

Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 11 (2008), Article 08.5.5

# On the Number of Subsets Relatively Prime to an Integer

Mohamed Ayad Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées Université du Littoral F-62228 Calais France ayad@lmpa.univ-littoral.fr Omar Kihel Department of Mathematics Brock University St. Catharines, Ontario L2S 3A1

Canada

okihel@brocku.ca

#### Abstract

Fix a positive integer and a finite set whose elements are in arithmetic progression. We give a formula for the number of nonempty subsets of this set that are coprime to the given integer. A similar formula is given when we restrict our attention to the subsets having the same fixed cardinality. These formulas generalize previous results of El Bachraoui.

### 1 Introduction

A nonempty subset A of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  is said to be relatively prime if gcd(A) = 1. Nathanson [4] defined f(n) to be the number of relatively prime subsets of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  and, for  $k \ge 1$ ,  $f_k(n)$  to be the number of relatively prime subsets of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  of cardinality k. Nathanson [4] defined  $\Phi(n)$  to be the number of nonempty subsets A of the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that gcd(A) is relatively prime to n and, for integer  $k \ge 1$ ,  $\Phi_k(n)$  to be the number of subsets A of the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  such that gcd(A) is relatively prime to n and card(A) = k. He obtained explicit formulas for these functions and deduced asymptotic estimates. These functions have been generalized by El Bachraoui [3] to subsets  $A \in \{m + 1, m + 2, ..., n\}$  where m is any nonnegative integer, and then by Ayad and Kihel [1] to subsets of the set  $\{a, a + b, ..., a + (n - 1)b\}$  where a and b are any integers.

El Bachraoui [2] defined for any given positive integers  $l \leq m \leq n$ ,  $\Phi([l,m],n)$  to be the number of nonempty subsets of  $\{l, l+1, \ldots, m\}$  which are relatively prime to n and  $\Phi_k([l,m],n)$  to be the number of such subsets of cardinality k. He found formulas for these functions when l = 1 [2]. In this paper, we generalize these functions and obtain El Bachraoui's result as a particular case.

## **2 Phi functions for** $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$

Let k and  $l \leq m \leq n$  be positive integers. Let  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denote the greatest integer less than or equal to x, and  $\mu(n)$  the Möbius function. El Bachraoui [2] defined  $\Phi([l,m],n)$  to be the number of nonempty subsets of  $\{l, l+1, \ldots, m\}$  which are relatively prime to n and  $\Phi_k([l,m],n)$  to be the number of such subsets of cardinality k. He proved the following formulas [2]:

$$\Phi([1,m],n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) 2^{[\frac{m}{d}]}$$
(1)

and

$$\Phi_k([1,m],n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ d \end{bmatrix} \\ k \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2)

In his proof of Eqs. (1) and (2), El Bachraoui [2] used the Möbius inversion formula and its extension to functions of several variables. The case m = n in (1), was proved by Nathanson [4].

## **3 Phi functions for** $\{a, a + b, ..., a + (m - 1)b\}$

It is natural to ask whether one can generalize the formulas obtained by El Bachraoui [2] to a set  $A = \{a, a + b, ..., a + (m - 1)b\}$ , where a, b, and m are positive integers. Let  $\Phi^{(a,b)}(m,n)$  be the number of nonempty subsets of  $\{a, a + b, ..., a + (m - 1)b\}$  which are relatively prime to n and  $\Phi_k([l,m],n)$  to be the number of such subsets of cardinality k. To state our main theorem, we need the following lemma, which is proved in [1]:

**Lemma 1.** For an integer  $d \ge 1$ , and for nonzero integers a and b such that gcd(a, b) = 1, let  $A_d = \{x = a + ib \text{ for } i = 0, ..., (m - 1) | d | x\}$ . Then (i) If  $gcd(b, d) \ne 1$ , then  $|A_d| = 0$ . (ii) If gcd (b,d) = 1, then  $|A_d| = \lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor + \varepsilon_d$ , where

$$\varepsilon_d = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d \mid m; \\ 1, & \text{if } d \nmid m \text{ and } (-ab^{-1}) \mod d \in \left\{0, \dots, m - \lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor d - 1\right\}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(3)

