the sign of the permutation $\pi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_n$ that takes each x_i to its inverse. Hence $\det(\mathcal{M}_G) = \text{sign}(\pi_0)v_0v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}$.

Proof

- (1) Obvious.
- (2) It is easily seen that $(\mathcal{M}_G \cdot \mathcal{K}_G)_{ij} = \chi_j(x_i)^{-1}v_j = \overline{\chi_j(x_i)}v_j = (\overline{\mathcal{K}_G})_{i,j}v_j$.
- (3) Assuming that x_0 is the neutral element, compare the coefficient of x_0^n in both parts of the equality in (2). □

Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_G$ has equal columns and hence $\det(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_G) = 0$.

Remark 5.11

- 1. The set of vectors $\{v_j\}$ is closed with respect to complex conjugation, and letting $z_j = \overline{v_j} = \sum_i \overline{\chi_j(x_i)}x_i$ one obtains the eigenvector corresponding to χ_j .
- 2. The orthogonality relations for the characters imply that $\mathcal{K}_G(\overline{\mathcal{K}_G})^t = n\mathbb{1}_n$; that is, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{K}_G$ is unitary and $|\det(\mathcal{K}_G)|^2 = n^n$.

For the sake of completeness, we mention some other easy properties.

Proposition 5.12 *Suppose $\gamma \in G$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$.*

- (1) $\gamma \cdot \mathbf{x}(\pi) = \mathbf{x}(\pi\sigma_\gamma^{-1})$, where ‘ \cdot ’ stands for the natural G -action on $\mathcal{S}^n\mathcal{R}$;
- (2) $\mathbf{x}(\pi) = \mathbf{x}(\pi^{-1})$;
- (3) $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G) \in (\mathcal{S}^n\mathcal{R})^G$;
- (4) If \hat{G} has a unique element of order 2, say ψ , then $\det(\mathcal{M}_G)$ is a semi-invariant of weight ψ . In all other cases, $\det(\mathcal{M}_G) \in (\mathcal{S}^n\mathcal{R})^G$.

Proof

- (1) $\gamma \cdot \mathbf{x}(\pi) = \gamma \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x_i + x_{\pi(i)}) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x_i + x_{\pi(i)} + \gamma) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x_{\sigma_\gamma(i)} + x_{\pi(i)}) = \mathbf{x}(\pi\sigma_\gamma^{-1})$.
- (2) Obvious.
- (3) Follows from (1).
- (4) Proposition 5.10 shows that $\det(\mathcal{M}_G)$ is a semi-invariant whose weight equals the sum of all elements of \hat{G} . The sum of all elements of an abelian group is known to be the neutral element unless the group has a unique element of order 2, in which case the sum is this unique element. □

Note that $\text{per}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_G)$ is an element of $\mathcal{S}^{n+1}\mathcal{R}$, but it does not belong to $(\mathcal{S}^{n+1}\mathcal{R})^G$.

6 Some open problems

Associated with previous results on $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$, there are some interesting problems. Let $d(G)$ denote the number of different monomials in $\det(\mathcal{M}_G)$. In view of possible cancellations, we have $d(G) \leq p(G)$. Using the factorisation of $\det(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}_n})$ and theory of symmetric functions, Thomas [13] proved that $d(\mathcal{C}_n) = p(\mathcal{C}_n)$ whenever n is a prime power. He also computed these values up to $n = 12$ (e.g. $d(\mathcal{C}_6) = 68 < 80 = p(\mathcal{C}_6)$) and suggested that the converse could be true.

Problem 1 *What are necessary/sufficient conditions on a finite abelian group G for the equality $d(G) = p(G)$? Specifically, is it still true that the condition ‘ $\#(G)$ is a prime power’ is sufficient?*

The equality $\det(\mathcal{M}_G) = \text{sign}(\pi_0)v_0v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}$ might be helpful in resolving Problem 1. The following problem is more general and vague.

Problem 2 *Let m be a monomial that satisfies conditions of Theorem 5.3. Is there a group-theoretic (or invariant-theoretic) interpretation of the coefficient of m in $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_G)$ or $\det(\mathcal{M}_G)$?*

For $G = \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2$, we have $p(G) = d(G) = 11$. Because $p(\mathcal{C}_4) = d(\mathcal{C}_4) = 10$, one may speculate that $p(G) \geq p(\mathcal{C}_n)$ and $d(G) \geq d(\mathcal{C}_n)$ if $\#(G) = n$. By Theorem 5.4, $p(G)$ is the coefficient of t^n in the Poincaré series $\mathcal{F}((S \cdot \mathcal{R})^G; t)$, and one can consider a related

Problem 3 *Is it true that $[t^m]\mathcal{F}((S \cdot \mathcal{R})^G; t) \geq [t^m]\mathcal{F}((S \cdot \mathcal{R})^{\mathcal{C}_n}; t)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$?*

Given $G = \{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$, a family of matrices $\mathcal{M}_{G,l} \in \text{Mat}_l(\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}])$, $l \geq n$, is said to be *admissible*, if $\mathcal{M}_{G,n} = \mathcal{M}_G$, $\mathcal{M}_{G,l}$ is a principal submatrix of $\mathcal{M}_{G,l+1}$, and the number of different monomials in $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_{G,l})$ equals $\dim(S^l \mathcal{R})^G$.

