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Abstract. It is proved that if a Köthe sequence space $X$ is monotone complete and has the weakly convergent sequence coefficient $\operatorname{WCS}(X)>1$, then $X$ is order continuous. It is shown that a weakly sequentially complete Köthe sequence space $X$ is compactly locally uniformly rotund if and only if the norm in $X$ is equi-absolutely continuous. The dual of the product space $\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}\right)_{\Phi}$ of a sequence of Banach spaces $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$, which is built by using an Orlicz function $\Phi$ satisfying the $\Delta_{2}$-condition, is computed isometrically (i.e. the exact norm in the dual is calculated). It is also shown that for any Orlicz function $\Phi$ and any finite system $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ of Banach spaces, we have $\operatorname{WCS}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)_{\Phi}\right)=\min \left\{\operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{i}\right): i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, n\}$ and that if $\Phi$ does not satisfy the $\Delta_{2}$-condition, then $\operatorname{WCS}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}\right)_{\Phi}\right)=1$ for any infinite sequence $\left(X_{i}\right)$ of Banach spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $X^{*}$ be its dual. Let $B(X)$ and $S(X)$ be the closed unit ball and the unit sphere of $X$, respectively. Let $l^{0}$ stand for the space of all real sequences and $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ stand for the set of natural numbers and the set of reals, respectively.

A Banach space $X=(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is said to be a Köthe sequence space if $X$ is a subspace of $l^{0}$ such that (see [9] and [12]):
(i) If $x \in l^{0}, y \in X$ and $|x(i)| \leqslant|y(i)|$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x \in X$ and $\|x\| \leqslant\|y\|$.
(ii) There is an element $x \in X$ such that $x(i)>0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

An element $x \in X$ is said to have absolutely continuous norm if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x-x^{(n)}\right\|=0$, where $x^{(n)}=(x(1), \ldots, x(n), 0, \ldots)$. We say that $x \in X$ is order continuous if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that $0 \swarrow x_{n}(i) \leqslant|x(i)|$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}\left(0 \swarrow x_{n} \leqslant|x|\right.$ for short) there holds $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. The set of all order continuous elements in $X$ is denoted by $X_{a}$. A Köthe sequence space $X$ is said to be order continuous (OC for short) if $X_{a}=X$ (see [9]). Note that absolute continuity of the norm can be defined in any normed sequence space. A Köthe sequence space $X$ is said to be monotone complete if $0 \leqslant x_{n}(i) \nearrow x(i)$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ implies $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \nearrow\|x\|$.

For any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup \left\{\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|: i, j \geqslant n, i \neq j\right\}\right] \\
A_{1}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\inf \left\{\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|: i, j \geqslant n, i \neq j\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The weakly convergent sequence coefficient of $X$ is defined by
$\operatorname{WCS}(X)=\sup \left\{k>0\right.$ : for each weakly convergent sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ there is $y \in \operatorname{co}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)$ such that $\left.k \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\| \leqslant A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)\right\}$,
where $\operatorname{co}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)$ denotes the convex hull of the elements of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ (see [3]).
For any $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ and $x \in X$, the value of $x^{*}$ at $x$ is denoted by $x^{*}(x)$ or $\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle$. To indicate that a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ tends weakly to $x \in X$, we write $x_{n} \xrightarrow{w} x$.

The notion of normal structure was introduced by Brodskij and Milman in [2]. It is well known that Banach spaces with normal structure have the weak fixed point property (see [1], [3], [7] and [15]). It is also known (see [3]) that reflexive Banach spaces $X$ with $\operatorname{WCS}(X)>1$ have normal structure. Banach spaces $X$ with $\operatorname{WCS}(X)>1$ are said to have weakly uniformly normal structure (see [1] and [5]).

Zhang [21] has defined a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ to be an asymptotic equidistant sequence if $A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=A_{1}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)$ and he has proved that

$$
\mathrm{WCS}(X)=\inf \left\{A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right):\left\{x_{n}\right\} \text { is an asymptotic equidistant sequence in } S(X)\right.
$$ which is weakly convergent to zero $\}$.

