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#### Abstract

A set of $k$ positive integers is a postage stamp basis for $n$ if every positive integer up to $n$ can be expressed as the sum of no more than $h$ values from the set. An extremal basis is one for which $n$ is as large as possible. For the case $h=k=8$, the unique extremal basis is $A=\{1,8,13,58,169,295,831,1036\}$, with $n=3485$. Several other new extremal bases are presented, along with corrections to a previous article.


## 1 Introduction

The global postage-stamp problem consists of determining, for given positive integers $h$ and $k$, a set of $k$ positive integers

$$
A_{k}=\left\{a_{1}=1<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{k}\right\}
$$

such that
(a) sums of $h$ or fewer of these $a_{j}$ can realize the numbers $1,2, \ldots, n$, and
(b) the value of $n$ is as large as possible.

The $h$-range for a particular set $A_{k}$ is denoted by $n_{h}\left(A_{k}\right)$ and the extremal value by $n_{h}(k)$. Mossige [3] presented efficient search algorithms for determining $n_{h}(k)$, which Shallit [8] has shown to be NP hard in $k$. Various techniques (e.g., Challis [2]) have been used to reduce the effort to compute $n_{h}(k)$, and a further improvement is described below.

The most recent results are presented in an appendix. Corrections and improvements to Robinson [6] are also given.

## 2 Tree representation

A set $A_{k}$ is said to be admissible (strictly, $h$-admissible) if $n_{h}\left(A_{k}\right) \geq a_{k}$; clearly inadmissible sets are of no interest when searching for the extremal value $n_{h}(k)$.

The natural tree representation for all admissible postage stamp sets associates $a_{1}=1$ with the root. The root branches out to $h$ admissible nodes at the second level for the $a_{2}$ values $2,3, \ldots, h+1$. At level three and beyond, the number of successor states for a particular state can vary. For any given admissible denomination vector $A$ there is a path.

For the diagonal case $h=k$, all possible admissible sets were examined for the cases $h=6,7,8$ and about 100 million random admissible sets for $h=9$. Table 1 summarizes these experiments. The average fan-out is over all admissible sets. Note that the average fan-out increases down the tree and for the same level grows slowly with $h$. The number of admissible sets for $h=9$ is an estimate based on the experimental average values.

|  | Admissible | Average fan-out by level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h$ | cases | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 6 | $5.2 \times 10^{6}$ | 6 | 11.8 | 23.9 | 43.2 | 71.6 |  |  |  |
| 7 | $5.6 \times 10^{9}$ | 7 | 15.0 | 32.5 | 64.0 | 114 | 234 |  |  |
| 8 | $2.5 \times 10^{13}$ | 8 | 18.5 | 42.8 | 90.8 | 174 | 307 | 525 |  |
| $9^{1}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{17}$ | 9 | 22.3 | 54.7 | 125 | 256 | 472 | 860 | 1502 |

Table 1: Cases and fan-out

## 3 Algorithm for case $h=k=8$

The number of admissible postage stamp sets grows rapidly for the diagonal case (Table 1). Level $k-1$ has the largest average fan-out; e.g., over 500 for $h=8$. We extend the method of Challis [2] wherein a set $A$ can be rejected if it can be shown that a particular needed total $x$ cannot be represented by $A$. Whereas Challis uses a software cache to speed up his generate $(x)$ procedure, we use look-up tables. We next outline this speed-up for $h=8$.

[^0]For each preamble of $a_{1}=1$ to $a_{7}$, eight intermediate look-up tables are calculated. Each table lists all totals of $a_{1}$ to $a_{7}$ using len denominations or less, for $1 \leq l e n \leq 8$. These calculations are amortized over all the admissible values of $a_{8}$. Next, the target total $x$ is checked, in one look-up step in the table len $=8$, to determine if some combination of $a_{1}$ to $a_{7}$ totals to $x$. If not, then the table len $=7$ is checked for the total $x-a_{8}$; if it exists, this yields a combination totaling $x$ using one copy of $x_{8}$. If not, another $a_{8}$ is subtracted, and the len $=6$ table is checked for a combination using two copies of $x_{8}$. Continue down to len $=1$, finally checking whether $8 a_{8}=x$. When a combination for $x$ is found, the scan down the eight tables is exited and the entire process is repeated with another target $x$. If no combination equal to $x$ is found in these nine steps, $A$ with $a_{8}$ is rejected, and another value for $a_{8}$ is tested.

The set of target values for $x$ are the totals needed to equal the current best $A$. If we generate all these totals, then $n_{8}(A)$ is computed, and the target set is updated. This process yielded a net speed-up factor of more than 20 over the unoptimized procedure for $h=8$.

There are more than $10^{13}$ admissible sets in the $(8,8)$ case. The unique extremal basis $A=\{1,8,13,58,169,295,831,1036\}$ with $n=3485$ was determined in 2002 by the first author and independently in 2009 by the second using the program described above. Challis [2] describes two different algorithms (the K-program and H-program) and the 2002 result was obtained using the K-program, whereas the 2009 result was obtained using an independent algorithm based on the $H$-program.

