

EXISTENCE AND MULTIPLICITY RESULTS FOR NONLINEAR
SECOND ORDER DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS WITH
DIRICHLET BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

CRISTIAN BEREANU, JEAN MAWHIN, Louvain-la-Neuve

(Received September 29, 2005)

Cordially dedicated to Jaroslav Kurzweil for his 80th birthday anniversary

Abstract. We use Brouwer degree to prove existence and multiplicity results for the solutions of some nonlinear second order difference equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions. We obtain in particular upper and lower solutions theorems, Ambrosetti-Prodi type results, and sharp existence conditions for nonlinearities which are bounded from below or from above.

Keywords: nonlinear difference equations, Ambrosetti-Prodi problem, Brouwer degree

MSC 2000: 39A11, 47H11

1. INTRODUCTION

Some existence and multiplicity results for periodic solutions of first and second order nonlinear difference equations have been proved in [1]. They correspond to Ambrosetti-Prodi and Landesman-Lazer problems for differential equations. The purpose of this article is to show that the corresponding existence and multiplicity results for solutions of second order ordinary differential equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions also hold for second order difference equations.

In Section 2, we extend the classical method of upper and lower solutions to second order difference equations of the form

$$D^2x_m + f_m(x_m) = 0 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n - 1)$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$(1) \quad x_0 = 0 = x_n.$$

The methodology of the proof is inspired by the one introduced in [12].

In Section 3, combining the method of upper and lower solutions and Brouwer degree theory, we prove an Ambrosetti-Prodi result for solutions of the Dirichlet problem for second order difference equations of the type

$$D^2x_m + \lambda_1 x_m + f_m(x_m) = s\varphi_m^1 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1), \quad x_0 = 0 = x_n,$$

where $\lambda_1 = 4 \sin^2(\pi/2n)$ is the first eigenvalue of $-D^2$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions (1), $\varphi_m^1 = \sin(m\pi/n)$ ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$) are the components of the corresponding eigenvector φ^1 and $f_m(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ or to $-\infty$ if $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. We adapt the ideas of [5] and [13]. One should notice that, in contrast to the results of [5] for the ordinary differential equation case with g continuous only, where a weaker Ambrosetti-Prodi conclusion was proved, we obtain here the classical Ambrosetti-Prodi statement.

In Section 4 we prove an existence result for solutions of the Dirichlet problem for second order difference equations having a nonlinearity bounded from below or from above. Brouwer degree theory is used, and in particular a special case of a result in [10]. Landesman-Lazer-type existence conditions are obtained, and an example shows that the assumptions are sharp.

For other multiplicity results for nonlinear second order difference equations using upper-lower solutions and/or degree theory, see for example [2], [3], [4], [7], [8], [9], [16], [17].

2. THE METHOD OF UPPER AND LOWER SOLUTIONS FOR SECOND ORDER DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed and $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Define $(Dx_0, \dots, Dx_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(D^2x_1, \dots, D^2x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Dx_m &= x_{m+1} - x_m \quad (0 \leq m \leq n-1), \\ D^2x_m &= x_{m+1} - 2x_m + x_{m-1} \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1). \end{aligned}$$

Let $f_m: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$) be continuous functions. We study the existence of solutions for the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$(2) \quad D^2x_m + f_m(x_m) = 0 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1), \quad x_0 = 0 = x_n.$$

If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$, we write $\alpha \leq \beta$ ($\alpha < \beta$) if $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$ ($\alpha_i < \beta_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$).

Definition 1. $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ($\beta = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n)$) is called a *lower solution* (*upper solution*) for (2) if

$$(3) \quad \alpha_0 \leq 0, \alpha_n \leq 0 \quad (\beta_0 \geq 0, \beta_n \geq 0)$$

and the inequalities

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} D^2\alpha_m + f_m(\alpha_m) &\geq 0 \\ (D^2\beta_m + f_m(\beta_m) &\leq 0, \text{ respectively}) \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1) \end{aligned}$$

hold. Such a lower or upper solution will be called *strict* if the inequalities (4) are strict.

The proof of the following result is modeled upon the one given in [12] for the case of second order ordinary differential equations.

