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#### Abstract

We give two improved explicit versions of the prime number theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions: the first isolating the contribution of the Siegel zero and the second completely explicit, where the improvement is for medium-sized values of $x$. This will give an improved explicit Bombieri-Vinogradov-like result for non-exceptional moduli.
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## 1. Introduction

The prime number theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions (PNTPAP) states

$$
\psi(x ; q, a)=\frac{x}{\varphi(q)}+o_{q}(x)
$$

and the strength of the result lies in the explicit value of $o(x)$ and how this depends on the range of $q$. The best known result is the one with the error term due to Siegel-Walfisz that is uniform for $q \leq(\log x)^{A}$, for any $A>0$, but this result can not be made explicit since the proof is ineffective. The classical explicit versions of the PNTPAP are the following. The first is by McCurley that in [13] obtained an explicit result for non-exceptional moduli and in [14] focused on the case where $q=3$. Improving [14], Ramaré and Rumley proved in [17]

[^0]explicit results for $q \leq 72$ and other small moduli. A result for large moduli is obtained by Liu and Wang in [12]; they proved, for $q \leq \log ^{6} x$, a version of the PNTPAP with an explicit error term of size $\frac{x}{\log ^{13} x}$. Dusart, in [8], obtained an explicit error term that is of size $o\left(\frac{x}{\log ^{A} x}\right)$, for any $A>0$. Note that while this result improves [12] for large $x$, it is worse for medium-sized values in the range $10^{2} \leq \log x \leq 10^{6}$. Dusart also improved the result in [17] for $q=3$. Yamada in [22] (unpublished) proved a generalized version of the result in [12], where for multiple small- to medium-sized $A$ and for $q \leq \log ^{A} x$, he isolated the contribution of the Siegel zero and obtains an error term of size $\frac{x}{\log ^{A-2} x}$. Note that this result is better than the one in [8] for medium-sized values, aside for the non-explicit contribution of the Siegel zero. Yamada also used this result, joint with [1], to obtain an explicit version of a Bombieri-Vinogradov style theorem for non-exceptional moduli. The last explicit version of the PNTPAP is the one by Bennett et al. in [2]. Here they improved the previous results for $3 \leq q \leq 10^{5}$ for small $x$ and for $q \geq 10^{5}$ for large $x$.

In this paper we will focus on a version of the PNTPAP for medium-sized $x$. In doing this we will draw inspiration from [22] and we will first obtain an improved explicit version isolating the contribution of the Siegel zero, see Theorem 1.2. To obtain this result it is fundamental to obtain, with $\chi$ a Dirichlet character modulo $q$, the best error term in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, \chi)=\sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}+R(x) \frac{x \log x}{T}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Section 3 for the definition of $z(\chi)$. Setting $T=\log ^{A} x$, with $0<A \ll 1$, Yamada, drawing inspiration from [12], proved explicitly that $R(x) \ll \log x$. In Lemma 3.3 we improve this result by proving an explicit version of the result by Goldston in [9], to obtain $R(x) \ll \log \log x$. In doing this we reshape the proof of Goldston to obtain a better explicit upper bound. Note that all the results we obtain are as general as possible to make them useful in different ranges of $x$ and for different choices of $A$. We then use Theorem 1.2, together with the explicit bound on the Siegel zeroes in [4] and [5], to obtain a completely explicit version of the PNTPAP, in Theorem 1.4, that improves the previous results for medium-sized values. We conclude the paper using Theorem 1.2 to improve upon Bombieri-Vinogradov style theorem for non-exceptional moduli in [22]. The result we prove is also more general.

We now introduce the three main results. We start with a result on zeroes on Dirichlet $L$-functions, namely Theorem 1.1 and 1.3 of [10].

Theorem 1.1. Define $\Pi(s, q)=\prod_{\chi(\bmod q)} L(s, \chi), R_{0}=6.3970$ and $R_{1}=$ 2.0452. Then the function $\Pi(s, q)$ has at most one zero $\rho=\beta+i \gamma$, in the region $\beta \geq 1-1 / R_{0} \log \max \{q, q|\gamma|\}$. Such zero is called a Siegel zero and if it exists, then it must be real, simple and correspond to a non-principal real character $\chi$
$(\bmod q)$. Moreover, for any given $Q_{1}$, among all the zeroes with $q \leq Q_{1}$ there is at most one such a zero with $\beta \geq 1-1 / 2 R_{1} \log Q_{1}$.

