# AN EXTENSION OF THE REGION OF VARIABILITY OF A SUBCLASS OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS 

SUKHWINDER SINGH, SUSHMA GUPTA, AND SUKHJIT SINGH<br>Department of Applied Sciences<br>B.B.S.B. Engineering College<br>Fatehgarh Sahib-140 407, Punjab, India<br>ssbilling@gmail.com<br>Department of Mathematics<br>S.L.I.E.T. Longowal-148 106, Punjab, India<br>sushmagupta1@yahoo.com<br>sukhjit_d@yahoo.com

Received 03 May, 2009; accepted 05 November, 2009
Communicated by S.S. Dragomir

Abstract. We show that for $\alpha \in(0,2]$, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the condition

$$
(1-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec F(z)
$$

then $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{E}$, where $F$ is the conformal mapping of the unit disk $\mathbb{E}$ with $F(0)=1$
and

$$
F(\mathbb{E})=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \Re w=\alpha,|\Im w| \geq \sqrt{\alpha(2-\alpha)}\}
$$

Our result extends the region of variability of the differential operator

$$
(1-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)
$$

implying univalence of $f \in \mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{E}$, for $0<\alpha \leq 2$.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the class of functions analytic in $\mathbb{E}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ and for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ (set of complex numbers) and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (set of natural numbers), let $\mathcal{H}[a, n]$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of functions of the form $f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of functions $f$, analytic in $\mathbb{E}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$.
Let $f$ be analytic in $\mathbb{E}$, $g$ analytic and univalent in $\mathbb{E}$ and $f(0)=g(0)$. Then, by the symbol $f(z) \prec g(z)(f$ subordinate to $g)$ in $\mathbb{E}$, we shall mean $f(\mathbb{E}) \subset g(\mathbb{E})$.

[^0]Let $\psi: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function, $p$ be an analytic function in $\mathbb{E}$, with $\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z)\right) \in$ $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{E}$ and $h$ be univalent in $\mathbb{E}$, then the function $p$ is said to satisfy first order differential subordination if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z)\right) \prec h(z), \quad \psi(p(0), 0)=h(0) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A univalent function $q$ is called a dominant of the differential subordination (1.1) if $p(0)=q(0)$ and $p \prec q$ for all $p$ satisfying (1.1). A dominant $\tilde{q}$ that satisfies $\tilde{q} \prec q$ for all dominants $q$ of (1.1), is said to be the best dominant of (1.1). The best dominant is unique up to a rotation of $\mathbb{E}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$, respectively, the classes of starlike functions of order $\alpha$ and convex functions of order $\alpha$, which are analytically defined as follows:

$$
\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\alpha, z \in \mathbb{E}, 0 \leq \alpha<1\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{K}(\alpha)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\alpha, z \in \mathbb{E}, 0 \leq \alpha<1\right\}
$$

We write $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\mathcal{S}^{*}(0)$, the class of univalent starlike convex functions (w.r.t. the origin) and $\mathcal{K}(0)=\mathcal{K}$, the class of univalent convex functions.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be close-to-convex if there is a real number $\alpha,-\pi / 2<\alpha<\pi / 2$, and a convex function $g$ (not necessarily normalized) such that

$$
\Re\left(e^{i \alpha} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

It is well-known that every close-to-convex function is univalent. In 1934/35, Noshiro [4] and Warchawski [8] obtained a simple but interesting criterion for univalence of analytic functions. They proved that if an analytic function $f$ satisfies the condition $\Re f^{\prime}(z)>0$ for all $z$ in $\mathbb{E}$, then $f$ is close-to-convex and hence univalent in $\mathbb{E}$.

Let $\phi$ be analytic in a domain containing $f(\mathbb{E}), \phi(0)=0$ and $\Re \phi^{\prime}(0)>0$, then, the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be $\phi$-like in $\mathbb{E}$ if

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}\right)>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

This concept was introduced by Brickman [2]. He proved that an analytic function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is univalent if and only if $f$ is $\phi$-like for some $\phi$. Later, Ruscheweyh [5] investigated the following general class of $\phi$-like functions:

Let $\phi$ be analytic in a domain containing $f(\mathbb{E}), \phi(0)=0, \phi^{\prime}(0)=1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$. Then the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called $\phi$-like with respect to a univalent function $q, q(0)=1$, if

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \prec q(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(\beta)$ denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which satisfy the condition

$$
\Re\left[(1-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]>\beta, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are pre-assigned real numbers. Al-Amiri and Reade [1], in 1975, have shown that for $\alpha \leq 0$ and for $\alpha=1$, the functions in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(0)$ are univalent in $\mathbb{E}$. In 2005, Singh, Singh and Gupta [7] proved that for $0<\alpha<1$, the functions in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(\alpha)$ are also univalent. In 2007, Singh, Gupta and Singh [6] proved that the functions in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(\beta)$ satisfy the differential inequality $\Re f^{\prime}(z)>0, z \in \mathbb{E}$. Hence they are univalent for all real numbers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ satisfying
$\alpha \leq \beta<1$ and the result is sharp in the sense that the constant $\beta$ cannot be replaced by any real number less than $\alpha$.