Theorem 2.

$$\Phi^{(a,b)}(m,n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ \gcd(b,d) = 1}} \mu(d) \left(2^{\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor + \epsilon_d} - 1\right)$$
(4)

and

$$\Phi_k^{(a,b)}(m,n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ \gcd(b,d) = 1}} \mu(d) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor + \epsilon_d \\ k \end{array} \right),$$
(5)

where  $\epsilon_d$  is the function defined in Lemma 1.

Proof. Let  $A_d = \{x = a + ib \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, (m-1) | d | x\}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}(A_d) = \{\text{the nonempty subsets of } A_d\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\Phi^{(a,b)}(m,n) = (2^m - 1) - \left| \bigcup_{\substack{p \text{ prime}\\p \mid n}} \mathcal{P}(A_p) \right|$ . Clearly, if  $p_1, \dots, p_t$  are

distinct primes, then

$$\left|\bigcap_{i=1}^{t} \mathcal{P}(A_{p_i})\right| = \left|\mathcal{P}(A_{\prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i})\right|.$$

Thus, using the principle of inclusion-exclusion, one obtains from above that

$$\Phi^{(a,b)}(m,n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) |\mathcal{P}(A_d)|.$$

It was proved in Lemma 1, that if  $gcd(b,d) \neq 1$ , then  $|A_d| = 0$  and if gcd(b,d) = 1, then  $|A_d| = \left(\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor + \varepsilon_d\right)$ . Hence

$$\Phi^{(a,b)}(m,n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ \gcd(b,d) = 1}} \mu(d) \left(2^{\left[\frac{m}{d}\right] + \epsilon_d} - 1\right).$$

The proof for Eq. (5) is similar.

Theorem 3 in [2] can be deduced from Theorem 2 above as the particular case where a = b = 1. We prove the following.

Corollary 3. (a)  $\Phi([1,m],n) = \Phi^{(1,1)}(m,n)$ and (b)  $\Phi_k([l,m],n) = \Phi_k^{(1,1)}(m,n)$ . *Proof.* It is not difficult to prove that when a = b = 1 in Lemma 1,  $\epsilon_d = 0$ . Using Theorem 2, and the well-known equality  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$ , one obtains that

$$\Phi^{(1,1)}(m,n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \left( 2^{\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor} - 1 \right) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) 2^{\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor} = \Phi([1,m],n)$$
(6)

and

$$\Phi_k^{(1,1)}(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor \\ k \end{array} \right) = \Phi_k([1,m],n).$$

$$(7)$$

**Example 4.** Using Theorem 2, one can obtain asymptotic estimates and generalize Corollary 4 proved by El Bachraoui [2].

#### References

- [1] M. Ayad and O. Kihel, On relatively prime sets, submitted.
- [2] M. El Bachraoui, On the number of subsets of [1, m] relatively prime to n and asymptotic estimates, *Integers* 8 (2008), A41, 5 pp. (electronic).
- [3] M. El Bachraoui, The number of relatively prime subsets and phi functions for  $\{m, m + 1, \ldots, n\}$ , *Integers* 7 (2007), A43, 8 pp. (electronic).
- [4] M. B. Nathanson, Affine invariants, relatively prime sets, and a phi function for subsets of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ , *Integers* 7 (2007), A1, 7 pp. (electronic).
- [5] M. B. Nathanson and B. Orosz, Asymptotic estimates for phi functions for subsets of  $\{M + 1, M + 2, ..., N\}$ , Integers 7 (2007), A54, 5 pp. (electronic).

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05A15. Keywords: relatively prime subset, Euler phi function.

Received October 22 2008; revised version received December 13 2008. Published in *Journal* of Integer Sequences, December 13 2008.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.