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#### Abstract

A commuting tuple of operators $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$, defined on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, for which the closed symmetrized polydisc is a spectral set, is called a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction. To every $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction, there is a unique operator tuple $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$, defined on $\overline{\operatorname{Ran}}\left(I-P^{*} P\right)$, such that $$
S_{i}-S_{n-i}^{*} P=D_{P} A_{i} D_{P}, \quad D_{P}=\left(I-P^{*} P\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

This is called the fundamental operator tuple or $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple associated with the $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction. The $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction completely determines the structure of a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction and provides operator model and complete unitary invariant for them. In this note, we analyze the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuples and find some intrinsic properties of them. Given a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ and $n-1$ operators $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ defined on $\overline{\operatorname{Ran}} D_{P}$, we provide a necessary and sufficient condition under which ( $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ ) becomes the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$. Also for given tuples of operators $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ and ( $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}$ ), defined on a Hilbert space $E$, we find a necessary condition and a sufficient condition under which there exist a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ becomes the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ becomes the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of the adjoint $\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The symmetrized $n$-disk or simply the symmetrized polydisc

$$
\Gamma_{n}^{\circ}=\mathbb{G}_{n}=\left\{\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} z_{i}, \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} z_{i} z_{j}, \ldots, \prod_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}\right):\left|z_{i}\right|<1, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

is a polynomially convex but non-convex domain which has attracted considerable attentions in past two decades because of its rich function theory, complex geometry, operator theory and its connection with the appealing and difficult problem of $\mu$-synthesis. We mention here only a few of the numerous references that are relevant to the operator theory on the symmetrized polydisc of several dimensions, $[1,2,8,5,6,7,9,10,11,12,14,15]$. An interested reader can also see the references there. A tuple of commuting operators ( $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P$ ) defined on a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction if $\Gamma_{n}$ is a spectral set for $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$, i.e., if the Taylor joint spectrum $\sigma_{T}\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right) \subseteq \Gamma_{n}$ and the von Neumann inequality

$$
\left\|f\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)\right\| \leq\|f\|_{\infty, \Gamma_{n}}=\sup _{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \Gamma_{n}}\left|f\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)\right|
$$

holds for all rational functions $f$ with singularities off $\Gamma_{n}$. It was shown by the second named author of this article ([12], Theorem 3.3) that to every $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$, there is a unique operator tuple $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ such that

$$
S_{i}-S_{n-i}^{*} P=D_{P} A_{i} D_{P}, \quad \text { for each } i=1, \ldots, n-1 .
$$

For its pivotal role in deciphering the structure of a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction [11], producing an operator model and constituting a complete unitary invariant for $\Gamma_{n}$-contractions $[5,6,12,13,14]\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ is called the fundamental operator tuple or the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$.

There are three main results in this paper. First, Theorem 2.1 provides a necessary and sufficient condition under which an operator tuple $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ becomes the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of a given $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction. A natural question arises; given two tuples of operators $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $B_{n-1}$ ) defined on some certain Hilbert spaces, does there exist a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ such that $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ becomes the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of $\left(S_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ becomes the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of its adjoint $\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$ ? We answer this question in Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.4 by finding separately a necessary condition and a sufficient condition. This is considered to be the second main result of this paper. In [14], it was shown that the commutators $\left[A_{i}^{*}, A_{j}\right]$, where $[P, Q]=P Q-Q P$, are the key ingredients in representing the distinguished varieties in $\Gamma_{n}$. Also in [12] and [13], we have seen that the same commutators determined the conditional dilation on $\Gamma_{n}$ and produced a complete unitary invariant for
$C_{.0} \Gamma_{n}$-contractions. In this article, we choose a couple of $\Gamma_{n}$-contractions $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right),\left(S_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{\prime}, P\right)$ instead of taking only one $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction and study the commutators $\left[A_{i}, A_{j}^{\prime}\right],\left[A_{i}^{*}, A_{j}^{\prime}\right]$ and also $\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right],\left[A_{i}^{*}, A_{j}\right]$, where $\left(A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ is the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of the $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction $\left(S_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{\prime}, P\right)$. As a consequence, we obtain a few new interrelations between a pair of $\Gamma_{n^{-}}$ contractions and their $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuples which are presented in the third main result Theorem 2.9 and its corollaries. En route we find few more interesting properties of the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction. Our results on one hand generalize the existing similar results for $\Gamma_{2}$-contractions [4], and on the other hand reflect new light on the possibility of extending operator theory from $\Gamma_{2}$ to $\Gamma_{n}$ for $n>2$. Indeed, there are notable major differences in operator theory when we move from 2 to higher dimensions and the main underlying reason is that rational dilation succeeds on the symmetrized $n$-disk for $n=2$, ([5]) but fails for $n \geq 3$ ([13]).

Note. The arxiv (https://arxiv.org/archive/math) reference [14] has been split into several parts for publications and the present article is one of them.

