

ON AN INEQUALITY IN NONLINEAR THERMOELASTICITY

VLADIMIR JOVANOVIĆ

Faculty of Sciences
Mladena Stojanovića 2
78000 Banja Luka
38039 Bosnia and Herzegovina
EMail: vladimir@mathematik.uni-freiburg.de

Received: 15 November, 2006

Accepted: 16 November, 2007

Communicated by: S.S. Dragomir

2000 AMS Sub. Class.: 26D15, 35L45, 74B20.

Key words: Integral inequality, Elastodynamics, Lax – Friedrichs scheme.

Abstract: This paper deals with an integral inequality which arises in numerical analysis of the Lax – Friedrichs scheme for the elastodynamics system. It is obtained as a consequence of a more general inequality.





Inequality in the Nonlinear Elasticity

Vladimir Jovanović

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 105, 2007

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 1 of 10

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Lax – Friedrichs Scheme for the Elastodynamics System	5
3	Proof of the Inequalities	7



Inequality in the Nonlinear Elasticity

Vladimir Jovanović

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 105, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 2 of 10

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)

[◀◀](#) [▶▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 3 of 10

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

1. Introduction

Let us consider the following problem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < 0$, $b > 0$ and $f \in C[a, b]$, such that:*

$$(1.1) \quad 0 < f \leq 1 \text{ on } [a, b],$$

$$(1.2) \quad f \text{ is decreasing on } [a, 0],$$

$$(1.3) \quad \int_a^0 f \, dx = \int_0^b f \, dx.$$

then

$$(1.4) \quad \int_a^b f^2 \, dx \leq 2 \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f \, dx.$$

As we will see later, Theorem 1.1 is a transformed and slightly generalized form of a problem related to the numerical analysis of a nonlinear system of PDEs. This problem is stated below.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $\sigma \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

$$(1.5) \quad \sigma'(w) > 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$(1.6) \quad w \sigma''(w) > 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Assume further that for $w_1, w_2 \in [-1, \infty)$, $w_1 < 0$, $w_2 > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, the conditions

$$(1.7) \quad \int_{w_1}^0 \sqrt{\sigma'} \, ds = \int_0^{w_2} \sqrt{\sigma'} \, ds,$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \alpha \sqrt{\sigma'(w)} \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } w \in [w_1, w_2].$$

hold. Then

$$(1.9) \quad \int_{w_1}^{\frac{w_1+w_2}{2}} \sqrt{\sigma'} ds \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} [\sigma(w_2) - \sigma(w_1)].$$

The main subject of this paper is the inequality (1.9). In the next section we describe the context in which the inequality arises. We start the third section with the proof of Theorem 1.1, then proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.2 and finally conclude the section with two remarks.



Title Page

Contents



Page 4 of 10

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

2. Lax – Friedrichs Scheme for the Elastodynamics System

The elastodynamics system governs isentropic processes in thermoelastic nonconductors of heat. The Cauchy problem for the underlying system in the one-dimensional case has the form

$$(2.1) \quad \partial_t w - \partial_x v = 0, \quad \partial_t v - \partial_x \sigma(w) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, T),$$

$$(2.2) \quad w(x, 0) = w_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \text{ in } \mathbb{R},$$

where $w : \mathbb{R} \times [0, T) \rightarrow [-1, \infty)$ is the strain and $v : \mathbb{R} \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the velocity. In the theory of nonlinear systems of conservation laws this system plays an important role due to its accessibility to a detailed mathematical analysis (see [1]). The special feature that renders these equations amenable to analytical treatment is the existence of the so-called compact invariant regions. Invariant regions are sets $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with the following property: if the initial function $u_0 = (w_0, v_0)$ takes its values in S , then so does the solution $u = (w, v)$ of (2.1), (2.2). It can be shown (see [1]) that for $N > 0$, the sets given by

$$(2.3) \quad S_N = \{(w, v) \in [-1, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} : |y(w, v)| \leq N, |z(w, v)| \leq N\},$$

are invariant for the Cauchy problem (2.1), (2.2), where

$$y(w, v) = - \int_{w_0}^w \sqrt{\sigma'(s)} ds + v, \quad z(w, v) = - \int_{w_0}^w \sqrt{\sigma'(s)} ds - v$$

are the the so-called Riemann invariants.

The Lax – Friedrichs scheme is frequently used as a discretization procedure for systems of conservation laws. In our particular case, the scheme takes the form

$$(2.4) \quad u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n - \frac{\alpha}{2} (f(u_{i+1}^n) - f(u_{i-1}^n)) + \frac{1}{2} (u_{i-1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i+1}^n),$$



Title Page

Contents

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 5 of 10

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

where $\alpha > 0$ is a parameter and $u_i^n = (w_i^n, v_i^n)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here we used $f(u) = (-v, -\sigma(w))$, with $u = (w, v)$. For the numerical stability of the Lax – Friedrichs scheme it is crucial that the sets S_N from (2.3) are also invariant for (2.4). That is, if $u_i^n \in S_N$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $u_i^{n+1} \in S_N$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, provided $\alpha \cdot \sup_{(w,v) \in S_N} \sqrt{\sigma'(w)} \leq 1$, (see [3]). Similarly as in [2], the proof of the invariancy is reduced to some problems associated with certain integral inequalities. The problem stated in Theorem 1.2 is one of them.