Theorem 1. *If (2) has a lower solution $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and an upper solution $\beta = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n)$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$, then (2) has a solution $x = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ such that $\alpha \leq x \leq \beta$. Moreover, if α and β are strict, then $\alpha_m < x_m < \beta_m$ ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$).*

Proof. I. A modified problem. Let $\gamma_m: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$) be continuous functions defined by

$$\gamma_m(x) = \begin{cases} \beta_m, & x > \beta_m, \\ x, & \alpha_m \leq x \leq \beta_m, \\ \alpha_m, & x < \alpha_m, \end{cases}$$

and define $F_m = f_m \circ \gamma_m$ ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$). We consider the modified problem

$$(5) \quad D^2x_m + F_m(x_m) - [x_m - \gamma_m(x_m)] = 0 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1), \quad x_0 = 0 = x_n,$$

and show that if $x = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ is a solution of (5) then $\alpha \leq x \leq \beta$ and hence x is a solution of (2). Suppose by contradiction that there is some i , $0 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\alpha_i - x_i > 0$ so that $\alpha_m - x_m = \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} (\alpha_j - x_j) > 0$. Using the inequalities (3), we obtain that $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. Hence

$$D^2(\alpha_m - x_m) = (\alpha_{m+1} - x_{m+1}) - 2(\alpha_m - x_m) + (\alpha_{m-1} - x_{m-1}) \leq 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D^2\alpha_m &\leq D^2x_m = -F_m(x_m) + (x_m - \gamma_m(x_m)) \\ &= -f_m(\alpha_m) + (x_m - \alpha_m) < -f_m(\alpha_m) \leq D^2\alpha_m, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Analogously we can show that $x \leq \beta$. We remark that if α, β are strict, then $\alpha_m < x_m < \beta_m$ ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$).

II. A bstract formulation of problem (5). Let us introduce the vector space

$$(6) \quad V^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x_0 = 0 = x_n\}$$

endowed with the orientation of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Its elements can be associated with the coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) and correspond to the elements of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} of the form $(0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)$, so that the restriction D^2 to V^{n-1} is well defined in terms of (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) . We use the norm $\|x\| := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j|$ in V^{n-1} and $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j|$ in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . We define a continuous mapping $G: V^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by

$$(7) \quad G_m(x) = D^2 x_m + F_m(x_m) - [x_m - \gamma_m(x_m)] \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1).$$

It is clear that the solutions of (5) are the zeros of G in V^{n-1} . In order to use the Brouwer degree [6], [14] to study those zeros, we introduce the homotopy $\mathcal{G}: [0, 1] \times V^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ defined by

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_m(\lambda, x) &= (1 - \lambda)(D^2 x_m - x_m) + \lambda G_m(x) \\ &= D^2 x_m - x_m + \lambda[F_m(x_m) + \gamma_m(x_m)] \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{G}(1, \cdot) = G$ and that $\mathcal{G}(0, \cdot)$ is linear.

III. A priori estimates for possible zeros of \mathcal{G} . Let R be any number such that

$$(9) \quad R > \max_{1 \leq m \leq n-1} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_m(x) + \gamma_m(x)|$$

and let $(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in [0, 1] \times V^{n-1}$ be a possible zero of \mathcal{G} . If $0 \leq x_m = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} x_j$, then $D^2 x_m \leq 0$. This is clear if $2 \leq m \leq n-2$ and if, say, $m = 1$, then

$$D^2 x_1 = x_2 - 2x_1 = x_2 - x_1 - x_1 \leq 0,$$

and similarly if $m = n-1$. Hence,

$$0 \geq D^2 x_m = x_m - \lambda[F_m(x_m) + \gamma_m(x_m)],$$

which implies

$$x_m \leq \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_m(x) + \gamma_m(x)| < R.$$

Analogously it can be shown that $-R < \min_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} x_j$, and hence

$$(10) \quad \|x\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j| < R$$

for each possible zero (λ, x) of \mathcal{G} .

IV. The existence of a zero for G . Using the results of Parts II, III and the invariance under homotopy of the Brouwer degree, we see that the Brouwer degree $d[\mathcal{G}(\lambda, \cdot), B_R(0), 0]$ is well defined and independent of $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. But $\mathcal{G}(0, \cdot)$ is a linear mapping whose set of solutions is bounded, and hence equal to $\{0\}$. Consequently, $|d[\mathcal{G}(0, \cdot), B_R(0), 0]| = 1$, so that $|d[G, B_R(0), 0]| = 1$ and the existence property of the Brouwer degree implies the existence of at least one zero of G .