## 2. Properties of the fundamental operator tuples ( $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuples)

We begin this section with a necessary and sufficient condition under which a tuple of operator becomes the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction. This is one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.1. A tuple of operators $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ defined on $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ is the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of $a \Gamma_{n}$-contraction $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ if and only if $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ satisfy the following operator equations in $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ :

$$
D_{P} S_{i}=X_{i} D_{P}+X_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1 .
$$

Proof. First let $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ be the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$. Then

$$
S_{i}-S_{n-i}^{*} P=D_{P} A_{i} D_{P} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1 .
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{P}\left(A_{i} D_{P}+A_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P\right) & =\left(S_{i}-S_{n-i}^{*} P\right)+\left(S_{n-i}^{*}-P^{*} S_{i}\right) P \\
& =\left(I-P^{*} P\right) S_{i} \\
& =D_{P}^{2} S_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if $J=D_{P} S_{i}-A_{i} D_{P}-A_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P$ then $J: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{P}$ and also $D_{P} J=0$. Now

$$
\left\langle J h, D_{P} h^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle D_{P} J h, h^{\prime}\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { for all } h, h^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H} .
$$

This shows that $J=0$ and hence $A_{i} D_{P}+A_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P=D_{P} S_{i}$.
Conversely, let $\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)$ be a tuple of operators on $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ such that

$$
D_{P} S_{i}=X_{i} D_{P}+X_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

Also suppose that $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n-1}\right)$ is the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$. We need to show that $\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n-1}\right)$. Since we just proved that $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n-1}\right)$ satisfies the above mentioned operator equations, we have

$$
F_{i} D_{P}+F_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P=D_{P} S_{i}=X_{i} D_{P}+X_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P .
$$

and consequently
$\left(X_{i}-F_{i}\right) D_{P}+\left(X_{n-i}-F_{n-i}\right)^{*} D_{P} P=\left(X_{n-i}-F_{n-i}\right) D_{P}+\left(X_{i}-F_{i}\right)^{*} D_{P} P=0$.
Let for each $i$

$$
Y_{i}=X_{i}-F_{i}, Y_{n-i}=X_{n-i}-F_{n-i} .
$$

Then for each $i$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i} D_{P}+Y_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P=Y_{n-i} D_{P}+Y_{i}^{*} D_{P} P=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To complete the proof, we need to show that $Y_{1}=\cdots=Y_{n-1}=0$. We have

|  | $Y_{i} D_{P}+Y_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P=0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| or | $Y_{i} D_{P}=-Y_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P$ |
| or | $D_{P} Y_{i} D_{P}=-D_{P} Y_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P$ |
| or | $D_{P} Y_{i}^{*} D_{P}=P^{*} D_{P} Y_{i}^{*} D_{P} P=P^{* 2} D_{P} Y_{i}^{*} D_{P} P^{2}=\cdots$ |

We obtained the equalities in the last line by applying (2.1). Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{P} Y_{i}^{*} D_{P}=P^{* n} D_{P} Y_{i}^{*} D_{P} P^{n} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n=1,2, \ldots$. Now consider the series

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|D_{P} P^{n} h\right\|^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\langle D_{P} P^{n} h, D_{P} P^{n} h\right\rangle \\
&=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\langle P^{* n} D_{P}^{2} P^{n} h, h\right\rangle \\
&=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\langle P^{* n}\left(I-P^{*} P\right) P^{n} h, h\right\rangle \\
&=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\langle\left(P^{* n} P^{n}-P^{* n+1} P^{n+1} h, h\right\rangle\right. \\
&=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\left\|P^{n} h\right\|^{2}-\left\|P^{n+1} h\right\|^{2}\right) \\
&=\|h\|^{2}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P^{n} h\right\|^{2} . \\
&\|h\| \geq\|P h\| \geq\left\|P^{2} h\right\| \geq \cdots \geq\left\|P^{n} h\right\| \geq \cdots \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P^{n} h\right\|^{2}$ exists. Therefore, the series is convergent and thus we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|D_{P} P^{n} h\right\|^{2}=0$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{P} Y_{i}^{*} D_{P} h\right\| & =\left\|P^{* n} D_{P} Y_{i}^{*} D_{P} P^{n} h\right\| \text { by }(2.2) \\
& \leq\left\|P^{* n}\right\|\left\|D_{p} Y_{i}^{*}\right\|\left\|D_{P} P^{n} h\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|D_{p} Y_{i}^{*}\right\|\left\|D_{P} P^{n} h\right\| \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $D_{P} Y_{i}^{*} D_{P}=0$ and hence $Y_{i}=0$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n-1$.