Problem 4 *For what G , does an admissible family exist?*

So far, we only have matrices $\mathcal{M}_{G,l}$ for $l = n, n + 1$. It is possible to jump up to $l = 2n$ by letting $\mathcal{M}_{G,2n} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_G & \mathcal{M}_G \\ \mathcal{M}_G & \mathcal{M}_G \end{pmatrix}$. It is the addition table for two consecutive sets of group elements, and it can be proved that $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}_{G,2n})$ has the required property. Then, similarly to the construction of the extended Cayley table, one defines a larger matrix $\mathcal{M}_{G,2n+1}$. This procedure can be iterated, so one obtains a suitable collection of matrices of orders $kn, kn + 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. However, it is not clear whether it is possible to define matrices $\mathcal{M}_{G,l}$ for all other l . Maybe the reason is that, for arbitrary abelian G , there is no natural ordering of its elements. But, for a cyclic group, one does have a natural ordering, and we provide a conjectural definition of an admissible family of matrices.

For $G = \mathcal{C}_n$, it will be convenient to begin with the circulant matrix in the Toeplitz form, see Example 5.2. That is to say, our initial matrix is $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}_n} = (\hat{m}_{i,j})$, where

$\hat{m}_{i,j} = x_{i-j}, i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, and the subscripts of x 's are interpreted $(\text{mod } n)$. For any $l \geq n$, we then define the entries of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{C_n,l}$ by the same formula, only the range of i, j is extended. In particular, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{C_n,l}$ is a Toeplitz matrix for any l .

Example

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{C_3,5} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_0 & x_1 \\ x_2 & x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_0 & x_1 \\ x_2 & x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Conjecture 6.1 *For $l \geq n$, the monomial $x_0^{\lambda_0} x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}}$ occurs in $\text{per}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{C_n,l})$ if and only if*

$$(*) \quad \lambda_0 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} = l \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j\lambda_j \equiv 0 \pmod n.$$

In particular, the number of different monomials in $\text{per}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{C_n,l})$ equals $a_0(C_n, l)$.

It is not hard to verify the necessity of $(*)$ and that the conjecture is true for $n = 2$.

Acknowledgements A part of this work was done during my stay at the Centro di Ricerca Matematica Ennio De Giorgi (Pisa) in July 2009. I am grateful to this institution for the warm hospitality and support.

References

1. Almkvist, G.: Some formulas in invariant theory. *J. Algebra* **77**(2), 338–359 (1982)
2. Almkvist, G., Fossum, R.: Decomposition of exterior and symmetric powers of indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -modules in characteristic p and relations to invariants. In: *Séminaire d'Algèbre P. Dubreil, Paris, 1976–1977. Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 641, pp. 1–111. Springer, Berlin (1978)
3. Brualdi, R.A., Newman, M.: An enumeration problem for a congruence equation. *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand., Sect. B* **74**, 37–40 (1970)
4. Elashvili, A.G., Jibladze, M.: Hermite reciprocity for the regular representations of cyclic groups. *Indag. Math.* **9**(2), 233–238 (1998)
5. Elashvili, A.G., Jibladze, M.: “Hermite reciprocity” for semi-invariants in the regular representations of cyclic groups. *Proc. Razmadze Math. Inst* **119**, 21–24 (1999) (Tbilisi)
6. Elashvili, A.G., Jibladze, M., Patariaia, D.: Combinatorics of necklaces and Hermite reciprocity. *J. Algebraic Comb.* **10**, 173–188 (1999)
7. Fredman, M.: A symmetry relationship for a class of partitions. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* **18**, 199–202 (1975)
8. Hall, M.: A combinatorial problem on abelian groups. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **3**, 584–587 (1952)
9. Hardy, G.H., Wright, E.M.: *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 5th edn., pp. xvi+426. Clarendon/Oxford University Press, New York (1979)
10. Johnson, K.W.: On the group determinant. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **109**, 299–311 (1991)
11. Lehmer, D.: Some properties of circulants. *J. Number Theory* **5**, 43–54 (1973)
12. Stanley, R.P.: Invariants of finite groups and their applications to combinatorics. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **1**(3), 475–511 (1979)
13. Thomas, H.: The number of terms in the permanent and the determinant of a generic circulant matrix. *J. Algebraic Comb.* **20**, 55–60 (2004)