

Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

http://jipam.vu.edu.au/

Volume 5, Issue 1, Article 15, 2004

A GENERALIZATION OF AN INEQUALITY OF JIA AND CAU

EDWARD NEUMAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY CARBONDALE, IL 62901-4408, USA. edneuman@math.siu.edu URL: http://www.math.siu.edu/neuman/personal.html

Received 13 January, 2004; accepted 10 February, 2004 Communicated by J. Sándor

ABSTRACT. Let L, H_r , and A_s stand for the logarithmic mean, the Heronian mean of order r, and the power mean of order s, of two positive variables. A generalization of the inequality

 $L \leq H_r \leq A_s \label{eq:L}$ $(1/2 \leq r \leq 3s/2),$ of G. Jia and J. Cao ([3]), is obtained.

Key words and phrases: Means of two variables; Inequalities.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15.

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let x and y be positive numbers. The Heronian mean of order $a \in \mathbb{R}$ of x and y, denoted by $H_a \equiv H_a(x, y)$, is defined as

$$H_a = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x^a + (xy)^{a/2} + y^a}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}}, & a \neq 0\\ G, & a = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $G = \sqrt{xy}$ is the geometric mean of x and y. When a = 1, we will write H instead of H_1 . Let us note that H = (2A + G)/3, where A = (x + y)/2 is the arithmetic mean of x and y. The logarithmic mean L of x and y and the power mean A_a of order a of x and y are defined as

$$L = \begin{cases} \frac{x - y}{\ln x - \ln y}, & x \neq y\\ x, & x = y, \end{cases}$$

ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756

^{© 2004} Victoria University. All rights reserved.

⁰¹⁰⁻⁰⁴

and

$$A_a = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x^a + y^a}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}}, & a \neq 0\\ G, & a = 0 \end{cases}$$

respectively. Throughout the sequel the means of order one will be denoted by a single letter with the subscript 1 being omitted.

In the recent paper [3] the authors have established the following result. Let $\frac{1}{2} \le r \le \frac{3}{2}s$. Then

$$(1.1) L \le H_r \le A_s.$$

All the means mentioned earlier in this section belong to the large family of means introduced by K.B. Stolarsky in [8]. This two-parameter class of means, denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{a,b}$, is defined as follows

(1.2)
$$\mathcal{D}_{a,b} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{x^a - y^a}{x^b - y^b}\right)^{\frac{1}{(a-b)}}, & ab(a-b) \neq 0\\ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{a} + \frac{x^a \ln x - y^a \ln y}{x^a - y^a}\right), & a = b \neq 0\\ \left[\frac{x^a - y^a}{a(\ln x - \ln y)}\right]^{\frac{1}{a}}, & a \neq 0, b = 0\\ G, & a = b = 0. \end{cases}$$

For later use let us record some formulas which follow from (1.2). We have

(1.3)
$$H_r = \mathcal{D}_{3r/2, r/2}, \ A_s = \mathcal{D}_{2s,s}, \ L_p = \mathcal{D}_{p,0}, \ I_t = \mathcal{D}_{t,t}$$

Here L_p is the logarithmic mean of order p and I_t is called the identric mean of order t.

The inequalities (1.1) can be written in terms of the Stolarsky means as

$$\mathcal{D}_{1,0} \leq \mathcal{D}_{3r/2,r/2} \leq \mathcal{D}_{2s,s}.$$

The goal of this note is to provide a short proof of a general inequality (see (2.1)) which contains (1.1) as a special case.

2. MAIN RESULT

For the reader's convenience, we recall the Comparison Theorem for the Stolarsky means. Two functions

$$k(p,q) = \begin{cases} \frac{|p| - |q|}{p - q}, & p \neq q\\ \operatorname{sign}(p), & p = q \end{cases}$$

and

$$l(p,q) = \begin{cases} L(p,q), & p > 0, \ q > 0\\ 0, & p \cdot q = 0 \end{cases}$$

play a crucial role in the Comparison Theorem which has been established by E.B. Leach and M.C. Sholander [4] and also by Zs. Páles [6].

Theorem 2.1 (Comparison Theorem). Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the comparison inequality

$$\mathcal{D}_{a,b} \leq \mathcal{D}_{c,d}$$

holds true if and only if $a + b \le c + d$ *and*

$$\begin{split} l(a,b) &\leq l(c,d) & \text{if } 0 \leq \min(a,b,c,d), \\ k(a,b) &\leq k(c,d) & \text{if } \min(a,b,c,d) < 0 < \max(a,b,c,d), \\ -l(-a,-b) &\leq -l(-c,-d) & \text{if } \max(a,b,c,d) \leq 0. \end{split}$$

In what follows the symbols \mathbb{R}_+ and \mathbb{R}_- will stand for the nonnegative semi-axis and the nonpositive semi-axis, respectively.

The main result of this note reads as follows.