The next few results will provide some useful algebraic relations between $\Gamma_{n}$-contractions and their $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuples.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ and $\left(S_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{\prime}, P\right)$ are two commuting $\Gamma_{n}$-contractions on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ be the commuting $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuples of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ and $\left(S_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.S_{n-1}^{\prime}, P\right)$, respectively and suppose $A_{i} A_{j}^{\prime}=A_{j}^{\prime} A_{i}$ for any $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$. Then for each $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$ we have

$$
S_{i}^{*} S_{j}^{\prime}-S_{n-j}^{* *} S_{n-i}=D_{P}\left(A_{i}^{*} A_{j}^{\prime}-A_{n-j}^{* *} A_{n-i}\right) D_{P}
$$

Proof. We have that $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ is a commuting tuple satisfying

$$
S_{i}-S_{n-i}^{*} P=D_{P} A_{i} D_{P}, \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

and $\left(A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ is a commuting tuple satisfying

$$
S_{j}^{\prime}-S_{n-j}^{\prime *} P=D_{P} A_{j}^{\prime} D_{P}, \text { for } j=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{i}^{*} S_{j}^{\prime} & =S_{i}^{*}\left(S_{n-j}^{\prime *} P+D_{P} A_{j}^{\prime} D_{P}\right) \\
& =S_{i}^{*} S_{n-j}^{\prime *} P+S_{i}^{*} D_{P} A_{j}^{\prime} D_{P} \\
& =S_{n-j}^{\prime *} S_{i}^{*} P+S_{i}^{*} D_{P} A_{j}^{\prime} D_{P} \\
& =S_{n-j}^{\prime *}\left(S_{n-i}-D_{P} A_{n-i} D_{P}\right)+S_{i}^{*} D_{P} A_{j}^{\prime} D_{P} \\
& =S_{n-j}^{\prime *} S_{n-i}-S_{n-j}^{\prime *} D_{P} A_{n-i} D_{P}+S_{i}^{*} D_{P} A_{j}^{\prime} D_{P} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now from Theorem 2.1 we have

$$
S_{i}^{*} D_{P}=D_{P} A_{i}^{*}+P^{*} D_{P} A_{n-i}
$$

and

$$
S_{n-j}^{* *} D_{P}=D_{P} A_{n-j}^{* *}+P^{*} D_{P} A_{j}^{\prime}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{i}^{*} D_{P} A_{j}^{\prime}-S_{n-j}^{\prime *} D_{P} A_{n-i} \\
= & \left(D_{P} A_{i}^{*} A_{j}^{\prime}+P^{*} D_{P} A_{n-i} A_{j}^{\prime}\right)-\left(D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime *} A_{n-i}+P^{*} D_{P} A_{j}^{\prime} A_{n-i}\right) \\
= & D_{P} A_{i}^{*} A_{j}^{\prime}-D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime *} A_{n-i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $S_{i}^{*} S_{j}^{\prime}-S_{n-j}^{\prime *} S_{n-i}=D_{P}\left(A_{i}^{*} A_{j}^{\prime}-A_{n-j}^{*} A_{n-i}\right) D_{P}$.

A direct consequence of the previous theorem is the following.
Corollary 2.3. Let $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ be a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction with commuting $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$. Then for each $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$ we have

$$
S_{i}^{*} S_{j}-S_{n-j}^{*} S_{n-i}=D_{P}\left(A_{i}^{*} A_{j}-A_{n-j}^{*} A_{n-i}\right) D_{P}
$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ be a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ be the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuples of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}\right.$, $P)$ and $\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$, respectively. Then

$$
D_{P} A_{i}=\left.\left(S_{i} D_{P}-D_{P^{*}} B_{n-i} P\right)\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{P}} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

Proof. For $h \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(S_{i} D_{P}-D_{P^{*}} B_{n-i} P\right) D_{P} h & =S_{i}\left(I-P^{*} P\right) h-\left(D_{P^{*}} B_{n-i} D_{P^{*}}\right) P h \\
& =S_{i} h-S_{i} P^{*} P h-\left(S_{n-i}^{*}-S_{i} P^{*}\right) P h \\
& =S_{i} h-S_{i} P^{*} P h-S_{n-i}^{*} P h+S_{i} P^{*} P h \\
& =\left(S_{i}-S_{n-i}^{*} P\right) h=\left(D_{P} A_{i}\right) D_{P} h .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
D_{P} A_{i}=\left.\left(S_{i} D_{P}-D_{P^{*}} B_{n-i} P\right)\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{P}} .
$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ be a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ be the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuples of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}\right.$, $P)$ and $\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$, respectively. Then

$$
P A_{i}=\left.B_{i}^{*} P\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{P}}, \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1 \text {. }
$$

Proof. We observe here that the operators on both sides are defined from $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}$. Let $h, h^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}$ be any two elements. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left(P A_{i}-B_{i}^{*} P\right) D_{P} h, D_{P^{*}} h^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle D_{P^{*}} P A_{i} D_{P} h, h^{\prime}\right\rangle-\left\langle D_{P^{*}} B_{i}^{*} P D_{P} h, h^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle P\left(D_{P} A_{i} D_{P}\right) h, h^{\prime}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(D_{P^{*}} B_{i}^{*} D_{P^{*}}\right) P h, h^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle P\left(S_{i}-S_{n-i}^{*} P\right) h, h^{\prime}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(S_{i}-P S_{n-i}^{*}\right) P h, h^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\left(P S_{i}-P S_{n-i}^{*} P-S_{i} P+P S_{n-i}^{*} P\right) h, h^{\prime}\right\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $P A_{i}=\left.B_{i}^{*} P\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{P}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and the proof is complete.
Lemma 2.6. Let $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ be a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ be the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuples of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}\right.$, $P)$ and $\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$ respectively. Then for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$,

$$
\left.\left(A_{i}^{*} D_{P} D_{P^{*}}-A_{n-i} P^{*}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}}=D_{P} D_{P^{*}} B_{i}-P^{*} B_{n-i}^{*} .
$$

