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#### Abstract

A new class of functions called fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute functions in fuzzy topological spaces are introduced in this paper. Some characterizations of this class and its properties and the relationship with other classes of functions between fuzzy topological spaces are also obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

The fuzzy concept has invaded almost all branches of mathematics ever since the introduction of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [9]. The theory of fuzzy topological spaces was introduced and developed by Chang [4] and since then various notions in classical topology have been extended to fuzzy topological spaces. The concept of semi $\alpha$ irresolute functions was introduced in [8]. In this paper we introduce and study several interesting properties of the fuzzy version of semi $\alpha$-irresolute functions.

## 2. Preliminaries

By a fuzzy topological space we shall mean a non-empty set $X$ together with fuzzy topology $T$ [4] and we shall denote it by $(X, T)$. A fuzzy point in $X$ with support $x \in X$ and value $p(0<p \leqslant 1)$ is denoted by $x_{p}$. If $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are two fuzzy sets in $X$ and $Y$, respectively, we define (accordingly [1]) $\lambda \times \mu: X \times Y \rightarrow I$ as follows: $(\lambda \times \mu)(x, y)=\min (\lambda(x), \mu(y))$ for every $(x, y)$ in $X \times Y$. A fuzzy topological space $X$ is product related to a fuzzy topological space $Y$ [1] if for any fuzzy sets $\gamma$ in $X$ and $\xi$ in $Y$ whenever $\lambda^{\prime}(=1-\lambda) \nsupseteq \gamma$ and $\mu^{\prime}(=1-\mu) \nsupseteq \xi$ (in which case
$\left.\left(\lambda^{\prime} \times 1\right) \vee\left(1 \times \mu^{\prime}\right) \geqslant(\gamma \times \xi)\right)$ where $\lambda$ is a fuzzy open set in $X$ and $\mu$ is a fuzzy open set in $Y$, there exists a fuzzy open set $\lambda_{1}$ in $X$ and a fuzzy open set $\mu_{1}$ in $Y$ such that $\lambda_{1}^{\prime} \geqslant \gamma$ or $\mu_{1}^{\prime} \geqslant \xi$ and $\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime} \times 1\right) \vee\left(1 \times \mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\lambda^{\prime} \times 1\right) \vee\left(1 \times \mu^{\prime}\right)$. Let $f$ be a mapping from $X$ to $Y$. Then the graph $g$ of $f$ is a mapping from $X$ to $X \times Y$ sending $x \in X$ to $(x, f(x))$. For two mappings $f_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ and $f_{2}: X_{2} \rightarrow Y_{2}$, we define the product $f_{1} \times f_{2}$ of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ to be the mapping from $X_{1} \times X_{2}$ to $Y_{1} \times Y_{2}$ sending $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in X_{1} \times X_{2}$ to $\left(f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)$. For any fuzzy set $\lambda$ in a fuzzy topological space, it is shown in [1] that (i) $1-\operatorname{cl} \lambda=\operatorname{int}(1-\lambda)$, (ii) $\operatorname{cl}(1-\lambda)=1-\operatorname{int} \lambda$. For concepts not defined in this paper we refer to [1] and [2].

Definition 2.1. Let ( $X, T$ ) be a fuzzy topological space and let $\lambda$ be any fuzzy set in $X$.
$\lambda$ is called fuzzy $\alpha$-open set [2] if $\lambda \leqslant \operatorname{int} \operatorname{clint} \lambda$.
$\lambda$ is called fuzzy semi-open set [1] if $\lambda \leqslant \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} \lambda$.
$\lambda$ is called fuzzy pre-open set [2] if $\lambda \leqslant \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} \lambda$.
$\lambda$ is called fuzzy $\beta$-open set [5] if $\lambda \leqslant \operatorname{clint} \operatorname{cl} \lambda$.
The complement of a fuzzy $\alpha$-open (fuzzy semi-open, fuzzy $\beta$-open, respectively) set is called fuzzy $\alpha$-closed (fuzzy semi-closed, fuzzy $\beta$-closed, respectively).

Remark2.1. It is clear that every fuzzy open (fuzzy closed) set is a fuzzy $\alpha$-open (fuzzy $\alpha$-closed) set. But the converse is not true in general [2]. Also, every fuzzy $\alpha$-open (fuzzy $\alpha$-closed) set is a fuzzy pre-open (pre-closed) and a fuzzy semi-open (semi-closed) set. However, the converse is false [2]. The intersection of two fuzzy $\alpha$ open (fuzzy pre-open, fuzzy semi-open, respectively) sets need not be a fuzzy $\alpha$-open (fuzzy pre-open, fuzzy semi-open [1], respectively) set.

Motivated by the classical concepts introduced in [8] we now define:
Definition 2.2. A function $f$ from a fuzzy topological space $(X, T)$ to a fuzzy topological space $(Y, S)$ is said to be fuzzy irresolute if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy semi-open in $(X, T)$ for each fuzzy semi-open set $\lambda$ in $(Y, S)$.