Next, Prus has simplified this formula in [18], Corollary 1.4, proving that

$$
\mathrm{WCS}(X)=\inf \left\{A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right):\left(x_{n}\right) \subset S(X) \text { and } x_{n} \rightarrow 0 \text { weakly }\right\}
$$

Recall (see [4] and [12]) that a Banach space $X$ is said to be locally uniformly rotund (LUR for short) if for any $x \in S(X)$ and any $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $S(X)$ the condition $\left\|x_{n}+x\right\| \rightarrow 2$ implies $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \rightarrow 0$.

We say a Banach space $X$ is compactly locally uniformly rotund (CLUR for short) if for any $x \in S(X)$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $S(X)$ the condition $\left\|x_{n}+x\right\| \rightarrow 2$ implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is compact in $S(X)$ (see [17]).

A Köthe sequence space $X$ is said to have equi-absolutely continuous norm if for any $x \in S(X)$ and any $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $S(X)$ such that $\left\|x_{n}+x\right\| \rightarrow 2$ we have that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|x_{n}-x_{n}^{(j)}\right\|<\varepsilon$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a Banach space $X$ is called a Schauder basis of $X$ (or basis for short) if for each $x \in X$ there exists a unique sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ of scalars such that $x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} x_{n}$. A basis $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of $X$ is said to be an unconditional basis if every convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} x_{n}$ with $a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ is unconditionally convergent, i.e. for any permutation $\{\pi(n)\}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\pi(n)} x_{\pi(n)}$ converges (see [12]).

The basic constant of the basis $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of $X$ is defined by $K=\sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\|$, where $P_{n}$ : $X \rightarrow X$ are the projections, i.e. $P_{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}$ (see [12], Chapter 1).

If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a basis of a Banach space $X$ such that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n} x_{n}$ converges whenever $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of reals such that sup $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}\right\|<\infty$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is called a boundedly complete basis of $X$ (see [12]).

It is known (see [12], Chapter 1) that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a boundedly complete basis of a Banach space $X$ if and only if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is an unconditional basis of $X$ and $X$ is weakly sequentially complete.

Recall that $X$ is said to be weakly sequentially complete if for any sequence $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset X$ such that $\lim _{i} x^{*}\left(y_{i}\right)$ exists for every $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ there is $y \in X$ such that $x^{*}(y)=\lim _{i} x^{*}\left(y_{i}\right)$ for every $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ (see [12], Chapter 1).

A mapping $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be an Orlicz function if $\Phi$ vanishes only at zero, $\Phi$ is even and convex. An Orlicz function $\Phi$ is said to be an $N$-function if $\lim _{u \rightarrow 0}(\Phi(u) / u)=0$ and $\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty}(\Phi(u) / u)=\infty$. For any Orlicz function $\Phi$, we define the Orlicz sequence space

$$
l^{\Phi}=\left\{x \in l^{0}: I_{\Phi}(c x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Phi(c x(i))<\infty \text { for some } c>0\right\}
$$

We also define the subspace $h^{\Phi}$ of $l^{\Phi}$ by

$$
h^{\Phi}=\left\{x \in l^{0}: I_{\Phi}(c x)<\infty \text { for any } c>0\right\} .
$$

It is well known that $\left(l^{\Phi}\right)_{a}=h^{\Phi}$ (see [6]). We consider $l^{\Phi}$ and $h^{\Phi}$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$
\|x\|_{\Phi}=\inf \left\{k>0: I_{\Phi}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

as well as with the Orlicz norm

$$
\|x\|_{\Phi}^{\mathrm{O}}=\sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i} y_{i}: I_{\Psi}(y) \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

where $\Psi$ is the Orlicz function complementary to $\Phi$ in the sense of Young, i.e. $\Psi(u)=$ $\sup _{v \geqslant 0}\{|u| v-\Phi(v)\}$. The Amemiya formula for the Orlicz norm in $l^{\Phi}$ generated by an $N$-function $\Phi$ is the following

$$
\|x\|_{\Phi}^{\mathrm{O}}=\inf _{k>0} \frac{1}{k}\left(1+I_{\Phi}(k x)\right)
$$

(see [4], [13], [14], [16] and [19]). The spaces $l^{\Phi}$ and $h^{\Phi}$ are Banach spaces under both these norms (see [4] and [13]). They are of course Köthe sequence spaces. We write for simplicity $l^{\Phi}$ in place of $\left(l^{\Phi},\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}\right)$ and $l_{\mathrm{O}}^{\Phi}$ in place of $\left(l^{\Phi},\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)$.

