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#### Abstract

The descent set $D(w)$ of a permutation $w$ of $1,2, \ldots, n$ is a standard and wellstudied statistic. We introduce a new statistic, the connectivity set $C(w)$, and show that it is a kind of dual object to $D(w)$. The duality is stated in terms of the inverse of a matrix that records the joint distribution of $D(w)$ and $C(w)$. We also give a variation involving permutations of a multiset and a $q$-analogue that keeps track of the number of inversions of $w$.


## 1 A duality between descents and connectivity.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ denote the symmetric group of permutations of $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, and let $w=$ $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$. The descent set $D(w)$ is defined by

$$
D(w)=\left\{i: a_{i}>a_{i+1}\right\} \subseteq[n-1] .
$$

The descent set is a well-known and much studied statistic on permutations with many applications, e.g., [6, Exam. 2.24, Thm. 3.12.1][7] §7.23]. Now define the connectivity set $C(w)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(w)=\left\{i: a_{j}<a_{k} \text { for all } j \leq i<k\right\} \subseteq[n-1] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The connectivity set seems not to have been considered before except for equivalent definitions by Comtet [3, Exer. VI.14] and Callan (1) with no further development. H. Wilf has pointed out to me that the set of splitters of a permutation arising in the algorithm Quicksort

[^0][8, §2.2] coincides with the connectivity set. Some notions related to the connectivity set have been investigated. In particular, a permutation $w$ with $C(w)=\emptyset$ is called connected or indecomposable. If $f(n)$ denotes the number of connected permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$, then Comtet [3, Exer. VI.14] showed that
$$
\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n) x^{n}=1-\frac{1}{\sum_{n \geq 0} n!x^{n}}
$$
and he also considered the number $\# C(w)$ of components. He also obtained [2] [3, Exer. VII.16] the complete asymptotic expansion of $f(n)$. For further references on connected permutations, see Sloane [4]. In this paper we will establish a kind of "duality" between descent sets and connectivity sets.

We write $S=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}_{<}$to denote that $S=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$ and $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k}$. Given $S=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}<\subseteq[n-1]$, define

$$
\eta(S)=i_{1}!\left(i_{2}-i_{1}\right)!\cdots\left(i_{k}-i_{k-1}\right)!\left(n-i_{k}\right)!.
$$

Note that $\eta(S)$ depends not only on $S$ but also on $n$. The integer $n$ will always be clear from the context. The first indication of a duality between $C$ and $D$ is the following result.
Proposition 1.1. Let $S \subseteq[n-1]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: S \subseteq C(w)\right\}=\eta(S) \\
& \#\left\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: S \supseteq D(w)\right\}=\frac{n!}{\eta(S)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The result for $D(w)$ is well-known, e.g., [6, Prop. 1.3.11]. To obtain a permutation $w$ satisfying $S \supseteq D(w)$, choose an ordered partition $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k+1}\right)$ of $[n]$ with $\# A_{j}=i_{j}-i_{j-1}$ (with $i_{0}=0, i_{k+1}=n$ ) in $n!/ \eta(S)$ ways, then arrange the elements of $A_{1}$ in increasing order, followed by the elements of $A_{2}$ in increasing order, etc.

Similarly, to obtain a permutation $w$ satisfying $S \subseteq C(w)$, choose a permutation of $\left[i_{1}\right]$ in $i_{1}$ ! ways, followed by a permutation of $\left[i_{1}+1, i_{2}\right]:=\left\{i_{1}+1, i_{1}+2, \ldots, i_{2}\right\}$ in $\left(i_{2}-i_{1}\right)$ ! ways, etc.

