


ELVAN AKIN-BOHNER, MARTIN BOHNER AND FAYSAL AKIN

University of Missouri–Rolla
Department of Mathematics
Rolla, MO 65409-0020, USA

EMail: akine@umr.edu

EMail: bohner@umr.edu

Dicle University
Department of Mathematics
Diyarbakir, Turkey *EMail:* akinff@dicle.edu.tr



volume 6, issue 1, article 6,
2005.

*Received 13 December, 2004;
accepted 04 January, 2005.*

Communicated by: D. Hinton

[Abstract](#)

[Contents](#)



[Home Page](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Abstract


In the study of dynamic equations on time scales we deal with certain dynamic inequalities which provide explicit bounds on the unknown functions and their derivatives. Most of the inequalities presented are of comparison or Gronwall type and, more specifically, of Pachpatte type.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 34A40; Secondary: 39A10.

Key words: Time scales, Pachpatte inequalities, Dynamic equations and inequalities.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Calculus On Time Scales	4
3	Dynamic Inequalities	11
4	Further Dynamic Inequalities	25
5	Inequalities involving Delta Derivatives	41
	References	



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close


Quit

Page 2 of 50

1. Introduction

In this paper we present a number of dynamic inequalities that are essentially based on Gronwall's inequality. Most of these inequalities are also known as being of Pachpatte type. For a summary of related continuous inequalities, the monograph [4] by Pachpatte is an authoritative source. For the corresponding discrete inequalities, we refer the interested reader to the excellent monograph [5] by Pachpatte.

Our dynamic inequalities unify and extend the (linear) inequalities presented in the first chapters of [4, 5]. The setup of this paper is as follows: In Section 2 we give some preliminary results with respect to the calculus on time scales, which can also be found in the books by Bohner and Peterson [2, 3]. Some basic dynamic inequalities are given as established in the paper by Agarwal, Bohner, and Peterson [1]. The remaining sections deal with our dynamic inequalities. Note that they contain differential and difference inequalities as special cases, and they also contain all other dynamic inequalities, such as, for example, q -difference inequalities, as special cases.



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 50

2. Calculus On Time Scales

A *time scale* \mathbb{T} is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers \mathbb{R} . We define the *forward jump operator* σ on \mathbb{T} by

$$\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\} \in \mathbb{T} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

In this definition we put $\sigma(\emptyset) = \sup \mathbb{T}$, where \emptyset is the empty set. If $\sigma(t) > t$, then we say that t is *right-scattered*. If $\sigma(t) = t$ and $t < \sup \mathbb{T}$, then we say that t is *right-dense*. The backward jump operator and left-scattered and left-dense points are defined in a similar way. The *graininess* $\mu : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined by $\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t$. The set \mathbb{T}^κ is derived from \mathbb{T} as follows: If \mathbb{T} has a left-scattered maximum m , then $\mathbb{T}^\kappa = \mathbb{T} - \{m\}$; otherwise, $\mathbb{T}^\kappa = \mathbb{T}$. For $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$, we define $f^\Delta(t)$ to be the number (provided it exists) such that given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood U of t with


$$|[f(\sigma(t)) - f(s)] - f^\Delta(t)[\sigma(t) - s]| \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s| \quad \text{for all } s \in U.$$

We call $f^\Delta(t)$ the *delta derivative* of f at t , and f^Δ is the usual derivative f' if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and the usual forward difference Δf (defined by $\Delta f(t) = f(t+1) - f(t)$) if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2.1. Assume $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$. Then we have the following:

- (i) If f is differentiable at t , then f is continuous at t .
- (ii) If f is continuous at t and t is right-scattered, then f is differentiable at t with

$$f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f(\sigma(t)) - f(t)}{\mu(t)}.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 4 of 50

(iii) If f is differentiable at t and t is right-dense, then

$$f^\Delta(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}.$$

(iv) If f is differentiable at t , then

$$f^\sigma(t) = f(t) + \mu(t)f^\Delta(t), \quad \text{where} \quad f^\sigma := f \circ \sigma.$$


(v) If f and g are differentiable at t , then so is fg with

$$(fg)^\Delta(t) = f^\Delta(t)g(t) + f^\sigma(t)g^\Delta(t).$$

We say that $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *rd-continuous* provided f is continuous at each right-dense point of \mathbb{T} and has a finite left-sided limit at each left-dense point of \mathbb{T} . The set of rd-continuous functions will be denoted in this paper by C_{rd} , and the set of functions that are differentiable and whose derivative is rd-continuous is denoted by C_{rd}^1 . A function $F : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an *antiderivative* of $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ provided $F^\Delta(t) = f(t)$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$. In this case we define the integral of f by

$$\int_s^t f(\tau)\Delta\tau = F(t) - F(s) \quad \text{for} \quad s, t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

We say that $p : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *regressive* provided $1 + \mu(t)p(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. We denote by \mathcal{R} the set of all regressive and rd-continuous functions. We define



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 5 of 50

the set of all positively regressive functions by $\mathcal{R}^+ = \{p \in \mathcal{R} : 1 + \mu(t)p(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}\}$. If $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$, then we define

$$p \oplus q = p + q + \mu pq, \quad \ominus q = -\frac{q}{1 + \mu q}, \quad \text{and} \quad p \ominus q = p \oplus (\ominus q).$$


If $p : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is rd-continuous and regressive, then the *exponential function* $e_p(\cdot, t_0)$ is for each fixed $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$x^\Delta = p(t)x, \quad x(t_0) = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{T}.$$

We use the following four theorems which are proved in Bohner and Peterson [2].

Theorem 2.2. *If $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$, then*

- (i) $e_p(t, t) \equiv 1$ and $e_0(t, s) \equiv 1$;
- (ii) $e_p(\sigma(t), s) = (1 + \mu(t)p(t))e_p(t, s)$;
- (iii) $\frac{1}{e_p(t, s)} = e_{\ominus p}(t, s) = e_p(s, t)$;
- (iv) $\frac{e_p(t, s)}{e_q(t, s)} = e_{p \ominus q}(t, s)$;
- (v) $e_p(t, s)e_q(t, s) = e_{p \oplus q}(t, s)$;
- (vi) if $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$, then $e_p(t, t_0) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$.



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 6 of 50

Example 2.1. In order to allow for a comparison with the continuous Pachpatte inequalities given in [4], we note that, if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, the exponential function is given by

$$e_p(t, s) = e^{\int_s^t p(\tau) d\tau}, \quad e_\alpha(t, s) = e^{\alpha(t-s)}, \quad e_\alpha(t, 0) = e^{\alpha t}$$

for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant and $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. To compare with the discrete Pachpatte inequalities given in [5], we also give the exponential function for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ as

$$e_p(t, s) = \prod_{\tau=s}^{t-1} [1 + p(\tau)], \quad e_\alpha(t, s) = (1 + \alpha)^{t-s}, \quad e_\alpha(t, 0) = (1 + \alpha)^t$$


for $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $s < t$, where $\alpha \neq -1$ is a constant and $p : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sequence satisfying $p(t) \neq -1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Further examples of exponential functions can be found in [2, Section 2.3].

