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#### Abstract

We consider a generalization of the Frobenius problem, where the object of interest is the greatest integer having exactly $j$ representations by a collection of positive relatively prime integers. We prove an analogue of a theorem of Brauer and Shockley and show how it can be used for computation.


## 1 Introduction

The linear diophantine problem of Frobenius has long been a celebrated problem in number theory. Most simply put, the problem is to find the Frobenius number of $k$ positive relatively prime integers $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$, i.e., the greatest integer $M$ for which there is no way to express $M$ as the non-negative integral linear combination of the given $a_{i}$.

A generalization, which has drawn interest both from classical study of the Frobenius problem ([1, Problem A.2.6]) and from the perspective of partition functions and integer points in polytopes (as in Beck and Robins [2]), is to ask for the greatest integer $M$ that can be expressed in exactly $j$ different ways. We make this precise with the following definitions:

A representation of $M$ by a $k$-tuple $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ of non-negative, relatively prime integers is a solution $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{k}$ to the equation $M=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} x_{i}$.

We define the $j$-Frobenius number of a $k$-tuple $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ of relatively prime positive integers to be the greatest integer $M$ with exactly $j$ representations of $M$ by $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ if such a positive integer exists and zero otherwise. We refer to this quantity as $g_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$.

Finally, we define $f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ exactly as we defined $g_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$, except that we consider only positive representations $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{k}$.

Note that the 0-Frobenius number of $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ is just the classical Frobenius number. The purpose of this paper is to prove a generalization of a result of Brauer and Shockley [3] on the classical Frobenius number.

## 2 The Main Results

Our main result is the following:
Theorem 1. If $d=\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ and $j \geq 0$, then either

$$
g_{j}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=d \cdot g_{j}\left(a_{1}, \frac{a_{2}}{d}, \ldots, \frac{a_{k}}{d}\right)+(d-1) a_{1}
$$

or $g_{j}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=g_{j}\left(a_{1}, \frac{a_{2}}{d}, \ldots, \frac{a_{k}}{d}\right)=0$.
Lemma 2. If $f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ is nonzero, there exist integers $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}>0$ such that

$$
f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i} x_{i}
$$

Proof. Let $f_{j}:=f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$. By the definition of $f_{j}$, we can write $f_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} x_{i, \ell}$ with $x_{i, \ell}>0$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq j$. Since

$$
f_{j}+a_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} x_{i, \ell}+a_{1}=a_{1}\left(x_{1, \ell}+1\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i} x_{i, \ell}
$$

we obtain at least $j$ positive representations of $f_{j}+a_{1}$. As $f_{j}$ is the largest number with exactly $j$ positive representations, there must be at least $j+1$ distinct ways to represent $f_{j}+a_{1}$. Specifically, we have $f_{j}+a_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} x_{i, \ell}^{\prime}$ with $x_{i, \ell}^{\prime}>0$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq j+1$. Subtract $a_{1}$ from both sides of these $j+1$ equations to obtain $f_{j}=\left(x_{1, \ell}^{\prime}-1\right) a_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i} x_{i, \ell}^{\prime}$. Evidently, there exists some $\ell_{0} \in[1, j+1]$ for which $x_{1, \ell_{0}}^{\prime}-1=0$ because $f_{j}$ cannot have $j+1$ positive representations. Therefore, $f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i} x_{i, \ell_{0}}^{\prime}$.

Theorem 3. If $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=d$, then

$$
f_{j}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=d \cdot f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \frac{a_{2}}{d}, \ldots, \frac{a_{k}}{d}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $a_{i}=d a_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=2, \ldots, k$ and $N=f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$.
Assuming $N>0$, we know by Lemma 2 that

$$
N=\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i} x_{i}=d \sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i}^{\prime} x_{i}
$$

with $x_{i}>0$. Let $N^{\prime}=\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i}^{\prime} x_{i}$. We want to show that $N^{\prime}=f_{j}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ and will do this in three steps.