## 4 New extremal sets

Many other new results have been obtained by Challis, and a complete table of extremal bases known to him at the time of writing (September 2009) is included as Appendix A to this paper.

These results have been obtained using the algorithms described in Challis [2], but with one further improvement made to the $H$-program which enables a number of candidate sets $A_{k}$ to be rejected as a group.

Suppose that we have already determined a reasonably good lower bound $T$ for $n_{h}(k)$. In [2] we show that the following value $X<T$ is a "difficult" target for $A_{k}$ : that is, in almost all cases (provided $h \gg k$ ) there is no generation of $X$ as the sum of no more than $h$ values from $A_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left(C_{k}-1\right) a_{k}+\left(C_{k-1}-1\right) a_{k-1}+\ldots+\left(C_{2}-1\right) a_{2}+\left(a_{2}-1\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
T=C_{k} a_{k}+R_{k} \text { for } 0 \leq R_{k}<a_{k},
$$

and

$$
a_{i}=C_{i-1} a_{i-1}+R_{i-1} \text { for } 0 \leq R_{i-1}<a_{i-1}, 3 \leq i<k .
$$

We now suppose that we can find a value $x$ such that

$$
x \leq X \text { and } x>\left(C_{k}-2\right) a_{k}+\left(h-C_{k}+2\right) a_{k-1}
$$

where the second condition above means that if $x$ is to have a valid generation as the sum of no more than $h$ values from $A_{k}$, then it will require at least $\left(C_{k}-1\right)$ values $a_{k}$. Of course there is no guarantee that we can find such values for given $T$ and $A_{k}$, but in practice it turns out often to be the case.

Suppose further that $x$ cannot be generated by $A_{k}$ at all. We now show how under certain conditions it is possible to derive a value $x^{\prime}$ which cannot be generated by $A_{k}^{\prime}$ where $a_{k}^{\prime}=a_{k}-r, r>0, a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i}, 1 \leq i<k$. As $a_{k}$ decreases, so $C_{k}$ may increase and $C_{k-1}$ may decrease; other values $C_{i}$ will remain unchanged. One extra condition that we require is that $C_{k-1}$ also remains unchanged. So we have:

$$
a_{k}^{\prime}=a_{k}-r, C_{k}^{\prime}=C_{k}+j, r>0, j \geq 0
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{(k-1)}^{\prime}=C_{k-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider

$$
x^{\prime}=x+j\left(a_{k}-r\right)-r\left(C_{k}-1\right)
$$

and suppose further (our second condition) that $x^{\prime}$, in analogy with $x$, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}>\left(C_{k}^{\prime}-2\right) a_{k}^{\prime}+\left(h-C_{k}^{\prime}+2\right) a_{k-1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that $A_{k}^{\prime}$ cannot generate $x^{\prime}$ by contradiction. Suppose that such a generation exists. Then it must include exactly $\left(C_{k}^{\prime}-1\right)$ values $a_{k}^{\prime}$ and so will be of the form:

$$
x^{\prime}=\left(C_{k}^{\prime}-1\right) a_{k}^{\prime}+f_{k-1} a_{k-1}+\ldots+f_{2} a_{2}+f_{1}
$$

with

$$
\left(C_{k}^{\prime}-1\right)+f_{k-1}+\ldots+f_{1} \leq h
$$

Substituting for $x^{\prime}, C_{k}^{\prime}$ and $a_{k}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
x+j\left(a_{k}-r\right)-r\left(C_{k}-1\right)=\left(C_{k}+j-1\right)\left(a_{k}-r\right)+f_{k-1} a_{k-1}+\ldots+f_{2} a_{2}+f_{1}
$$

or

$$
x=\left(C_{k}-1\right) a_{k}+f_{k-1} a_{k-1}+\ldots+f_{2} a_{2}+f_{1} \text { with }\left(C_{k}^{\prime}-1\right)+f_{k-1}+\ldots+f_{1} \leq h .
$$

But since $C_{k}^{\prime} \leq C_{k}$ this means that $x$ can be generated by $A_{k}$, which is contrary to hypothesis.
We now know that $x^{\prime}$ cannot be generated by $A_{k}^{\prime}$, but in order to reject $A_{k}^{\prime}$ we must also show that $x^{\prime}$ is less than $T$. If we write

$$
x=\left(C_{k}-1\right) a_{k}+F,
$$

we have

$$
x^{\prime}=\left(C_{k}-1\right) a_{k}+F+j\left(a_{k}-r\right)-r\left(C_{k}-1\right)=\left(C_{k}^{\prime}-1\right) a_{k}^{\prime}+F .
$$