Theorem 2.3. If $p \in \mathcal{R}$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{T}$, then

$$\int_a^b p(t) e_p(c, \sigma(t)) \Delta t = e_p(c, a) - e_p(c, b).$$

Theorem 2.4. If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{T}$ and $f \in C_{rd}$ such that $f(t) \geq 0$ for all $a \leq t < b$, then

$$\int_a^b f(t) \Delta t \geq 0.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 7 of 50

Theorem 2.5. Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$ and assume $k : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at (t, t) , where $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$ with $t > t_0$. Also assume that $k(t, \cdot)$ is rd-continuous on $[t_0, \sigma(t)]$. Suppose that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood U of t , independent of $\tau \in [t_0, \sigma(t)]$, such that

$$|k(\sigma(t), \tau) - k(s, \tau) - k^{\Delta}(t, \tau)(\sigma(t) - s)| \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s| \quad \text{for all } s \in U,$$

where k^{Δ} denotes the derivative of k with respect to the first variable. Then

$$g(t) := \int_{t_0}^t k(t, \tau) \Delta\tau \quad \text{implies} \quad g^{\Delta}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t k^{\Delta}(t, \tau) \Delta\tau + k(\sigma(t), t).$$

The next four results are proved by Agarwal, Bohner and Peterson [1]. For convenience of notation we let throughout

$$t_0 \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \mathbb{T}_0 = [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}_0^- = (-\infty, t_0] \cap \mathbb{T}.$$

Also, for a function $b \in C_{\text{rd}}$ we write


$$b \geq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad b(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Theorem 2.6 (Comparison Theorem). Suppose $u, b \in C_{\text{rd}}$ and $a \in \mathcal{R}^+$. Then

$$u^{\Delta}(t) \leq a(t)u(t) + b(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq u(t_0)e_a(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_a(t, \sigma(\tau))b(\tau) \Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 8 of 50

Theorem 2.7 (Gronwall's Inequality). Suppose $u, a, b \in C_{rd}$ and $b \geq 0$. Then

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)u(\tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t a(\tau)b(\tau)e_b(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Remark 1. In the next section we show that Gronwall's inequality can be stated in different forms (see Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.5, Theorem 3.8, and Theorem 3.10).

The next two results follow from Theorem 2.7 with $a = 0$ and $a = u_0$, respectively.

Corollary 2.8. Suppose $u, b \in C_{rd}$ and $b \geq 0$. Then

$$u(t) \leq \int_{t_0}^t u(\tau)b(\tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies


$$u(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Corollary 2.9. Suppose $u, b \in C_{rd}$, $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $b \geq 0$. Then

$$u(t) \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)u(\tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq u_0 e_b(t, t_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 9 of 50

The continuous version [4, Th. 1.2.2] of Corollary 2.9 was first proved by Bellman, while the corresponding discrete version [5, Th. 1.2.2] is due to Sugiyama.

The remaining results in this section will be needed later on in this paper.

Corollary 2.10. *If $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $p(t) \leq q(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then*

$$e_p(t, t_0) \leq e_q(t, t_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Proof. Let $u(t) = e_p(t, t_0)$. Then

$$u^\Delta(t) = p(t)u(t) \leq q(t)u(t).$$

Now note that $q \in \mathcal{R}^+$, so using Theorem 2.6 with $a = q$ and $b = 0$, we obtain


$$e_p(t, t_0) = u(t) \leq u(t_0)e_q(t, t_0) = e_q(t, t_0)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$. □

Remark 2. *The following statements hold:*

(i) *If $p \geq 0$, then $e_p(t, t_0) \geq e_0(t, t_0) = 1$ by Corollary 2.10 and Theorem 2.2. Therefore $e_{\ominus p}(t, t_0) \leq 1$.*

(ii) *If $p \geq 0$, then $e_p(\cdot, t_0)$ is nondecreasing since $e_p^\Delta(t, t_0) = p(t)e_p(t, t_0) \geq 0$.*



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 10 of 50

3. Dynamic Inequalities

Note that when $p = 1$ and $q = 0$ in Theorem 3.1 below, then we obtain Theorem 2.7. For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, see [4, Th. 1.3.4]. For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, we refer to [5, Th. 1.3.1 and Th. 1.2.3]. The proof of Theorem 3.1 below is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.7 and hence is omitted.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $u, a, b, p, q \in C_{rd}$ and $b, p \geq 0$. Then*

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t [b(\tau)u(\tau) + q(\tau)]\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t [a(\tau)b(\tau) + q(\tau)]e_{bp}(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

The next result follows from Theorem 3.1 with $a = q = 0$.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose $u, b, p \in C_{rd}$ and $b, p \geq 0$. Then*


$$u(t) \leq p(t) \int_{t_0}^t u(\tau)b(\tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Remark 3. *The following statements hold:*

(i) *If $p = 1$ in Corollary 3.2, then we get Corollary 2.8.*



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 11 of 50

(ii) If $q = 0$ in Theorem 3.1 and a is nondecreasing on \mathbb{T} , then

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)u(\tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq a(t) \left[1 + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)e_{bp}(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau \right] \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

For the cases $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, see [4, Th. 1.3.3] and [5, Th. 1.2.4], respectively.


The next result follows from Theorem 3.1. While the continuous version [4, Th. 1.5.1] of Theorem 3.3 below is due to Gamidov, its discrete version [5, Th. 1.3.2] has been established by Pachpatte.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose $u, a, b_i, p_i \in C_{rd}$ and $u, b_i, p := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} p_j \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t b_i(\tau)u(\tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies with $b := \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t a(\tau)b(\tau)e_{bp}(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 12 of 50

The comparison theorem motivates us to consider the following result whose proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 3.4 (Comparison Theorem). *Let $u, b \in C_{rd}$ and $a \in \mathcal{R}^+$. Then*

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq -a(t)u^\sigma(t) + b(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq u(t_0)e_{\ominus a}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)e_{\ominus a}(t, \tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0,$$

and

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq -a(t)u^\sigma(t) + b(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$$

implies

$$u(t) \geq u(t_0)e_{\ominus a}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)e_{\ominus a}(t, \tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-.$$


Proof. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} [ue_a(\cdot, t_0)]^\Delta(t) &= u^\Delta(t)e_a(t, t_0) + u^\sigma(t)a(t)e_a(t, t_0) \\ &= [u^\Delta(t) + a(t)u^\sigma(t)]e_a(t, t_0) \\ &\leq b(t)e_a(t, t_0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$ so that

$$u(t)e_a(t, t_0) - u(t_0)e_a(t_0, t_0) \leq \int_{t_0}^t e_a(\tau, t_0)b(\tau)\Delta\tau$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$, and hence the first claim follows. For the second claim, note that the latter inequality is reversed if $t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$. \square



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 13 of 50

For the continuous and discrete versions of the following three theorems, we refer the reader to [4, Th. 1.3.4, Th. 1.3.3, and Th. 1.3.5] and [5, Th. 1.2.5, Th. 1.2.6, and Th. 1.2.8], respectively.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose $u, b, p, q \in C_{\text{rd}}$ and $b, p \geq 0$. Then*

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + p(t) \int_t^{t_0} [b(\tau)u^\sigma(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$$

implies


$$u(t) \leq a(t) + p(t) \int_t^{t_0} [b(\tau)a^\sigma(\tau) + q(\tau)] e_{\ominus(bp^\sigma)}(t, \tau) \Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-.$$

Proof. Define $z(t) := - \int_t^{t_0} [b(\tau)u^\sigma(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau$. Then for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$

$$\begin{aligned} z^\Delta(t) &= b(t)u^\sigma(t) + q(t) \\ &\leq b(t) [a^\sigma(t) - p^\sigma(t)z^\sigma(t)] + q(t) \\ &= -b(t)p^\sigma(t)z^\sigma(t) + b(t)a^\sigma(t) + q(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $b, p \geq 0$, we have $bp^\sigma \in \mathcal{R}^+$, and we may apply Theorem 3.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &\geq z(t_0)e_{\ominus(bp^\sigma)}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{\ominus(bp^\sigma)}(t, \tau) [b(\tau)a^\sigma(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau \\ &= - \int_t^{t_0} e_{\ominus(bp^\sigma)}(t, \tau) [b(\tau)a^\sigma(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau \end{aligned}$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 14 of 50

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq a(t) - p(t)z(t) \\ &\leq a(t) + p(t) \int_t^{t_0} e_{\ominus(bp^\sigma)}(t, \tau) [b(\tau)a^\sigma(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$. □