Let $S, T \subseteq[n-1]$. Our main interest is in the joint distribution of the statistics $C$ and $D$, i.e., in the numbers

$$
X_{S T}=\#\left\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: C(w)=\bar{S}, D(w)=T\right\}
$$

where $\bar{S}=[n-1]-S$. (It will be more notationally convenient to use this definition of $X_{S T}$ rather than having $C(w)=S$.) To this end, define

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{S T} & =\#\left\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: \bar{S} \subseteq C(w), T \subseteq D(w)\right\} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{S^{\prime} \supseteq S \\
T^{\prime} \supseteq T}} X_{S^{\prime} T^{\prime}} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

For instance, if $n=4, S=\{2,3\}$, and $T=\{3\}$, then $Z_{S T}=3$, corresponding to the permutations $1243,1342,1432$, while $X_{S T}=1$, corresponding to 1342 . Tables of $X_{S T}$ for $n=3$ and $n=4$ are given in Figure [], and for $n=5$ in Figure 2 .

|  | $S \backslash T$ <br> $\emptyset$ <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 12 |  |  | $\emptyset$ | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | 11 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| $S \backslash T$ | $\emptyset$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 12 | 13 | 3 | 23 | 123 |
| $\emptyset$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 123 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | , | 2 | 4 |  | 2 | 1 |

Figure 1: Table of $X_{S T}$ for $n=3$ and $n=4$

| $S \backslash T$ | $\emptyset$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 23 | 24 | 34 | 123 | 124 | 134 | 234 | 1234 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\emptyset$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 134 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| 234 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 1234 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 1 |

Figure 2: Table of $X_{S T}$ for $n=5$

Theorem 1.1. We have

$$
Z_{S T}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\eta(\bar{S}) / \eta(\bar{T}), & \text { if } S \supseteq T \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Proof. Let $w=a_{1} \cdots a_{n} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$. If $i \in C(w)$ then $a_{i}<a_{i+1}$, so $i \notin D(w)$. Hence $Z_{S T}=0$ if $S \nsupseteq T$.

Assume therefore that $S \supseteq T$. Let $C(w)=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{j}\right\}_{<}$with $c_{0}=0$ and $c_{j+1}=n$. Fix $0 \leq h \leq j$, and let

$$
\left[c_{h}, c_{h+1}\right] \cap \bar{T}=\left\{c_{h}=i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}=c_{h+1}\right\}_{<}
$$

If $w=a_{1} \cdots a_{n}$ with $\bar{S} \subseteq C(w)$ and $T \subseteq D(w)$, then the number of choices for $a_{c_{h}}+1, a_{c_{h}}+$ $2, \ldots, a_{c_{h+1}}$ is just the multinomial coefficient

$$
\binom{c_{h+1}-c_{h}}{i_{2}-i_{1}, i_{3}-i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}-i_{k-1}}:=\frac{\left(c_{h+1}-c_{h}\right)!}{\left(i_{2}-i_{1}\right)!\left(i_{3}-i_{2}\right)!\cdots\left(i_{k}-i_{k-1}\right)!} .
$$

Taking the product over all $0 \leq h \leq j$ yields $\eta(\bar{S}) / \eta(\bar{T})$.
Theorem 1.1 can be restated matrix-theoretically. Let $M=\left(M_{S T}\right)$ be the matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by subsets $S, T \subseteq[n-1]$ (taken in some order), with

$$
M_{S T}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } S \supseteq T \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $D=\left(D_{S T}\right)$ be the diagonal matrix with $D_{S S}=\eta(\bar{S})$. Let $Z=\left(Z_{S T}\right)$, i.e., the matrix whose $(S, T)$-entry is $Z_{S T}$ as defined in (Z). Then it is straightforward to check that Theorem 1.1 can be restated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=D M D^{-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, let $X=\left(X_{S T}\right)$. Then it is immediate from equations (2) and (3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M X M=Z \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main result of this section (Theorem 1.2 below) computes the inverse of the matrices $X, Z$, and a matrix $Y=\left(Y_{S T}\right)$ intermediate between $X$ and $Z$. Namely, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{S T}=\#\left\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: \bar{S} \subseteq C(w), T=D(w)\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is immediate from the definition of matrix multiplication and (4) that the matrix $Y$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=M X=Z M^{-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of equations (3), (6) and (6) the computation of $Z^{-1}, Y^{-1}$, and $X^{-1}$ will reduce to computing $M^{-1}$, which is a simple and well-known result. For any invertible matrix $N=\left(N_{S T}\right)$, write $N_{S T}^{-1}$ for the $(S, T)$-entry of $N^{-1}$.