We are interested in an intermediate explicit result to the PNTPAP that isolates the possible contribution due to the Siegel zero. In this paper we aim to improve Theorem 1.1 in [22]; we do so in the following result.
Theorem 1.2. Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, Y_{0}=\log \log X_{0}$ and $C\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, Y_{0}\right)$ be the constants given in Table 6 in the Appendices. Let $q \leq \log ^{\alpha_{1}} x$. Let $E_{0}=1$ if $\beta_{0}$, the possible Siegel zero modulo $q$, exists and $E_{0}=0$ otherwise. If $\operatorname{gcd}(a, q)=1$ and $x \geq X_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi(q)}{x}\left|\psi(x ; q, a)-\frac{x}{\varphi(q)}\right|<\frac{C\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, Y_{0}\right)}{\log ^{\alpha_{2}} x}+E_{0} \frac{x^{\beta_{0}-1}}{\beta_{0}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1+x^{-1} \sum_{\chi(\bmod q)}|\psi(x, \chi)|<\frac{C\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, Y_{0}\right)}{\log ^{\alpha_{2}} x}+E_{0} \frac{x^{\beta_{0}-1}}{\beta_{0}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

See (33) for values of $C\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, Y_{0}\right)$ different from those in Table 6. Note again that, for medium-sized $x$, we obtain an improvement of size $\log \log x / \log x$ on Yamada's result in [22]. From Theorem 1.2, using the results in [4] and [5] to control the size of the Siegel zeroes, we obtain a completely explicit version of the PNTPAP.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \alpha_{1}<2, q \leq \log ^{\alpha_{1}} x, Y_{0}=\log \log X_{0}$,
$Y_{0} \geq \max \left\{\frac{11 \log 10}{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+3}, 2\right\}$ and

$$
C_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, X_{0}\right)=2 \exp \left(-\frac{100(\log x)^{1-\alpha_{1} / 2}}{\left(\alpha_{1} \log \log x\right)^{2}}\right) \log ^{\alpha_{2}} x+C\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, Y_{0}\right) .
$$

We have

$$
\left|\psi(x ; q, a)-\frac{x}{\varphi(q)}\right|<\frac{C_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, X_{0}\right) x}{\varphi(q) \log ^{\alpha_{2}} x} .
$$

See Table 1 for some explicit upper bounds for $C_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, X_{0}\right)$.

| $Y_{0}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | $C_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.4 | 1 | 1 | 0.65 |
| 5 | 1 | 2 | 0.66 |
| 5.6 | 1 | 3 | 0.3 |
| 7.8 | 1 | 10 | $8.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 4.6 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.69 |
| 5.2 | 1.2 | 2 | 0.43 |


| $Y_{0}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | $C_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5.7 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.63 |
| 14.2 | 1.2 | 7 | 0.46 |
| 4.7 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.68 |
| 10.9 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.9 |
| 14.4 | 1.3 | 3 | 0.84 |
| 11.6 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.99 |

Table 1: Upper bound for $C_{1}\left(x, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, X_{0}\right)$
Note that the bound $\alpha_{1}<2$ is needed to bound effectively the size of the Siegel zero. If we need an upper bound independent or $\varphi(q)$ we can obtain it
using Theorem 15 in [18]. For medium-sized $x$ this improves the results in [2], see for example Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and Lemma 6.10.

Now, for a given $Q_{1}$, we call a modulus $q_{0} \leq Q_{1}$ to be exceptional up to $Q_{1}$ if $\Pi\left(s, q_{0}\right)$ has a zero with $\beta \geq 1-1 / 2 R_{1} \log Q_{1}$, with $R_{1}$ defined in Theorem 1.1. We then use Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.2 in [1] to improve Theorem 1.4 in [22], while taking care in giving a more general version of the result. This result is an explicit Bombieri-Vinogradov-like theorem where the sum is restricted to non-exceptional moduli.