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose $u, b \in C_{\text{rd}}$, $b \geq 0$, and $a \in C_{\text{rd}}^1$. Then*

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)u(\tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq a(t_0)e_b(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t a^\Delta(\tau)e_b(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$


Proof. Define $z(t) := a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)u(\tau)\Delta\tau$. Then we obtain $z^\Delta(t) \leq a^\Delta(t) + b(t)z(t)$. Applying Theorem 2.6 completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose $\phi, u, b, p \in C_{\text{rd}}$ and $b, p \geq 0$. Then*

$$u(t) \geq \phi(s) - p(t) \int_s^t b(\tau)\phi^\sigma(\tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathbb{T}, s \leq t$$

implies

$$u(t) \geq \phi(s)e_{\ominus(p(t)b)}(t, s) \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathbb{T}, s \leq t.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 15 of 50

Proof. Fix $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. Then

$$\phi(t) \leq u(t_0) + p(t_0) \int_t^{t_0} b(\tau) \phi^\sigma(\tau) \Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-.$$

By Theorem 3.5, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t) &\leq u(t_0) + p(t_0) \int_t^{t_0} b(\tau) u(t_0) e_{\ominus(bp(t_0))}(t, \tau) \Delta\tau \\ &= u(t_0) + u(t_0) \int_t^{t_0} b(\tau) p(t_0) e_{bp(t_0)}(\tau, t) \Delta\tau \\ &= u(t_0) + u(t_0) [e_{bp(t_0)}(t_0, t) - 1] \\ &= u(t_0) e_{bp(t_0)}(t_0, t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$ and thus

$$u(t_0) \geq \phi(t) e_{\ominus(bp(t_0))}(t_0, t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-.$$


Since $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ was arbitrary, the claim follows. \square

Remark 4. *The following statements hold:*

(i) *The continuous version of Theorem 3.7 is due to Gollwitzer.*

(ii) *When $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$,*

$$e_{\ominus(p(t)b)}(t, s) = e^{-p(t) \int_s^t b(\tau) d\tau},$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 16 of 50

and when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$,

$$e_{\ominus(p(t)b)}(t, s) = \prod_{\tau=s}^{t-1} [1 + p(t)b(\tau)]^{-1}.$$

(iii) If $p = 1$ in Theorem 3.7, then we obtain $u(t) \geq \phi(s)e_{\ominus b}(t, s)$.

The following Volterra type inequality reduces to Theorem 2.7 if $k = p = 1$ and $q = 0$. For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, it is due to Norbury and Stuart and can be found in [4, Th. 1.4.3]. For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, see [5, Th. 1.3.4 and Th. 1.3.3].

Theorem 3.8. Suppose $u, a, b, p, q \in C_{rd}$ and $u, b, p, q \geq 0$. Let $k(t, s)$ be defined as in Theorem 2.5 such that $k(\sigma(t), t) \geq 0$ and $k^\Delta(t, s) \geq 0$ for $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ with $s \leq t$. Then


$$u(t) \leq a(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t k(t, \tau) [b(\tau)u(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t \bar{b}(\tau) e_{\bar{a}}(t, \sigma(\tau)) \Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0,$$

where

$$\bar{a}(t) = k(\sigma(t), t)b(t)p(t) + \int_{t_0}^t k^\Delta(t, \tau)b(\tau)p(\tau)\Delta\tau$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 17 of 50

and

$$\bar{b}(t) = k(\sigma(t), t) [a(t)b(t) + q(t)] + \int_{t_0}^t k^\Delta(t, \tau) [a(\tau)b(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau.$$

Proof. Define $z(t) := \int_{t_0}^t k(t, \tau) [b(\tau)u(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau$. Then for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} z^\Delta(t) &= k(\sigma(t), t) [b(t)u(t) + q(t)] + \int_{t_0}^t k^\Delta(t, \tau) [b(\tau)u(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau \\ &\leq \left\{ k(\sigma(t), t)b(t)p(t) + \int_{t_0}^t k^\Delta(t, \tau)b(\tau)p(\tau)\Delta\tau \right\} z(t) \\ &\quad + k(\sigma(t), t) [a(t)b(t) + q(t)] + \int_{t_0}^t k^\Delta(t, \tau) [a(\tau)b(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau \\ &= \bar{a}(t)z(t) + \bar{b}(t). \end{aligned}$$


In view of $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{R}^+$, we may apply Theorem 2.6 to obtain

$$z(t) \leq z(t_0)e_{\bar{a}}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{\bar{a}}(t, \sigma(\tau))\bar{b}(\tau)\Delta\tau = \int_{t_0}^t e_{\bar{a}}(t, \sigma(\tau))\bar{b}(\tau)\Delta\tau$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$. Since $u(t) \leq a(t) + p(t)z(t)$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$, the claim follows. \square

Corollary 3.9. *In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 3.8 with $p = b = 1$ and $q = 0$, suppose that a is nondecreasing. Then*

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t k(t, \tau)u(\tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 18 of 50

implies

$$u(t) \leq a(t)e_{\bar{a}}(t, t_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0,$$

where

$$\bar{a}(t) = k(\sigma(t), t) + \int_{t_0}^t k^\Delta(t, \tau) \Delta\tau.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.8 with


$$\begin{aligned} \bar{b}(t) &= k(\sigma(t), t)a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t k^\Delta(t, \tau)a(\tau)\Delta\tau \\ &\leq \left\{ k(\sigma(t), t) + \int_{t_0}^t k^\Delta(t, \tau)\Delta\tau \right\} a(t) \\ &= \bar{a}(t)a(t), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \bar{b}(\tau)e_{\bar{a}}(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau \\ &\leq a(t) \left\{ 1 + \int_{t_0}^t \bar{a}(\tau)e_{\bar{a}}(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau \right\} \\ &= a(t) \{1 + e_{\bar{a}}(t, t_0) - e_{\bar{a}}(t, t)\} \\ &= a(t)e_{\bar{a}}(t, t_0), \end{aligned}$$

where we have also used Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. □

The following theorem with $k = 1$ reduces to Theorem 3.5.



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 19 of 50

Theorem 3.10. Suppose $u, a, b, p, q \in C_{rd}$ and $u, b, p, q \geq 0$. Let $k(t, s)$ be defined as in Theorem 2.5 such that $k(\sigma(t), t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$ and $k^\Delta(t, s) \leq 0$ for $s, t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$ with $s \geq t$. Then

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + p(t) \int_t^{t_0} k(t, \tau) [b(\tau)u^\sigma(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + p(t) \int_t^{t_0} \bar{b}(\tau) e_{\ominus \bar{a}}(t, \tau) \Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-,$$

where


$$\bar{a}(t) = k(\sigma(t), t)b(t)p(\sigma(t)) - \int_t^{t_0} k^\Delta(t, \tau)b(\tau)p^\sigma(\tau)\Delta\tau$$

and

$$\bar{b}(t) = k(\sigma(t), t) [b(t)a^\sigma(t) + q(t)] - \int_t^{t_0} k^\Delta(t, \tau) [b(\tau)a^\sigma(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau.$$

Proof. Define $z(t) := - \int_t^{t_0} k(t, \tau) [b(\tau)u^\sigma(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau$. Then for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0^- \setminus \{t_0\}$

$$z^\Delta(t) = k(\sigma(t), t) [b(t)u^\sigma(t) + q(t)] - \int_t^{t_0} k^\Delta(t, \tau) [b(\tau)u^\sigma(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 20 of 50

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq - \left\{ k(\sigma(t), t)b(t)p^\sigma(t) - \int_t^{t_0} k^\Delta(t, \tau)b(\tau)p^\sigma(\tau)\Delta\tau \right\} z^\sigma(t) \\ &\quad + k(\sigma(t), t) [b(t)a^\sigma(t) + q(t)] - \int_t^{t_0} k^\Delta(t, \tau) [b(\tau)a^\sigma(\tau) + q(\tau)] \Delta\tau \\ &= -\bar{a}(t)z^\sigma(t) + \bar{b}(t). \end{aligned}$$