Lemma 1.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{S T}^{-1}=(-1)^{\# S+\# T} M_{S T} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f, g$ be functions from subsets of $[n]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ (say) related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(S)=\sum_{T \subseteq S} g(T) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (7) is then equivalent to the inversion formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(S)=\sum_{T \subseteq S}(-1)^{\#(S-T)} f(T) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a standard combinatorial result with many proofs, e.g., [6, Thm. 2.1.1, Exam. 3.8.3].

Theorem 1.2. The matrices $Z, Y, X$ have the following inverses:

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{S T}^{-1} & =(-1)^{\# S+\# T} Z_{S T}  \tag{10}\\
Y_{S T}^{-1} & =(-1)^{\# S+\# T} \#\left\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: \bar{S}=C(w), T \subseteq D(w)\right\}  \tag{11}\\
X_{S T}^{-1} & =(-1)^{\# S+\# T} X_{S T} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By equations (3), (4) , and (6) we have

$$
Z^{-1}=D M^{-1} D^{-1}, Y^{-1}=M D M^{-1} D^{-1}, X^{-1}=M D M^{-1} D^{-1} M
$$

Equation (10) is then an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.1 and the definition of matrix multiplication.

Since $Y^{-1}=M Z^{-1}$ we have for fixed $S \supseteq U$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{S U}^{-1} & =\sum_{T: S \supseteq T \supseteq U}(-1)^{\# T+\# U} Z_{T U} \\
& =\sum_{T: S \supseteq T \supseteq U}(-1)^{\# T+\# U} \#\left\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: \bar{T} \subseteq C(w), U \subseteq D(w)\right\} \\
& =\sum_{\bar{T}: \bar{U} \subseteq \bar{T} \subseteq \bar{S}}(-1)^{\# T+\# U} \#\left\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: \bar{T} \subseteq C(w), U \subseteq D(w)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (11) is now an immediate consequence of the Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (or of the equivalence of equations (8) and (9)). Equation (12) is proved analogously to (11) using $X^{-1}=Y^{-1} M$.

Note. The matrix $M$ represents the zeta function of the boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ [6, §3.6]. Hence Lemma 1.1 can be regarded as the determination of the Möbius function of $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ [6, Exam. 3.8.3]. All our results can easily be formulated in terms of the incidence algebra of $\mathcal{B}_{n}$.

Note. The matrix $Y$ arose from the theory of quasisymmetric functions in response to a question from Louis Billera and Vic Reiner and was the original motivation for this paper, as we now explain. See for example [7, §7.19] for an introduction to quasisymmetric functions. We will not use quasisymmetric functions elsewhere in this paper.

Let $\operatorname{Comp}(n)$ denote the set of all compositions $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ of $n$, i.e, $\alpha_{i} \geq 1$ and $\sum \alpha_{i}=n$. Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{Comp}(n)$, and let $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}$ denote the subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ consisting of all permutations $w=a_{1} \cdots a_{n}$ such that $\left\{1, \ldots, \alpha_{1}\right\}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\alpha_{1}}\right\}$, $\left\{\alpha_{1}+\right.$ $\left.1, \ldots, \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right\}=\left\{a_{\alpha_{1}+1}, \ldots, a_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}\right\}$, etc. Thus $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha} \cong \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha_{k}}$ and $\# \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}=\eta(S)$, where $S=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{k-1}\right\}$. If $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and $D(w)=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}_{<}$, then define the descent composition $\operatorname{co}(w)$ by

$$
\operatorname{co}(w)=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}-i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}-i_{k-1}, n-i_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{Comp}(n)
$$

Let $L_{\alpha}$ denote the fundamental quasisymmetric function indexed by $\alpha$ [7, (7.89)], and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\alpha}=\sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}} L_{\mathrm{co}(w)} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{Comp}(n)$, let $S_{\alpha}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{k-1}\right\}$. Note that $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}$ if and only if $S_{\alpha} \subseteq C(w)$. Hence equation (I3) can be rewritten as

$$
R_{\alpha}=\sum_{\beta} Y_{\overline{S_{\alpha}} S_{\beta}} L_{\beta},
$$

with $Y_{\overline{S_{\alpha}} S_{\beta}}$ as in (5). It follows from (5) that the transition matrix between the bases $L_{\alpha}$ and $R_{\alpha}$ is lower unitriangular (with respect to a suitable ordering of the rows and columns). Thus the set $\left\{R_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \operatorname{Comp}(n)\right\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for the additive group of all homogeneous quasisymmetric functions over $\mathbb{Z}$ of degree $n$. Moreover, the problem of expressing the $L_{\beta}$ 's as linear combinations of the $R_{\alpha}$ 's is equivalent to inverting the matrix $Y=\left(Y_{S T}\right)$.