Definition 2.3. A function $f$ from a fuzzy topological space $(X, T)$ to a fuzzy topological space $(Y, S)$ is said to be fuzzy $\alpha$-irresolute if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy $\alpha$-open in $(X, T)$ for each fuzzy $\alpha$-open set $\lambda$ in $(Y, S)$.

Definition 2.4. A function $f$ from a fuzzy topological space $(X, T)$ to a fuzzy topological space $(Y, S)$ is said to be fuzzy strongly $\alpha$-irresolute if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy open in $(X, T)$ for each fuzzy $\alpha$-open set $\lambda$ in $(Y, S)$.

Definition 2.5. A function $f$ from a fuzzy topological space $(X, T)$ to a fuzzy topological space $(Y, S)$ is said to be fuzzy almost irresolute if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy $\beta$-open in $(X, T)$ for each semi-open set $\lambda$ in $(Y, S)$.

Definition 2．6．A function $f$ from a fuzzy topological space $(X, T)$ to a fuzzy topological space $(Y, S)$ is said to be fuzzy semi－continuous if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy semi－ open in $(X, T)$ for each fuzzy open set $\lambda$ in $(Y, S)$ ．

Definition 2．7．A function $f$ from a fuzzy topological space $(X, T)$ to a fuzzy topological space $(Y, S)$ is said to be fuzzy strongly semi－continuous if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy open in $(X, T)$ for every fuzzy semi－open set $\lambda$ in $(Y, S)$ ．

Definition 2．8．A function $f$ from a fuzzy topological space $(X, T)$ to a fuzzy topological space $(Y, S)$ is said to be fuzzy $\alpha$－continuous if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy $\alpha$－open in $(X, T)$ for every fuzzy open set $\lambda$ in $(Y, S)$ ．

Definition 2．9．A function $f$ from a fuzzy topological space $(X, T)$ to a fuzzy topological space $(Y, S)$ is said to be fuzzy strongly $\alpha$－continuous if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy $\alpha$－open set in $(X, T)$ for each fuzzy semi－open $\lambda$ in $(Y, S)$ ．

## 3．FUZZY SEMI $\alpha$－IRRESOLUTE FUNCTIONS

Definition 3．1．A function $f$ from a fuzzy topological space $(X, T)$ to a fuzzy topological space $(Y, S)$ is said to be fuzzy semi $\alpha$－irresolute if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy semi－ open in $(X, T)$ for each fuzzy $\alpha$－open set $\lambda$ in $(Y, S)$ ．

From the definitions we obtain the following diagram：

| fuzzy strongly $\alpha$－irresolute | $\stackrel{\Leftarrow}{\nLeftarrow}$ | fuzzy strongly semi－continuous |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Downarrow$ 布 |  | $\Downarrow$ 布 |
| fuzzy $\alpha$－irresolute | $\Leftarrow$ | fuzzy strongly $\alpha$－continuous |
| $\Downarrow$ 为 |  | $\Downarrow$ 氐 |
| fuzzy semi $\alpha$－irresolute |  | fuzzy irresolute |
| $\Downarrow$ 布 |  | $\Downarrow$＊ |
| fuzzy semi－continuous | $\Rightarrow$ | fuzzy almost irresolute |

The examples given below show that the converses of these implications are not true in general．

Example 3．1．Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{3}$ be fuzzy sets on $I=[0,1]$ defined by

$$
\mu_{1}(x)= \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 x-1, & \frac{1}{2} \leqslant x \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{2}(x)= \begin{cases}1, & 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \\
-4 x+2, & \frac{1}{4} \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \\
0, & \frac{1}{2} \leqslant x \leqslant 1\end{cases} \\
& \mu_{3}(x)= \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{3}(4 x-1), & \frac{1}{4} \leqslant x \leqslant 1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $T_{1}=\left\{0, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{1} \vee \mu_{2}, 1\right\}$ and $T_{2}=\left\{0, \mu_{3}, 1\right\}$. Then $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are fuzzy topologies on $I$. Let $f:\left(I, T_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(I, T_{2}\right)$ be defined by $f(x)=x$ for each $x \in I$. Then $f^{-1}(0)=0 ; f^{-1}(1)=1 ; f^{-1}\left(\mu_{3}\right)=\mu_{3}$. Since $\mu_{3}$ fuzzy semi-open in $\left(I, T_{1}\right), f$ is fuzzy irresolute and hence $f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute. Since int $\mu_{3}=\mu_{1}, \operatorname{cl} \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}^{\prime}$, $\operatorname{int} \mu_{2}^{\prime}=\mu_{1}$, we have $\mu_{3} \not \leq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} \mu_{3}=\mu_{1}$ and therefore $\mu_{3}$ is not fuzzy $\alpha$-open in $\left(I, T_{1}\right)$. Hence $f$ is not fuzzy $\alpha$-irresolute and it is not fuzzy strongly $\alpha$-continuous either.

