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## Abstract

Let $T_{e}(n)$ denote the product of exponential divisors of $n$. An integer $n$ is called multiplicatively $e$-perfect, if $T_{e}(n)=n^{2}$. A characterization of multiplicatively $e$-perfect and similar numbers is given.
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## 1. Introduction

If $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}$ is the prime factorization of $n>1$, a divisor $d \mid n$, called an exponential divisor (e-divisor, for short), of $n$ is $d=p_{1}^{b_{1}} \ldots p_{r}^{b_{r}}$ with $b_{i} \mid \alpha_{i}$ $(i=\overline{1, r})$. This notion is due to E. G. Straus and M. V. Subbarao [11]. Let $\sigma_{e}(n)$ be the sum of divisors of $n$. For various arithmetic functions and convolutions on $e$-divisors, see J. Sándor and A. Bege [10]. Straus and Subbarao define $n$ as exponentially perfect (or $e$-perfect for short) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{e}(n)=2 n \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some examples of $e$-perfect numbers are: $2^{2} \cdot 3^{2}, 2^{2} \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 5^{2}, 2^{4} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 11^{2}$, $2^{4} \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 11^{2}$, etc. If $m$ is squarefree, then $\sigma_{e}(m)=m$, so if $n$ is $e$-perfect, and $m=$ squarefree with $(m, n)=1$, then $m \cdot n$ is $e$-perfect, too. Thus it suffices to consider only powerful (i.e. no prime occurs to the first power) e-perfect numbers.

Straus and Subbarao [11] proved that there are no odd e-perfect numbers, and that for each $r$ the number of $e$-perfect numbers with $r$ prime factors is finite.

Is there an $e$-perfect number which is not divisible by 3 ? Straus and Subbarao conjecture that there is only a finite number of $e$-perfect numbers not divisible by any given prime $p$.
J. Fabrykowski and M.V. Subbarao [3] proved that any e-perfect number not divisible by 3 must be divisible by $2^{117}$, greater than $10^{664}$, and have at least 118 distinct prime factors.
P. Hagis, Jr. [4] showed that the density of $e$-perfect numbers is positive.
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For results on $e$-multiperfect numbers, i.e. satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{e}(n)=k n \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(k>2)$, see W. Aiello, G. E. Hardy and M. V. Subbarao [1]. See also J. Hanumanthachari, V. V. Subrahmanya Sastri and V. Srinivasan [5], who considered also $e$-superperfect numbers, i.e. numbers $n$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{e}\left(\sigma_{e}(n)\right)=2 n \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$



## 2. Main Results

Let $T(n)$ denote the product of divisors of $n$. Then $n$ is said to be multiplicatively perfect (or $m$-perfect) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=n^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and multiplicatively super-perfect, if

$$
T(T(n))=n^{2}
$$

For properties of these numbers, with generalizations, see J. Sándor [8].
A divisor $d$ of $n$ is said to be "unitary" if $\left(d, \frac{n}{d}\right)=1$. Let $T^{*}(n)$ be the product of unitary divisors of $n$. A. Bege [2] has studied the multiplicatively unitary perfect numbers, and proved certain results similar to those of Sándor. He considered also the case of "bi-unitary" divisors.

The aim of this paper is to study the multiplicatively e-perfect numbers. Let $T_{e}(n)$ denote the product of $e$-divisors of $n$. Then $n$ is called multiplicatively $e$-perfect if

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{e}(n)=n^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and multiplicatively $e$-superperfect if

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{e}\left(T_{e}(n)\right)=n^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Theorem 2.1. $n$ is multiplicatively e-perfect if and only if $n=p^{\alpha}$, where $p$ is a prime and $\alpha$ is an ordinary perfect number. $n$ is multiplicatively e-superperfect if and only if $n=p^{\alpha}$, where $p$ is a prime, and $\alpha$ is an ordinary superperfect number, i.e. $\sigma(\sigma(\alpha))=2 \alpha$.

Proof. First remark that if $p$ prime,

$$
T_{e}\left(p^{\alpha}\right)=\prod_{d \mid \alpha} p^{\alpha}=p^{\sum_{d \mid \alpha}^{d} d}=p^{\sigma(\alpha)}
$$