The question of Billera and Reiner mentioned above is the following. Let $P$ be a finite poset, and define the quasisymmetric function

$$
K_{P}=\sum_{f} x^{f}
$$

where $f$ ranges over all order-preserving maps $f: P \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots\}$ and $x^{f}=\prod_{t \in P} x_{f(t)}$ (see [7, (7.92)]). Billera and Reiner asked whether the quasisymmetric functions $K_{P}$ generate (as a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra) or even span (as an additive abelian group) the space of all quasisymmetric functions. Let $\boldsymbol{m}$ denote an $m$-element antichain. The ordinal sum $P \oplus Q$ of two posets $P, Q$ with disjoint elements is the poset on the union of their elements satisfying $s \leq t$ if either (1) $s, t \in P$ and $s \leq t$ in $P,(2) s, t \in Q$ and $s \leq t$ in $Q$, or (3) $s \in P$ and $t \in Q$. If $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{Comp}(n)$ then let $P_{\alpha}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$. It is easy to see that $K_{P_{\alpha}}=R_{\alpha}$, so the $K_{P_{\alpha}}$ 's form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for the homogeneous quasisymmetric functions of degree $n$, thereby answering the question of Billera and Reiner.

## 2 Multisets and inversions.

In this section we consider two further aspects of the connectivity set: (1) an extension to permutations of a multiset and (2) a $q$-analogue of Theorem 1.2 when the number of inversions of $w$ is taken into account.

Let $T=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}_{<} \subseteq[n-1]$. Define the multiset

$$
N_{T}=\left\{1^{i_{1}}, 2^{i_{2}-i_{1}}, \ldots,(k+1)^{n-i_{k}}\right\} .
$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}_{N_{T}}$ denote the set of all permutations of $N_{T}$, so $\# \mathfrak{S}_{N_{T}}=n!/ \eta(T)$; and let $w=$ $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n} \in \mathfrak{S}_{N_{T}}$. In analogy with equation () define

$$
C(w)=\left\{i: a_{j}<a_{k} \text { for all } j \leq i<k\right\} .
$$

(Note that we could have instead required only $a_{j} \leq a_{k}$ rather than $a_{j}<a_{k}$. We will not consider this alternative definition here.)

Proposition 2.1. Let $S, T \subseteq[n-1]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{N_{T}}: C(w)=S\right\} & =(X M)_{\bar{S} \bar{T}} \\
& =\sum_{U: U \supseteq \bar{T}} X_{\bar{S} U} \\
& =\#\left\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: C(w)=S, D(w) \supseteq \bar{T}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The equality of the three expressions on the right-hand side is clear, so we need only show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#\left\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{N_{T}}: C(w)=S\right\}=\#\left\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: C(w)=S, D(w) \supseteq \bar{T}\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}_{<} \subseteq[n-1]$. Given $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $C(w)=S$ and $D(w) \supseteq \bar{T}$, in $w^{-1}$ replace $1,2, \ldots, i_{1}$ with 1 's, replace $i_{1}+1, \ldots, i_{2}$ with 2 's, etc. It is easy to check that this yields a bijection between the sets appearing on the two sides of (14).

Let us now consider $q$-analogues $Z(q), Y(q), X(q)$ of the matrices $Z, Y, X$. The $q$-analogue will keep track of the number $\operatorname{inv}(w)$ of inversions of $w=a_{1} \cdots a_{n} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, where we define

$$
\operatorname{inv}(w)=\#\left\{(i, j): i<j, a_{i}>a_{j}\right\}
$$

Thus define

$$
X(q)_{S T}=\sum_{\substack{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n} \\ C(w)=S, D(w)=T}} q^{\operatorname{inv}(w)}
$$

and similarly for $Z(q)_{S T}$ and $Y(q)_{S T}$. We will obtain $q$-analogues of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 with completely analogous proofs.

