

Two Observations on Irreducible Representations of Groups

Jorge Galindo, Pierre de la Harpe, and Thierry Vust*

Communicated by A. Valette

Abstract. For an irreducible representation of a connected affine algebraic group G in a vector space V of dimension at least 2, it is shown that the intersection of any orbit $\pi(G)x$ (with $x \in V$) and any hyperplane of V is non-empty. The question is raised to decide whether an analogous fact holds for irreducible continuous representations of connected compact groups, for example of $SU(2)$.

Keywords and phrases: Irreducible representations, orbits, algebraic groups, compact groups

Subject Classification: 22 E 45

By definition, a linear representation $\pi : G \longrightarrow GL(V)$ of a group G in a vector space V is *irreducible* if, for any vector $x \neq 0$ in V and for any hyperplane H of V , the orbit $\pi(G)x$ does *not* lie inside H . The purpose of this note is to record how irreducibility may imply other geometrical properties of the orbits, either in general as in the most elementary Proposition 1 below about “affine irreducibility”, or for representations of algebraic groups as in Proposition 2. We provide also examples which show that Proposition 2 has no analogue for noncompact semisimple *real* Lie groups, but we leave open the question to decide if it has for *compact* semisimple Lie groups.

Proposition 1. *Let G be a group, V a vector space over some field, and $\pi : G \longrightarrow GL(V)$ an irreducible linear representation distinct from the unit representation. If A is an affine subspace of V which is invariant by G , then $A = 0$ or $A = V$.*

Proof. If an affine subspace A is $\pi(G)$ -invariant, so is the linear space H of differences of vectors in A , so that H is one of 0 or V , and the same holds for A . ■

Proposition 2. *Let G be a connected algebraic group over some algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} , let V a finite dimensional vector space of dimension at least*

* The authors acknowledge support from the Swiss National Science Foundation.

two, and let $\pi : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a rational irreducible representation. For any linear hyperplane H of V and any $x \in V$, the intersection of H with the orbit $X = \pi(G)x$ is non empty.

Proof. Consider first the case of a group G which is semisimple. Choose a linear form $f \neq 0$ on V such that $H = \ker(f)$. Define a regular function $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $\phi(g) = f(\pi(g)x)$.

Assume (ab absurdo) that the intersection of H and X is empty. Then ϕ does not have any zero on G . A theorem of Rosenlicht (see below) implies that there exists a constant $c \neq 0$ such that $c\phi$ is a group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$; this implies that ϕ is constant since G is perfect. Thus X is contained in an affine hyperplane of V . The affine hull of X is non-trivial and invariant by G ; this is absurd by Proposition 1, so that the proposition is proved in the semisimple case.

Consider now the general case. Let R_u denote the unipotent radical of G . By a theorem of Kolchin (see e.g. 4.8 in [1]), the subspace $V^u = \{v \in V \mid \pi(r)v = v \text{ for all } r \in R_u\}$ is not reduced to zero. This space being $\pi(G)$ -invariant, because R_u is normal in G , and π being irreducible, we have $V^u = V$. Consequently, we may replace G by G/R_u , namely we may assume that G is reductive.

Let T denote the solvable radical of G , which is a torus (11.21 in [1]). Let $V = \bigoplus V^\chi$ denote the weight space decomposition of the restriction $\pi|_T$, where $V^\chi = \{v \in V \mid \pi(t)v = \chi(t)v \text{ for all } t \in T\}$ for $\chi \in \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{K}^*)$. We may choose $\psi \in \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{K}^*)$ such that $V^\psi \neq \{0\}$. Since T is normal in G and since the abelian group $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{K}^*)$ is finitely generated (8.2 in [1]), there is a natural action of the connected group G on $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{K}^*)$ and this action is trivial. Hence V^ψ is $\pi(G)$ -invariant, and indeed is equal to V by irreducibility of π . Thus π coincides on T with some $\psi \in \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{K}^*)$.

Now G is a product of its derived group DG and of T , and DG is semisimple (14.2 in [1]). Thus the equality $\pi|_T = \psi \otimes id_V$ and the irreducibility of π imply that the restriction of π to the semisimple group DG is irreducible. This ends the proof of the reduction of the general case to the semisimple case. ■

Reminder of Rosenlicht's result [6]. If Y, Z are two irreducible affine algebraic varieties, any scalar-valued function on the product $Y \times Z$ which is regular and without zero is a product of a regular function on Y by a regular function on Z . Thus, if ϕ is a regular function without zero on a linear algebraic group G , there exist regular functions ψ, χ such that $\phi(gh) = \psi(g)\chi(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$. Set $c = \phi(1)^{-1}$ and let φ denote the function $c\phi$; the previous relation implies that $\varphi = \psi(1)^{-1}\psi = \chi(1)^{-1}\chi$ and that $\varphi(gh) = \varphi(g)\varphi(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$, namely that φ is a character on G , by which we mean here a homomorphism of groups $G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$. For an exposition of Rosenlicht's result, see [4]; see also [2].