V. End of the proof. We have proved that there is some $x \in V^{n-1}$ such that $G(x) = 0$, so x is a solution of (4), which means that $\alpha \leq x \leq \beta$ and x is a solution of (2). Moreover, if α, β are strict, then $\alpha_m < x_m < \beta_m$ ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$). \square

Remark 1. Suppose that α, β are respectively strict lower and upper solutions of (2). As we have already seen, (2) admits at least one solution x such that $\alpha_m < x_m < \beta_m$ ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$). Define an open set

$$\Omega_{\alpha, \beta} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in V^{n-1} : \alpha_m < x_m < \beta_m \ (1 \leq m \leq n-1)\}.$$

If ϱ is large enough, then, using the additivity-excision property of the Brouwer degree, we have

$$|d[G, \Omega_{\alpha, \beta}, 0]| = |d[G, B_\varrho(0), 0]| = 1.$$

On the other hand, if we define a continuous mapping $\tilde{G}: V^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by

$$(11) \quad \tilde{G}_m(x) = D^2 x_m + f_m(x_m) \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1),$$

\tilde{G} is equal to G on $\Omega_{\alpha, \beta}$, and then

$$(12) \quad |d[\tilde{G}, \Omega_{\alpha, \beta}, 0]| = 1.$$

3. SOME RESULTS ON THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR SECOND ORDER
LINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

Consider the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem

$$(13) \quad D^2x_m + \lambda x_m = 0 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1), \quad x_0 = 0 = x_n.$$

The following results are classical, but we reproduce them for completion. If we look for a nontrivial solution of the form (for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$)

$$(14) \quad x_m = A \sin m\theta \quad (0 \leq m \leq n),$$

then one must have

$$\sin(m-1)\theta + (\lambda - 2) \sin m\theta + \sin(m+1)\theta = 0 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1)$$

or, equivalently,

$$(\sin m\theta)[2 \cos \theta + \lambda - 2] = 0 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1).$$

This system of equations is satisfied if we choose

$$(15) \quad \lambda = 2 - 2 \cos \theta$$

and the Dirichlet boundary conditions $x_0 = 0 = x_n$ hold if and only if $\sin n\theta = 0$, i.e. if and only if

$$\theta = \theta_k := \frac{k\pi}{n} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1).$$

Consequently, the eigenvalues of (13) (in the increasing order) are

$$(16) \quad \lambda_k = 2 \left(1 - \cos \frac{k\pi}{n} \right) = 4 \sin^2 \frac{k\pi}{2n} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$$

and a corresponding eigenvector $\varphi^k = (\varphi_1^k, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}^k)$ is given by

$$(17) \quad \varphi^k = \left(\sin \frac{k\pi}{n}, \sin \frac{2k\pi}{n}, \dots, \sin \frac{(n-1)k\pi}{n} \right) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1).$$

In particular, the eigenvector φ^1 associated with the first eigenvalue

$$(18) \quad \lambda_1 = 2 \left(1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{n} \right) = 4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2n},$$

given by

$$(19) \quad \varphi^1 = \left(\sin \frac{\pi}{n}, \sin \frac{2\pi}{n}, \dots, \sin \frac{(n-1)\pi}{n} \right),$$

has all its components positive. Furthermore, as the φ^k constitute a system of eigenvectors of a symmetric matrix, they satisfy the orthogonality conditions $\langle \varphi^j, \varphi^k \rangle = 0$ for $j \neq k$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} .

We need some identities and inequalities for finite sequences satisfying the Dirichlet boundary conditions. The first is a type of summation by parts.

Lemma 1. *If $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $(y_0, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are such that $x_0 = 0 = x_n$ and $y_0 = 0 = y_n$, the identity*

$$(20) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} x_m D^2 y_m = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} y_m D^2 x_m$$

holds.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} x_m (y_{m+1} - 2y_m + y_{m-1}) - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} y_m (x_{m+1} - 2x_m + x_{m-1}) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} x_m y_{m+1} + \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} x_m y_{m-1} - \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} y_m x_{m+1} - \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} y_m x_{m-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Define

$$(21) \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \quad \bar{x} = \langle x, \varphi^1 \rangle \frac{\varphi^1}{\|\varphi^1\|^2}, \quad \tilde{x} = x - \bar{x},$$

so that

$$(\bar{x})_m = \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} x_m \sin \frac{m\pi}{n} \right) \frac{\varphi_m^1}{\|\varphi^1\|^2} \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1), \quad \langle \tilde{x}, \varphi^1 \rangle = 0.$$