In view of $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{R}^+$, we may apply Theorem 3.4 to obtain for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$

$$z(t) \geq z(t_0)e_{\ominus\bar{a}}(t, t_0) - \int_t^{t_0} e_{\ominus\bar{a}}(t, \tau)\bar{b}(\tau)\Delta\tau = - \int_t^{t_0} e_{\ominus\bar{a}}(t, \tau)\bar{b}(\tau)\Delta\tau.$$

Since $u(t) \leq a(t) - p(t)z(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$, the claim follows. \square

Corollary 3.11. *In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 3.10 with $p = b = 1$ and $q = 0$, suppose that a is nondecreasing. Then*

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_t^{t_0} k(t, \tau)u^\sigma(\tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-$$


implies

$$u(t) \leq a(t)e_{\bar{a}}(t_0, t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0^-,$$

where

$$\bar{a}(t) = k(\sigma(t), t) - \int_t^{t_0} k^\Delta(t, \tau)\Delta\tau.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Corollary 3.9, this time using Theorem 3.10 instead of Theorem 3.8. Note also that this time we have $\bar{b}(t) \leq \bar{a}(t)a^\sigma(t)$. \square



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 21 of 50

The continuous versions of our next two results are essentially due to Greene and can be found in [4, Th. 1.6.2 and Th. 1.6.1]. Their discrete versions [5, Th. 1.3.8 and Th. 1.3.7] are proved by Pachpatte. Note that for the discrete versions, “normal” exponential functions are used, while we employ time scales exponential functions below.

Theorem 3.12. *Suppose $u, v, f, g, p, q, b_i \in C_{rd}$ and $u, v, f, p, q, b_i \geq 0, i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then*

$$u(t) \leq f(t) + p(t) \left[\int_{t_0}^t b_1(\tau)u(\tau)\Delta\tau + \int_{t_0}^t e_q(\tau, t_0)b_2(\tau)v(\tau)\Delta\tau \right]$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$

and

$$v(t) \leq g(t) + p(t) \left[\int_{t_0}^t e_{\ominus q}(\tau, t_0)b_3(\tau)u(\tau)\Delta\tau + \int_{t_0}^t b_4(\tau)v(\tau)\Delta\tau \right]$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$

imply


$$u(t) \leq e_q(t, t_0)Q(t) \quad \text{and} \quad v(t) \leq Q(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0,$$

where

$$Q(t) = f(t) + g(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t [f(\tau) + g(\tau)] b(\tau) e_{bp}(t, \sigma(\tau)) \Delta\tau$$

with

$$b(t) = \max \{b_1(t) + b_3(t), b_2(t) + b_4(t)\}.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 22 of 50

Proof. We define $w(t) = e_{\ominus q}(t, t_0)u(t) + v(t)$. By Remark 2 we obtain for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &\leq e_{\ominus q}(t, t_0)f(t) + g(t) \\ &\quad + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ [e_{\ominus q}(t, t_0)b_1(\tau) + e_{\ominus q}(\tau, t_0)b_3(\tau)] u(\tau) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [e_{\ominus q}(t, \tau)b_2(\tau) + b_4(\tau)] v(\tau) \right\} \Delta\tau \\ &\leq e_{\ominus q}(t, t_0)f(t) + g(t) \\ &\quad + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ e_{\ominus q}(\tau, t_0) [b_1(\tau) + b_3(\tau)] u(\tau) + [b_2(\tau) + b_4(\tau)] v(\tau) \right\} \Delta\tau \\ &\leq e_{\ominus q}(t, t_0)f(t) + g(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)w(\tau)\Delta\tau \\ &\leq f(t) + g(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)w(\tau)\Delta\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Now $b, p \geq 0$ so that Theorem 3.1 yields for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$

$$w(t) \leq f(t) + g(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t [f(\tau) + g(\tau)] b(\tau)e_{bp}(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau = Q(t).$$


Hence

$$u(t) = e_q(t, t_0)w(t) - e_q(t, t_0)v(t) \leq e_q(t, t_0)Q(t)$$

and

$$v(t) = w(t) - e_{\ominus q}(t, t_0)u(t) \leq Q(t)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$. □



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 23 of 50

Corollary 3.13. *In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 3.12 with $f(t) \equiv c_1$, $g(t) \equiv c_2$, and $p(t) \equiv 1$, suppose $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$u(t) \leq c_1 + \int_{t_0}^t [b_1(\tau)u(\tau) + e_q(\tau, t_0)b_2(\tau)v(\tau)] \Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

and

$$v(t) \leq c_2 + \int_{t_0}^t [e_{\ominus q}(\tau, t_0)b_3(\tau)u(\tau) + b_4(\tau)v(\tau)] \Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$


imply with $c = c_1 + c_2$

$$u(t) \leq ce_{b \oplus q}(t, t_0) \quad \text{and} \quad v(t) \leq ce_b(t, t_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Proof. In this case we find, using Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, that

$$Q(t) = c + \int_{t_0}^t cb(\tau)e_b(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau = ce_b(t, t_0).$$

Hence $u(t) \leq e_q(t, t_0)ce_b(t, t_0) = ce_{b \oplus q}(t, t_0)$ and $v(t) \leq ce_b(t, t_0)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$ by Theorem 3.12. \square



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 24 of 50

4. Further Dynamic Inequalities

Our first few results are, even for the cases $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, more general than any result given in [4, 5].

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $u, a, b, c, d, p, w \in C_{\text{rd}}$ such that $u, a, b, c, p, w \geq 0$. Then*

$$u(t) \leq w(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ [a(\tau) + b(\tau)]u(\tau) + b(\tau)p(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} [c(s)u(s) + d(s)]\Delta s \right\} \Delta\tau$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$ implies

$$u(t) \leq w(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t [a(\tau) + b(\tau)] \times \left\{ w(\tau) + p(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} e_{p(a+b+c)}(\tau, \sigma(s)) [(a + b + c)w + d](s)\Delta s \right\} \Delta\tau$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$.

Proof. Define

$$z(t) := \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ [a(\tau) + b(\tau)]u(\tau) + b(\tau)p(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} [c(s)u(s) + d(s)]\Delta s \right\} \Delta\tau$$

and

$$r(t) := z(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ c(\tau) [w(\tau) + p(\tau)z(\tau)] + d(\tau) \right\} \Delta\tau.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 25 of 50

Then we have $u(t) \leq w(t) + p(t)z(t)$, $z(t) \leq r(t)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} z^\Delta(t) &= [a(t) + b(t)]u(t) + b(t)p(t) \int_{t_0}^t [c(\tau)u(\tau) + d(\tau)]\Delta\tau \\ &\leq [a(t) + b(t)]w(t) + a(t)p(t)z(t) + b(t)p(t)r(t) \\ &\leq [a(t) + b(t)][w(t) + p(t)r(t)] \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} r^\Delta(t) &= z^\Delta(t) + c(t)[w(t) + p(t)z(t)] + d(t) \\ &\leq [a(t) + b(t)][w(t) + p(t)r(t)] + c(t)[w(t) + p(t)r(t)] + d(t) \\ &= [(a + b + c)p](t)r(t) + [(a + b + c)w + d](t). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.6 we find


$$r(t) \leq \int_{t_0}^t e_{(a+b+c)p}(t, \sigma(\tau))[(a + b + c)w + d](\tau)\Delta\tau$$

since $r(t_0) = 0$. Using this in $z^\Delta(t) \leq [a(t) + b(t)][w(t) + p(t)r(t)]$ and integrating the resulting inequality completes the proof. \square