Theorem 1.4. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$be such that $A>3$,

$$
c_{0}=\frac{2^{\frac{13}{2}}(2+\log (\log 2 / \log (4 / 3)))}{9 \pi(\log 2)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{3}{2 \log 2}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\psi(113)}{113}}
$$

and

$$
c_{1}=\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) .
$$

Let $Q=\frac{x^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{A} x}$ and $1 \leq Q_{1} \leq \log ^{A} x$. Let $q_{0}$ denote the exceptional modulus up to $Q_{1}$ if it exists. If $\log \log x \geq \max \left\{7, \frac{11 \log 10}{2 A}\right\}$, then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{q \leq Q, q_{0}+q} \max _{a(\bmod q)}\left|\psi(x ; q, a)-\frac{\psi(x)}{\varphi(q)}\right|<\sqrt{x}+\frac{2 c_{1} c_{0} x}{\log ^{A-\frac{9}{2}} x}+\frac{2 c_{1} c_{0} x \log ^{\frac{9}{2}} x}{Q_{1}} \\
&+\frac{c_{1}^{2} x C\left(A, A-3, X_{0}\right)(1+A \log \log x)}{2 \log ^{A-4} x}+E(x, A)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $C\left(A, A-3, X_{0}\right)$ from Theorem 1.2, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(x, A)=\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2 \log 2 \log ^{A-1} x}+\frac{c_{1}^{2}(1+A \log \log x) \log x}{2} . \\
& \cdot\left(\frac{x^{1-\frac{1}{2 A R_{1} \log \log x}}}{1-\frac{1}{2 A R_{1} \log \log x}}+34 x(\log x)^{1.52} \exp (-0.81 \sqrt{\log x})+\frac{A \log \log x}{\log 2}\right) \\
& +\frac{c_{0} c_{1} \log ^{\frac{9}{2}} x}{2}\left(4 \sqrt{x} \log ^{A} x+18 \frac{x^{\frac{11}{12}}}{\log ^{\frac{A}{2}} x}+5 x^{\frac{5}{6}}+\frac{5}{2} x^{\frac{5}{6}} \log x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we introduce useful bounds on the zeta and the $L$-functions. In Section 3 we prove the explicit version of (1) and in Section 4 we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.4.

## 2. Some useful bounds

We start by introducing Corollary 2.1 of [3] that, improving [21], gives a bound on the value of $N(T, \chi)$, the number of zeroes up to $T$ of $L(s, \chi)$.

Lemma 2.1 (Bennett et al.). Let $\chi$ be a character with conductor $q>1$. If $T \geq$ 5/7, then

$$
\left|N(T, \chi)-\frac{T}{\pi} \log \frac{q T}{2 \pi e}\right| \leq r_{1}(q, T),
$$

with

$$
r_{1}(q, T)=\min \{0.247 \log q T+6.894,0.298 \log q T+4.358\} .
$$

To bound the possible Siegel zeroes we will use the results in [4] and [5] that can be stated as follows.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\beta_{0}$ be a Siegel zero and $q$ the modulus of the corresponding character $\chi$. Then we have

$$
\frac{100}{\sqrt{q} \log ^{2} q} \leq \beta_{0} \leq 1-\frac{100}{\sqrt{q} \log ^{2} q} .
$$

Moreover, for $1<b \leq 1.3$, by equation (1.17) in [19] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(b)<\frac{1}{b-1}-C+0.1877(b-1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(-\log n+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}\right)$ the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Also, taking $b>1$ and $t \geq 1126$, by partial summation and Theorems 4 and 14 in [18], we obtain the asymptotically sharp bound

$$
\sum_{\frac{t}{2}<n<t-1 / 2} \Lambda(n)=\psi(t-1 / 2)-\psi\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \leq r_{2}(t)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{2}(t)= & \frac{t}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\log (t-1 / 2)}-\frac{1}{2 \log t / 2}\right)+\sqrt{t-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\log (t-1 / 2)}\right) \\
& -0.98 \sqrt{\frac{t}{2}}+3(t-1 / 2)^{1 / 3}-\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 \log (t-1 / 2)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\frac{t}{2}<n<t-1 / 2} \Lambda(n) n^{-b} \leq\left(\frac{2}{t}\right)^{b} r_{2}(t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Preliminary results