Write $(\boldsymbol{j})=1+q+\cdots+q^{j-1}$ and $(\boldsymbol{j})!=(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{2}) \cdots(\boldsymbol{j})$, the standard $q$-analogues of $j$ and $j$ !. Let $S=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}<\subseteq[n-1]$, and define

$$
\eta(S, q)=i_{1}!\left(i_{2}-i_{1}\right)!\cdots\left(i_{k}-i_{k-1}\right)!\left(n-i_{k}\right)!.
$$

Let $T \subseteq[n-1]$, and let $\bar{T}=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}_{<}$. Define

$$
z(T)=\binom{i_{1}}{2}+\binom{i_{2}-i_{1}}{2}+\cdots+\binom{n-i_{k}}{2} .
$$

Note that $z(T)$ is the least number of inversions of a permutation $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $T \subseteq D(w)$.

Theorem 2.1. We have

$$
Z(q)_{S T}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
q^{z(T)} \eta(\bar{S}, q) / \eta(\bar{T}, q), & \text { if } \bar{S} \cap T=\emptyset \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Proof. Preserve the notation from the proof of Theorem 1.1. If $(s, t)$ is an inversion of $w$ (i.e., $s<t$ and $a_{s}>a_{t}$ ) then for some $0 \leq h \leq j$ we have $c_{h}+1 \leq s<t \leq c_{h+1}$. It is a standard fact of enumerative combinatorics (e.g., [因, (21)][6, Prop. 1.3.17]) that if $U=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r}\right\}_{<} \subseteq[m-1]$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{v \in \mathfrak{G}_{m} \\
D(v \leqq \subseteq}} q^{\operatorname{inv}(v)} & =\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{2}}-\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{1}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{r}}\right) \\
& :=\frac{(\boldsymbol{m})!}{\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)!\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{2}}-\boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)!\cdots\left(\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{r}}\right)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

a $q$-multinomial coefficient. From this it follows easily that if $\bar{U}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}\right\}_{<}$then

$$
\sum_{\substack{v \in \mathfrak{S}_{m} m \\ D(v) \geq U}} q^{\operatorname{inv}(v)}=q^{z(T)}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{2}}-\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{s}}\right)
$$

Hence we can parallel the proof of Theorem 1.1, except instead of merely counting the number of choices for the sequence $u=\left(a_{c_{h}}, a_{c_{h}}+1, \ldots, a_{c_{h+1}}\right)$ we can weight this choice by $q^{\operatorname{inv}(u)}$. Then

$$
\left.\sum_{u} q^{\operatorname{inv}(u)}=q^{\left(i_{2}-i_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(i_{k}-i_{k-1}\right.}{ }_{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{2}}-\boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{1}}, \boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\boldsymbol{i}_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{k}}-\boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{k}-\mathbf{1}}\right)
$$

summed over all choices $u=\left(a_{c_{h}}, a_{c_{h}}+1, \ldots, a_{c_{h+1}}\right)$. Taking the product over all $0 \leq h \leq j$ yields $q^{z(T)} \eta(\bar{S}, q) / \eta(\bar{T}, q)$.

Theorem 2.2. The matrices $Z(q), Y(q), X(q)$ have the following inverses:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(q)_{S T}^{-1} & =(-1)^{\# S+\# T} Z(1 / q)_{S T} \\
Y(q)_{S T}^{-1} & =(-1)^{\# S+\# T} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n} \\
\bar{S}=C(w), T \subseteq D(w)}} q^{-\operatorname{inv}(w)} \\
X(q)_{S T}^{-1} & =(-1)^{\# S+\# T} X(1 / q)_{S T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $D(q)=\left(D(q)_{S T}\right)$ be the diagonal matrix with $D(q)_{S S}=\eta(\bar{S}, q)$. Let $Q(q)$ be the diagonal matrix with $Q(q)_{S S}=q^{z(S)}$. Exactly as for (3), (4) and (6) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(q) & =D(q) M D(q)^{-1} Q(q) \\
M X(q) M & =Z(q) \\
Y(q) & =M X(q)=Z(q) M^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof now is identical to that of Theorem 1.2 .
Let us note that Proposition 2.1 also has a straightforward $q$-analogue; we omit the details.
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