In certain cases it will be possible to further evaluate the integral occurring in Theorem 4.1. To this end we present the following useful auxiliary result, which is an extension of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable. If $p \in \mathcal{R}$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{T}$, then*

$$\int_a^b f(t)p(t)e_p(c, \sigma(t))\Delta t = e_p(c, a)f(a) - e_p(c, b)f(b) + \int_a^b e_p(c, \sigma(t))f^\Delta(t)\Delta t.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 26 of 50


Proof. We use Theorem 2.2 and integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_a^b e_p(c, \sigma(t))p(t)f(t)\Delta t \\
 &= \int_a^b e_{\ominus p}(\sigma(t), c)p(t)f(t)\Delta t \\
 &= \int_a^b \frac{1}{1 + \mu(t)p(t)} e_{\ominus p}(t, c)p(t)f(t)\Delta t \\
 &= - \int_a^b (\ominus p)(t)e_{\ominus p}(t, c)f(t)\Delta t \\
 &= - \int_a^b e_{\ominus p}^{\Delta}(t, c)f(t)\Delta t \\
 &= - \left\{ e_{\ominus p}(b, c)f(b) - e_{\ominus p}(a, c)f(a) - \int_a^b e_{\ominus p}(\sigma(t), c)f^{\Delta}(t)\Delta t \right\} \\
 &= e_p(c, a)f(a) - e_p(c, b)f(b) + \int_a^b e_p(c, \sigma(t))f^{\Delta}(t)\Delta t,
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Using Theorem 4.2, we now present the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose $u, a, b, c, d, p, w \in C_{rd}$ such that $u, a, b, c, p, w \geq 0$.*



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 27 of 50

Furthermore assume that w is differentiable and that p is nonincreasing. Then

$$u(t) \leq w(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ [a(\tau) + b(\tau)]u(\tau) + b(\tau)p(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} [c(s)u(s) + d(s)]\Delta s \right\} \Delta\tau$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$ implies

$$u(t) \leq w(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t [a(\tau) + b(\tau)] \left\{ e_{(a+b+c)p}(\tau, t_0)w(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} e_{p(a+b+c)}(\tau, \sigma(s))[w^\Delta(s) + p(\tau)d(s)]\Delta s \right\} \Delta\tau$$


for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$.

Proof. Using Theorem 4.2 and the fact that p is nonincreasing, we employ Theorem 4.1 to find

$$u(t) \leq w(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t [a(\tau) + b(\tau)]z(\tau)\Delta\tau,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &:= w(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t e_{(a+b+c)p}(t, \sigma(\tau))[(a + b + c)w + d](\tau)\Delta\tau \\ &\leq w(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t e_{(a+b+c)p}(t, \sigma(\tau))d(\tau)\Delta\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t e_{(a+b+c)p}(t, \sigma(\tau))(a + b + c)(\tau)p(\tau)w(\tau)\Delta\tau \end{aligned}$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 28 of 50

$$\begin{aligned}
&= p(t) \int_{t_0}^t e_{(a+b+c)p}(t, \sigma(\tau)) d(\tau) \Delta\tau + e_{(a+b+c)p}(t, t_0) w(t_0) \\
&\quad + \int_{t_0}^t e_{(a+b+c)p}(t, \sigma(\tau)) w^\Delta(\tau) \Delta\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 4.3 we can conclude*

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) \leq & e_{(a+b+c)p}(t, t_0) w(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ w^\Delta(\tau) + [a(\tau) + b(\tau)] \right. \\
& \left. \times \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(\tau)} e_{(a+b+c)p}(\tau, \sigma(s)) [w^\Delta(s) + p(\tau) d(s)] \Delta s \right\} \Delta\tau
\end{aligned}$$


for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$.

Proof. The estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
p(t) \int_{t_0}^t [a(\tau) + b(\tau)] e_{(a+b+c)p}(\tau, t_0) \Delta\tau \\
\leq \int_{t_0}^t [a(\tau) + b(\tau) + c(\tau)] p(\tau) e_{(a+b+c)p}(\tau, t_0) \Delta\tau
\end{aligned}$$

completes the proof as the latter integral may be evaluated directly. \square

The following two results (for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, see [4, Th. 1.7.2 (iv) and Th. 1.7.4] and [5, Th. 1.4.4 and Th. 1.4.2], respectively) are immediate consequences of Theorem 4.1.



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 29 of 50

Corollary 4.5. Suppose $u, b, c, p, w \in C_{rd}$ such that $u, b, c, p, w \geq 0$. Then

$$u(t) \leq w(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left\{ u(\tau) + p(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} c(s)u(s)\Delta s \right\} \Delta\tau$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$ implies

$$u(t) \leq w(t) + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left\{ w(\tau) + p(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} e_{p(b+c)}(\tau, \sigma(s))(b+c)(s)w(s)\Delta s \right\} \Delta\tau$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$.


Proof. Put $a = d = 0$ in Theorem 4.1. □

Corollary 4.6. If we suppose in addition to the assumptions of Corollary 4.5 that p is nonincreasing and w is nondecreasing, then

$$u(t) \leq w(t) \left[1 + p(t) \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)e_{(b+c)p}(\tau, t_0)\Delta\tau \right] \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) \int_{t_0}^t e_{(b+c)p}(t, \sigma(\tau))w(\tau)(b+c)(\tau)\Delta\tau \\ \leq w(t) \int_{t_0}^t e_{(b+c)p}(t, \sigma(\tau))p(\tau)(b+c)(\tau)\Delta\tau, \end{aligned}$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 30 of 50

and the latter integral can be directly evaluated using Theorem 2.3, hence yielding the result. \square

Remark 5. The right-hand side of the inequality in Corollary 4.6 can be further estimated and then evaluated by Theorem 2.3 so that the statement of Corollary 4.6 can be replaced by

$$u(t) \leq w(t)e_{(b+c)p}(t, t_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

In the following theorem we state some easy consequences of Theorem 4.3. See [4, Th. 1.7.2] for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and [5, Th. 1.4.6] for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 4.7. Suppose $u, a, b, c, d, q \in C_{\text{rd}}$ and $u, a, b, c, q \geq 0$. Let u_0 be a nonnegative constant. Then

$$(i) \quad u(t) \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left[u(\tau) + q(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} c(s)u(s)\Delta s \right] \Delta\tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$


implies

$$u(t) \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t Q(\tau)\Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0,$$

where

$$Q(t) = b(t) \left\{ u_0 e_{b+c}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)q(\tau)e_{b+c}(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau + p(t) \right\};$$

$$(ii) \quad u(t) \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left\{ u(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} [c(s)u(s) + d(s)] \Delta s \right\} \Delta\tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 31 of 50

implies

$$u(t) \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left[u_0 e_{b+c}(\tau, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} e_{b+c}(\tau, \sigma(s)) d(s) \Delta s \right] \Delta \tau$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$;

(iii)
$$u(t) \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t a(s)u(s)\Delta s + \int_{t_0}^t b(s) \left[u(s) + \int_{t_0}^s c(\tau)u(\tau)\Delta\tau \right] \Delta s, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq u_0 e_{a+b+c}(t, t_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Proof. In each case we use Theorem 4.3, for (i) with


$$a = d = 0, \quad p = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad w(t) = u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)q(\tau)\Delta\tau,$$

for (ii) with

$$a = 0, \quad p = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad w = u_0,$$

and for (iii) with

$$d = 0, \quad p = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad w = u_0.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 32 of 50

In (i) and (ii), the claim follows directly, while the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq u_0 \left\{ 1 + \int_{t_0}^t [a(\tau) + b(\tau)] e_{a+b+c}(\tau, t_0) \Delta\tau \right\} \\ &\leq u_0 \left\{ 1 + \int_{t_0}^t [a(\tau) + b(\tau) + c(\tau)] e_{a+b+c}(\tau, t_0) \Delta\tau \right\} \\ &= u_0 e_{a+b+c}(t, t_0) \end{aligned}$$

completes the proof of statement (iii). \square

For further reference we state the following corollary, whose continuous and discrete versions can be found in [4, Th. 1.7.1] and [5, Th. 1.4.1], respectively.