From (1) we know that $F \leq\left(C_{k-1}-1\right) a_{k-1}+\ldots+\left(C_{2}-1\right) a_{2}+\left(a_{2}-1\right)$, and so

$$
x^{\prime} \leq\left(C_{k}^{\prime}-1\right) a_{k}^{\prime}+\left(C_{k-1}-1\right) a_{k-1}+\ldots+\left(C_{2}-1\right) a_{2}+\left(a_{2}-1\right)=X^{\prime}
$$

where $X^{\prime}$ is the difficult target for $A_{k}^{\prime}$, so $x^{\prime}<T$.
Once we have discovered a suitable value $x$ we can reject all sets $A_{k}^{\prime}$ for $r=0,1, \ldots$ without further ado so long as the two conditions (2) and (3) are met. It is not difficult to calculate when these conditions will fail, and as the average length of the "chain" of rejected sets is quite substantial for large $h$, the extra cost of the requisite book-keeping code is more than offset by the savings made. This is illustrated in the following table:

| $k$ | $h$ | Average chain length | Speed increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 150 | 1088 | $7 \%$ |
| 5 | 35 | 395 | $11 \%$ |
| 6 | 14 | 124 | $9 \%$ |

## 5 Corrections to "Some extremal postage stamp 2bases"

Of minor importance are the following corrections to Table 1 of the original article [6]:

| Stride $s$ | Original value | Correct value |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | 1,654 | 1,658 |
| 17 | 246,196 | 246,169 |
| 21 | $69,076,273$ | $69,075,740$ |

A counting bug was found in the original program which was most serious for $s=21$.
The type of 2-bases investigated by Robinson [6] were also investigated by Mossige [5], who first describes preambles $\operatorname{PA}\left(s, a_{s}\right)$ and then describes a property extensibility. Consider the following family of bases derived from $\operatorname{PA}\left(s, a_{s}\right)$ :

$$
A_{p+s}=\left\{1, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}=b_{0}, a_{s}+s=b_{1}, a_{s}+2 s=b_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}+p s=b_{p}\right\}
$$

We say $\mathrm{PA}\left(s, a_{s}\right)$ is extensible if $A_{p+s}$ is admissible for all $p$.
Next we say that an extensible preamble $\mathrm{PA}\left(s, a_{s}\right)$ is symmetricizable if the family of the symmetric bases $A_{k}$ derived from it in the obvious way (see Robinson [6]) are admissible for all $k \geq k_{0}$ for some $k_{0}$. It is straightforward to show that $\mathrm{PA}\left(s, a_{s}\right)$ is extensible if $A_{p+s}$ is admissible for some $p$ such that $b_{p-1} \geq 2 b_{0}$, and that it is symmetricizable if the derived symmetric basis is admissible for some $p$ such that $b_{p} \geq 2 b_{0}$. These facts were used by Challis when coding his algorithm to determine optimal preambles $\mathrm{PA}\left(s, a_{s}\right)$.

What is perhaps surprising is that an extensible preamble is not necessarily symmetricizable, and this is the reason for the two errors in Table 2 of the original article: the preambles for $s=16$ and $s=24$, although extensible, are not symmetricizable. As an example, consider the 2-basis for $k=52$ derived from $\operatorname{PA}(16,61)$; it is easy to show that the value 415 cannot be generated by this basis.

Let us call a preamble that is extensible but not symmetricizable anomalous; then there are no anomalous preambles for $s<14$. Even afterwards, there are very few, although the proportion increases slightly as $s$ increases. For $s=21$, just $1.3 \%$ of extensible preambles are anomalous.

Here are corrected versions of Table 2 and Table 3 from Robinson [6]:

Table 2: Most efficient PAs for $s=11, \ldots, 26$

| $s$ | $A$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 11 | $\{1,3,4,7,8,9,16,17,21,24,35\}$ |
| 13 | $\{1,2,5,7,10,11,19,21,22,25,29,30,43\}$ |
| 15 | $\{1,2,5,6,8,9,13,19,22,27,29,33,40,41,56\}$ |
| 16 | $\{1,2,3,7,8,9,12,15,22,26,30,36,37,43,45,61\}$ |
| 17 | $\{1,2,5,6,7,12,13,16,26,28,31,37,38,42,44,49,66\}$ |
| 19 | $\{1,2,3,6,9,11,12,15,16,27,32,37,45,48,52,55,61,62,80\}$ |
| 20 | $\{1,2,4,5,11,13,14,19,29,35,37,43,46,47,50,52,56,58,68,88\}$ |
| 21 | $\{1,2,3,6,10,14,17,19,26,29,36,41,49,51,54,55,58,60,67,74,95\}$ |
| 22 | $\{1,3,5,7,8,12,14,18,26,32,33,42,43,50,60,63,68,79,81,83,97,105\}$ |
| 24 | $\{1,3,5,6,13,15,16,18,22,38,41,44,47,52,55,58,59,60,74,80,81,91,93,117\}$ |
| 26 | $\{1,3,4,6,7,14,16,19,20,28,36,38,39,48,49,60,61,70,76,77,89,93,95,99,109,135\}$ |

The changes are an alternative $\operatorname{PA}(16,61)$ that is symmetricizable, a new $\operatorname{PA}(17,66)$ that gives rise to an optimal basis for $k=57$, a replacement for $\mathrm{PA}(24,118)$, and the addition of $\mathrm{PA}(26,135)$.