Example 3.2. Define $f: I \rightarrow I$ by $f(x)=x / 2$. Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{3}$ be fuzzy sets in $I$ described in Example 3.1. Let $T_{1}=\left\{0, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{1} \vee \mu_{2}, 1\right\}$ and $T_{3}=\left\{0, \mu_{3}^{\prime}, 1\right\}$. Then $T_{1}$ and $T_{3}$ are fuzzy topologies on $I$. Consider the mapping $f:\left(I, T_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(I, T_{1}\right)$. Since $f^{-1}(0)=0 ; f^{-1}(1)=1 ; f^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=0 ; f^{-1}\left(\mu_{2}\right)=\mu_{1}^{\prime}=f^{-1}\left(\mu_{1} \vee \mu_{2}\right)$, we conclude that $\mu_{1}^{\prime}$ is fuzzy $\alpha$-open in $\left(I, T_{3}\right)$. Then $f$ is fuzzy strongly $\alpha$-continuous from $\left(I, T_{3}\right)$ to $\left(I, T_{1}\right)$ and it is also fuzzy $\alpha$-irresolute. Since $\mu_{1}^{\prime}$ is not fuzzy open in $\left(I, T_{3}\right)$, hence $f$ is not fuzzy strongly $\alpha$-irresolute and it is not fuzzy strongly semi-continuous either.

Example 3.3. Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{3}$ be fuzzy sets in $I$ described in Example 3.1. Clearly, $T_{1}=\left\{0, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{1} \vee \mu_{2}, 1\right\}$ is a fuzzy topology on $I$. Let $f:\left(I, T_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(I, T_{1}\right)$ be defined by $f(x)=x$ for each $x \in I$. Since $f^{-1}(0)=0 ; f^{-1}(1)=1 ; f^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=\mu_{1}$; $f^{-1}\left(\mu_{2}\right)=\mu_{2} ; f^{-1}\left(\mu_{1} \vee \mu_{2}\right)=\mu_{1} \vee \mu_{2}$, we see that $f$ is fuzzy strongly $\alpha$-irresolute from $\left(I, T_{1}\right)$ to $\left(I, T_{1}\right)$ and hence $f$ is fuzzy $\alpha$-irresolute. Now $\mu_{3}$ is fuzzy semi-open in $\left(I, T_{1}\right)$. Consequently, $f^{-1}\left(\mu_{3}\right)=\mu_{3}$ is not fuzzy open in $\left(I, T_{1}\right)$. Therefore $f$ is not fuzzy strongly semi-continuous. Further, $f^{-1}\left(\mu_{3}\right)=\mu_{3}$ is not fuzzy $\alpha$-open in $\left(I, T_{1}\right)$. Hence $f$ is not fuzzy strongly $\alpha$-continuous.

Example 3.4. Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{3}$ be the fuzzy sets in $I$ described in Example 3.1. Clearly $T_{1}=\left\{0, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{1} \vee \mu_{2}, 1\right\}$ is a fuzzy topology on $I$. Let $f:\left(I, T_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(I, T_{1}\right)$ be defined by $f(x)=x / 2$ for each $x \in I$. Then $f^{-1}(0)=0, f^{-1}(1)=1, f^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=0$, $f^{-1}\left(\mu_{2}\right)=\mu_{1}^{\prime}=f^{-1}\left(\mu_{1} \vee \mu_{2}\right)$, hence $\mu_{1}^{\prime}$ is fuzzy semi-open in $\left(I, T_{1}\right)$. Then $f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute and hence $f$ is fuzzy semi-continuous. It can be easily seen that $\operatorname{cl} \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}^{\prime} ; \operatorname{cl} \mu_{2}=\mu_{1}^{\prime} ; \operatorname{cl}\left(\mu_{1} \vee \mu_{2}\right)=1 ; \operatorname{int} \mu_{1}^{\prime}=\mu_{2} ; \operatorname{int} \mu_{2}^{\prime}=\mu_{1} ; \operatorname{int}\left(\mu_{1} \vee \mu_{2}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{int} \mu_{3}=\mu_{1}$. Since $\mu_{1} \leqslant \mu_{3} \leqslant \operatorname{cl} \mu_{1}, \mu_{3}$ is a fuzzy semi-open set which is not a fuzzy open set (not a fuzzy $\alpha$-open set). Now, $\mu_{1}^{\prime}$ is fuzzy $\beta$-open in $\left(I, T_{1}\right)$ since
$\operatorname{cl} \mu_{1}^{\prime}=\mu_{1}^{\prime}$. Let

$$
\delta(x)=f^{-1}\left(\mu_{3}\right)(x)=\mu_{3} f(x)=\mu_{3}(x / 2)= \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{3}(2 x-1), & \frac{1}{2} \leqslant x \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

for each $x \in I$. Then $\operatorname{clint} \delta(x)=0$, and $\operatorname{clint} \operatorname{cl} \delta(x)=\mu_{1}^{\prime}$ or $\mu_{2}^{\prime}$. Since $\delta(x) \leqslant$ $\operatorname{cl}$ int $\operatorname{cl} \delta(x)=\mu_{1}^{\prime}$ or $\mu_{2}^{\prime}, f^{-1}\left(\mu_{3}\right)$ is fuzzy $\beta$-open set in $I$. Hence $f$ is fuzzy almost irresolute. Since $\operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} \delta(x)=0$, we have $\delta(x) \not \leq \operatorname{clint} \delta(x)$. Therefore $f^{-1}\left(\mu_{3}\right)$ is not a fuzzy semi-open set in $I$. Hence $f$ is not fuzzy irresolute.