Proof. For $h \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{i}^{*} D_{P} D_{P^{*}}-A_{n-i} P^{*}\right) D_{P^{*}} h \\
= & A_{i}^{*} D_{P}\left(I-P P^{*}\right) h-A_{n-i} P^{*} D_{P^{*}} h \\
= & A_{i}^{*} D_{P} h-A_{i}^{*} D_{P} P P^{*} h-A_{n-i} D_{P} P^{*} h \\
= & A_{i}^{*} D_{P} h-\left(A_{i}^{*} D_{P} P+A_{n-i} D_{P}\right) P^{*} h \\
= & A_{i}^{*} D_{P} h-D_{P} S_{n-i} P^{*} h \quad[\text { by Lemma (2.1)] } \\
= & \left(S_{i} D_{P}-D_{P^{*}} B_{n-i} P\right)^{*} h-D_{P} S_{n-i} P^{*} h \quad \text { [by Lemma 2.4] } \\
= & D_{P} S_{i}^{*} h-P^{*} B_{n-i}^{*} D_{P^{*}} h-D_{P} S_{n-i} P^{*} h \\
= & D_{P}\left(S_{i}^{*}-S_{n-i} P^{*}\right) h-P^{*} B_{n-i}^{*} D_{P^{*} h} \\
= & D_{P} D_{P^{*}} B_{i} D_{P^{*} h-P^{*} B_{n-i}^{*} D_{P^{*}} h}^{=}\left(D_{P} D_{P^{*}} B_{i}-P^{*} B_{n-i}^{*} D_{P^{*} h .} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The following theorem is another main result of this section.

Theorem 2.7. Suppose $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ and $\left(S_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{\prime}, P\right)$ are two commuting $\Gamma_{n}$-contractions on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ be the commuting $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuples of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ and $\left(S_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.S_{n-1}^{\prime}, P\right)$, respectively and suppose $A_{i} A_{j}^{\prime}=A_{j}^{\prime} A_{i}$ for any $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$. Suppose $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, B_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ are the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuples of $\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$ and $\left(S_{1}^{\prime *}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$ respectively. If $P$ has dense range, then the following identities hold for $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$ :
(i) $\left[A_{i}, A_{j}^{\prime *}\right]=\left[A_{n-j}^{\prime}, A_{n-i}^{*}\right]$
(ii) $\left[B_{i}, B_{n-j}\right]=\left[B_{i}^{\prime}, B_{n-j}^{\prime}\right]=0$
(iii) $\left[B_{i}^{*}, B_{j}^{\prime}\right]=\left[B_{n-j}^{\prime *}, B_{n-i}\right]$.

Proof. (i) By Theorem 2.1, we have for each $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ that $D_{P} S_{i}=$ $A_{i} D_{P}+A_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P$ and $D_{P} S_{i}^{\prime}=A_{i}^{\prime} D_{P}+A_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P$. Multiplying $D_{P} S_{i}=$ $A_{i} D_{P}+A_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P$ by $D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime}$ from the left we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P} S_{i}=D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{i} D_{P}+D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P \\
\Rightarrow & \left(S_{n-j}^{\prime}-S_{j}^{\prime *} P\right) S_{i}=D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{i} D_{P}+D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P \\
\Rightarrow & \left(S_{n-j}^{\prime} S_{i}-S_{j}^{\prime *} S_{i} P\right)=D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{i} D_{P}+D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{n-i}^{*} D_{P} P .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, multiplying $D_{P} S_{n-j}^{\prime}=A_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P}+A_{j}^{*} D_{P} P$ by $D_{P} A_{i}$ from the left we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{P} A_{i} D_{P} S_{n-j}^{\prime}=D_{P} A_{i} A_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P}+D_{P} A_{i} A_{j}^{* *} D_{P} P \\
\Rightarrow & \left(S_{i}-S_{n-i}^{*} P\right) S_{n-j}^{\prime}=D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{i} D_{P}+D_{P} A_{i} A_{j}^{* *} D_{P} P \\
\Rightarrow & \left(S_{n-j}^{\prime} S_{i}-S_{n-i}^{*} S_{n-j}^{\prime} P\right)=D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{i} D_{P}+D_{P} A_{i} A_{j}^{\prime *} D_{P} P .
\end{aligned}
$$