Table 3: Best $n_{2}\left(A_{k}\right)$ for the symmetric bases

| $s$ | $a_{s}$ | $k$-range | $n_{2}\left(A_{k}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | 35 | $30-40$ | $22 k-344$ |
| 13 | 43 | $40-43$ | $26 k-504$ |
| 15 | 56 | $43-52$ | $30 k-676$ |
| 16 | 61 | $52-56$ | $32 k-780$ |
| 17 | 66 | $56-58$ | $34 k-892$ |
| 19 | 80 | $58-62$ | $38 k-1124$ |
| 20 | 88 | $62-67$ | $40 k-1248$ |
| 22 | 105 | $67-80$ | $44 k-1516$ |
| 24 | 117 | $80-82$ | $48 k-1836$ |
| 26 | 135 | $82-?$ | $52 k-2164$ |

Interestingly, Mossige missed $\operatorname{PA}(16,61)$ and noted that for $9 \leq s \leq 19$ all of the best bases were derived from preambles with odd $s$, so although he calculated as far as $s=23$ he omitted $s=20$ and $s=22$.

Recently (see section 7), an exhaustive search for optimal 2-bases for $k=21$ found the following to be extremal:

| $k$ | $n(2, k)$ | $A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | 164 | $\{1,3,4,6,10,13,15,21,29,37,45,53,61,69,73,75,78,79,82,84,88\}$ |
|  |  | $\{1,3,4,6,10,13,15,21,29,37,45,53,61,69,73,75,78,79,80,82,84\}$ |
|  |  | $\{1,3,4,6,10,13,15,21,29,37,45,53,61,67,69,72,76,78,79,81,82\}$ |
|  | $\{1,3,4,5,8,14,20,26,32,38,44,50,56,62,68,74,77,78,79,81,82\}$ |  |

This is the first example for $k>14$ where there are non-symmetric extremal solutions in addition to the expected symmetric bases.

## 6 Discussion

It was found that $n(8)=3485$ is 40 larger than the next best $(8,8)$ set with $n_{8}(A)=3445$. The improved rejection factor technique presented in this note, when applied to the $h=9$ case, appears to be about 50. Our estimate of more than $10^{17}$ admissible cases indicates that this approach is insufficient for $h=9$ with the current computer technology. In the random experiments it was found that $n(9) \geq 9338$, which is more than twice the Fibonacci lower bound of 4180 [1].

## 7 Appendix A: Table of extremal ranges $n(h, k)$ with corresponding $h$-bases $A_{k}$

Note that the smaller values in the following table were previously published by the first author [2].
$\mathrm{h}=2$

| $k$ | $n(2, k)$ | $a_{i}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |  |  |
| 6 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |
| 6 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 11 |  |
| 6 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 16 |  |
| 6 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 14 |  |
| 6 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 10 |  |
| 7 | 26 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 7 | 26 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 13 |
| 7 | 26 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 17 | 18 |


| $k$ | $n(2, k)$ | $a_{i}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 32 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 32 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 21 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 40 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 46 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 46 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 54 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 54 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 54 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 54 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 14 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 64 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 21 | 23 | 28 | 29 | 31 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 72 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 20 | 25 | 27 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 80 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 20 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 51 | 53 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 80 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 32 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 39 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 80 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 25 | 51 | 53 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 92 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 45 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 104 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 44 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 51 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 116 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 44 | 50 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 57 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 128 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 44 | 50 | 56 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 63 | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 140 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 44 | 50 | 56 | 62 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 69 | 70 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 152 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 44 | 50 | 56 | 62 | 68 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 75 | 76 |  |  |
| 21 | 164 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 45 | 53 | 61 | 69 | 73 | 75 | 78 | 79 | 82 | 84 | 88 |  |
| 21 | 164 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 45 | 53 | 61 | 69 | 73 | 75 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 82 | 84 |  |
| 21 | 164 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 45 | 53 | 61 | 67 | 69 | 72 | 76 | 78 | 79 | 81 | 82 |  |
| 21 | 164 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 44 | 50 | 56 | 62 | 68 | 74 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 81 | 82 |  |
| 22 | 180 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 45 | 53 | 61 | 69 | 77 | 81 | 83 | 86 | 87 | 90 | 92 | 96 |
| 22 | 180 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 45 | 53 | 61 | 69 | 77 | 81 | 83 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 90 | 92 |
| 22 | 180 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 45 | 53 | 61 | 69 | 75 | 77 | 80 | 84 | 86 | 87 | 89 |  |