Corollary 4.8. *Suppose $u, b, c \in C_{rd}$ and $u, b, c \geq 0$. Let u_0 be a nonnegative constant. Then*

$$u(t) \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left[u(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} c(s)u(s)\Delta s \right] \Delta\tau \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$


implies

$$u(t) \leq u_0 \left\{ 1 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) e_{b+c}(\tau, t_0) \Delta\tau \right\} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.7 (i) with $q = 0$ or from Theorem 4.7 (iii) with $a = 0$. \square

Remark 6. *As in Remark 5, we can replace the conclusion in Corollary 4.8 by*

$$u(t) \leq u_0 e_{b+c}(t, t_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 33 of 50

For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ in the following result, we refer to [5, Th. 1.4.3].

Theorem 4.9. *Suppose $u, a, b, c \in C_{rd}$, $a > 0$, and $u, b, c \geq 0$. Let u_0 be a nonnegative constant. Then*

$$u(t) \leq a(t) \left\{ u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left[u(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} c(s)u(s)\Delta s \right] \Delta\tau \right\} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$(i) \quad u(t) \leq u_0 a(t) \left\{ 1 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) e_{b+c}(\tau, t_0) \Delta\tau \right\} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

if $0 < a(t) \leq 1$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, and

$$(ii) \quad u(t) \leq a(t) u_0 \left\{ 1 + \int_{t_0}^t a(\tau) b(\tau) e_{a(b+c)}(\tau, t_0) \Delta\tau \right\} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$


if $a(t) \geq 1$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. Since $a(t) > 0$, we have

$$\frac{u(t)}{a(t)} \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left[u(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} c(s)u(s)\Delta s \right] \Delta\tau.$$

First we assume that $0 < a(t) \leq 1$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then

$$\frac{u(t)}{a(t)} \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left[\frac{u(\tau)}{a(\tau)} + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} c(s) \frac{u(s)}{a(s)} \Delta s \right] \Delta\tau.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 34 of 50

We apply Corollary 4.8 to obtain

$$\frac{u(t)}{a(t)} \leq u_0 \left\{ 1 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) e_{b+c}(\tau, t_0) \Delta\tau \right\} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

so that (i) follows. Next we assume that $a(t) \geq 1$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u(t)}{a(t)} &\leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left[u(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} c(s) u(s) \Delta s \right] \Delta\tau \\ &= u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left[\frac{u(\tau)}{a(\tau)} a(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} c(s) \frac{u(s)}{a(s)} a(s) \Delta s \right] \Delta\tau \\ &\leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left[\frac{u(\tau)}{a(\tau)} a(\tau) + a(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} c(s) \frac{u(s)}{a(s)} a(s) \Delta s \right] \Delta\tau \\ &= u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) a(\tau) \left[\frac{u(\tau)}{a(\tau)} + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} c(s) \frac{u(s)}{a(s)} a(s) \Delta s \right] \Delta\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We again apply Corollary 4.8 to obtain


$$\frac{u(t)}{a(t)} \leq u_0 \left\{ 1 + \int_{t_0}^t a(\tau) b(\tau) e_{a(b+c)}(\tau, t_0) \Delta\tau \right\} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

so that (ii) follows. Hence the proof is complete. \square

Remark 7. If $c = 0$ in the above theorem with $a \geq 0$ and $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, then we can use Theorem 3.1, Theorem 2.3, and Theorem 2.2 to conclude

$$u(t) \leq u_0 a(t) e_{ab}(t, t_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

This improves [5, Th. 1.2.7] (Ma's inequality) for the case $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, where under the assumptions $a > 0$ and $u_0 \geq 0$ a similar result as in Theorem 4.9 is shown.



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 35 of 50

Remark 8. If $a = 1$ in Theorem 4.9, then we get Corollary 2.9.

In [4, Th. 1.7.5] for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and in [5, Th. 1.4.8] for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, a and b are assumed to be positive to get the result which we give next.

Theorem 4.10. Suppose $u, a, b, c, p \in C_{\text{rd}}$ and $u, a, b, c, p \geq 0$. Let u_0 be a nonnegative constant. Then

$$(i) \quad u(t) \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t a(s) \left[p(s) + \int_{t_0}^s c(\tau) u(\tau) \Delta\tau \right] \Delta s, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq \left\{ u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t a(s) p(s) \Delta s \right\} e_{aC}(t, t_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0,$$


where

$$C(t) = \int_{t_0}^t c(\tau) \Delta\tau;$$

$$(ii) \quad u(t) \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t a(s) \left[p(s) + \int_{t_0}^s b(\tau) \left(\int_{t_0}^{\tau} c(\gamma) u(\gamma) \Delta\gamma \right) \Delta\tau \right] \Delta s, \\ t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq \left\{ u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t a(\tau) p(\tau) \Delta\tau \right\} e_{a\xi}(t, t_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0,$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 36 of 50

where

$$\xi(t) = \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left(\int_{t_0}^{\tau} c(\gamma) \Delta\gamma \right) \Delta\tau.$$

Proof. We only prove (i) here since the proof of (ii) can be completed by following the same ideas as in the proof of (i) given below with suitable changes. First we define

$$z(t) := u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t a(s) \left[p(s) + \int_{t_0}^s c(\tau) u(\tau) \Delta\tau \right] \Delta s.$$

Then $z(t_0) = u_0$, $u(t) \leq z(t)$, and

$$z^\Delta(t) = a(t) \left\{ p(t) + \int_{t_0}^t c(\tau) u(\tau) \Delta\tau \right\} \geq 0.$$

This implies that z is nondecreasing. Therefore

$$z^\Delta(t) \leq a(t)p(t) + a(t) \int_{t_0}^t c(\tau) z(\tau) \Delta\tau \leq a(t)p(t) + a(t)C(t)z(t).$$


By Theorem 2.6 we obtain

$$z(t) \leq z(t_0)e_{aC}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{aC}(t, \sigma(\tau))a(\tau)p(\tau)\Delta\tau.$$

Since $u(t) \leq z(t)$, we get

$$u(t) \leq u_0e_{aC}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{aC}(t, \sigma(\tau))a(\tau)p(\tau)\Delta\tau.$$

By Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2 we get the desired result. \square



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 37 of 50

Our next result slightly differs from the corresponding results for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ as given in [4, Th. 1.7.3] and for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ as given in [5, Th. 1.4.7].

Theorem 4.11. *Suppose $u, b, c, q \in C_{rd}$ and $u, b, c, q \geq 0$. Let u_0 be a nonnegative constant. Then*

$$u(t) \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(s) \left\{ u(s) + \int_{t_0}^s c(\tau) \left[u(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} q(\gamma) u(\gamma) \Delta\gamma \right] \Delta\tau \right\} \Delta s, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq u_0 e_{\phi}(t, t_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0,$$

where

$$\phi(t) = b(t) + c(t) \left\{ 1 + \int_{t_0}^t q(\gamma) \Delta\gamma \right\}.$$

Proof. We define


$$z(t) := u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(s) \left\{ u(s) + \int_{t_0}^s c(\tau) \left[u(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} q(\gamma) u(\gamma) \Delta\gamma \right] \Delta\tau \right\} \Delta s$$

and

$$r(t) := z(t) + \int_{t_0}^t c(\tau) \left[z(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} q(\gamma) z(\gamma) \Delta\gamma \right] \Delta\tau.$$

We observe that z is nondecreasing and use Theorem 2.6 to get the desired result. \square

The final result in this section is more general than Theorem 3.7. For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, see [4, Th. 1.7.6]. For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, see [5, Th. 1.4.5].