Example 3.5. Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{3}$ be fuzzy sets in $I$ described in Example 3.1. Clearly $T_{4}=\left\{0, \mu_{1}, 1\right\}$ is a fuzzy topology on $I$. Let $f:\left(I, T_{4}\right) \rightarrow\left(I, T_{4}\right)$ be defined by $f(x)=x / 2$ for each $x \in I$. We have $f^{-1}(0)=0 ; f^{-1}(1)=1$ and $f^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=0$. Therefore $f$ is fuzzy semi-continuous. It can be easily seen that int $\mu_{3}=\mu_{1} ; \operatorname{cl} \mu_{1}=1$. Then $\mu_{3}$ is fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $\left(I, T_{4}\right)$ but not fuzzy open in $\left(I, T_{4}\right)$. Let

$$
\delta(x)=f^{-1}\left(\mu_{3}\right)(x)=\mu_{3} f(x)=\mu_{3}(x / 2)= \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{3}(2 x-1), & \frac{1}{2} \leqslant x \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

for each $x \in I$. Then cl int $\delta(x)=0$. Therefore $\delta(x) \not \leq \operatorname{clint} \delta(x)$. Therefore $f^{-1}\left(\mu_{3}\right)$ is not a fuzzy semi-open set in $I$. Hence $f$ is not fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute.

Example 3.6. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}$. Define $T=\{0,1, \lambda\}$ and $S=\{0,1, \mu\}$ where $\lambda, \mu: X \rightarrow I$ are defined by $\lambda(a)=1 ; \lambda(b)=2 / 3 ; \lambda(c)=1 / 2$ and $\mu(a)=1 ; \mu(b)=0 ;$ $\mu(c)=0$. Clearly $T$ and $S$ are fuzzy topologies on $X$. Consider $f:(X, T) \rightarrow(X, S)$ defined by $f(x)=x$ for each $x \in X$. Since $f^{-1}(0)=0 ; f^{-1}(1)=1 ; f^{-1}(\mu)=\mu$, we conclude the $\mu$ is a fuzzy $\beta$-open in $(X, T)$ (since $\mathrm{cl} \mu=1$ ). Therefore $f$ is fuzzy almost irresolute. Then $\mu$ is fuzzy open in $(X, S)$ but it is not fuzzy semi-open in $(X, T)$ (since int $\mu=0$ ). Hence $f$ is not fuzzy semi-continuous.

Remark 3.1. From Examples 3.1 to 3.6 and the diagram given after Definition 3.1, we have the following table of implications.

| $\Rightarrow$ | a | b | c | d | e | f | g | h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| b | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| c | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| d | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| e | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| f | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| g | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

1 represent "implies" and 0 represent "does not imply"

In the above table,
a-fuzzy stongly $\alpha$-irresolute,
b-fuzzy $\alpha$-irresolute,
c-fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute,
d-fuzzy semi-continuous,
e-fuzzy strongly semi-continuous,
f -fuzzy stongly $\alpha$-continuous,
g-fuzzy irresolute,
h-fuzzy almost irresolute.

Theorem 3.1. If $f$ is a function from a fuzzy topological space $(X, T)$ to another fuzzy topological space $(Y, S)$, then the following assertions are equivalent.
(a) $f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute.
(b) For each fuzzy point $x_{p} \in X$ and each fuzzy $\alpha$-open set $\lambda$ in $Y$ such that $f\left(x_{p}\right) \leqslant \lambda$, there exists a fuzzy semi-open $\mu$ such that $x_{p} \leqslant \mu$ and $f(\mu)<\lambda$.
(c) $f^{-1}(\lambda)<\operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(f^{-1}(\lambda)\right)\right)$ for every fuzzy $\alpha$-open set $\lambda$ in $Y$.
(d) $f^{-1}(\eta)$ is fuzzy semi-closed in $X$ for every fuzzy $\alpha$-closed set $\eta$ in $Y$.
(e) $\operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(\delta)\right)\right)<f^{-1}(\alpha-\operatorname{cl}(\delta))$ for every fuzzy set $\delta$ in $Y$.
(f) $f(\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\varrho)))<\alpha-\operatorname{cl}(f(\varrho))$ for every fuzzy set $\varrho$ in $X$.