| $\mathrm{h}=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k$ | $n(3, k)$ | $a_{i}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 24 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 36 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 52 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 19 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 52 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 17 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 70 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 18 | 27 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 93 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 24 | 26 | 39 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 121 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 14 | 32 | 36 | 51 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 154 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 19 | 28 |  | 43 | 91 | 103 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 186 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 26 | 38 | 56 | 69 | 85 | 89 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 186 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 16 | 27 | 44 | 49 | 73 | 81 | 91 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 225 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 49 | 53 | 84 | 88 | 108 | 114 |  |  |
| 13 | 271 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 16 | 20 | 39 | 56 | 79 | 100 | 113 | 122 | 131 |  |
| 14 | 323 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 27 |  | 43 | 46 | 97 | 107 | 127 | 147 | 157 |
| $\mathrm{h}=4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $k$ | $n(4, k)$ | $a_{i}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 26 | 1 | 5 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 44 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 70 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 15 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 108 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 38 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 108 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 27 | 29 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 162 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 24 | 35 | 49 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 162 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 37 | 50 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 162 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 25 | 31 | 52 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 228 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 19 | 33 | 39 | 92 | 1 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 310 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 11 | 28 | 33 | 78 | 1 | 118 | 143 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 310 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 22 | 31 | 36 | 83 |  | 117 | 133 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 422 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 24 | 26 | 42 | 104 |  | 115 | 174 | 185 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 550 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 34 | 52 | 62 |  | 137 | 149 | 229 | 242 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{h}=5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $k$ | $n(5, k)$ | $a_{i}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 35 | 1 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 71 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 71 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 126 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 31 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 211 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 24 | 56 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 211 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 33 | 54 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 336 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 24 | 30 | 87 | 106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 524 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 33 | 48 | 77 | 183 |  | 236 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 726 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 18 | 51 | 92 | 163 |  | 208 | 223 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1016 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 21 | 60 | 93 | 104 | - 1 | 154 | 378 | 414 |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{h}=6$

| $k$ | $n(6, k)$ | $a_{i}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 52 | 1 | 7 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 114 | 1 | 4 | 19 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 216 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 43 | 52 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 388 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 48 | 83 | 115 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 638 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 31 | 104 | 145 | 170 |  |  |
| 8 | 1007 | 1 | 5 | 18 | 29 | 97 | 170 | 219 | 308 |  |
| 9 | 1545 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 32 | 77 | 114 | 284 | 447 | 471 |
| $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Separate formulae are given for $h$ even and $h$ odd:

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(2 t, 2) & =t(t+3) & & \text { with } a_{2}=t+1 \text { or } t+2 \\
n(2 t+1,2) & =t(t+4)+2 & & \text { with } a_{2}=t+2
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{k}=3$

| $h$ | $n(h, 3)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $h$ | $n(h, 3)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 7 | 69 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 15 | 354 | 1 | 12 | 52 |
| 8 | 89 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 16 | 418 | 1 | 15 | 54 |
| 9 | 112 | 1 | 9 | 20 | 17 | 476 | 1 | 14 | 61 |
| 10 | 146 | 1 | 10 | 26 | 18 | 548 | 1 | 15 | 80 |
| 11 | 172 | 1 | 9 | 30 | 19 | 633 | 1 | 18 | 65 |
| 11 | 172 | 1 | 10 | 26 | 20 | 714 | 1 | 17 | 91 |
| 12 | 212 | 1 | 11 | 37 | 21 | 805 | 1 | 17 | 91 |
| 13 | 259 | 1 | 13 | 34 | 22 | 902 | 1 | 19 | 102 |
| 14 | 302 | 1 | 12 | 52 | 22 | 902 | 1 | 20 | 92 |

where $h=9 t+r, 0 \leq r \leq 8$, and $c_{i j}$ are given by:

For $h \geq 23, n(h, 3)$ and $a_{i}$ are given by the formulae:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{2}= & \left(6 t+c_{21}\right) \\
a_{3}= & \left(2 t+c_{31}\right)+\left(2 t+c_{32}\right) a_{2} \\
n(h, 3)= & \left(4 t+c_{41}\right)+\left(2 t+c_{42}\right) a_{2} \\
& \quad+\left(3 t+c_{43}\right) a_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

| $r$ | $c_{21}$ | $c_{31}$ | $c_{32}$ | $c_{41}$ | $c_{42}$ | $c_{43}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 4 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 6 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 7 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| 8 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 |