On subtraction we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(S_{j}^{\prime *} S_{i}-S_{n-i}^{*} S_{n-j}^{\prime}\right) P= \\
& D_{P}\left(A_{i} A_{n-j}^{\prime}-A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{i}\right) D_{P} \\
& +D_{P}\left(A_{i} A_{j}^{\prime *}-A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{n-i}^{*}\right) D_{P} P \\
\Rightarrow & D_{P}\left(A_{j}^{\prime *} A_{i}-A_{n-i}^{*} A_{n-j}^{\prime}\right) D_{P} P=D_{P}\left(A_{i} A_{j}^{\prime *}-A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{n-i}^{*}\right) D_{P} P \\
\Rightarrow & D_{P}\left(A_{i} A_{j}^{\prime *}-A_{j}^{\prime *} A_{i}+A_{n-i}^{*} A_{n-j}^{\prime}-A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{n-i}^{*}\right) D_{P} P \\
\Rightarrow & D_{P}\left(\left[A_{i}, A_{j}^{\prime *}\right]+\left[A_{n-i}^{*}, A_{n-j}^{\prime}\right]\right) D_{P} P=0 \\
\Rightarrow & D_{P}\left(\left[A_{i}, A_{j}^{\prime *}\right]+\left[A_{n-i}^{*}, A_{n-j}^{\prime}\right]\right) D_{P}=0 \quad[\text { since Ran } P \text { is dense in } \mathcal{H}] \\
\Rightarrow & {\left[A_{i}, A_{j}^{\prime *}\right]=\left[A_{n-j}^{\prime}, A_{n-i}^{*}\right] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) From Lemma 2.5, we have that $P A_{i}=\left.B_{i}^{*} P\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{P}}$, for $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P A_{i} A_{n-j} D_{P}=B_{i}^{*} P A_{n-j} D_{P} \\
\Rightarrow & P A_{n-j} A_{i} D_{P}=B_{i}^{*} P A_{n-j} D_{P} \\
\Rightarrow & B_{n-j}^{*} B_{i}^{*} P D_{P}=B_{i}^{*} B_{n-j}^{*} P D_{P} \\
\Rightarrow & {\left[B_{i}^{*}, B_{n-j}^{*}\right] D_{P^{*}} P=0 } \\
\Rightarrow & {\left[B_{i}^{*}, B_{n-j}^{*}\right]=0 } \\
\Rightarrow & {\left[B_{i}, B_{n-j}\right]=0 . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for each $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$ we have

$$
\left[B_{i}^{\prime}, B_{n-j}^{\prime}\right]=0
$$

(iii) Applying Theorem 2.2 for $\Gamma_{n}$-contractions ( $S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}$ ) and $\left(S_{1}^{\prime *}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{\prime *}, P^{*}\right)$ we get $S_{i} S_{j}^{\prime *}-S_{n-j}^{\prime} S_{n-i}^{*}=D_{P^{*}}\left(B_{i}^{*} B_{j}^{\prime}-B_{n-j}^{* *} B_{n-i}\right) D_{P^{*}}$. From Lemma 2.4, $D_{P} A_{i}=\left.\left(S_{i} D_{P}-D_{P *} B_{n-i} P\right)\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{P}}$. Multiplying by $A_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P}$ from right we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{P} A_{i} A_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P} & =\left(S_{i} D_{P}-D_{P^{*}} B_{n-i} P\right) A_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P} \\
\Rightarrow D_{P} A_{i} A_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P} & =S_{i} D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P}-D_{P^{*}} B_{n-i} P A_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P} \\
\Rightarrow D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{i} D_{P} & =S_{i}\left(S_{n-j}^{\prime}-S_{j}^{\prime *} P\right)-D_{P^{*}} B_{n-i} P A_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P} \\
\Rightarrow D_{P} A_{i} A_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P} & =S_{i} S_{n-j}^{\prime}-S_{i} S_{j}^{\prime *} P-D_{P^{*}} B_{n-i} B_{n-j}^{\prime *} P D_{P} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, multiplying $D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime}=\left.\left(S_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P}-D_{P *} B_{j}^{\prime} P\right)\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{P}}$ by $A_{i} D_{P}$ from right we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{i} D_{P} \\
&=\left(S_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P}-D_{P^{*}} B_{j}^{\prime} P\right) A_{i} D_{P} \\
& \Rightarrow D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{i} D_{P}=S_{n-j}^{\prime} D_{P} A_{i} D_{P}-D_{P^{*}} B_{j}^{\prime} P A_{i} D_{P} \\
& \Rightarrow D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{i} D_{P}=S_{n-j}^{\prime}\left(S_{i}-S_{n-i}^{*} P\right)-D_{P^{*}} B_{j}^{\prime} P A_{i} D_{P} \\
& \Rightarrow D_{P} A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{i} D_{P}=S_{n-j}^{\prime} S_{i}-S_{n-j}^{\prime} S_{n-i}^{*} P-D_{P^{*}} B_{j}^{\prime} B_{i}^{*} P D_{P} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtracting those two equations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{P}\left(A_{i} A_{n-j}^{\prime}-A_{n-j}^{\prime} A_{i}\right) D_{P}=\left(S_{n-j}^{\prime} S_{n-i}^{*}-S_{i} S_{j}^{\prime *}\right) P \\
& \quad \quad+D_{P^{*}}\left(B_{j}^{\prime} B_{i}^{*}-B_{n-i} B_{n-j}^{\prime *}\right) P D_{P} \\
& \Rightarrow\left(S_{i} S_{j}^{\prime *}-S_{n-j}^{\prime} S_{n-i}^{*}\right) P+D_{P^{*}}\left(B_{n-i} B_{n-j}^{\prime *}-B_{j}^{\prime} B_{i}^{*}\right) D_{P^{*}} P=0 \\
& \Rightarrow D_{P^{*}}\left(B_{i}^{*} B_{j}^{\prime}-B_{n-j}^{* *} B_{n-i}\right) D_{P^{*}} P+D_{P^{*}}\left(B_{n-i} B_{n-j}^{* *}-B_{j}^{\prime} B_{i}^{*}\right) D_{P^{*}} P=0 \\
& \Rightarrow D_{P^{*}}\left(\left[B_{i}^{*}, B_{j}^{\prime}\right]+\left[B_{n-i}, B_{n-j}^{* *}\right]\right) D_{P^{*}} P=0 \\
& \Rightarrow\left[B_{i}^{*}, B_{j}^{\prime}\right]=\left[B_{n-j}^{* *}, B_{n-i}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