Notice that

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} D^2 x_m + \lambda_1 x_m &= D^2 (\bar{x})_m + \lambda_1 (\bar{x})_m + D^2 (\tilde{x})_m + \lambda_1 (\tilde{x})_m \\ &= D^2 (\tilde{x})_m + \lambda_1 (\tilde{x})_m. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. *If $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, then there exists a constant $c_n > 0$ which depends only on n such that*

$$\max_{1 \leq m \leq n-1} |(\tilde{x})_m| \leq c_n \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} |D^2(\tilde{x})_m + \lambda_1(\tilde{x})_m| \varphi_m^1.$$

Proof. The applications

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \mapsto \max_{1 \leq m \leq n-1} |x_m|, \quad (x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} |D^2 x_m + \lambda_1 x_m| \varphi_m^1$$

define two norms on the subspace $V = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : \langle x, \varphi^1 \rangle = 0\}$. They are equivalent, and the inequality above holds. \square

4. AMBROSETTI-PRODI TYPE RESULTS FOR SECOND ORDER DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

In this section we are interested in problems of the type

$$(23) \quad D^2 x_m + \lambda_1 x_m + f_m(x_m) = s \varphi_m^1 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1), \quad x_0 = 0 = x_n,$$

where $n \geq 2$ is fixed, $f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, λ_1 is defined in (18), φ^1 is defined in (19) and

$$(24) \quad f_m(x) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1).$$

We prove an Ambrosetti-Prodi type result for (23), which is reminiscent of the multiplicity theorem for second order differential equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions proved in [5].

The next lemma provides a priori bounds for possible solutions of (23).

Lemma 3. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there is $\varrho > 0$ such that any possible solution x of (23) with $s \in [a, b]$ belongs to the open ball $B_\varrho(0)$.*

Proof. Let $s \in [a, b]$ and let $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a solution of (23). Multiplying each equation by φ_m^1 and adding, we obtain

$$s \left[\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} (\varphi_m^1)^2 \right] = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} [\varphi_m^1 D^2 x_m + \lambda_1 \varphi_m^1 x_m] + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \varphi_m^1 f_m(x_m).$$

But, using Lemma 1,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} [\varphi_m^1 D^2 x_m + \lambda_1 \varphi_m^1 x_m] = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} [x_m (D^2 \varphi_m^1 + \lambda_1 \varphi_m^1)] = 0,$$

so that

$$(25) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \varphi_m^1 f_m(x_m) = s \|\varphi^1\|^2.$$

Using (24) we deduce that there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$(26) \quad |f_m(x)| \leq f_m(x) + \alpha \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1).$$

Using the equation (23), written in the equivalent form

$$(27) \quad (D^2 \tilde{x})_m + \lambda_1 (\tilde{x})_m + f_m(x_m) = s \varphi_m^1 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1),$$

and the relations (25), (26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} |D^2(\tilde{x})_m + \lambda_1(\tilde{x})_m| \varphi_m^1 &= \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} |s \varphi_m^1 - f_m(x_m)| \varphi_m^1 \\ &\leq |s| \|\varphi^1\|^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} |f_m(x_m)| \varphi_m^1 \leq 2|s| \|\varphi^1\|^2 + \alpha \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \varphi_m^1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that there exists a constant R_1 depending only on a, b, n such that

$$(28) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} |D^2(\tilde{x})_m + \lambda_1(\tilde{x})_m| \varphi_m^1 \leq R_1.$$

Using the relations (27), (28) and Lemma 2, we obtain $R_2 > 0$ such that

$$|f_m(x_m)| \leq R_2 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1).$$

Hence the assumption (24) implies the existence of $R_3 > 0$ such that $|x_m| < R_3$ for all $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. \square

Theorem 2. *If the functions f_m ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$) satisfy (24), then there is $s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (23) has no, at least one or at least two solutions provided $s < s_1$, $s = s_1$ or $s > s_1$, respectively.*

Proof. Let

$$S_j = \{s \in \mathbb{R}: (23) \text{ has at least } j \text{ solutions}\} \quad (j \geq 1).$$

(a) $S_1 \neq \emptyset$.