$\mathrm{k}=4$

| $h$ | $n(h, 4)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $h$ | $n(h, 4)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 165 | 1 | 5 | 24 | 37 | 32 | 15657 | 1 | 25 | 236 | 1585 |
| 8 | 234 | 1 | 6 | 25 | 65 | 33 | 17242 | 1 | 25 | 236 | 1585 |
| 9 | 326 | 1 | 5 | 34 | 60 | 34 | 18892 | 1 | 24 | 225 | 1734 |
| 10 | 427 | 1 | 6 | 41 | 67 | 35 | 21061 | 1 | 28 | 264 | 1773 |
| 11 | 547 | 1 | 7 | 48 | 85 | 36 | 23445 | 1 | 22 | 355 | 1700 |
| 12 | 708 | 1 | 7 | 48 | 126 | 37 | 25553 | 1 | 29 | 303 | 2346 |
| 13 | 873 | 1 | 9 | 56 | 155 | 38 | 27978 | 1 | 22 | 355 | 2361 |
| 14 | 1094 | 1 | 8 | 61 | 164 | 39 | 31347 | 1 | 30 | 343 | 2634 |
| 15 | 1383 | 1 | 12 | 65 | 240 | 40 | 33981 | 1 | 30 | 343 | 2634 |
| 16 | 1650 | 1 | 11 | 78 | 216 | 41 | 36806 | 1 | 31 | 353 | 3092 |
| 17 | 1935 | 1 | 11 | 90 | 252 | 42 | 39914 | 1 | 27 | 465 | 2692 |
| 18 | 2304 | 1 | 16 | 73 | 338 | 43 | 43592 | 1 | 34 | 389 | 3376 |
| 19 | 2782 | 1 | 10 | 99 | 360 | 44 | 47536 | 1 | 34 | 423 | 3682 |
| 20 | 3324 | 1 | 16 | 103 | 488 | 45 | 51218 | 1 | 34 | 423 | 3682 |
| 21 | 3812 | 1 | 16 | 103 | 488 | 46 | 54900 | 1 | 28 | 564 | 3261 |
| 22 | 4368 | 1 | 12 | 121 | 561 | 46 | 54900 | 1 | 34 | 423 | 3682 |
| 23 | 5130 | 1 | 14 | 142 | 659 | 47 | 59702 | 1 | 37 | 460 | 4004 |
| 24 | 5892 | 1 | 16 | 163 | 757 | 48 | 63891 | 1 | 38 | 473 | 4590 |
| 25 | 6745 | 1 | 20 | 149 | 860 | 49 | 69362 | 1 | 38 | 509 | 4986 |
| 26 | 7880 | 1 | 16 | 194 | 734 | 49 | 74348 | 1 | 38 | 509 | 4986 |
| 27 | 8913 | 1 | 21 | 177 | 1006 | 51 | 81303 | 1 | 39 | 563 | 5448 |
| 28 | 9919 | 1 | 21 | 177 | 1006 | 52 | 86751 | 1 | 39 | 563 | 5448 |
| 29 | 11081 | 1 | 19 | 230 | 870 | 53 | 92199 | 1 | 39 | 563 | 5448 |
| 30 | 12376 | 1 | 18 | 254 | 969 | 54 | 97836 | 1 | 41 | 630 | 6147 |
| 31 | 13932 | 1 | 25 | 211 | 1410 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For $55 \leq h \leq 254, n(h, 4)$ and $a_{i}$ are given by one of the following three sets of formulae:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A): a_{2} & =\left(9 t+c_{21}\right) \\
a_{3} & =\left(4 t+c_{31}\right)+\left(3 t+c_{32}\right) a_{2} \\
a_{4} & =\left(7 t+c_{41}\right)+\left(2 t+c_{42}\right) a_{2}+\left(2 t+c_{43}\right) a_{3} \\
n(h, 4) & =\left(2 t+c_{51}\right)+\left(t+c_{52}\right) a_{2}+\left(6 t+c_{53}\right) a_{3}+\left(3 t+c_{54}\right) a_{4} \\
(B): \quad a_{2} & =\left(9 t+c_{21}\right) \\
a_{3} & =\left(2 t+c_{31}\right)+\left(3 t+c_{32}\right) a_{2} \\
a_{4} & =\left(7 t+c_{41}\right)+\left(2 t+c_{42}\right) a_{2}+\left(2 t+c_{43}\right) a_{3} \\
n(h, 4) & =\left(4 t+c_{51}\right)+\left(3 t+c_{52}\right) a_{2}+\left(2 t+c_{53}\right) a_{3}+\left(3 t+c_{54}\right) a_{4} \\
(C): \quad a_{2} & =\left(9 t+c_{21}\right) \\
a_{3} & =\left(4 t+c_{31}\right)+\left(3 t+c_{32}\right) a_{2} \\
a_{4} & =\left(7 t+c_{41}\right)+\left(2 t+c_{42}\right) a_{2}+\left(2 t+c_{43}\right) a_{3} \\
n(h, 4) & =\left(t+c_{51}\right)+\left(4 t+c_{52}\right) a_{2}+\left(6 t+c_{53}\right) a_{3}+\left(3 t+c_{54}\right) a_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h=12 t+r, 0 \leq r \leq 11$, and $c_{i j}$ are given in the following table:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrrrrrrrrrrr}
\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{4}(\mathbf{c o n t i n u e d}) \\
r & & c_{21} & c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{41} & c_{42} & c_{43} & c_{51} & c_{52} & c_{53} & c_{54} & \text { Valid for: } \\
0 & \mathrm{~A} & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -3 & 0 & 4 & -1 & 4 \leq t \leq 5 \\
0 & \mathrm{~A} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 6 \leq t \leq 11 \\
0 & \mathrm{~B} & 2 & 2 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -2 & -1 & 4 & 12 \leq t \leq 21 \\
1 & \mathrm{~A} & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \leq t \leq 21 \\
2 & \mathrm{~A} & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -3 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 5 \leq t \leq 6 \\
2 & \mathrm{~A} & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 7 \leq t \leq 20 \\
2 & \mathrm{~B} & 5 & 3 & -1 & 6 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 & 5 & 21 \leq t \leq 21 \\
3 & \mathrm{~A} & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 1 \leq t \leq 20 \\
4 & \mathrm{~A} & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 2 \leq t \leq 20 \\
5 & \mathrm{~A} & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 4 \leq t \leq 20 \\
6 & \mathrm{~A} & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 5 \leq t \leq 20 \\
7 & \mathrm{~A} & 7 & 3 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 7 & 1 & 2 \leq t \leq 11 \\
7 & \mathrm{~A} & 8 & 4 & 1 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 12 \leq t \leq 20 \\
8 & \mathrm{~A} & 7 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 7 & 1 & 1 \leq t \leq 16 \\
8 & \mathrm{~A} & 8 & 4 & 1 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 6 & 17 \leq t \leq 20 \\
9 & \mathrm{~A} & 7 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 7 & 2 & 1 \leq t \leq 20 \\
10 & \mathrm{~A} & 7 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 7 & 3 & 4 \leq t \leq 19 \\
10 & \mathrm{C} & 11 & 6 & 1 & 10 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 7 & 20 \leq t \leq 20 \\
11 & \mathrm{~A} & 10 & 4 & 3 & 7 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 7 & 3 & 2 \leq t \leq 7 \\
11 & \mathrm{~B} & 11 & 4 & 2 & 10 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 6 & 8 \leq t \leq 20
\end{array}
$$