The main objective of this paper is to extend the region of variability of the operator

$$
(1-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)
$$

implying univalence of $f \in \mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{E}$, for $0<\alpha \leq 2$. We prove a subordination theorem and as applications of the main result, we find the sufficient conditions for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to be univalent, starlike and $\phi$-like.

To prove our main results, we need the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu.
Lemma 1.1 ([3, p.132, Theorem 3.4 h$]$ ). Let $q$ be univalent in $\mathbb{E}$ and let $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $\mathbb{D}$ containing $q(\mathbb{E})$, with $\phi(w) \neq 0$, when $w \in q(\mathbb{E})$.
$\operatorname{Set} Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi[q(z)], h(z)=\theta[q(z)]+Q(z)$ and suppose that either
(i) $h$ is convex, or
(ii) $Q$ is starlike.

In addition, assume that
(iii) $\Re \frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}>0, z \in \mathbb{E}$.

If $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{E}$, with $p(0)=q(0), p(\mathbb{E}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and

$$
\theta[p(z)]+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi[p(z)] \prec \theta[q(z)]+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi[q(z)],
$$

then $p \prec q$ and $q$ is the best dominant.

## 2. Main Result

Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha \neq 0$ be a complex number. Let $q, q(z) \neq 0$, be a univalent function in $\mathbb{E}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left[1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right]>\max \left\{0, \Re\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} q(z)\right)\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p, p(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the differential subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha)(p(z)-1)+\alpha \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec(1-\alpha)(q(z)-1)+\alpha \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p \prec q$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Let us define the functions $\theta$ and $\phi$ as follows:

$$
\theta(w)=(1-\alpha)(w-1)
$$

and

$$
\phi(w)=\frac{\alpha}{w} .
$$

Obviously, the functions $\theta$ and $\phi$ are analytic in domain $\mathbb{D}=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$.
Now, define the functions $Q$ and $h$ as follows:

$$
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=\alpha \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

and

$$
h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)=(1-\alpha)(q(z)-1)+\alpha \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} .
$$

Then in view of condition (2.1), we have
(1) $Q$ is starlike in $\mathbb{E}$ and
(2) $\Re \frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}>0, z \in \mathbb{E}$.

Thus conditions (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 1.1, are satisfied.
In view of (2.2), we have

$$
\theta[p(z)]+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi[p(z)] \prec \theta[q(z)]+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi[q(z)] .
$$

Therefore, the proof, now, follows from Lemma 1.1 .

## 3. Applications to Univalent Functions

On writing $p(z)=f^{\prime}(z)$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\alpha \neq 0$ be a complex number. Let $q, q(z) \neq 0$, be a univalent function in $\mathbb{E}$ and satisfy the condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the differential subordination

$$
(1-\alpha)\left(f^{\prime}(z)-1\right)+\alpha \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec(1-\alpha)(q(z)-1)+\alpha \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

then $f^{\prime}(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
On writing $p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result.
Theorem 3.2. Let $\alpha \neq 0$ be a complex number. Let $q, q(z) \neq 0$, be a univalent function in $\mathbb{E}$ and satisfy the condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the differential subordination

$$
(1-2 \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec(1-\alpha) q(z)+\alpha \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

then $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
By taking $p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}$ in Theorem 2.1. we obtain the following result.
Theorem 3.3. Let $\alpha \neq 0$ be a complex number. Let $q, q(z) \neq 0$, be a univalent function in $\mathbb{E}$ and satisfy the condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the differential subordination

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z[\phi(f(z))]^{\prime}}{\phi(f(z))}\right) \prec(1-\alpha) q(z)+\alpha \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

where $\phi$ is analytic in a domain containing $f(\mathbb{E}), \phi(0)=0, \phi^{\prime}(0)=1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$, then $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.

Remark 1. When we select the dominant $q(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in \mathbb{E}$, then

$$
Q(z)=\frac{\alpha z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{2 \alpha z}{1-z^{2}},
$$

and

$$
\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\Re \frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

and hence $Q$ is starlike. We also have

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} q(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}+\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{1+z}{1-z} .
$$

Thus, for any real number $0<\alpha \leq 2$, we obtain

$$
\Re\left[1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} q(z)\right]>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{E} .
$$

Therefore, $q(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.