Take $s^* > \max_{1 \leq m \leq n-1} (f_m(0)/\varphi_m^1)$ and use (24) to find $R_-^* < 0$ such that

$$f_m(R_-^* \varphi_m^1) > s^* \varphi_m^1 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1).$$

Then α with $\alpha_0 = 0 = \alpha_n$ and $\alpha_j = R_-^* \varphi_j^1 < 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n-1$) is a strict lower solution and β with $\beta_j = 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) is a strict upper solution for (23) with $s = s^*$. Hence, using Theorem 1, $s^* \in S_1$.

(b) If $\tilde{s} \in S_1$ and $s > \tilde{s}$ then $s \in S_1$.

Let $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)$ be a solution of (23) with $s = \tilde{s}$, and let $s > \tilde{s}$. Then \tilde{x} is a strict upper solution for (23). Take now $R_- < \min_{1 \leq m \leq n} (\tilde{x}_m/\varphi_m^1)$ such that $f_m(R_- \varphi_m^1) > s \varphi_m^1$ ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$). It follows that α with $\alpha_0 = 0 = \alpha_n$ and $\alpha_j = R_- \varphi_j^1$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) is a strict lower solution for (23), and hence, using Theorem 1, $s \in S_1$.

(c) $s_1 = \inf S_1$ is finite and $S_1 \supset]s_1, \infty[$.

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that (23) has a solution (x_1, \dots, x_n) . Then (25) holds, where from we deduce that $s \geq c := \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \varphi_m^1 \min_{\mathbb{R}} f_m$. To obtain the second part of claim (c) $S_1 \supset]s_1, \infty[$ we apply (b).

(d) $S_2 \supset]s_1, \infty[$.

We reformulate (23) to apply Brouwer degree theory. Consider the space V^{n-1} defined in (6) and the continuous mapping $\mathcal{G}: \mathbb{R} \times V^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}(s, x) = D^2 x_m + \lambda_1 x_m + f_m(x_m) - s \varphi_m^1 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1).$$

Then (x_0, \dots, x_n) is a solution of (23) if and only if $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in V^{n-1}$ is a zero of $\mathcal{G}(s, \cdot)$. Let $s_3 < s_1 < s_2$. Using Lemma 3 we find $\varrho > 0$ such that each possible zero of $\mathcal{G}(s, \cdot)$ with $s \in [s_3, s_2]$ is such that $\max_{1 \leq m \leq n-1} |x_m| < \varrho$. Consequently, the Brouwer degree $d[\mathcal{G}(s, \cdot), B_\varrho(0), 0]$ is well defined and does not depend upon $s \in [s_3, s_2]$. However, using (c), we see that $\mathcal{G}(s_3, x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in V^{n-1}$. This implies that $d[\mathcal{G}(s_3, \cdot), B_\varrho(0), 0] = 0$, so that $d[\mathcal{G}(s_2, \cdot), B_\varrho(0), 0] = 0$ and, by the excision property, $d[\mathcal{G}(s_2, \cdot), B_{\varrho'}(0), 0] = 0$ if $\varrho' > \varrho$. Let $s \in]s_1, s_2[$ and let $\hat{x} = (\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n)$

be a solution of (23) (using (c)). Then \hat{x} is a strict upper solution of (23) with $s = s_2$. Let $R < \min_{1 \leq m \leq n} (\hat{x}_m / \varphi_m^1)$ be such that $f_m(R\varphi_m^1) > s_2\varphi_m^1$ ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$). Then $(0, R\varphi_1^1, \dots, R\varphi_{n-1}^1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a strict lower solution of (23) with $s = s_2$. Consequently, using Remark 1, (23) with $s = s_2$ has a solution in $\Omega_{R\varphi^1, \hat{x}}$ and

$$|d[\mathcal{G}(s_2, \cdot), \Omega_{R\varphi^1, \hat{x}}, 0]| = 1.$$

Taking ϱ' sufficiently large, we deduce from the additivity property of the Brouwer degree that

$$\begin{aligned} |d[\mathcal{G}(s_2, \cdot), B_{\varrho'}(0) \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{R\varphi^1, \hat{x}}, 0]| &= |d[\mathcal{G}(s_2, \cdot), B_{\varrho'}(0), 0] - d[\mathcal{G}(s_2, \cdot), \Omega_{R\varphi^1, \hat{x}}, 0]| \\ &= |d[\mathcal{G}(s_2, \cdot), \Omega_{R\varphi^1, \hat{x}}, 0]| = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and (23) with $s = s_2$ has a second solution in $B_{\varrho'}(0) \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{R\varphi^1, \hat{x}}$.