Proof. $\quad(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ Let us assume that $f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute. Suppose $x_{p}$ is a fuzzy point $X$ and $\lambda$ is fuzzy $\alpha$-open in $Y$ such that $f\left(x_{p}\right) \leqslant \lambda$. Then $x_{p} \in f^{-1} f\left(x_{p}\right) \leqslant f^{-1}(\lambda)$. Let $\mu=f^{-1}(\lambda)$, which is a fuzzy semi-open set in $(X, T)$ (by (a)) such that $x_{p} \leqslant \mu$. Now $f(\mu)=f\left(f^{-1}(\mu)\right) \leqslant \lambda$. Hence (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) is proved.
(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) Let $\lambda$ be any fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $Y$. Let $x_{p}$ be any fuzzy point in $X$ such that $f\left(x_{p}\right) \leqslant \lambda$. Then $x_{p} \in f^{-1}(\lambda)$. By (b), there exists a fuzzy semi-open set $\mu$ of $X$ such that $x_{p} \leqslant \mu$ and $f(\mu)<\lambda$. We obtain $x_{p} \in \mu \leqslant f^{-1} f(\mu) \leqslant$ $f^{-1}(\lambda), x_{p} \in \mu \leqslant f^{-1}(\lambda)$. We have $x_{p} \in \mu \leqslant \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int} \mu) \leqslant \operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\lambda)\right)$. Since $x_{p} \in f^{-1}(\lambda)$ and $x_{p} \in \operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\lambda)\right)$, we have $f^{-1}(\lambda) \leqslant \operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\lambda)\right)$. Hence $(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow$ (c) is proved.
(c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) Let $\eta$ be a fuzzy $\alpha$-closed set in $Y$. Then $1-\eta$ is a fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $Y$. By $(\mathrm{c})$, we get $f^{-1}(1-\eta) \leqslant \operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(f^{-1}(1-\eta)\right)\right)$. On the other hand, $1-f^{-1}(\eta) \leqslant \operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(1-f^{-1}(\eta)\right)\right)=\operatorname{cl}\left(1-\operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(\eta)\right)=1-\operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(\eta)$. We obtain $1-f^{-1}(\eta) \leqslant 1-\operatorname{intcl} f^{-1}(\eta)$. So we have int $\operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(\eta)\right) \leqslant f^{-1}(\eta)$. Therefore $f^{-1}(\eta)$ is fuzzy semi-closed in $X$. Hence (c) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{d})$ is proved.
$(\mathrm{d}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{e})$ Let $\delta$ be a fuzzy set in $Y$. Then $\alpha$-cl $(\delta)$ is a fuzzy $\alpha$-closed in $Y$. By (d), since $f^{-1}(\alpha-\operatorname{cl}(\delta))$ is fuzzy semi-closed in $X$, we have int $\operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(\alpha-\operatorname{cl}(\delta))\right) \leqslant$ $f^{-1}(\alpha-\operatorname{cl}(\delta))$. Thus, we have $\operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(\delta)\right)\right) \leqslant f^{-1}(\alpha-\mathrm{cl}(\delta))$. Hence $(\mathrm{d}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{e})$ is proved.
(e) $\Rightarrow$ (f) Let $\varrho$ be a fuzzy set in $X$. By (e), we have $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\varrho)) \leqslant$ $\operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(f(\varrho))\right)\right) \leqslant \operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(\alpha-\operatorname{cl} f(\varrho))\right)\right) \leqslant f^{-1}(\alpha-\operatorname{cl} f(\varrho))$. Then $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\varrho)) \leqslant$ $f^{-1}(\alpha-\operatorname{cl} f(\varrho))$, and we get $f(\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\varrho)) \leqslant \alpha-\operatorname{cl} f(\varrho)$. Hence $(\mathrm{e}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{f})$ is proved.
(f) $\Rightarrow$ (a) Let $\lambda$ be a fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $Y$. Since $f^{-1}(1-\lambda)=1-f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy set in $X$, by (f) we obtain $f\left(\operatorname{intcl}\left(f^{-1}(1-\lambda)\right) \leqslant \alpha-\operatorname{cl} f\left(f^{-1}(1-\lambda)\right) \leqslant\right.$ $\alpha-\operatorname{cl}(1-\lambda)=1-\alpha$-int $\lambda=1-\lambda$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(1-\lambda)\right) \leqslant 1-\lambda \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{align*}
1-\operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(f^{-1}(\lambda)\right)\right) & =\operatorname{int}\left(1-\operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\lambda)\right)=\operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(1-f^{-1}(\lambda)\right)\right.  \tag{2}\\
& \leqslant f^{-1}\left(f\left(\operatorname{intcl}\left(f^{-1}(1-\lambda)\right)\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Using (1) in (2) we get $1-\operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\lambda) \leqslant f^{-1}(1-\lambda)=1-f^{-1}(\lambda)$, which implies that $f^{-1}(\lambda) \leqslant \operatorname{clint} f^{-1}(\lambda)$. Therefore $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy semi-open in $X$. Hence $f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute. Hence (f) $\Rightarrow$ (a) is proved.