Let $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}$. Then the exponential divisors of $n$ have the form $p_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots p_{r}^{d_{r}}$ where $d_{1}\left|\alpha_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right| \alpha_{r}$. If $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r-1}$ are fixed, then these divisors are $p_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots p_{r-1}^{d_{r-1}} p_{r}^{d}$ with $d \mid \alpha_{r}$ and the product of these divisors is $p_{1}^{d_{1} d\left(\alpha_{r}\right)} \cdots$ $p_{r-1}^{d_{r-1} d\left(\alpha_{r}\right)} p_{r}^{\sigma\left(\alpha_{r}\right)}$, where $d(a)$ is the number of divisors of $a$, and $\sigma(a)$ denotes the sum of divisors of $a$. For example, when $r=2$, we get $p_{1}^{d_{1} d\left(\alpha_{2}\right)} p_{2}^{\sigma\left(\alpha_{2}\right)}$. The product of these divisors is $p_{1}^{\sigma\left(d_{1}\right) d\left(\alpha_{2}\right)} p_{2}^{\sigma\left(\alpha_{2}\right) d\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}$. In the general case (by first fixing $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r-2}$, etc.), it easily follows by induction that the following formula holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{e}(n)=p_{1}^{\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) d\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r}\right)} \cdots p_{r}^{\sigma\left(\alpha_{r}\right) d\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r-1}\right)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $n$ is multiplicatively $e$-perfect, by (2.2), and the unique factorization theorem it follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) d\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r}\right)=2 \alpha_{1}  \tag{2.5}\\
\cdots \\
\sigma\left(\alpha_{r}\right) d\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r-1}\right)=2 \alpha_{r}
\end{array}\right.
$$



On Multiplicatively $e$-Perfect Numbers

József Sándor

Title Page
Contents


Go Back
Close
Quit
Page 6 of 10
J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(4) Art. 114, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

This is impossible if all $\alpha_{i}=1(i=\overline{1, r})$. If at least an $\alpha_{i}=1$, let $\alpha_{1}=$ 1. Then $d\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r}\right)=2$, so one of $\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}$ is a prime, the others are equal to 1 . Let $\alpha_{2}=p, \alpha_{3}=\cdots=\alpha_{r}=1$. But then the equation $\sigma\left(\alpha_{2}\right) d\left(\alpha_{1}\right) d\left(\alpha_{3}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r}\right)=2 \alpha_{2}$ of (2.5) gives $\sigma\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=2 \alpha_{2}$, i.e. $\sigma(p)=2 p$, which is impossible since $p+1=2 p$.

Therefore, we must have $\alpha_{i} \geq 2$ for all $i=\overline{1, r}$.
Let $r \geq 2$ in (2.5). Then the first equation of (2.5) implies

$$
\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) d\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r}\right) \geq\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) \cdot 2^{r-1} \geq 2\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right)>2 \alpha_{1}
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we must have $r=1$, when $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}$ and $T_{e}(n)=$ $p_{1}^{\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}=n^{2 \alpha_{1}}$ iff $\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=2 \alpha_{1}$, i.e. if $\alpha_{1}$ is an ordinary perfect number. This proves the first part of the theorem.

By (2.4) we can write the following complicated formula:
(2.6) $T_{e}\left(T_{e}(n)\right)=p_{1}^{\sigma\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) d\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r}\right)\right) \cdots d\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{r}\right) d\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r-1}\right)\right)}$

$$
\cdots p_{r}^{\sigma\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{r}\right) d\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r-1}\right)\right) \cdots d\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) d\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r}\right)\right) .}
$$

Thus, if $n$ is multiplicatively $e$-superperfect, then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) d\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r}\right)\right) \cdots d\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{r}\right) d\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r-1}\right)\right)=2 \alpha_{1}  \tag{2.7}\\
\cdots \\
\sigma\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{r}\right) d\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r-1}\right)\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha\left(\alpha_{1}\right) d\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots d\left(\alpha_{r}\right)\right)=2 \alpha_{r}
\end{array}\right.
$$

As above, we must have $\alpha_{i} \geq 2$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, r$.
But then, since $\sigma(a b) \geq a \sigma(b)$ and $\sigma(b) \geq b+1$ for $b \geq 2$, (2.7) gives a contradiction, if $r \geq 2$. For $r=1$, on the other hand, when $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}$ and
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$T_{e}(n)=p_{1}^{\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}$ we get $T_{e}\left(T_{e}(n)\right)=p_{1}^{\sigma\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)}$, and (2.3) implies $\sigma\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)=2 \alpha_{1}$, i.e. $\alpha_{1}$ is an ordinary superperfect number.

Remark 2.1. No odd ordinary perfect or superperfect number is known. The even ordinary perfect numbers are given by the well-known Euclid-Euler theorem: $n=2^{k} p$, where $p=2^{k+1}-1$ is a prime ("Mersenne prime"). The even superperfect numbers have the general form (given by Suryanarayana-Kanold [12], [6]) $n=2^{k}$, where $2^{k+1}-1$ is a prime. For new proofs of these results, see e.g. [7], [9].
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