A direct consequence of the previous theorem is the following.
Corollary 2.8. Let $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ be a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ be the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuples of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ and $\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$, respectively. If $\left[A_{i}, A_{n-j}\right]=0$ for each $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$ and if $P$ has dense range, then the following identities hold for $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$ :
(i) $\left[A_{j}^{*}, A_{i}\right]=\left[A_{n-i}^{*}, A_{n-j}\right]$
(ii) $\left[B_{i}, B_{n-j}\right]=0$
(iii) $\left[B_{i}^{*}, B_{j}\right]=\left[B_{n-j}^{*}, B_{n-i}\right]$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ and $\left(S_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{\prime}, P\right)$ be two $\Gamma_{n}$-contractions on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P$ is invertible. Let $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$, $\left(A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n-1}^{\prime}\right),\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, B_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ be as in previous theorem. Then $\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right]=0=\left[A_{i}^{\prime}, A_{j}^{\prime}\right]$, for $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$ if and only if $\left[B_{i}, B_{j}\right]=0=\left[B_{i}^{\prime}, B_{j}^{\prime}\right]$, for $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right]=0=\left[A_{i}^{\prime}, A_{j}^{\prime}\right]$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$. Since $P$ has dense range, by part (ii) of above theorem, we get $\left[B_{i}, B_{j}\right]=0=\left[B_{i}^{\prime}, B_{j}^{\prime}\right]$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$.

Conversely, let $\left[B_{i}, B_{j}\right]=0=\left[B_{i}^{\prime}, B_{j}^{\prime}\right]$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$. Since $P$ is invertible, $P^{*}$ has dense range too. So applying previous theorem for $\Gamma_{n}{ }^{-}$ contractions $\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$ and $\left(S_{1}^{\prime *}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{\prime *}, P^{*}\right)$, we get $\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right]=$ $0=\left[A_{i}^{\prime}, A_{j}^{\prime}\right]$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$.
Corollary 2.10. Let $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ be a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P$ is invertible. Let $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ be as in previous theorem. Then $\left[A_{i}, A_{n-j}\right]=0$, for $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$ if and only if $\left[B_{i}, B_{n-j}\right]=0$, for $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$.

## 3. Admissible fundamental operator tuples

We recall from [16], the notion of characteristic function of a contraction introduced by Sz.-Nagy and Foias. For a contraction $P$ defined on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, let $\Lambda_{P}$ be the set of all complex numbers for which the operator
$I-z P^{*}$ is invertible. For $z \in \Lambda_{P}$, the characteristic function of $P$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{P}(z)=\left.\left[-P+z D_{P^{*}}\left(I-z P^{*}\right)^{-1} D_{P}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{P}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of the relation $P D_{P}=D_{P^{*}} P$ (Section I. 3 of [16]), $\Theta_{P}(z)$ maps $\mathcal{D}_{P}=\overline{\operatorname{Ran}} D_{P}$ into $\mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}=\overline{\operatorname{Ran}} D_{P^{*}}$ for every $z$ in $\Lambda_{P}$. Since for each $z \in \mathbb{D}, \Theta_{P}(z)$ maps $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ into $\mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}, \Theta_{P}$ induces a multiplication operator $M_{\Theta_{P}}$ from $H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{P}$ into $H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}$, defined by