The following four lemmas taken from [1] and [2], are given here for convenience of the reader.

Lemma 3.1 [1]. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and $\left\{\lambda_{\alpha}\right\}$ a family of fuzzy sets in $Y$. Then (a) $f^{-1}\left(\bigcup \lambda_{\alpha}\right)=\bigcup f^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right)$ and (b) $f^{-1}\left(\bigcap \lambda_{\alpha}\right)=\bigcap f^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\alpha}\right)$.

Lemma 3.2 [1]. For mappings $f_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow Y_{i}$ and fuzzy sets $\lambda_{i}$ in $Y, i=1,2$ we have $\left(f_{1} \times f_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}\right)=f_{1}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times f_{2}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$.

Lemma 3.3 [1]. Let $g: X \rightarrow X \times Y$ be the graph of a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$. If $\lambda$ is a fuzzy set in $X$ and $\mu$ is a fuzzy set in $Y$, then $g^{-1}(\lambda \times \mu)=\lambda \wedge f^{-1}(\mu)$.

Lemma 3.4 [2]. Let $X$ and $Y$ be fuzzy topological spaces such that $X$ is product related to $Y$. Then the product $\lambda \times \mu$ of a fuzzy $\alpha$-open (pre-open) set $\lambda$ in $X$ and a fuzzy $\alpha$-open (pre-open) set $\mu$ in $Y$ is a fuzzy $\alpha$-open (pre-open) set in the fuzzy product space $X \times Y$.

Theorem 3.2. If $f_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow Y_{i}(i=1,2)$ are fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute and $X_{1}$ is product related to $X_{2}$, then $f_{1} \times f_{2}: X_{1} \times X_{2} \rightarrow Y_{1} \times Y_{2}$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute.

Proof. Let $A=\bigvee\left(\lambda_{i} \times \mu_{i}\right)$, where $\lambda_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}^{\prime}$ s are fuzzy $\alpha$-open sets in $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$, respectively. Since $Y_{1}$ is product related to $Y_{2}$, we have by Lemma 3.4 that $A=\bigvee\left(\lambda_{i} \times \mu_{i}\right)$ is fuzzy $\alpha$-open in $Y_{1} \times Y_{2}$. Using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain $\left(f_{1} \times f_{2}\right)^{-1}(A)=\left(f_{1} \times f_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\bigvee\left(\lambda_{i} \times \mu_{i}\right)\right)=\bigvee\left(f_{1}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \times f_{2}^{-1}\left(\mu_{i}\right)\right)$. Since $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, we conclude that $\left(f_{1} \times f_{2}\right)^{-1}(A)$ is fuzzy semi-open in $X_{1} \times X_{2}$ and hence $f_{1} \times f_{2}$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function and assume that $X$ is product related to $Y$. If the graph $g: X \rightarrow X \times Y$ of $f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, then so is $f$.

Proof. Let $\lambda$ be a fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $Y$. Then, by Lemma 3.3, we have $f^{-1}(\lambda)=1 \wedge f^{-1}(\lambda)=g^{-1}(1 \times \lambda)$. Now, $1 \times \lambda$ is a fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $X \times Y$. Since $g$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, $g^{-1}(1 \times \lambda)$ is fuzzy semi-open in $X$. Hence $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy semi-open in $X$. Therefore $f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute.

Theorem 3.4. If a function $f: X \rightarrow \prod Y_{i}$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, then $P_{i} \circ f$ : $X \rightarrow Y_{i}$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, where $P_{i}$ is the projection of $\prod Y_{i}$ onto $Y_{i}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda_{i}$ be any fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $Y_{i}$. Since $P_{i}$ is a fuzzy continuous and fuzzy open set, it is a fuzzy $\alpha$-open set. Now $P_{i}: \prod Y_{i} \rightarrow Y_{i} ; P_{i}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ is fuzzy $\alpha$-open in $\prod Y_{i}$. Therefore, $P_{i}$ is a fuzzy $\alpha$-irresolute function. Now $\left(P_{i} \circ f\right)^{-1}(\lambda)=$ $f^{-1}\left(P_{i}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right)$, since $f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute. Hence $f^{-1}\left(P_{i}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right)$ is a fuzzy semi-open set, since $P_{i}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ is a fuzzy $\alpha$-open set. Hence $\left(P_{i} \circ f\right)$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute.