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 38 of 50

Theorem 4.12. Suppose $\phi, u, p, b, c \in C_{rd}$ and $\phi, u, b, c, p \geq 0$. Then

$$u(t) \geq \phi(s) - p(t) \int_s^t b(\tau) \left[\phi^\sigma(\tau) + \int_{\sigma(\tau)}^t c(\gamma) \phi^\sigma(\gamma) \Delta\gamma \right] \Delta\tau$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{T}, s \leq t$

implies

$$u(t) \geq \left\{ \phi(s) + \int_s^t c(\gamma) \phi^\sigma(\gamma) \Delta\gamma \right\} e_{\ominus(p(t)b+c)}(t, s) \text{ for all } s, t \in \mathbb{T}, s \leq t.$$

Proof. By assumption we have


$$\phi(s) \leq u(t) + p(t) \int_s^t b(\tau) \left[\phi^\sigma(\tau) + \int_{\sigma(\tau)}^t c(\gamma) \phi^\sigma(\gamma) \Delta\gamma \right] \Delta\tau.$$

Define

$$z(s) := -u(t) - p(t) \int_s^t b(\tau) \left[\phi^\sigma(\tau) + \int_{\sigma(\tau)}^t c(\gamma) \phi^\sigma(\gamma) \Delta\gamma \right] \Delta\tau.$$

This implies that $\phi(s) \leq -z(s)$, $z(t) = -u(t)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} z^\Delta(s) &= p(t)b(s) \left\{ \phi^\sigma(s) + \int_{\sigma(s)}^t c(\gamma) \phi^\sigma(\gamma) \Delta\gamma \right\} \\ &\leq -p(t)b(s) \left\{ z^\sigma(s) + \int_{\sigma(s)}^t c(\gamma) z^\sigma(\gamma) \Delta\gamma \right\}. \end{aligned}$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 39 of 50

Define

$$r(s) := z(s) + \int_s^t c(\gamma)z^\sigma(\gamma)\Delta\gamma.$$

Then we get $r(t) = z(t)$, $z^\Delta(s) \leq -p(t)b(s)r^\sigma(s)$, and $r(s) \leq z(s)$. Thus

$$r^\Delta(s) = z^\Delta(s) - c(s)z^\sigma(s) \leq -[p(t)b(s) + c(s)]r^\sigma(s).$$

By Theorem 3.4 we obtain

$$r(s) \geq r(t)e_{\ominus(p(t)b+c)}(s, t) = -u(t)e_{\ominus(p(t)b+c)}(s, t),$$

and therefore

$$z(s) + \int_s^t c(\gamma)z^\sigma(\gamma)\Delta\gamma \geq -u(t)e_{\ominus(p(t)b+c)}(s, t).$$

Since $z(s) \leq -\phi(s)$, we get

$$-\phi(s) - \int_s^t c(\gamma)\phi^\sigma(\gamma)\Delta\gamma \geq -u(t)e_{\ominus(p(t)b+c)}(s, t).$$

This gives the desired result. □


Remark 9. *The following statements hold:*

(i) *If $c = 0$ in Theorem 4.12, then we obtain Theorem 3.7.*

(ii) *In [4, 5],*

$$u(t) \geq \phi(s) \left\{ 1 + p(t) \int_s^t b(\tau)e_{p(t)b+c}(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau \right\}^{-1}$$

is given as a result of Theorem 4.12 instead. With only minor alterations of our proof presented above, the corresponding claim can be verified, too.



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 40 of 50

5. Inequalities involving Delta Derivatives

In this section we establish some inequalities involving functions and their delta derivatives. We give the estimates on the delta derivative of functions and consequently on the functions themselves. Continuous and discrete versions (all due to Pachpatte) of the four theorems presented in this section may be found in [4, Th. 1.8.1, Th. 1.8.2, and Th. 1.8.3] and [5, Th. 1.5.1, Th. 1.5.2, Th. 1.5.3, and Th. 1.5.4], respectively.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose $u, u^\Delta, a, b, c \in C_{rd}$ and $u, u^\Delta, a, b, c \geq 0$. Then*

$$(i) \quad u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t c(s) [u(s) + u^\Delta(s)] \Delta s \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies, provided that $b(t) \geq 1$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t c(s) [\bar{a}(s) + b(s)\bar{b}(s)] \Delta s \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0,$$


where

$$\bar{a}(t) = u(t_0) + a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t a(s) \Delta s \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{b}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t c(s) e_{b(c+1)}(t, \sigma(s)) \bar{a}(s) \Delta s;$$

$$(ii) \quad u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \left\{ u(t) + \int_{t_0}^t c(s) [u(s) + u^\Delta(s)] \Delta s \right\} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \left\{ u(t_0) e_{b+c+bc}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{b+c+bc}(t, \sigma(\tau)) a(\tau) [c(\tau) + 1] \Delta \tau \right\}$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 41 of 50

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$.

Proof. In order to prove (i) we define $z(t) := \int_{t_0}^t c(s) [u(s) + u^\Delta(s)] \Delta s$. Then we have

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t) + b(t)z(t).$$

Integrating both sides of this inequality from t_0 to t provides

$$u(t) \leq u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t [a(s) + b(s)z(s)] \Delta s.$$

This implies that

$$z^\Delta(t) \leq c(t) \left\{ \bar{a}(t) + b(t) \left[z(t) + \int_{t_0}^t b(s)z(s)\Delta s \right] \right\}.$$

Now we define $r(t) := z(t) + \int_{t_0}^t b(s)z(s)\Delta s$ to obtain


$$r^\Delta(t) \leq b(t) [c(t) + 1] r(t) + c(t)\bar{a}(t).$$

By Theorem 2.6, we get

$$r(t) \leq \int_{t_0}^t e_{b(c+1)}(t, \sigma(\tau)) c(\tau) \bar{a}(\tau) \Delta \tau = \bar{b}(t)$$

since $r(t_0) = z(t_0) = 0$. This implies that

$$z^\Delta(t) \leq c(t) [\bar{a}(t) + b(t)\bar{b}(t)].$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 42 of 50

Upon integrating both sides of the latter inequality, we arrive at

$$z(t) \leq \int_{t_0}^t c(\tau) [\bar{a}(\tau) + b(\tau)\bar{b}(\tau)] \Delta\tau.$$

Since $u^\Delta \leq a + bz$ holds, we get the desired result. The proof of (ii) is shorter than the first part: First we define $z(t) := u(t) + \int_{t_0}^t c(s) [u(s) + u^\Delta(s)] \Delta s$. Then one can get easily that

$$z^\Delta(t) \leq [b(t) + c(t) + c(t)b(t)] z(t) + a(t) [c(t) + 1].$$

Applying Theorem 2.6 and $u^\Delta \leq a + bz$ completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.2. Suppose $u, u^\Delta, a, b, c, p \in C_{rd}$ and $u, u^\Delta, a, b, c, p \geq 0$. Then

$$(i) \quad u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t)u(t) + b(t) \left\{ p(t) + u(t) + \int_{t_0}^t c(s)u(s)\Delta s \right\} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$


implies

$$u(t) \leq u(t_0)e_a(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_a(t, \sigma(\tau))b(\tau) [p(\tau) + \bar{a}(\tau)] \Delta\tau \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0,$$

where

$$\bar{a}(t) = u(t_0)e_{a+b+c}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{a+b+c}(t, \sigma(\tau))b(\tau)p(\tau)\Delta\tau;$$

$$(ii) \quad u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t)u(t) + b(t) \left\{ p(t) + u(t) + \int_{t_0}^t c(s)u^\Delta(s)\Delta s \right\} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 43 of 50

implies

$$u(t) \leq u(t_0)e_a(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_a(t, \sigma(\tau)) [p(\tau) + \bar{b}(\tau)] b(\tau) \Delta\tau \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0,$$

where

$$\bar{b}(t) = u(t_0)e_{(1+c)(a+b)}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{(1+c)(a+b)}(t, \sigma(\tau)) [1 + c(\tau)] b(\tau) p(\tau) \Delta\tau.$$