We say an Orlicz function satisfies the $\Delta_{2}$-condition $\left(\Phi \in \Delta_{2}\right.$ for short) if there exist $K \geqslant 2$ and $u_{0}>0$ such that $\Phi(2 u) \leqslant K \Phi(u)$ whenever $|u| \leqslant u_{0}$. It is known that $l^{\Phi}=h^{\Phi}$ if and only if $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$ (see [4], [16] and [19]).

If $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of Banach spaces equipped with the norms $\|\cdot\|_{i}$ (respectively) and $\Phi$ is any Orlicz function, we consider the Cartesian product $\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}\right)_{\Phi}$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{\Phi}=\inf \left\{k>0: \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Phi\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{i} / k\right) \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

for any $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ with $x_{i} \in X_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}$. The finite product $\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)_{\Phi}$ of Banach spaces $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is defined analogously.

## 2. Results

Remark 1. A Köthe sequence space $X$ is order continuous if and only if it is absolutely continuous.

Proof. Assume that $X$ is order continuous and $x \in X$. Then $|x| \geqslant\left|x-x^{(n)}\right| \searrow$ 0 , whence $\left\|x-x^{(n)}\right\| \searrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $X$ is absolutely continuous.

Assume now that $X$ is absolutely continuous and $0 \swarrow x_{n} \leqslant|x|$ where $x_{n}, x \in X$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$. We need to show that $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Take an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\left\|x-x^{(j)}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Hence, the inequality $x_{n} \leqslant x$ yields $\left\|\sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} x_{n}(i) e_{i}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ coordinatewise, there is $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{j} x_{n}(i) e_{i}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $n>n_{\varepsilon}$. Consequently, we obtain for $n>n_{\varepsilon}$,

$$
\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{n}(i) e_{i}\right\| \leqslant\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{j} x_{n}(i) e_{i}\right\|+\left\|\sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} x_{n}(i) e_{i}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon
$$

This finishes the proof.

Theorem 1. If $X$ is a monotone complete Köthe sequence space and the inequality $\operatorname{WCS}(X)>1$ holds, then $X$ is order continuous.

Proof. If $X$ is not order continuous, then $X_{a}$ is a closed proper subspace of $X$. By Riesz's Lemma (see [20], p. 64), for any $\theta \in(0,1)$ there is $x_{0} \in S(X)$ such that $\left\|x_{0}-x\right\| \geqslant \theta$ for any $x \in X_{a}$. Hence, in virtue of the monotone completeness of $X$ there is a sequence $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ of natural numbers such that $n_{i} \nearrow \infty$ and

$$
\left\|\sum_{n_{i}+1}^{n_{i+1}} x_{0}(j) e(j)\right\| \geqslant\left(1-\frac{1}{i+1}\right) \theta
$$

Define

$$
x_{i}=\sum_{n_{i}+1}^{n_{i+1}} x_{0}(j) e(j) \quad(i=1,2, \ldots)
$$

It is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{1}{i+1}\right) \theta \leqslant\left\|x_{i}\right\| \leqslant 1 \quad(i=1,2, \ldots) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} \xrightarrow{w} 0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may assume without loss of generality that $x_{0} \geqslant 0$. Take any nonnegative functional $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. Then we have for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=x^{*}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \leqslant x^{*}\left(x_{0}\right)<\infty
$$

whence the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)$ converges and consequently $x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by the fact that every $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ can be written as a difference of two positive functionals, condition (2) is proved.

It is obvious that $\left\|x_{i}-x_{k}\right\| \leqslant\left\|x_{0}\right\|=1$. Define $y_{i}=x_{i} /\left\|x_{i}\right\|$ for $i=1,2, \ldots$. Take any $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume without loss of generality that $\left\|x_{i}\right\| \leqslant\left\|x_{k}\right\|$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \leqslant\left\|y_{i}-y_{k}\right\| & =\left\|\frac{x_{i}}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}-\frac{x_{k}}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|}\right\|=\frac{1}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}\left\|x_{i}-\frac{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|} x_{k}\right\| \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}\left\|x_{i}-x_{k}\right\| \leqslant \frac{\left\|x_{0}\right\|}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|} \leqslant \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{1}{i+1}\right) \theta} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the arbitrariness of $\theta$ in $(0,1)$ and the Prus formula for $\operatorname{WCS}(X)$, this yields $\operatorname{WCS}(X)=1$.