Corollary. *Let G be a reductive connected complex Lie group, let V a finite dimensional complex vector space of dimension at least two, and let $\pi : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be an irreducible holomorphic representation. For any linear hyperplane H of V and any $x \in V$, the intersection of H with the orbit $X = \pi(G)x$ is non empty.*

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 2, since a con-

nected reductive complex Lie group G has a unique algebraic structure, and a holomorphic representation of such a group is necessarily algebraic. See e.g. Theorem 6.4 of Chapter 1 and Theorem 2.8 of Chapter 4 in [5]. ■

Observations. There are no analogues of Proposition 2 for finite groups and for simple connected real Lie groups, as the following examples show.

(i) If G is a finite group, G -orbits in $V \setminus \{0\}$ and hyperplanes are generically disjoint.

(ii) Let π be the 2-dimensional irreducible representation of the group $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ in the space \mathbb{C}^2 . For a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $x \neq 0$, and the linear span H of the vector $(1, i) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of x and the hyperplane H are disjoint.

For another example, consider the 3-dimensional irreducible representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ in the space V of homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 with complex coefficients in 2 variables ξ, η . If $x \in V$ is the polynomial $\xi\eta$, its $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit X is a surface of equation $\rho^2 - 4\sigma\tau = 1$ (with respect to appropriate coordinates (ρ, σ, τ) on V), and its intersection with the complex hyperplane of equation $\sigma = \sqrt{i}\tau$ is empty.

(iii) Consider more generally an integer $n \geq 2$, the connected component G of the group $SO(n, 1)$, and the natural irreducible representation π of G in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . For a non-zero vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ inside and a real hyperplane $H_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ outside the light cone, it is clear that the orbit $\pi(G)x$ is disjoint from H_0 ; it follows that $\pi(G)x$ is also disjoint from the complexified hyperplane $H_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .

Question. In which situations does some Proposition 2 hold? what about a connected compact group and an irreducible continuous representation? what about the irreducible representation of $SU(n)$? of $SU(2)$? We spell out explicitly the last particular case of the question:

Let π_k be the natural representation of $SU(2)$ in the space \mathcal{P}_k of complex polynomials in two variables which are homogeneous of degree k , for some $k \geq 1$, let H be a complex hyperplane in \mathcal{P}_k and let $P \in \mathcal{P}_k$; is it always true that $\pi_k(SU(2))P \cap H \neq \emptyset$?

Remarks. (i) Let G be a compact topological group, V an Hermitian space, and $\pi : G \rightarrow U(V)$ an irreducible continuous unitary representation distinct from the unit representation. It is known [3] that, for any vector $x \in V$ of norm 1, the diameter $\max_{g \in G} \|\pi(g)x - x\|$ of the orbit $\pi(G)x$ is *strictly* larger than $\sqrt{2}$.

(ii) Let G be a compact connected topological group, V a finite dimensional *real* vector space, $\pi : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ an irreducible continuous representation distinct from the unit representation, $X = \pi(G)x$ the G -orbit of a vector $x \neq 0$ in V , and H an hyperplane of V , say $H = \ker(f)$ for some linear form $f \neq 0$ on V . Then the intersection of H and X is non empty.

Indeed, define as above $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\phi(g) = f(\pi(g)x)$. If $X \cap H = \emptyset$, then ϕ is either strictly positive or strictly negative on G , so that $\int_G \phi(g)dg = f(y) \neq 0$ for $y = \int_G \pi(g)x dg$, and in particular $y \neq 0$; but this is impossible because y is $\pi(G)$ -invariant by invariance of the Haar measure dg on G .

We are grateful to Gus Lehrer and Alain Valette for useful comments on the observations of the present Note.

References

- [1] Borel, A. “Linear algebraic groups”, second enlarged edition, Springer-Verlag New York etc., 1991.
- [2] Broughton, S. A., *A note on characters of algebraic groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1983), 39–40.
- [3] Deutsch, A. and A. Valette, *On diameters of orbits of compact groups in unitary representations*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **59** (1995), 308–312.
- [4] Knop, F., H. Kraft, and T. Vust, *The Picard group of a G -variety*, in: H. Kraft, P. Slodowy, and T.A. Springer, Editors, „Algebraische Transformationsgruppen und Invariantentheorie“, DMV Sem. **13**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989, 77–87.
- [5] Gorbatsevich, V. V., A. L. Onishchik, and E. B. Vinberg, “Structure of Lie groups and Lie algebras,” Lie groups and Lie algebras III, Encyclopaedia of Math. Sciences, Vol. **41**, Springer-Verlag Berlin etc., 1994.
- [6] Rosenlicht, M., *Toroidal algebraic groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 984–988.

Jorge Galindo
 Departamento de Matemáticas
 Universidad Jaume I
 8029-AP, Castellón
 Spain
 jgalindo@mat.uji.es

Pierre de la Harpe
 Section de Mathématiques
 Université de Genève
 C.P. 240, CH-1211 Genève 24
 Switzerland
 Pierre.delaHarpe@math.unige.ch

Thierry Vust
 Section de Mathématiques
 Université de Genève
 C.P. 240, CH-1211 Genève 24
 Switzerland
 Thierry.Vust@math.unige.ch

Received July 1, 2001
 and in final form September 9, 2001