Title Page

Contents



Page 6 of 10

Go Back

Full Screen

Close



3. Proof of the Inequalities

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will consider two cases.

1. Case: $a + b \geq 0$.

By (1.1) and (1.3), we have

$$\int_a^b f^2 dx \leq \int_a^b f dx = 2 \int_a^0 f dx \leq 2 \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f dx.$$

2. Case: $a + b < 0$.

First, note that due to (1.1) and (1.3), for every $a' \in [a, 0]$ there exists a unique $b' \in [0, b]$, such that $\int_{a'}^0 f dx = \int_0^{b'} f dx$. Therefore, one can introduce a function $\varphi : [a, 0] \rightarrow [0, b]$ with the property $\int_x^0 f ds = \int_0^{\varphi(x)} f dx$. Obviously, $\varphi(a) = b$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$. It is a simple matter to prove that φ is differentiable and that for all $x \in [a, 0]$,

$$(3.1) \quad f(\varphi(x)) \varphi'(x) = -f(x).$$

We will show that the inequality

$$(3.2) \quad \int_x^{\varphi(x)} f^2 ds \leq 2 \int_x^{\frac{x+\varphi(x)}{2}} f ds,$$

holds for all $x \in [a, 0]$. Then (1.4) will be a consequence of (3.2), when $x = a$.

Let $x \in [a, 0]$ be arbitrary. If $x + \varphi(x) \geq 0$, then we proceed as in Case 1. Therefore, suppose that

$$(3.3) \quad x + \varphi(x) < 0.$$

Title Page

Contents



Page 7 of 10

Go Back

Full Screen

Close



Title Page

Contents

◀▶

◀▶

Page 8 of 10

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Define a function $\psi : [a, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\psi(x) = 2 \int_x^{\frac{x+\varphi(x)}{2}} f ds - \int_x^{\varphi(x)} f^2 ds.$$

From

$$\psi'(x) = (1 + \varphi'(x)) f \left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2} \right) - 2f(x) - f^2(\varphi(x)) \varphi'^2(x),$$

using (3.1) follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(\varphi(x)) \psi'(x) &= [f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)] f \left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2} \right) \\ &\quad - 2f(x) f(\varphi(x)) + f^2(\varphi(x)) f(x) + f^2(x) f(\varphi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

If $f(\varphi(x)) - f(x) \leq 0$, then obviously $\psi'(x) \leq 0$. Assume now $f(\varphi(x)) - f(x) > 0$. Using the fact that $x \leq 0$, $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ and (3.3), we obtain $0 > \frac{x+\varphi(x)}{2} \geq x$, which together with (1.2) yields, $f \left(\frac{x+\varphi(x)}{2} \right) \leq f(x)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\varphi(x)) \psi'(x) &\leq [f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)] f(x) - 2f(x) f(\varphi(x)) \\ &\quad + f^2(\varphi(x)) f(x) + f^2(x) f(\varphi(x)) \\ &= f(x) [f(x) + f(\varphi(x))] [f(\varphi(x)) - 1] \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have shown that $\psi'(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in [a, 0]$. Since $\psi(0) = 0$, one concludes that $\psi \geq 0$ on $[a, 0]$, that is, (3.2) holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $\sigma(w_2) - \sigma(w_1) = \int_{w_1}^{w_2} \sigma' ds$, then by multiplying (1.9) by 2α and introducing $f = \alpha\sqrt{\sigma'}$, the inequality (1.9) is transformed into (1.4), with



$a = w_1$, $b = w_2$. Due to (1.6), σ' decreases on $[w_1, 0]$, so f does on $[a, 0]$, as well. The relations (1.5) and (1.8) yield (1.1). The equality (1.7) implies (1.3). Therefore, Theorem 1.1 applies. \square

Remark 1. Assume that (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) hold for $f \in C[a, b]$.

(a) The constant $A = 2$ in

$$(3.4) \quad \int_a^b f^2 dx \leq A \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f dx$$

is optimal in the case $a + b = 0$; indeed, taking $f = 1$ in (3.4), one obtains $A \geq 2$.

(b) It is easy to see that if $p \geq 2$, then the inequality

$$(3.5) \quad \int_a^b f^p dx \leq A_p \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f dx$$

holds for all $A_p \geq 2$. However, if $1 \leq p < 2$, then proceeding similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, one can deduce that (3.5) is satisfied for all $A_p \geq 4$.

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 9 of 10

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

References

- [1] C. DAFERMOS, *Hyperbolic Conservation Laws in Continuum Physics*, Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer–Verlag (2000)
- [2] D. HOFF, A finite difference scheme for a system of two conservation laws with artificial viscosity, *Math. Comput.*, **33**(148) (1979), 1171–1193.
- [3] V. JOVANOVIĆ AND C. ROHDE, Error estimates for finite volume approximations of classical solutions for nonlinear systems of balance laws, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **43** (2006), 2423–2449.



Inequality in the Nonlinear Elasticity

Vladimir Jovanović

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 105, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 10 of 10

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756