(e) $s_1 \in S_1$.

Taking a decreasing sequence $(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $]s_1, \infty[$ converging to s_1 , a corresponding sequence (x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k) of solutions of (23) with $s = \sigma_k$ and using Lemma 3, we obtain a subsequence $(x_1^{j_k}, \dots, x_n^{j_k})$ which converges to a solution (x_1, \dots, x_n) of (23) with $s = s_1$. \square

Similar arguments allow to prove the following result.

Theorem 3. *If the functions f_m satisfy the condition*

$$(29) \quad f_m(x) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1),$$

then there is $s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (23) has no, at least one or at least two solutions provided $s > s_1$, $s = s_1$ or $s < s_1$, respectively.

Example 1. There exists $s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the problem

$$D^2x_m + \lambda_1x_m + |x_m|^{1/2} = s\varphi_m^1 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1), \quad x_0 = 0 = x_n$$

has no solution if $s < s_1$, at least one solution if $s = s_1$ and at least two solutions if $s > s_1$.

Example 2. There exists $s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the problem

$$D^2x_m + \lambda_1x_m - \exp x_m^2 = s\varphi_m^1 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1), \quad x_0 = 0 = x_n$$

has no solution if $s > s_1$, at least one solution if $s = s_1$ and at least two solutions if $s < s_1$.

5. SECOND ORDER DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS WITH A NONLINEARITY
BOUNDED FROM BELOW OR FROM ABOVE

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let f_m be continuous functions ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$). Consider the problem

$$(30) \quad D^2x_m + \lambda_1x_m + f_m(x_m) = 0 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1), \quad x_0 = 0 = x_n.$$

Using the notation of Section 2, we define a continuous mapping $G: V^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by

$$(31) \quad G_m(x) = D^2(x_m) + \lambda_1x_m + f_m(x_m) \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1),$$

so that $(0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)$ is a solution of (30) if and only if $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in V^{n-1}$ is a zero of G . We also define $F: V^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by

$$F(x) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_{n-1}(x_{n-1})),$$

and call $L: V^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ the restriction of $D^2 + \lambda_1I$ to V^{n-1} . We have

$$\ker L = \{c\varphi^1: c \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and, by the properties of symmetric matrices,

$$\text{Im } L = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}: \langle y, \varphi^1 \rangle = 0\}.$$

Consider projectors $P: V^{n-1} \rightarrow V^{n-1}$ and $Q: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ defined by

$$P(x) = \langle x, \varphi^1 \rangle \frac{\varphi^1}{\|\varphi^1\|^2}, \quad Q(y) = \langle y, \varphi^1 \rangle \frac{\varphi^1}{\|\varphi^1\|^2},$$

so that $\ker Q = \text{Im } L, \text{Im } P = \ker L$.

In order to study the existence of zeros of G using the Brouwer degree, we introduce a homotopy $\mathcal{G}: [0, 1] \times V^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}(\lambda, x) = (1 - \lambda)(L + QF)(x) + \lambda G(x) = Lx + (1 - \lambda)QF(x) + \lambda F(x),$$

which, for $\lambda = 1$, reduces to G . We first obtain a priori estimates for possible zeros of \mathcal{G} .

Lemma 4. *If each function f_m ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$) is bounded from below or from above, and if, for some $R > 0$, one has*

$$(32) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} f_m(x_m)\varphi_m^1 \neq 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \min_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} x_j \geq R \quad \text{or} \quad \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} x_j \leq -R$$

then there exists $\rho > R$ such that each possible zero (λ, x) of \mathcal{G} is such that $\|x\| < \rho$.