Step 1: First, we know that $N^{\prime}$ does not have $j+1$ or more positive representations by $a_{1}, a_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{k}^{\prime}$. If $N^{\prime}$ could be so represented, then for $1 \leq l \leq j+1$ we would have

$$
N^{\prime}=a_{1} y_{1, \ell}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i}^{\prime} y_{i, \ell}
$$

Multiplying this equation by $d$ immediately produces too many representations of $N$ and thus a contradiction.

Step 2: Next, we know that

$$
f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=N=a_{1} x_{1, \ell}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i} x_{i, \ell}
$$

for $1 \leq l \leq j$ and $x_{i}>0$, so

$$
\frac{N}{d}=\frac{a_{1} x_{1, \ell}}{d}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} \frac{a_{i} x_{i, \ell}}{d} .
$$

Since $d \mid N$ and $d \mid a_{i}$ for $i \geq 2$, we must have $d \mid a_{1} x_{1, \ell}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq j$. In addition, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, d\right)=1$ so we must have $d \mid x_{1, \ell}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq j$. So

$$
N^{\prime}=a_{1} \frac{x_{1, \ell}}{d}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i}^{\prime} x_{i, \ell}
$$

hence $N^{\prime}$ has at least $j$ distinct positive representations. But we have already shown that $N^{\prime}$ cannot have $j+1$ or more positive representations, thus $N^{\prime}$ has exactly $j$ positive representations.

Step 3: Finally we will show that $N^{\prime}$ is the largest number with exactly $j$ positive representations by $a_{1}, a_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{k}^{\prime}$. Consider any $n>N^{\prime}$. Since $d n>d N^{\prime}=N$, we know that $d n$ can be represented as a linear combination of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ in exactly $X$ ways with $X \neq j$. Thus, for $1 \leq l \leq X$ and $X \neq j$ we have

$$
d n=a_{1} x_{1, \ell}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i} x_{i, \ell}
$$

and as in Step 2,

$$
n=a_{1}\left(\frac{x_{1, \ell}}{d}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i}^{\prime} x_{i, \ell}
$$

If $X>j$ then we certainly do not have exactly $j$ representations, so assume $X<j$. Assume now that we can write $n=a_{1} y_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i}^{\prime} y_{i}$ where $y_{i} \neq x_{i, \ell}$ for any such $\ell$. By multiplying by $d$ we get a new representation for $d n$, which is a contradiction because $d n$ is represented in exactly $X \neq j$ ways.

Therefore $N^{\prime}$ is the greatest number with exactly $j$ positive representations and so

$$
N^{\prime}=f_{j}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{k}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
f_{j}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=d \cdot f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \frac{a_{2}}{d}, \ldots, \frac{a_{k}}{d}\right)
$$

Having established our results about $f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$, we show that we can translate these results to results about the $j$-Frobenius numbers.

Lemma 4. Either $f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=g_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=0$ or,

$$
f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=g_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}
$$

Proof. For ease, write $f_{j}$ for $f_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right), g_{j}$ for $g_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$, and $K=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}$.
Any representation $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ of $M$ gives a representation $\left(y_{1}+1, \ldots, y_{k}+1\right)$ of $M+K$. Moreover, adding or subtracting $K$ preserves the distinctness of representations because it adjusts every coefficient $y_{i}$ by 1 . Therefore if $M$ has $j$ representations, $M+K$ has at least $j$ positive representations. Likewise, every positive representation of $M+K$ gives a representation of $M$. Thus $f_{j}=0$ if and only if $g_{j}=0$. Assume now that $f_{j}$ and $g_{j}$ are both nonzero.