Lemma 3.5. $\lambda$ is a fuzzy semi-open set if and only if $\operatorname{cl} \lambda=\operatorname{clint} \lambda$.
Proof. Necessity: Suppose $\lambda$ is a fuzzy semi-open set. Then $\lambda \leqslant \operatorname{clint} \lambda$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cl} \lambda \leqslant \operatorname{cl}[\operatorname{clint} \lambda]=\operatorname{clint} \lambda . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{int} \lambda \leqslant \lambda \Rightarrow \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} \lambda \leqslant \operatorname{cl} \lambda \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1) and (2) we have cl $\lambda=\mathrm{clint} \lambda$. Sufficiency: By hypothesis, we have int $\lambda \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \operatorname{cl} \lambda=\operatorname{clint} \lambda$. Therefore $\lambda \leqslant \operatorname{clint} \lambda$. Hence $\lambda$ is a fuzzy semi-open set.

Lemma 3.6. If $\lambda$ is a fuzzy semi-open set and $\lambda \neq 0$, then int $\lambda \neq 0$.
Proof. Let $\lambda$ be a fuzzy semi-open set such that $\lambda \neq 0$. Then by Lemma 3.5,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cl} \lambda=\operatorname{clint} \lambda . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\operatorname{int} \lambda=0$, then from (1) we get $\mathrm{cl} \lambda=0$ and hence $\lambda=0$. This is a contradiction to our assumption. Therefore int $\lambda \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.7. Let $\left(X_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be any family of fuzzy topological spaces and $\lambda_{\alpha}$ a fuzzy subset of $X_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then
(1) $\operatorname{int} \prod \lambda_{\alpha}=\prod \operatorname{int} \lambda_{\alpha}$ if $\lambda_{\alpha}=X_{\alpha}$ except for a finite number of $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $\prod \operatorname{int} \lambda_{\alpha} \neq 0$.
(2) $\operatorname{cl}\left(\prod \lambda_{\alpha}\right)=\prod \operatorname{cl} \lambda_{\alpha}$.

Proof. It is easy to prove.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\left(X_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in T}$ be any family of fuzzy topological spaces. Let $X=\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} X_{\alpha}$, let $\lambda_{\alpha_{j}}$ be any fuzzy subset of $X_{\alpha_{j}}, \alpha_{j} \in \Gamma$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots n$. Let $\lambda=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}$ be any fuzzy subset of $X$. Then $\lambda$ is a fuzzy semi-open set in $X \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{\alpha_{j}}$ is a fuzzy semi-open set in $X_{\alpha_{j}}$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots n$.

Proof. Necessity: Suppose $\lambda$ is fuzzy semi-open in $X$. Then by Lemma 3.6 we have int $\lambda \neq 0$ and hence $0 \neq \operatorname{int} \lambda=\operatorname{int}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}\right]=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{int} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times$ $\prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}$. Therefore int $\lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \neq 0$ and hence $\lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \neq 0$. Since $\lambda$ is fuzzy semi-open in $X$, by Lemmas 3.5 and 3.7 we obtain $\prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{clint} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}=\operatorname{clint}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times\right.$ $\left.\prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}\right]=\operatorname{clint} \lambda=\operatorname{cl} \lambda=\operatorname{cl}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}\right]=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}$. Therefore $\prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{clint} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}$. Thus we obtain $\operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}}=\operatorname{cl} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}}$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots n$ and hence by Lemma 3.5, $\lambda_{\alpha_{j}}$ is a fuzzy semi-open set in $X_{\alpha_{j}}$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots n$.
Sufficiency: Suppose $\lambda_{\alpha_{j}}$ is fuzzy semi-open in $X_{\alpha_{j}}$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots n$. Then $\lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \neq 0$ for each $j(j=1,2, \ldots n)$ because $\lambda \neq 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.6 we have int $\left(\lambda_{\alpha_{j}}\right) \neq 0$. Hence $\prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{int} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta} \neq 0$. Since $\lambda_{\alpha_{j}}$ is a fuzzy semiopen set in $X_{\alpha_{j}}$ for each $j(j=1,2, \ldots n)$, by Lemmas 3.5 and 3.7 we have clint $\lambda=$ $\operatorname{clint}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}\right]=\operatorname{cl}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{int} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}\right]=\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{clint} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}\right]=$ $\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{cl} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}\right]=\mathrm{cl}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}\right]=\mathrm{cl} \lambda$. Thus by Lemma 3.5 we obtain that $\lambda$ is a fuzzy semi-open set in $X$. Hence the theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\left(X_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be any family of fuzzy topological spaces. Let $X=\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} X_{\alpha}$. Let $\lambda_{\alpha_{j}}$ be any fuzzy subset of $X_{\alpha_{j}}, \alpha_{j} \in \Gamma$ for each $j(j=1,2, \ldots n)$.