Proof. Assume first that each f_m is bounded from below. Let $(\lambda, x) \in [0, 1] \times V^{n-1}$ be a possible zero of \mathcal{G} . Applying Q and $(I - Q)$ to the equation, we obtain

$$QF(x) = 0, \quad Lx + \lambda(I - Q)F(x) = 0$$

or, equivalently,

$$(33) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} f_m(x_m)\varphi_m^1 = 0,$$

$$(34) \quad (D^2\tilde{x})_m + \lambda_1(\tilde{x})_m + \lambda f_m(x_m) = 0 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1).$$

We can then repeat the reasoning of the proof of Lemma 3 to obtain that

$$\max_{1 \leq m \leq n-1} |(\tilde{x})_m| \leq R_2.$$

Then, by (32) and (33), there exists $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq l \leq n-1$ such that $x_k < R$ and $x_l > -R$. Consequently, $(\bar{x})_k = x_k - (\tilde{x})_k < R + R_2$ and $(\bar{x})_l = x_l - (\tilde{x})_l > -R - R_2$. Therefore, for each $1 \leq m \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{x})_m &= \frac{(\bar{x})_k}{\varphi_k^1} \varphi_m^1 < (R + R_2) \max_{1 \leq m \leq n-1} \frac{\varphi_m^1}{\varphi_k^1} := R_3, \\ (\bar{x})_m &= \frac{(\bar{x})_l}{\varphi_l^1} \varphi_m^1 > -(R + R_2) \max_{1 \leq m \leq n-1} \frac{\varphi_m^1}{\varphi_l^1} := -R_3. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\|x\| < \rho$ for some $\rho > 0$.

In the case when the f_m are bounded from above, it suffices to write the problem

$$\tilde{L}x + \tilde{F}(x) = 0$$

with $\tilde{L} = -L$ and $\tilde{F} = -F$ to reduce it to a problem with \tilde{F} bounded from below, noticing that L and \tilde{L} have the same kernel and the same range. \square

Lemma 5. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the continuous function defined by

$$(35) \quad \varphi(u) = \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} f_m(u\varphi_m^1)\varphi_m^1.$$

Then, under the assumptions from Lemma 4, we have

$$(36) \quad |d[L + QF, B_\varrho(0), 0]| = |d[\varphi,]_{-\varrho}, \varrho[, 0]|.$$

Proof. It is a special case of Proposition II.12 in [10]. We prove it for completeness. If $J: \ker L \rightarrow \text{Im } Q$ is any isomorphism, then it is easy to check that $L + JP: V^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is an isomorphism and that $(L + JP)^{-1}h = J^{-1}h$ for every $h \in \text{Im } Q$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} L + QF &= L + JP - JP + QF = (L + JP)[I + (L + JP)^{-1}(-JP + QF)] \\ &= (L + JP)(I - P + J^{-1}QF). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by the product formula of the Brouwer degree,

$$\begin{aligned} |d[L + QF, B_\varrho(0), 0]| &= |\text{ind}[L + JP, 0]d[I - P + J^{-1}QF, B_\varrho(0), 0]| \\ &= |d[I - P + J^{-1}QF, B_\varrho(0), 0]|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the Leray-Schauder reduction theorem for the Brouwer degree, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d[I - P + J^{-1}QF, B_\varrho(0), 0]| &= |d[(I - P + J^{-1}QF)|_{\ker L}, B_\varrho(0) \cap \ker L, 0]| \\ &= |d[\varphi,]_{-\varrho}, \varrho[, 0]|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4. If the functions f_m ($1 \leq m \leq n - 1$) satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4 and if

$$(37) \quad \varphi(-R)\varphi(R) < 0,$$

then problem (30) has at least one solution.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4, Lemma 5 and from the invariance of the Brouwer degree under a homotopy that

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} |d[G, B_\varrho(0), 0]| &= |d[\mathcal{G}(1, \cdot), B_\varrho(0), 0]| = |d[\mathcal{G}(0, \cdot), B_\varrho(0), 0]| \\ &= |d[L + QF, B_\varrho(0), 0]| = |d[\varphi,]_{-\varrho}, \varrho[, 0]| = 1, \end{aligned}$$

the last equality coming from (37). □

Example 3. The problem

$$D^2x_m + \lambda_1x_m + \exp x_m - t_m = 0 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1), \quad x_0 = 0 = x_n,$$

has at least one solution if and only if $(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} t_m \varphi_m^1 > 0.$$

Necessity follows from summing both members of the equation from 1 to $n-1$ after multiplication by φ_m^1 , and sufficiency from Theorem 4, if we observe that there exists $R > 0$ such that the function φ defined by

$$\varphi(u) = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} [\exp(u\varphi_m^1) - t_m] \varphi_m^1$$

is such that $\varphi(u) > 0$ for $u \geq R$ and $\varphi(u) < 0$ for $u \leq -R$.