Theorem 2. If $X$ is a weakly sequentially complete Köthe sequence space, then $X$ is CLUR if and only if the norm in $X$ is equi-absolutely continuous.

Proof. Necessity. We will show first that $X$ is not CLUR whenever $X$ is not OC. If $X$ is not OC, there exists in $X_{+}$a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ with pairwise disjoint supports and with $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x \in X_{+}$such that $x_{n} \leqslant x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ and $x^{*} \geqslant 0$. Then we have for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{k} x^{*}\left(x_{n}\right)=x^{*}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} x_{n}\right) \leqslant x^{*}(x)<\infty
$$

whence the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{*}\left(x_{n}\right)$ converges. Thus $x^{*}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $x_{n} \xrightarrow{w} 0$ (see the argumentation after (2) in the proof of Theorem 1). Define

$$
y=\sup _{n} x_{n}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}, \quad y_{n}=y-x_{n}
$$

Then $0 \leqslant y_{n} \leqslant y$ and $y_{n} \xrightarrow{w} y$. By the lower semicontinuity of the norm $\|\cdot\|$ with respect to the weak convergence, we have $\left\|y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|y\|$. Thus, defining

$$
z_{n}=\frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}, \quad z=\frac{y}{\|y\|}
$$

we have $\left\|z_{n}\right\|=\|z\|=1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leqslant\left|\left\|z+z_{n}\right\|-\left\|\frac{y_{n}+y}{\|y\|}\right\|\right| \leqslant\left\|z_{n}-\frac{y_{n}}{\|y\|}\right\|+\left\|z-\frac{y}{\|y\|}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}-\frac{y_{n}}{\|y\|}\right\|=\left|\frac{1}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}-\frac{1}{\|y\|}\right|\left\|y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, $\left(y_{n}+y\right) /\|y\| \xrightarrow{w} 2 y /\|y\|$ and $\left(y_{n}+y\right) /\|y\| \leqslant 2 y /\|y\|$, whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|y_{n}+y\right\|}{\|y\|} \rightarrow 2\left\|\frac{y}{\|y\|}\right\|=2 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3) and (4), we get $\left\|z+z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 2$. On the other hand, since the elements of the sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ are pairwise orthogonal,

$$
\left\|z_{m}-z_{n}\right\| \geqslant \max \left(\left\|z_{m}\right\|,\left\|z_{n}\right\|\right)=1
$$

for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \neq n$. Therefore, $\left(z_{n}\right)$ has no norm-convergent subsequence in $X$, i.e. $X$ is not CLUR.

Finally, we will prove that the norm in $X$ is equi-absolutely continuous whenever $X$ is CLUR. Otherwise, there are $x, x_{n} \in S(X)(n=1,2 \ldots)$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that $\left\|x+x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 2$ and for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $n_{j}>j$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{i>j} x_{n_{j}}(i) e_{i}\right\| \geqslant \varepsilon_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|x_{n_{j}}+x\right\| \rightarrow 2$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and $X$ is CLUR, there is a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{j}}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ and $x^{\prime} \in S(X)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n_{j}}^{\prime}-x^{\prime}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X$ is OC (as it has been shown at the beginning of the proof), there is $i_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i>i_{0}} x^{\prime}(i) e_{i}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{3}
$$

By (6) there is $j_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|x_{n_{j}}^{\prime}-x^{\prime}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{3}$ for any $j>j_{1}$. Also by (6), $x_{n_{j}}^{\prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime}$ coordinatewise. Therefore, there is $j_{2}>j_{1}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}}\left(x_{n_{j}}^{\prime}-x^{\prime}\right) e_{i}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{3} \quad \text { for any } j>j_{2}
$$