Suppose that $f_{j}<g_{j}+K$. By definition, we can find exactly $j$ representations $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ for $g_{j}$ and $g_{j}$ has exactly $j$ representations if and only if $g_{j}+K$ has exactly $j$ positive representations $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$. However, by assumption $g_{j}+K>f_{j}$ and $g_{j}+K$ has exactly $j$ positive representations. This contradicts the definition of $f_{j}$, hence $f_{j} \geq g_{j}+K$.

Suppose that $f_{j}>g_{j}+K$. By definition, we can find exactly $j$ positive representations $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ for $f_{j}$. The same argument as above shows that $f_{j}-K$ has exactly $j$ representations in contradiction to the definition of $g_{j}$. Thus $f_{j} \leq g_{j}+K$.

Proof of Theorem 1: Combine Theorem 3 with Lemma 4.

Corollary 5. Let $a_{1}$, $a_{2}$ be coprime positive integers and let $m$ be a positive integer. Suppose that $g_{j}=g_{j}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, m a_{1} a_{2}\right) \neq 0$. Then

- $g_{j}=(j+1) a_{1} a_{2}-a_{1}-a_{2}$ for $j<m+1$
- $g_{m+1}=0$ and
- $g_{m+2}=(m+2) a_{1} a_{2}-a_{1}-a_{2}$.

Proof. Theorem 1 tells us that if $g_{j}(1,1, m) \neq 0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{j}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, m a_{1} a_{2}\right) & =a_{2}\left(g_{j}\left(a_{1}, 1, m a_{1}\right)\right)+\left(a_{2}-1\right) a_{1} \\
& =a_{2}\left(a_{1} g_{j}(1,1, m)+\left(a_{1}-1\right) 1\right)+\left(a_{2}-1\right) a_{1} \\
& =a_{1} a_{2}\left(g_{j}(1,1, m)+2\right)-a_{1}-a_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Following Beck and Robins in their proof of [2, Proposition 1], we can use the values of the restricted partition function $p_{1,1, m}(k)$ to determine $g_{j}(1,1, m)$. Furthermore we can determine the relevant values with the Taylor series $\frac{1}{(1-t)^{2}\left(1-t^{m}\right)}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{1,1, m}(k) t^{k}$. Now recall that for $k<m, p_{1,1, m}(k)=p_{1,1}(k)=k+1$ but $p_{1,1, m}(m)=m+2$ and for all $k>m$, $p_{1,1, m}(k)>m+2$. Note that no number is represented $m+1$ times. Thus $g_{m+1}(1,1, m)=0$, $g_{j}(1,1, m)=j-1$ for $j<m$ and $g_{m+2}(1,1, m)=m$.

Remark 6. It is a consequence of the asymptotics in Nathanson [4] that for a given tuple, there may be many $j$ for which $g_{j}=0$, so the ordering $g_{0}<g_{1}<\cdots$ may not hold. In the process of discovering the theorems of this paper, we noted the somewhat stranger occurrence of tuples where $0<g_{j+1}<g_{j}$.

Take, for instance, the 3 -tuple $(3,5,8)$. The order $g_{0}<g_{1}<\cdots$ holds until $g_{14}=52$ and $g_{15}=51$. As should also be the case, the 3 -tuple increased by a factor of $d=2$ creates the new "dependent" 3-tuple $(3,10,16)$, which fails to hold order in the same position with $g_{14}=107$ and $g_{15}=105$. A few independent examples are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{17}(2,5,7)=43 \text { and } g_{18}(2,5,7)=42, \\
& g_{38}(2,5,17)=103 \text { and } g_{39}(2,5,17)=102, \\
& g_{35}(4,7,19)=181 \text { and } g_{36}(4,7,19)=180, \text { and } \\
& g_{38}(9,11,20)=376 \text { and } g_{39}(9,11,20)=369
\end{aligned}
$$

We do not as of yet know a lower bound on $j$ for the above to occur. Indeed, in every case we have computed, if $g_{0}, g_{1}>0$ then $g_{1}>g_{0}$, but to date neither a proof or a counterexample has presented itself.
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