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#### Abstract

Fix a positive integer and a finite set whose elements are in arithmetic progression. We give a formula for the number of nonempty subsets of this set that are coprime to the given integer. A similar formula is given when we restrict our attention to the subsets having the same fixed cardinality. These formulas generalize previous results of El Bachraoui.


## 1 Introduction

A nonempty subset $A$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ is said to be relatively prime if $\operatorname{gcd}(A)=1$. Nathanson [4] defined $f(n)$ to be the number of relatively prime subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and, for $k \geq 1, f_{k}(n)$ to be the number of relatively prime subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ of cardinality $k$. Nathanson [4] defined $\Phi(n)$ to be the number of nonempty subsets $A$ of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(A)$ is relatively prime to $n$ and, for integer $k \geq 1, \Phi_{k}(n)$ to be the number of subsets $A$ of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(A)$ is relatively prime to $n$ and $\operatorname{card}(A)=k$.

He obtained explicit formulas for these functions and deduced asymptotic estimates. These functions have been generalized by El Bachraoui [3] to subsets $A \in\{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n\}$ where $m$ is any nonnegative integer, and then by Ayad and Kihel [1] to subsets of the set $\{a, a+b, \ldots, a+(n-1) b\}$ where $a$ and $b$ are any integers.

El Bachraoui [2] defined for any given positive integers $l \leq m \leq n, \Phi([l, m], n)$ to be the number of nonempty subsets of $\{l, l+1, \ldots, m\}$ which are relatively prime to $n$ and $\Phi_{k}([l, m], n)$ to be the number of such subsets of cardinality $k$. He found formulas for these functions when $l=1$ [2]. In this paper, we generalize these functions and obtain El Bachraoui's result as a particular case.

## 2 Phi functions for $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$

Let $k$ and $l \leq m \leq n$ be positive integers. Let $\lfloor x\rfloor$ denote the greatest integer less than or equal to $x$, and $\mu(n)$ the Möbius function. El Bachraoui [2] defined $\Phi([l, m], n)$ to be the number of nonempty subsets of $\{l, l+1, \ldots, m\}$ which are relatively prime to $n$ and $\Phi_{k}([l, m], n)$ to be the number of such subsets of cardinality $k$. He proved the following formulas [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi([1, m], n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) 2^{\left[\frac{m}{d}\right]} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{k}([1, m], n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)\binom{\left[\frac{m}{d}\right]}{k} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In his proof of Eqs. (1) and (2), El Bachraoui [2] used the Möbius inversion formula and its extension to functions of several variables. The case $m=n$ in (1), was proved by Nathanson [4].

## 3 Phi functions for $\{a, a+b, \ldots, a+(m-1) b\}$

It is natural to ask whether one can generalize the formulas obtained by El Bachraoui [2] to a set $A=\{a, a+b, \ldots, a+(m-1) b\}$, where $a, b$, and $m$ are positive integers. Let $\Phi^{(a, b)}(m, n)$ be the number of nonempty subsets of $\{a, a+b, \ldots, a+(m-1) b\}$ which are relatively prime to $n$ and $\Phi_{k}([l, m], n)$ to be the number of such subsets of cardinality $k$. To state our main theorem, we need the following lemma, which is proved in [1]:

Lemma 1. For an integer $d \geq 1$, and for nonzero integers $a$ and $b$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$, let $A_{d}=\{x=a+i b$ for $i=0, \ldots,(m-1)|d| x\}$. Then
(i) If $\operatorname{gcd}(b, d) \neq 1$, then $\left|A_{d}\right|=0$.
(ii) If $\operatorname{gcd}(b, d)=1$, then $\left|A_{d}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{d}\right\rfloor+\varepsilon_{d}$, where

$$
\varepsilon_{d}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } d \mid m ;  \tag{3}\\ 1, & \text { if } d \nmid m \text { and }\left(-a b^{-1}\right) \bmod d \in\left\{0, \ldots, m-\left\lfloor\frac{m}{d}\right\rfloor d-1\right\} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Theorem 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{(a, b)}(m, n)=\sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ \operatorname{gcd}(b, d)=1}} \mu(d)\left(2^{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{d}\right\rfloor+\epsilon_{d}}-1\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\Phi_{k}^{(a, b)}(m, n)=\sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ \operatorname{gcd}(b, d)=1}} \mu(d)\binom{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{d}\right\rfloor+\epsilon_{d}}{k}
$$

where $\epsilon_{d}$ is the function defined in Lemma 1.
Proof. Let $A_{d}=\{x=a+i b$ for $i=0, \ldots,(m-1)|d| x\}$, and $\mathcal{P}\left(A_{d}\right)=\left\{\right.$ the nonempty subsets of $\left.A_{d}\right\}$.
It is easy to see that $\Phi^{(a, b)}(m, n)=\left(2^{m}-1\right)-\left|\bigcup_{p \text { prime }} \mathcal{P}\left(A_{p}\right)\right|$. Clearly, if $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{t}$ are

$$
p \mid n
$$

distinct primes, then

$$
\left|\bigcap_{i=1}^{t} \mathcal{P}\left(A_{p_{i}}\right)\right|=\left|\mathcal{P}\left(A_{\prod_{i=1}^{t} p_{i}}\right)\right| .
$$

Thus, using the principle of inclusion-exclusion, one obtains from above that

$$
\Phi^{(a, b)}(m, n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)\left|\mathcal{P}\left(A_{d}\right)\right|
$$

It was proved in Lemma 1, that if $\operatorname{gcd}(b, d) \neq 1$, then $\left|A_{d}\right|=0$ and if $\operatorname{gcd}(b, d)=1$, then $\left|A_{d}\right|=\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{d}\right\rfloor+\varepsilon_{d}\right)$. Hence

$$
\Phi^{(a, b)}(m, n)=\sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ \operatorname{gcd}(b, d)=1}} \mu(d)\left(2^{\left[\frac{m}{d}\right]+\epsilon_{d}}-1\right) .
$$

The proof for Eq. (5) is similar.
Theorem 3 in [2] can be deduced from Theorem 2 above as the particular case where $a=$ $b=1$. We prove the following.

Corollary 3. (a) $\Phi([1, m], n)=\Phi^{(1,1)}(m, n)$
and
(b) $\Phi_{k}([l, m], n)=\Phi_{k}^{(1,1)}(m, n)$.

Proof. It is not difficult to prove that when $a=b=1$ in Lemma $1, \epsilon_{d}=0$. Using Theorem 2, and the well-known equality $\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)=0$, one obtains that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{(1,1)}(m, n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)\left(2^{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{d}\right\rfloor}-1\right)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) 2^{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{d}\right\rfloor}=\Phi([1, m], n) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{k}^{(1,1)}(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)\binom{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{d}\right\rfloor}{ k}=\Phi_{k}([1, m], n) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 4. Using Theorem 2, one can obtain asymptotic estimates and generalize Corollary 4 proved by El Bachraoui [2].
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