Hence in virtue of (5), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon_{0}>\left\|x_{n_{j}}^{\prime}-x^{\prime}\right\| & =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}}\left(x_{n_{j}}^{\prime}(i)-x^{\prime}(i)\right) e_{i}+\sum_{i>i_{0}} x_{n_{j}}^{\prime}(i) e_{i}-\sum_{i>i_{0}} x^{\prime}(i) e_{i}\right\| \\
& \geqslant\left\|\sum_{i>i_{0}} x_{n_{j}}^{\prime}(i) e_{i}\right\|-\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}}\left(x_{n_{j}}^{\prime}(i)-x^{\prime}(i)\right) e_{i}\right\|-\left\|\sum_{i>i_{0}} x^{\prime}(i) e_{i}\right\| \\
& \geqslant\left\|\sum_{i>i_{0}} x_{n_{j}}^{\prime}(i) e_{i}\right\|-\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon_{0} \geqslant \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

when $j$ is large enough. This contradiction finishes the proof of the necessity.
Sufficiency. We will show first that if $X$ is OC, then $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is an unconditional basis in $X$. For any $x \in X$ let $x^{(n)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x(i) e_{i}$ as above. Since $X$ is OC, Remark 1 yields that $X$ is absolutely continuous. So, given any $\varepsilon>0$, there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i>n_{0}} x(i) e_{i}\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|x-x^{(n)}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x(i) e_{i}\right\| \leqslant\left\|\sum_{i>n_{0}} x(i) e_{i}\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

for any $n>n_{0}$, which means that $x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{(n)}$, i.e. $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is a basis of $X$.
Moreover, given any $\varepsilon>0$, there is $i(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|\sum_{i=i_{1}}^{i_{2}} x(i) e_{i}\right\|<\varepsilon$ for all $i_{1}, i_{2} \geqslant i(\varepsilon)$. So, for any permutation $\left(\theta_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of natural numbers, the inequality $\theta_{i} \geqslant i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ yields $\left\|\sum_{i=i_{1}}^{i_{2}} x\left(\theta_{i}\right) e_{\theta_{i}}\right\|<\varepsilon$ for all $i_{1}, i_{2} \geqslant i(\varepsilon)$, which means that the sequence $s_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{j} x\left(\theta_{i}\right) e_{\theta_{i}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, whence it follows that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x\left(\theta_{i}\right) e_{\theta_{i}}$ is convergent. Therefore $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is an unconditional basis of $X$.

Let the norm in $X$ be equi-absolutely continuous, $x \in S(X),\left(x_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{X})$ and $\left\|x_{n}+x\right\| \rightarrow 2$. Since equi-absolute continuity of $X$ implies OC of $X,\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is an unconditional basis of $X$. If $K$ is the basic constant, we have for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left|x_{n}(i)\right|\left\|e_{i}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{i} x_{n}(k) e_{k}-\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} x_{n}(k) e_{k}\right\| \leqslant K\left\|x_{n}\right\|+K\left\|x_{n}\right\|=2 K
$$

Therefore, using the diagonal method, one can find a sequence $a=a(i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in l^{0}$ and a subsequence $\left\{x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n}^{\prime}(i) \rightarrow a(i)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sup _{n}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a(i) e_{i}\right\|<\infty$. Since $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is an unconditional basis of $X$, by the assumption that $X$ is weakly sequentially complete, $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is a boundedly complete basis of $X$. So the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a(i) e_{i}$ converges in $X$. Denote $a=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a(i) e_{i}$. Given any $\varepsilon>0$, there is $i^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|\sum_{i>i^{\prime}} a(i) e_{i}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Next, by the equi-absolute continuity of the norm, there exists $i_{0} \in \mathbb{N}, i_{0}>i^{\prime}$, such that $\left\|\sum_{i>i_{0}} x_{n}^{\prime}(i) e_{i}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Since $x_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow a$ coordinatewise, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}-a\right\| & =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}(i)-a(i)\right) e_{i}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{i \leqslant i_{0}}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}(i)-a(i)\right) e_{i}+\sum_{i>i_{0}}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}(i)-a(i)\right) e_{i}\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left\|\sum_{i \leqslant i_{0}}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}(i)-a(i)\right) e_{i}\right\|+\left\|\sum_{i>i_{0}} x_{n}^{\prime}(i) e_{i}\right\|+\left\|\sum_{i>i_{0}} a(i) e_{i}\right\|<\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}-a\right\| \rightarrow 0$, finishing the proof.
The next theorem characterizes isometrically the dual of $\left(\bigoplus_{n+1}^{\infty} X_{i}\right)_{\Phi}$ in the case when $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$.