Proof. To prove (i) we define $z(t) = u(t) + \int_{t_0}^t c(s)u(s)\Delta s$. Then we obtain

$$z(t) \geq u(t), \quad z(t_0) = u(t_0), \quad \text{and} \quad u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t)z(t) + b(t) [p(t) + z(t)].$$

This implies that


$$z^\Delta(t) \leq [a(t) + b(t) + c(t)] z(t) + b(t)p(t).$$

By Theorem 2.6, $z(t) \leq \bar{a}(t)$. Hence $u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t)u(t) + b(t) [p(t) + \bar{a}(t)]$. Applying again Theorem 2.6 gives us the desired result. Finally, in order to prove (ii), we define $z(t) = u(t) + \int_{t_0}^t c(s)u^\Delta(s)\Delta s$ and apply Theorem 2.6 twice. \square

For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, our result of the second part of the following theorem is different than in [5, Th. 1.5.3].

Theorem 5.3. Suppose $u, u^\Delta, a, b \in C_{rd}$ and $u, u^\Delta, a, b \geq 0$. Then

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t a(s) \left\{ u(s) + u^\Delta(s) + \int_{t_0}^s b(\tau)u^\Delta(\tau)\Delta\tau \right\} \Delta s$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 44 of 50



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 45 of 50

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$ implies

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq u(t_0) \left[1 + 2 \int_{t_0}^t a(\tau) e_{1+a+b}(\tau, t_0) \Delta\tau \right] \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0;$$

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t a(s) \left\{ u(s) + u^\Delta(s) + \int_{t_0}^s b(\tau) [u(\tau) + u^\Delta(\tau)] \Delta\tau \right\} \Delta s$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$ implies

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq u(t_0) \left\{ 2e_{1+a}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left[1 + 2e_{2+a+b}(\tau, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^\tau b(s) e_{2+a+b}(\tau, \sigma(s)) \Delta s \right] e_{1+a}(t, \sigma(\tau)) \Delta\tau \right\}.$$

Proof. To prove (i), we define

$$z(t) := u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t a(s) [u(s) + u^\Delta(s)] \Delta s + \int_{t_0}^t a(s) \left[\int_{t_0}^s b(\tau) u^\Delta(\tau) \Delta\tau \right] \Delta s$$

in order to get

$$z^\Delta(t) \leq a(t) \left[u(t_0) + z(t) + \int_{t_0}^t z(s) \Delta s + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) z(\tau) \Delta\tau \right].$$

Next define

$$r(t) := u(t_0) + z(t) + \int_{t_0}^t z(s) \Delta s + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) z(\tau) \Delta\tau$$

to obtain

$$r^\Delta(t) \leq [a(t) + b(t) + 1] r(t).$$

We apply Theorem 2.6 twice to get the desired result. To prove (ii), we define

$$z(t) := u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t a(s) [u(s) + u^\Delta(s)] \Delta s \\ + \int_{t_0}^t a(s) \left\{ \int_{t_0}^s b(\tau) [u(\tau) + u^\Delta(\tau)] \Delta \tau \right\} \Delta s$$

to get


$$z^\Delta(t) \leq a(t) \left\{ u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t z(s) \Delta s + z(t) \right. \\ \left. + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left[u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^\tau z(s) \Delta s + z(\tau) \right] \Delta \tau \right\}.$$

Defining

$$r(t) := u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t z(s) \Delta s + z(t) + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) \left[u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^\tau z(s) \Delta s + z(\tau) \right] \Delta \tau$$

provides

$$r^\Delta(t) \leq [a(t) + 1] r(t) + b(t) \left\{ u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t r(s) \Delta s + r(t) \right\}.$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 46 of 50

By Theorem 5.2 (i), we obtain

$$r(t) \leq u(t_0) \left\{ 2e_{1+a}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{1+a}(t, \sigma(\tau))b(\tau) \right. \\ \left. \times \left[1 + 2e_{2+a+b}(\tau, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} e_{a+b+2}(\tau, \sigma(s))b(s)\Delta s \right] \Delta\tau \right\}.$$

Since $u^\Delta(t) \leq z(t) \leq r(t)$, the proof is complete. \square

We note that the inequalities in our final result provide estimates on $u^{\Delta\Delta}(t)$ and consequently, after solving, estimates on $u(t)$.

Theorem 5.4. *Suppose $u, u^\Delta, u^{\Delta\Delta}, a, b, c \in C_{rd}$ and $u, u^\Delta, u^{\Delta\Delta}, a, b, c \geq 0$. Then*

$$(i) \quad u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t c(s) [u(s) + u^\Delta(s)] \Delta s \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

implies


$$u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t p(\tau)e_q(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau,$$

where

$$p(t) = c(t) \left\{ u(t_0) + (t - t_0 + 1)u^\Delta(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \left[a(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} a(s)\Delta s \right] \Delta\tau \right\}$$

and

$$q(t) = c(t) \int_{t_0}^t \left[b(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} b(s)\Delta s \right] \Delta\tau;$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 47 of 50

$$(ii) \quad u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \left\{ u^\Delta(t) + \int_{t_0}^t c(s) [u(s) + u^\Delta(s)] \Delta s \right\}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$

implies

$$u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \left\{ u^\Delta(t_0)e_q(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t p(\tau)e_q(t, \sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau \right\},$$

where

$$p(t) = a(t) + c(t) \left\{ u(t_0) + (t - t_0 + 1)u^\Delta(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \left[a(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^\tau a(s)\Delta s \right] \Delta\tau \right\}$$

and


$$q(t) = b(t) + c(t) \int_{t_0}^t \left[b(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^\tau b(s)\Delta s \right] \Delta\tau.$$

Proof. In order to prove (i), we define $z(t) := \int_{t_0}^t c(\tau) [u(\tau) + u^\Delta(\tau)] \Delta\tau$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u^\Delta(t) &\leq u^\Delta(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t [a(\tau) + b(\tau)z(\tau)] \Delta\tau \\ &\leq u^\Delta(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t a(\tau)\Delta\tau + z(t) \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)\Delta\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that z is nondecreasing. This implies that

$$u(t) \leq u(t_0) + u^\Delta(t_0)(t - t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^\tau a(s)\Delta s \Delta\tau + z(t) \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^\tau b(s)\Delta s \Delta\tau$$



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close


Quit

Page 48 of 50

and therefore $z^\Delta(t) \leq p(t) + q(t)z(t)$. Then by Theorem 2.6 we get

$$z(t) \leq \int_{t_0}^t e_q(t, \sigma(\tau))p(\tau)\Delta\tau$$

since $z(t_0) = 0$. Applying the inequality $u^{\Delta\Delta} \leq a + bz$ completes the proof. To prove (ii), we define $z(t) := u^\Delta(t) + \int_{t_0}^t c(s) [u(s) + u^\Delta(s)] \Delta s$. Now, by following the same arguments as in the proof of (i) given above, we get the required inequality. \square



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 49 of 50

References

- [1] R. AGARWAL, M. BOHNER AND A. PETERSON, Inequalities on time scales: A survey, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **4**(4) (2001), 535–557.
- [2] M. BOHNER AND A. PETERSON, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [3] M. BOHNER AND A. PETERSON, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [4] B.G. PACHPATTE, *Inequalities for Differential and Integral Equations*, Volume 197 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1998.
- [5] B.G. PACHPATTE, *Inequalities for Finite Difference Equations*, Volume 247 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2002.



Pachpatte Inequalities on Time Scales

Elvan Akin-Bohner, Martin Bohner
and Faysal Akin

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 50 of 50