Let $\lambda=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha_{j}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{j}} X_{\beta}$ be any fuzzy subset of $X$. Then $\lambda$ is a fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $X \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{\alpha_{j}}$ is a fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $X_{\alpha_{j}}$ for each $j(j=1, \ldots, n)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.5 and is thus omitted.
Theorem 3.6. If the fuzzy product function $f: \prod X_{\alpha} \rightarrow \prod Y_{\alpha}$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, then $f_{\alpha}: X_{\alpha} \rightarrow Y_{\alpha}$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Let $\alpha_{0} \in \Gamma$ be an arbitrary fixed index and $\lambda_{\alpha_{0}}$ any fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $Y_{\alpha_{0}}$. Then, by Theorem 3.6, $\lambda_{\alpha_{0}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{0}} Y_{\beta}$ is fuzzy $\alpha$-open in $\Pi Y_{\alpha}$. Since $f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, $f^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\alpha_{0}} \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{0}} Y_{\beta}\right)=f_{a_{0}}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\alpha_{0}}\right) \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha_{0}} X_{\beta}$ is a fuzzy semi-open set in $\prod X_{\alpha}$ and hence by Theorem 3.5, $f_{a_{0}}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\alpha_{0}}\right)$ is a fuzzy semi-open set in $X_{\alpha_{0}}$. This shows that $f_{\alpha_{0}}$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute. Hence the theorem is proved.

Definition 3.2. Let $(X, T)$ be any fuzzy topological space and let $\lambda$ be any fuzzy set in $X$. Then $\lambda$ is called a dense fuzzy set if $\mathrm{cl} \lambda=1$ and $\lambda$ is called a nowhere dense fuzzy set if int $\mathrm{cl} \lambda=0$.

Theorem 3.8. If a function $f:(X, T) \rightarrow(Y, S)$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, then $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy semi-closed in $X$ for any nowhere dense fuzzy set $\lambda$ of $Y$.

Proof. Let $\lambda$ be nowhere dense fuzzy set in $Y$. Then int $\mathrm{cl} \lambda=0$. Now $1-$ $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\lambda)=1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cl}(1-\operatorname{cl}(\lambda))=1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(1-\lambda))=1$. Since int $1=1$, we have $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(1-\lambda)))=\operatorname{int} 1=1$. Therefore $1-\lambda \leqslant \operatorname{int} \operatorname{clint}(1-\lambda)=1$. Then $1-\lambda$ is a fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $Y$. Since $f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, $f^{-1}(1-\lambda)$ is a fuzzy semi-open set in $X$. Consequently, $f^{-1}(1-\lambda)=1-f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy semi-open set in $X$. Hence $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy semi-closed set in $X$.

Theorem 3.9. The following assertions hold for functions $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g$ : $Y \rightarrow Z$ :
(a) If $f$ is fuzzy irresolute and $g$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute.
(b) If $f$ is fuzzy semi-continuous and $g$ is fuzzy strongly $\alpha$-irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute.
(c) If $f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute and $g$ is fuzzy strongly $\alpha$-continuous, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy irresolute.
(d) If $f$ is fuzzy strongly $\alpha$-continuous and $g$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is fuzzy $\alpha$-irresolute.

Proof. (a) Let $\mu$ be a fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $Z$. Since $g$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, $g^{-1}(\mu)$ is fuzzy semi-open in $Y$. Now $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\mu)=f^{-1}\left(g^{-1}(\mu)\right)$, since $f$ is fuzzy
irresolute. Then $f^{-1}\left(g^{-1}(\mu)\right)$ is fuzzy semi-open in $X$ and $g^{-1}(\mu)$ is fuzzy semi-open in $Y$. Hence $(g \circ f)$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute.
(b) Let $\mu$ be fuzzy $\alpha$-open in $Z$. Since $g$ is fuzzy strongly $\alpha$-irresolute, $g^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy open set in $Y$. Now $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\mu)=f^{-1}\left(g^{-1}(\mu)\right)$. Since $g^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy open set in $Y$ and $f$ is fuzzy semi-continuous, we conclude that $f^{-1}\left(g^{-1}(\mu)\right)$ is fuzzy semi-open in $X$. Hence $(g \circ f)$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute.
(c) Let $\mu$ be any fuzzy semi-open set in $Z$. Since $g$ is fuzzy strongly $\alpha$-continuous, $g^{-1}(\mu)$ is fuzzy $\alpha$-open in $Y$. Now $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\mu)=f^{-1}\left(g^{-1}(\mu)\right)$, since $g^{-1}(\mu)$ is fuzzy $\alpha$-open in $Y$ and $f$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute. Hence $f^{-1}\left(g^{-1}(\mu)\right)$ is fuzzy semi-open in $X$. Hence $(g \circ f)$ is fuzzy irresolute.
(d) Let $\mu$ be any fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $Z$. Since $g$ is fuzzy semi $\alpha$-irresolute, $g^{-1}(\mu)$ is fuzzy semi-open in $Y$. Now $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\mu)=f^{-1}\left(g^{-1}(\mu)\right)$. Since $g^{-1}(\mu)$ is fuzzy semi-open in $Y$ and $f$ is fuzzy strongly $\alpha$-continuous, $f^{-1}\left(g^{-1}(\mu)\right)$ is a fuzzy $\alpha$-open set in $X$. Hence $(g \circ f)$ is fuzzy $\alpha$-irresolute.
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