Theorem 3. If the Orlicz function $\Phi$ satisfies the $\Delta_{2}$-condition, then for any sequence $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of Banach spaces we have $\left[\left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{i}\right)_{\Phi}\right]^{*}=\left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{i}^{*}\right)_{\Psi}$, where the space on the right side is equipped with the Orlicz norm and $\Psi$ denotes the Orlicz function complementary to $\Phi$ in the sense of Young.

Proof. Denote $X=\left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{i}\right)_{\Phi}$ and define $f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\langle x(i), v(i)\rangle$ for $x=$ $(x(i))_{i=1}^{\infty} \in X$, where $v=(v(i)) \in\left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{i}^{*}\right)_{\Psi}$. Then $f$ is a linear functional and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\| & =\sup _{\|x\|=1} f(x)=\sup _{\|x\|=1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\langle x(i), v(i)\rangle \\
& \leqslant \sup _{\|x\|=1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\|x(i)\|_{X_{i}}\|v(i)\|_{X_{i}^{*}} \leqslant\|x\|_{\Phi}\|v\|_{\Psi}^{\mathrm{O}}=\|v\|_{\Psi}^{\mathrm{O}},
\end{aligned}
$$

whence it follows that $f \in X^{*}$ and $\|f\| \leqslant\|v\|_{\Psi}^{O}$. Now, we will show the converse inequality. Let $a=(a(i))_{i=1}^{\infty} \in l^{\Psi}$ and $I_{\Psi}(a) \leqslant 1$. By the definition of $\|v(i)\|$, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\bar{x}(i) \in X_{i}$ such that $\|\bar{x}(i)\|=a(i)$ and

$$
\langle\bar{x}(i), v(i)\rangle \geqslant\|v(i)\| a(i)-\varepsilon / 2^{i} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\| & =\sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\langle x(i), v(i)\rangle: \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Psi\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\|\right) \leqslant 1\right\} \\
& \geqslant \sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\|v(i)\| a(i): \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Psi(a(i)) \leqslant 1\right\}-\varepsilon=\left\|(\|v(i)\|)_{i=1}^{\infty}\right\|_{\Psi}^{\mathrm{O}}-\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

whence by the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon>0$, the inequality $\|f\| \geqslant\|v\|_{\Psi}^{\mathrm{O}}=\left\|\left(\|v(i)\|_{X^{*}}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}\right\|_{\Psi}^{\mathrm{O}}$ follows. Therefore $\|f\|=\|v\|_{\Psi}^{\mathrm{O}}$.

To finish the proof, we need only to show that every $f \in X^{*}$ is of the above form. Define $P_{n}: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$
P_{n} x=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-1}, x(n), 0, \ldots)
$$

for any $x=x(i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in X$ and let $A_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$
A_{n}(x(n))=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-1}, x(n), 0, \ldots) .
$$

We have $A_{n}(x(n))=P_{n} x$ for any $x \in X$ with the $n$-th coordinate equal to $x(n)$. Let $f \in X^{*}$ and define $P_{n} f(x)=f\left(P_{n} x\right)$. Since $\left\|P_{n} x\right\|_{\Phi}<\varepsilon$ implies $\|x(n)\|_{X_{n}}<\varepsilon \Phi^{-1}(1)$ and $\|x(n)\|_{X_{n}}<\varepsilon$ implies $\left\|P_{n} x\right\|_{\Phi}<\varepsilon / a$, where $a>0$ satisfies $\Phi(a)=1$, it follows that $X_{n}$ is isomorphic to $P_{n} X$ and $P_{n}$ is an isomorphism. Define $f_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by $\left\langle x(n), f_{n}\right\rangle=\left\langle A_{n}(x(n)), f\right\rangle$. Then $\left\langle x(n), f_{n}\right\rangle=\left\langle x, P_{n} f\right\rangle \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle P_{n} x, f\right\rangle$ for $x \in X$ satisfying $P_{n} x=x(n)$. Therefore $f_{n} \in X_{n}^{*}$ and by $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} f_{i}(x(i)) & =\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} P_{i} f(x)=f((\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n}, x(n+1), x(n+2), \ldots)) \\
& =f\left(x-x^{(n)}\right) \leqslant\|f\|\left\|x-x^{(n)}\right\| \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\langle x(n), f_{n}\right\rangle$, where $f_{n} \in X_{n}^{*}(n=1,2, \ldots)$. We will show that $\left(\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}^{*}}\right) \in l^{\Psi}$. Let $(a(n))_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^{\Phi}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n}(a(n)) \leqslant 1$. By the definition of $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}^{*}}$ there exists $\bar{x}(n) \in X_{n}$ such that $\|\bar{x}(n)\|_{X_{n}}=a(n)$ and