$$
M_{\Theta_{P}} f(z)=\Theta_{P}(z) f(z), \text { for all } f \in H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{P} \text { and } z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Note that $M_{\Theta_{P}}\left(M_{z} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{P}}\right)=\left(M_{z} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}}\right) M_{\Theta_{P}}$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ be the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuples of a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ and its adjoint $\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$, respectively. Then for each $i=1, \ldots, n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{i}^{*}+A_{n-i} z\right) \Theta_{P^{*}}(z)=\Theta_{P^{*}}(z)\left(B_{i}+B_{n-i}^{*} z\right) \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{i}^{*}+A_{n-i} z\right) \Theta_{P^{*}}(z) \\
= & \left(A_{i}^{*}+A_{n-i} z\right)\left(-P^{*}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n+1} D_{P} P^{n} D_{P^{*}}\right) \\
= & \left(-A_{i}^{*} P^{*}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n} A_{i}^{*} D_{P} P^{n-1} D_{P^{*}}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(-z A_{n-i} P^{*}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n} A_{n-i} D_{P} P^{n-2} D_{P^{*}}\right) \\
= & -A_{i}^{*} P^{*}+z\left(A_{i}^{*} D_{P} D_{P^{*}}-A_{n-i} P^{*}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n}\left(A_{i}^{*} D_{P} P^{n-1} D_{P^{*}}+A_{n-i} D_{P} P^{n-2} D_{P^{*}}\right) \\
= & -A_{i}^{*} P^{*}+z\left(A_{i}^{*} D_{P} D_{P^{*}}-A_{n-i} P^{*}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n}\left(A_{i}^{*} D_{P} P+A_{n-i} D_{P}\right) P^{n-2} D_{P^{*}} \\
= & -P^{*} B_{i}+z\left(D_{P} D_{P^{*}} B_{i}-P^{*} B_{n-i}^{*}\right)+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n} D_{P} S_{2} P^{n-2} D_{P^{*} .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality follows by using Theorem 2.1, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6. Also we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{P^{*}}(z)\left(B_{i}+B_{n-i}^{*} z\right) \\
= & \left(-P^{*}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n+1} D_{P} P^{n} D_{P^{*}}\right)\left(B_{i}+B_{n-i}^{*} z\right) \\
= & \left(-P^{*} B_{i}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n} D_{P} P^{n-1} D_{P^{*}} B_{i}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(-z P^{*} B_{n-i}^{*}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n} D_{P} P^{n-2} D_{P^{*}} B_{n-i}^{*}\right) \\
& \quad-P^{*} B_{i}+z\left(D_{P} D_{P^{*}} B_{i}-P^{*} B_{n-i}^{*}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n}\left(D_{P} P^{n-1} D_{P^{*}} B_{i}+D_{P} P^{n-2} D_{P^{*}} B_{n-i}^{*}\right) \\
= & -P^{*} B_{i}+z\left(D_{P} D_{P^{*}} B_{i}-P^{*} B_{n-i}^{*}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n} D_{P} P^{n-2}\left(P D_{P^{*}} B_{i}+D_{P} B_{n-i}^{*}\right) \\
= & -P^{*} B_{i}+z\left(D_{P} D_{P^{*}} B_{i}-P^{*} B_{n-i}^{*}\right)+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n} D_{P} P^{n-2} S_{n-i} D_{P^{*}} \\
& \\
= & -P^{*} B_{i}+z\left(D_{P} D_{P^{*}} B_{i}-P^{*} B_{n-i}^{*}\right)+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n} D_{P} S_{n-i} P^{n-2} D_{P^{*} .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ we have $\left(A_{i}^{*}+A_{n-i} z\right) \Theta_{P^{*}}(z)=\Theta_{P^{*}}(z)\left(B_{i}+B_{n-i}^{*} z\right)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and the proof is complete.

Note 3.2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, the following equations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B_{i}^{*}+B_{n-i} z\right) \Theta_{P}(z)=\Theta_{P}(z)\left(A_{i}+A_{n-i}^{*} z\right), \quad \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now in a position to present one of the main results of this paper. We first state a result from the literature which provides a characterization of $\Gamma_{n}$-unitaries. We shall use this result in the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem 3.3 ([7], Theorem 4.2). Let $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ be a commuting tuple of bounded operators. Then the following are equivalent.
(1) $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ is a $\Gamma_{n}$-unitary,
(2) $P$ is a unitary, $\left(\frac{n-1}{n} S_{1}, \frac{n-2}{n} S_{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n} S_{n-1}\right)$ is a $\Gamma_{n-1}$-contraction and $S_{i}=S_{n-i}^{*} P$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $P$ be a $C_{.0}$ contraction on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}\right)$ and $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}\right)$ be such that they satisfy
equations (3.3) and

$$
\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\left(B_{1}^{*}+B_{n-1} z\right), \frac{n-2}{n}\left(B_{2}^{*}+B_{n-2} z\right), \ldots, \frac{1}{n}\left(B_{n-1}^{*}+B_{1} z\right)\right)
$$

are $\Gamma_{n-1}$-contractions for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Then there exists a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ such that $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right)$ is the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ is the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of $\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$.
Proof. Let us define $W: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}$ by

$$
W(h)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n} \otimes D_{P^{*}} P^{* n} h \text { for all } h \in \mathcal{H} .
$$