The extremal bases of type A were independently investigated by Mossige [4], who developed a procedure for determining the corresponding $h$-range. Selmer [7] showed the others to be given by similar formulae of type $B$. Type $C$ is a variant of type $A$.

| $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $h$ | $n(h, 5)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ |
| 7 | 345 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 64 | 102 |
| 8 | 512 | 1 | 9 | 15 | 78 | 115 |
| 8 | 512 | 1 | 9 | 15 | 80 | 118 |
| 9 | 797 | 1 | 9 | 23 | 108 | 181 |
| 10 | 1055 | 1 | 8 | 27 | 119 | 194 |
| 11 | 1475 | 1 | 10 | 34 | 165 | 270 |
| 12 | 2047 | 1 | 10 | 26 | 195 | 320 |
| 13 | 2659 | 1 | 13 | 34 | 242 | 409 |
| 14 | 3403 | 1 | 11 | 48 | 278 | 720 |
| 15 | 4422 | 1 | 14 | 50 | 325 | 782 |
| 16 | 5629 | 1 | 14 | 61 | 381 | 984 |
| 17 | 6865 | 1 | 13 | 67 | 326 | 1191 |
| 18 | 8669 | 1 | 14 | 75 | 500 | 1306 |
| 19 | 10835 | 1 | 14 | 89 | 523 | 1892 |
| 20 | 12903 | 1 | 14 | 102 | 589 | 1912 |
| 21 | 15785 | 1 | 14 | 88 | 727 | 2060 |
| 22 | 18801 | 1 | 18 | 97 | 858 | 2156 |

$\mathrm{k}=5$ (continued)

| $h$ | $n(h, 5)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 23 | 22456 | 1 | 20 | 91 | 894 | 3330 |
| 24 | 26469 | 1 | 16 | 148 | 843 | 3894 |
| 25 | 31108 | 1 | 16 | 148 | 975 | 4554 |
| 26 | 36949 | 1 | 22 | 136 | 1168 | 4227 |
| 27 | 42744 | 1 | 22 | 162 | 1372 | 4889 |
| 28 | 49436 | 1 | 25 | 139 | 1510 | 5657 |
| 29 | 57033 | 1 | 23 | 170 | 1610 | 5811 |
| 30 | 66771 | 1 | 24 | 201 | 1718 | 7596 |
| 31 | 75558 | 1 | 23 | 192 | 1976 | 7018 |
| 32 | 86303 | 1 | 25 | 180 | 1916 | 8793 |
| 33 | 96852 | 1 | 28 | 202 | 2150 | 9867 |
| 34 | 110253 | 1 | 29 | 209 | 2434 | 11256 |
| 35 | 123954 | 1 | 27 | 231 | 2495 | 11464 |
| 36 | 140688 | 1 | 30 | 227 | 2839 | 12993 |
| 37 | 158389 | 1 | 31 | 234 | 2926 | 13391 |
| 38 | 178811 | 1 | 30 | 275 | 2947 | 16472 |
| 39 | 197293 | 1 | 29 | 300 | 3671 | 16677 |
| 40 | 223580 | 1 | 29 | 266 | 3382 | 18856 |
| 41 | 247194 | 1 | 32 | 294 | 3739 | 20847 |
| 42 | 273443 | 1 | 34 | 325 | 4133 | 23063 |
| 43 | 300747 | 1 | 33 | 342 | 4560 | 25414 |
| 44 | 331461 | 1 | 32 | 393 | 4562 | 25751 |
| 45 | 368894 | 1 | 32 | 457 | 5137 | 28671 |
| 46 | 401350 | 1 | 37 | 421 | 5602 | 31205 |
| 47 | 443231 | 1 | 33 | 336 | 5224 | 34431 |
| 48 | 490325 | 1 | 36 | 515 | 6304 | 35400 |
| 49 | 536399 | 1 | 34 | 422 | 6065 | 38741 |
| 50 | 586322 | 1 | 42 | 444 | 6906 | 45542 |
| 51 | 634430 | 1 | 36 | 482 | 7132 | 40052 |
| 52 | 699698 | 1 | 35 | 570 | 6602 | 50446 |
| 53 | 754166 | 1 | 40 | 462 | 7666 | 50721 |
| 54 | 823136 | 1 | 39 | 474 | 7840 | 51893 |
| 55 | 892139 | 1 | 42 | 511 | 8494 | 56238 |
| 56 | 968914 | 1 | 39 | 489 | 8580 | 65052 |
| 57 | 1052562 | 1 | 43 | 617 | 10091 | 66380 |
| 58 | 1150377 | 1 | 46 | 606 | 9531 | 72397 |
| 59 | 1236682 | 1 | 44 | 552 | 10237 | 77846 |
| 60 | 1325927 | 1 | 41 | 631 | 11205 | 74232 |
| 61 | 1420882 | 1 | 44 | 623 | 10432 | 89278 |
| 62 | 1547688 | 1 | 49 | 646 | 12050 | 91649 |
| 63 | 1678695 | 1 | 49 | 664 | 12338 | 93848 |
| 64 | 1782370 | 1 | 52 | 705 | 13100 | 99644 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{k}=5$ (continued)