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\| a(n) \leqslant\left\langle\bar{x}(n), f_{n}\right\rangle+\varepsilon / 2^{n}
$$

whence for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left\|f_{n}\right\| a(n) & \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{m}\left\langle\bar{x}(n), f_{n}\right\rangle+\varepsilon=\left\langle\bar{x}^{(m)}, f\right\rangle+\varepsilon \\
& \leqslant\|f\|\left\|\bar{x}^{(m)}\right\|_{\Psi}^{\mathrm{O}}+\varepsilon \leqslant\|f\|\|\bar{x}\|_{\Psi}^{\mathrm{O}}+\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}^{*}} a(n)<\infty$ and consequently, by the arbitrariness of $(a(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n}(a(n)) \leqslant 1$, we obtain that $\left(\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}^{*}}\right) \in l^{\Psi}$. This finishes the proof.

Theorem 4. If $\Phi$ is an Orlicz function which does not satisfy the $\Delta_{2}$-condition, then $\operatorname{WCS}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}\right)_{\Phi}\right)=1$ for any infinite sequence $\left(X_{i}\right)$ of Banach spaces.

Proof. If $\Phi \notin \Delta_{2}$, there is $a=(a(n))_{n=1}^{\infty} \in S\left(l^{\Phi}\right)$ such that $I_{\Phi}((1+\lambda) a)=\infty$ for any $\lambda>0$ (see [4], [8]). For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find $x(i) \in X_{i}$ such that $\|x(i)\|=a(i)$. Define $x=(x(1), x(2), \ldots, x(i), \ldots)$. Then

$$
I_{\Phi}\left((1+\lambda)\left(x-x^{(n)}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Phi\left((1+\lambda) a_{i}\right)=\infty
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, there is a sequence $\left\{n_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of natural numbers such that for $x_{i}=$ $\sum_{i=n_{i}+1}^{n_{i+1}} x(i) e_{i}$ there holds $\left(1-\frac{1}{i+1}\right) \leqslant\left\|x_{i}\right\| \leqslant 1(i=1,2, \ldots)$. Since $\left[\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}\right)_{\Phi}\right]^{*}=$ $\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}^{*}\right)_{\Psi}+S$, where $S$ is the space of those functionals from the dual space which vanish on sequences with finite number of coordinates different from zero, we easily get that $x_{i} \xrightarrow{w} 0$. Now, we can get the equality from the thesis in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 .

Theorem 5. For any Orlicz function $\Phi$, any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any system of Banach spaces $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, we have $\operatorname{WCS}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)_{\Phi}\right)=\min \left\{\operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$.

Proof. For $n=1$ the result is obvious. Assume without loss of generality that $n=2$. Let $X=\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2} X_{i}\right)_{\Phi}$. Note that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are isomorphically isometrically embedded into $X$. In order to see this, define $P: X_{1} \rightarrow X$ by $P x=(a x, 0)$ for any
$x \in X_{1}$, where $a>0$ satisfies $\Phi(a)=1$. It is obvious that $P$ is a linear one-toone operator between $X_{1}$ and $X$. Moreover, $I_{\Phi}\left(P x /\|x\|_{X_{1}}\right)=\Phi\left(\|a x\|_{X_{1}} /\|x\|_{X_{1}}\right)=$ $\Phi(a)=1$, whence $\|P x /\| x\left\|_{X_{1}}\right\|_{\Phi}=1$ i.e. $\|P x\|_{\Phi}=\|x\|_{X_{1}}$, which means that $P$ is an isometry. Analogously, the operator $Q: X_{2} \rightarrow X$, defined by $Q x=(0, a y)$ for any $y \in X_{2}$, is an isomorphic isometry. Therefore $\operatorname{WCS}(X) \leqslant \min \left(\operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{1}\right), \operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{2}\right)\right)$.