Example 4. If $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function bounded from below or from above and $(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is such that

$$(39) \quad -\infty < \limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} g(x) < \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} t_m \varphi_m^1}{\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \varphi_m^1} < \liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g(x) < +\infty,$$

then the problem

$$D^2x_m + \lambda_1x_m + g(x_m) - t_m = 0 \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-1), \quad x_0 = 0 = x_n,$$

has at least one solution.

Condition (39) is a Landesman-Lazer-type condition for difference equations. It is easily shown to be necessary if $\limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} g(x) < g(x) < \liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

References

- [1] *C. Bereanu, J. Mawhin*: Existence and multiplicity results for periodic solutions of nonlinear difference equations. To appear.
- [2] *R. E. Gaines*: Difference equations associated with boundary value problems for second order nonlinear ordinary differential equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* *11* (1974), 411–434. [Zbl 0279.65068](#)
- [3] *J. Henderson, H. B. Thompson*: Difference equations associated with fully nonlinear boundary value problems for second order ordinary differential equations. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* *7* (2001), 297–321. [Zbl 1014.39012](#)
- [4] *J. Henderson, H. B. Thomson*: Existence of multiple solutions for second-order discrete boundary value problems. *Comput. Math. Appl.* *43* (2002), 1239–1248. [Zbl 1005.39014](#)
- [5] *R. Chiappinelli, J. Mawhin, R. Nugari*: Generalized Ambrosetti-Prodi conditions for nonlinear two-point boundary value problems. *J. Differ. Equations* *69* (1987), 422–434. [Zbl 0646.34022](#)
- [6] *K. Deimling*: *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*. Springer, Berlin, 1985. [Zbl 0559.47040](#)
- [7] *M. Lees*: A boundary value problem for nonlinear ordinary differential equations. *J. Math. Mech.* *10* (1961), 423–430. [Zbl 0099.06902](#)
- [8] *M. Lees*: Discrete methods for nonlinear two-point boundary value problems. *Numerical Solutions of Partial Differential Equations*, Bramble ed., Academic Press, New York, 1966, pp. 59–72. [Zbl 0148.39206](#)
- [9] *M. Lees, M. H. Schultz*: A Leray-Schauder principle for A-compact mappings and the numerical solution of non-linear two-point boundary value problems. *Numerical Solutions of Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Greenspan ed., Wiley, New York, 1966, pp. 167–179. [Zbl 0161.12402](#)
- [10] *J. Mawhin*: *Topological Degree Methods in Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems*. CBMS Series No. 40, American Math. Soc., Providence, 1979. [Zbl 0414.34025](#)
- [11] *J. Mawhin*: Boundary value problems with nonlinearities having infinite jumps. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carol.* *25* (1984), 401–414. [Zbl 0562.34010](#)
- [12] *J. Mawhin*: Points fixes, points critiques et problèmes aux limites. *Sémin. Math. Sup.* No. 92, Presses Univ. Montréal, Montréal (1985). [Zbl 0561.34001](#)
- [13] *J. Mawhin*: Ambrosetti-Prodi type results in nonlinear boundary value problems. *Differential equations and mathematical physics. Lect. Notes in Math.* 1285. Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 290–313. [Zbl 0651.34014](#)
- [14] *J. Mawhin*: A simple approach to Brouwer degree based on differential forms. *Advanced Nonlinear Studies* *4* (2004), 535–548. [Zbl pre02149277](#)
- [15] *J. Mawhin, H. B. Thompson, E. Tonkes*: Uniqueness for boundary value problems for second order finite difference equations. *J. Differ. Equations Appl.* *10* (2004), 749–757. [Zbl 1057.39016](#)
- [16] *H. B. Thompson*: Existence of multiple solutions for finite difference approximations to second-order boundary value problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* *53* (2003), 97–110. [Zbl 1019.65054](#)
- [17] *H. B. Thompson, C. C. Tisdell*: The nonexistence of spurious solutions to discrete, two-point boundary value problems. *Appl. Math. Lett.* *16* (2003), 79–84. [Zbl 1018.39009](#)

Author's address: Cristian Bereanu, Jean Mawhin, Département de Mathématique, Université Catholique de Louvain, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, e-mail: mawhin@math.ucl.ac.be.