Moreover,

$$
(1-\alpha)(q(z)-1)+\alpha \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=2(1-\alpha) \frac{z}{1-z}+2 \alpha \frac{z}{1-z^{2}}=F(z) .
$$

For $0<\alpha \leq 2$, we see that $F$ is the conformal mapping of the unit disk $\mathbb{E}$ with $F(0)=0$ and

$$
F(\mathbb{E})=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \Re w=\alpha-1,|\Im w| \geq \sqrt{\alpha(2-\alpha)}\}
$$

In view of the above remark, on writing $q(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.4. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the differential subordination

$$
(1-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec 1+2(1-\alpha) \frac{z}{1-z}+2 \alpha \frac{z}{1-z^{2}}
$$

where $0<\alpha \leq 2$ is a real number, then $\Re f^{\prime}(z)>0, z \in \mathbb{E}$. Therefore, $f$ is close-to-convex and hence $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{E}$.

In view of Remark 1 and Corollary 3.4, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 3.5. Let $0<\alpha \leq 2$ be a real number. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{A}, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the condition

$$
(1-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec F(z) .
$$

Then $f$ is close-to-convex and hence univalent in $\mathbb{E}$, where $F$ is the conformal mapping of the unit disk $\mathbb{E}$ with $F(0)=1$ and

$$
F(\mathbb{E})=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \Re w=\alpha,|\Im w| \geq \sqrt{\alpha(2-\alpha)}\}
$$

From Corollary 3.4, we obtain the following result of Singh, Gupta and Singh [7].
Corollary 3.6. Let $0<\alpha<1$ be a real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\Re\left[(1-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]>\alpha
$$

then $\Re f^{\prime}(z)>0, z \in \mathbb{E}$. Therefore, $f$ is close-to-convex and hence $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{E}$.
From Corollary 3.4, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.7. Let $1<\alpha \leq 2$, be a real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\Re\left[(1-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]<\alpha
$$

then $\Re f^{\prime}(z)>0, z \in \mathbb{E}$. Therefore, $f$ is close-to-convex and hence $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{E}$.
When we select $q(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 3.8. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the differential subordination

$$
(1-2 \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec(1-\alpha) \frac{1+z}{1-z}+2 \alpha \frac{z}{1-z^{2}}=F_{1}(z)
$$

where $0<\alpha \leq 2$ is a real number, then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$.
In view of Corollary 3.8, we have the following result.
Corollary 3.9. Let $0<\alpha \leq 2$ be a real number. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the condition

$$
(1-2 \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec F_{1}(z)
$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$, where $F_{1}$ is the conformal mapping of the unit disk $\mathbb{E}$ with $F_{1}(0)=1-\alpha$ and

$$
F_{1}(\mathbb{E})=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \Re w=0,|\Im w| \geq \sqrt{\alpha(2-\alpha)}\}
$$

In view of Corollary 3.8, we have the following result.
Corollary 3.10. Let $0<\alpha<1$ be a real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\Re\left[(1-2 \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]>0
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$.
In view of Corollary 3.8, we also have the following result.
Corollary 3.11. Let $1<\alpha \leq 2$, be a real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\Re\left[(1-2 \alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]<0
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$.
When we select $q(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 3.12. Let $0<\alpha \leq 2$ be a real number. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfy the differential subordination

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z[\phi(f(z))]^{\prime}}{\phi(f(z))}\right) \prec(1-\alpha) \frac{1+z}{1-z}+2 \alpha \frac{z}{1-z^{2}}=F_{1}(z)
$$

Then $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, where $\phi$ is analytic in a domain containing $f(\mathbb{E}), \phi(0)=0, \phi^{\prime}(0)=1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$.

In view of Corollary 3.12, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 3.13. Let $0<\alpha \leq 2$ be a real number. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}$, satisfy the condition

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(f(z))}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z[\phi(f(z))]^{\prime}}{\phi(f(z))}\right) \prec F_{1}(z)
$$

Then $f$ is $\phi$-like in $\mathbb{E}$, where $\phi$ is analytic in a domain containing $f(\mathbb{E}), \phi(0)=0, \phi^{\prime}(0)=1$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(\mathbb{E}) \backslash\{0\}$ and $F_{1}$ is the conformal mapping of the unit disk $\mathbb{E}$ with $F_{1}(0)=1-\alpha$ and

$$
F_{1}(\mathbb{E})=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \Re w=0,|\Im w| \geq \sqrt{\alpha(2-\alpha)}\}
$$
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