To prove the converse inequality take any asymptotic equidistant and weakly convergent sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $S(X)$. Then $x_{n}=\left(x_{n}^{1}, x_{n}^{2}\right)$, where $x_{n}^{1} \in X_{1}, x_{n}^{2} \in X_{2}$. By [21], Proposition 2, there is a sequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{N}$ such that both $\left\{x_{n_{k}}^{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{n_{k}}^{2}\right\}$ are asymptotic equidistant sequences and both of them are convergent, say

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}^{1}\right\|_{X_{1}}=a, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}^{2}\right\|_{X_{2}}=b
$$

We have

$$
1=\left\|\left(x_{n}^{1}, x_{n}^{2}\right)\right\|_{\Phi}=\left\|\left(\left\|x_{n}^{1}\right\|_{X_{1}},\left\|x_{n}^{2}\right\|_{X_{2}}\right)\right\|_{\Phi}=\Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}^{1}\right\|_{X_{1}}\right)+\Phi\left(\left\|x_{n}^{2}\right\|_{X_{2}}\right)
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, whence $\Phi(a)+\Phi(b)=1$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{j}}\right\|_{\Phi}=\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(x_{n_{i}}^{1}-x_{n_{j}}^{1}, x_{n_{i}}^{2}-x_{n_{j}}^{2}\right)\right\|_{\Phi} \\
& =\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\inf \left\{k>0: \Phi\left(\left\|x_{n_{i}}^{1}-x_{n_{j}}^{1}\right\|_{X_{1}} / k\right)+\Phi\left(\left\|x_{n_{i}}^{2}-x_{n_{j}}^{2}\right\|_{X_{2}} / k\right) \leqslant 1\right\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account that $\left\|x_{n_{i}}^{1}-x_{n_{j}}^{1}\right\| \geqslant a \operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $\left\|x_{n_{i}}^{2}-x_{n_{j}}^{2}\right\| \geqslant b \operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{j}}\right\| \geqslant & \lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\inf \left\{k>0: \Phi\left(a \operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{1}\right) / k\right)+\Phi\left(b \operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{2}\right) / k\right) \leqslant 1\right\}\right\} \\
\geqslant & \lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\operatorname { i n f } \left\{k>0: \Phi\left(a \min \left(\operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{1}\right), \operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{2}\right)\right) / k\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\Phi\left(b \min \left(\operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{1}\right), \operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{2}\right)\right) / k\right) \leqslant 1\right\}\right\} \\
= & \min \left\{\operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{1}\right), \operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\operatorname{WCS}(X) \geqslant \min \left\{\operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{1}\right), \mathrm{WCS}\left(X_{2}\right)\right\}$ and consequently

$$
\mathrm{WCS}(X)=\min \left\{\operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{1}\right), \operatorname{WCS}\left(X_{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

Remark 2. Theorem 1 in [5] says that if $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are reflexive Banach spaces and $X$ is the space $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ with a monotone norm, then

$$
\operatorname{WCS}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)_{X}\right)=\min \left\{\mathrm{WCS}\left(X_{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

Although Theorem 5 concerns only $X=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm generated by an Orlicz function $\Phi$, the reflexivity of $X_{i}$ was not assumed.

Remark 3. Landes [10] discussing permanence properties of normal structure for a finite product of Banach spaces has shown that if both $X$ and $Y$ are reflexive with $\operatorname{WCS}(X)>1$ and $\operatorname{WCS}(Y)>1$, then $Z=(X \times Y)_{l_{1}}$ has normal structure. It follows from Theorem 5 that without reflexivity of $X$ and $Y$, the space $Z$ has even weak uniform normal structure.
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