Theorem 2.2. Let $p, q, r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then the inequalities

$$(2.1) \mathcal{D}_{p,q} \le H_r \le \mathcal{D}_{s,i}$$

hold true if and only if

(2.2)
$$\max\left\{\frac{p+q}{2}, \ (\ln 3)l(p,q)\right\} \le r \le \min\left\{\frac{s+t}{2}, \ (\ln 3)l(s,t)\right\}.$$

If $p, q, r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{-}$, then the inequalities (2.1) are reversed if and only if

(2.3)
$$\max\left\{\frac{s+t}{2}, \ (-\ln 3)l(-s,-t)\right\} \le r \le \min\left\{\frac{p+q}{2}, \ (-\ln 3)l(-p,-q)\right\}.$$

Proof. We shall establish the first part of the assertion only. Using the Comparison Theorem we see that the inequalities

$$\mathcal{D}_{p,q} \le \mathcal{D}_{3r/2,r/2} \le \mathcal{D}_{s,t}$$

hold true if and only if

$$(2.5) p+q \le 2r \le s+t$$

and

$$l(p,q) \le \frac{r}{\ln 3} \le l(s,t).$$

Solving the inequalities for r we obtain (2.2). Since the middle term in (2.4) equals to H_r (see (1.3)), the assertion follows.

Remark 2.3. Letting p = 1, q = 0, s := 2s and t = s in (2.1) and next using (1.1') we obtain the inequalities (1.1).

Corollary 2.4. Let $p, q, r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then the inequalities

$$(2.7) L_p \le H_r \le A_s \le I_t$$

hold true if and only if $p \leq 2r \leq 3s \leq 2t$.

Proof. Letting q = 0, s := 2s, and t = s in (2.1) and (2.2) we obtain the first two inequalities in (2.7). It is easy to see, using the Comparison Theorem, that the inequality $\mathcal{D}_{2s,s} \leq \mathcal{D}_{t,t}$ is valid if and only if $3s \leq 2t$. This completes the proof of the third inequality in (2.7) because of (1.3).

It is worth mentioning that (2.7) contains two known results: $H \leq I$ (see [7]) and $\sqrt{AL} \leq A_{2/3} \leq I$ (see [5]). Indeed, letting p = 2, r = 1, $s = \frac{3}{2}$ and t = 1 in Corollary 2.4 we obtain

(2.8)
$$\sqrt{AL} \le H \le A_{2/3} \le I.$$

Here we have used the formula $L_2 = \sqrt{AL}$.

The celebrated Gauss' arithmetic-geometric mean $AGM \equiv AGM(x, y)$ of x > 0 and y > 0 is the common limit of two sequences $\{x_n\}_0^\infty$ and $\{y_n\}_0^\infty$, i.e.,

$$AGM = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n,$$

where $x_0 = x$, $y_0 = y$, $x_{n+1} = (x_n + y_n)/2$, $y_{n+1} = \sqrt{x_n y_n}$ $(n \ge 0)$. This important mean is used for numerical evaluation of the complete elliptic integral of the first kind [2]

$$R_K(x^2, y^2) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} (x^2 \cos^2 \phi + y^2 \sin^2 \phi)^{-1/2} d\phi.$$

Gauss' famous result states that $R_K(x^2, y^2) = 1/AGM(x, y)$.

Corollary 2.5. Let x > 0 and y > 0. Then

$$(2.9) AGM \le H_{3/4}.$$

Proof. J. Borwein and P. Borwein [1, Prop. 2.7] have proven that $AGM \leq L_{3/2}$. On the other hand, using the first inequality in (2.7) with p = 3/2 and r = 3/4 we obtain $L_{3/2} \leq H_{3/4}$. Hence (2.9) follows.

Some results of this note can be used to obtain inequalities involving hyperbolic functions. For instance, using (2.7), (1.3), and (1.2), with x = e and $y = e^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\sinh p}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le \left(\frac{2\cosh r+1}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \le (\cosh s)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \exp\left(-\frac{1}{t} + \coth t\right)$$

(0

REFERENCES

- [1] J.M. BORWEIN AND P.B. BORWEIN, Inequalities for compound mean iterations with logarithmic asymptotes, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **177** (1993), 572–582.
- [2] B.C. CARLSON, Special Functions of Applied Mathematics, Academic Press, New York, 1977.
- [3] G. JIA AND J. CAO, A new upper bound of the logarithmic mean, J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 4(4) (2003), Article 80. [ONLINE: http://jipam.vu.edu.au].
- [4] E.B. LEACH AND M.C. SHOLANDER, Multi-variable extended mean values, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 104 (1984), 390–407.
- [5] E. NEUMAN AND J. SÁNDOR, Inequalities involving Stolarsky and Gini means, *Math. Pannonica*, 14(1) (2003), 29–44.
- [6] Zs. PÁLES, Inequalities for differences of powers, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 131 (1988), 271–281.
- [7] J. SÁNDOR, A note on some inequalities for means, Arch. Math. (Basel), 56(5) (1991), 471–473.
- [8] K.B. STOLARSKY, Generalizations of the logarithmic mean, Math. Mag., 48(2) (1975), 87–92.