| $h$ | $n(h, 5)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 65 | 1888725 | 1 | 48 | 698 | 12988 | 111755 |
| 66 | 2036874 | 1 | 48 | 746 | 13252 | 113747 |
| 67 | 2165553 | 1 | 51 | 793 | 14087 | 120914 |


| $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $h$ | $n(h, 6)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ | $a_{6}$ |
| 7 | 664 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 64 | 113 | 193 |
| 8 | 1045 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 65 | 170 | 297 |
| 9 | 1617 | 1 | 6 | 31 | 48 | 256 | 373 |
| 10 | 2510 | 1 | 9 | 31 | 96 | 366 | 411 |
| 11 | 3607 | 1 | 7 | 41 | 105 | 490 | 815 |
| 12 | 5118 | 1 | 6 | 47 | 120 | 565 | 946 |
| 13 | 7066 | 1 | 10 | 35 | 133 | 759 | 1304 |
| 14 | 9748 | 1 | 11 | 49 | 188 | 810 | 2109 |
| 15 | 12793 | 1 | 8 | 71 | 192 | 1215 | 1993 |
| 16 | 17061 | 1 | 15 | 49 | 285 | 1292 | 3043 |
| 17 | 22342 | 1 | 13 | 82 | 387 | 1723 | 4789 |
| 18 | 28874 | 1 | 13 | 94 | 354 | 1968 | 5062 |
| 19 | 36560 | 1 | 16 | 87 | 408 | 2351 | 6452 |
| 20 | 45754 | 1 | 17 | 93 | 436 | 2898 | 6897 |
| 21 | 57814 | 1 | 14 | 129 | 469 | 3585 | 8757 |
| 22 | 72997 | 1 | 17 | 109 | 624 | 3998 | 9618 |
| 23 | 87555 | 1 | 12 | 117 | 541 | 4487 | 11496 |
| 24 | 106888 | 1 | 19 | 138 | 782 | 5346 | 13991 |
| 25 | 129783 | 1 | 19 | 157 | 896 | 5656 | 19313 |

$\mathrm{k}=7$

| $h$ | $n(h, 7)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ | $a_{6}$ | $a_{7}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 1137 | 1 | 7 | 18 | 62 | 104 | 244 | 259 |
| 7 | 1137 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 66 | 115 | 254 | 415 |
| 8 | 2001 | 1 | 6 | 28 | 47 | 127 | 412 | 602 |
| 9 | 3191 | 1 | 7 | 30 | 86 | 189 | 607 | 920 |
| 10 | 5047 | 1 | 6 | 29 | 96 | 246 | 857 | 1179 |
| 11 | 7820 | 1 | 10 | 34 | 153 | 380 | 1342 | 1487 |
| 12 | 11568 | 1 | 8 | 49 | 127 | 419 | 1566 | 2604 |
| 13 | 17178 | 1 | 12 | 40 | 223 | 544 | 2479 | 3253 |

$$
\mathrm{k}=8
$$

| $h$ | $n(h, 8)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ | $a_{6}$ | $a_{7}$ | $a_{8}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 1911 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 31 | 117 | 209 | 513 | 550 |
| 7 | 1911 | 1 | 6 | 20 | 41 | 109 | 228 | 509 | 580 |
| 8 | 3485 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 58 | 169 | 295 | 831 | 1036 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Estimates.