It is evident that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|W h\|^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|D_{P^{*}} P^{* n} h\right\|^{2} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\left\|P^{* n} h\right\|^{2}-\left\|P^{* n+1} h\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\|h\|^{2}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P^{* n} h\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $W$ is an isometry if $P$ is a pure contraction. It is obvious that

$$
W^{*}\left(z^{n} \otimes \xi\right)=P^{n} D_{P^{*}} \xi \text { for all } \xi \in \mathcal{D}_{P^{*}} \text { and } n \geq 0
$$

Also if $M_{z}$ is the multiplication operator on $H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ and if $M=M_{z} \otimes I$ on $H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}$, then we have

$$
M^{*} W h=T_{z}^{*}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n} D_{P^{*}} P^{* n} h\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n} D_{P^{*}} P^{* n+1} h=W P^{*} h .
$$

Therefore $M^{*} W=W P^{*}$. Since

$$
\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\left(B_{1}^{*}+B_{n-1} z\right), \frac{n-2}{n}\left(B_{2}^{*}+B_{n-2} z\right), \ldots, \frac{1}{n}\left(B_{n-1}^{*}+B_{1} z\right)\right)
$$

is a $\Gamma_{n-1}$-contraction for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$, it follows from Theorem 3.3 that the multiplication operator tuple ( $M_{B_{1}^{*}+B_{n-1} z}, \ldots, M_{B_{n-1}^{*}+B_{1} z}, M_{z}$ ) on $L^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}\right)$ is a $\Gamma_{n}$-unitary. Obviously the Toeplitz operator tuple

$$
\left(T_{B_{1}^{*}+B_{n-1} z}, \ldots, T_{B_{n-1}^{*}+B_{1} z}, T_{z}\right) \text { on } H^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}\right),
$$

by being the restriction of $\left(M_{B_{1}^{*}+B_{n-1} z}, \ldots, M_{B_{n-1}^{*}+B_{1} z}, M_{z}\right)$ to the joint invariant subspace $H^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}\right)$, is a $\Gamma_{n}$-isometry. Again since $H^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}\right)$ and $H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}$ are isomorphic, the $\Gamma_{n}$-isometry on the space $H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{P^{*}}$ that corresponds to ( $T_{B_{1}^{*}+B_{n-1} z}, \ldots, T_{B_{n-1}^{*}+B_{1} z}, T_{z}$ ) is

$$
\left(I \otimes B_{1}^{*}+M_{z} \otimes B_{n-1}, \ldots, I \otimes B_{n-1}^{*}+M_{z} \otimes B_{1}, M_{z} \otimes I\right) .
$$

Let us define

$$
S_{i}=W^{*} M_{i} W \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

where

$$
M_{i}=I \otimes B_{i}^{*}+M_{z} \otimes B_{n-i} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

Equations (3.3) tell us that $\operatorname{Ran} M_{\Theta_{P}}$ is invariant under $M_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-$ 1 which is same as saying that $\operatorname{Ran} W=\left(\operatorname{Ran} M_{\Theta_{P}}\right)^{\perp}$ is invariant under $M_{i}^{*}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$.

Since $\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n-1}, M\right)$ is a $\Gamma_{n}$-isometry, $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ is a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction by being the compression of $\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n-1}, M\right)$. We now show that $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ is the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of $\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$. For each $i=1, \ldots, n-$ 1 we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{i}^{*}-S_{n-i} P^{*}= & W^{*} M_{i}^{*} W-W^{*} M_{n-i} W W^{*} M^{*} W \\
= & W^{*} M_{i}^{*} W-W^{*} M_{n-i} M^{*} W \\
= & W^{*}\left[\left(I \otimes B_{i}\right)+\left(M_{z}^{*} \otimes B_{n-i}^{*}\right)-\left(M_{z}^{*} \otimes B_{n-i}^{*}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(M_{z} M_{z}^{*} \otimes B_{i}\right)\right] W \\
= & D_{P^{*}} B_{i} D_{P^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain the equalities above, we used the fact that $\operatorname{Ran} W$ is invariant under $M_{z}^{*}$ and that $I-M_{z} M_{z}^{*}$ is a rank one projection. By the uniqueness of $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of a $\Gamma_{n}$-contraction, we conclude that $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right)$ is the fundamental operator tuple of $\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$. Let $\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n-1}\right)$ be the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$. Then by the first part of this theorem, we have for each $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ that

$$
\left(B_{i}^{*}+B_{n-i} z\right) \Theta_{P}(z)=\Theta_{P}(z)\left(Y_{i}+Y_{n-i}^{*} z\right) \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

By this and the fact that all $B_{i}$ satisfy equations (3.3), for some operators $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}\right)$, we have that

$$
A_{i}+A_{n-i}^{*} z=Y_{i}+Y_{n-i}^{*} z \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

and for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Therefore, $Y_{i}=A_{i}$ for each $i$ and consequently $\left(A_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.A_{n-1}\right)$ is the $\mathcal{F}_{O}$-tuple of $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, P\right)$ and the proof is complete.
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