Letting $\rho=\beta+i \gamma$, we define

$$
z(\chi)=\{\rho: \rho \neq 0, \beta>1 / 2, L(\rho, \chi)=0\},
$$

which is fundamental in estimating the error term in the PNTPAP. In this section we aim to prove the following fundamental result, that is an improved version of Theorem 8 in [12] and Lemma 2.1 in [22], obtained making Goldston's result in [9] explicit.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\chi$ be a Dirichlet character modulo $q$ and $T=\log ^{\alpha} x$, with $\alpha=$ $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+3, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $q \leq \log ^{\alpha_{1}} x$. Assuming $x>\exp \exp C$, with $C \geq 2$, we have

$$
|\psi(x, \chi)-\delta(\chi) x| \leq \sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{x^{\beta}}{|\rho|}+R\left(C, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}\right) \frac{x \log x \log \log x}{T},
$$

with $\delta(\chi)=1$ if $\chi$ is principal and $\delta(\chi)=0$ otherwise. Upper bounds for $R\left(C, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}\right)$ are given in Table 2.

| $C$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.4 | 1 | 1 | 32.2 |
| 3.7 | 1 | 2 | 28.6 |
| 4.3 | 1 | 5 | 24.6 |
| 3.8 | 2 | 1 | 17.3 |
| 4.3 | 2 | 4 | 32.7 |


| $C$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 27.9 |
| 4.6 | 3 | 5 | 31.9 |
| 4.3 | 4 | 1 | 19.7 |
| 4.9 | 4 | 6 | 18.9 |
| 4.5 | 5 | 1 | 26.4 |


| $C$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5.1 | 5 | 7 | 27.7 |
| 4.7 | 6 | 1 | 23.4 |
| 5.3 | 6 | 8 | 21.1 |
| 4.9 | 7 | 1 | 17.6 |
| 5.5 | 7 | 9 | 18.6 |

Table 2: Upper bound for $R\left(x, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}\right)$
Note that using Theorem 3.4 we can bound $R$ for different values of $C, \alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$. Lemma 3.1 improves Lemma 2.1 in [22], in the error term, reducing a $\log x$ factor to a $\log \log x$ one. It is interesting to note that Littlewood, in [11], assuming the Riemann Hypothesis proved the above result for $\psi(x)$ with an error term of $\frac{x \log x}{T}$. This suggests that even if it should be possible to improve the error term in the above result, it will probably be highly complicated. Yamada's Lemma 2.1 is based on Lemma 1 in [6]. Splitting a sum in a similar way as done by Dudek in [7] and being more careful with the error terms, it is possible to obtain an upper bound for $R\left(x, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ that is half the size of Yamada's. We will not give more details on this as this result is superseded by the one obtained making Goldston's result in [9] explicit. Here, we will prove an explicit version of Lemma 2 in [9], with a partially different proof to better control the error term.

Lemma 3.2. We have

$$
\sum_{\frac{x}{2}<n \leq x-3 / 2} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\left(x n^{-1}-1\right)} \leq r_{3.1}(x) \text { and } \sum_{x+3 / 2 \leq n<2 x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\left(1-x n^{-1}\right)} \leq r_{3.2},
$$

where, for $x \geq 10$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{3.1}(x)=x \log x & \left(2 \log \log \left(\frac{x}{2}-1\right)+\frac{2}{3} \log \log x+0.57+\frac{2}{\log \left(\frac{x}{2}-1\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}-1\right)\left(\log 2 x^{\frac{3}{2}}+1.76\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, for $x \geq 5$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{3.2}(x)=x \log x & \left(2 \log \log x+\frac{2}{3} \log \log 2 x+0.56+\frac{2 x}{(x-2) \log x}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}-1\right)\left(\log 2 x^{\frac{3}{2}}+1.76\right)\right)+2.1 x
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proof is based on that given in [9]. We will prove the first of the two inequalities. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\frac{x}{2}<n \leq x-3 / 2} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\left(x n^{-1}-1\right)} \leq x \sum_{1 \leq \leq \leq \frac{\log x}{\log 2} \frac{x}{2}<p^{l} \leq x-3 / 2} \sum_{2} \frac{\log p}{\left(x-p^{l}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will first bound the right-hand side sum with $l=1$. Let $\pi(x)$ count the number of primes less than $x$ and define $P(x, y)=\pi(x)-\pi(x-y)$. In [15] it is proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x, y) \leq \frac{2 y}{\log y} \quad 1<y \leq x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\frac{x}{2}<p \leq x-3 / 2} \frac{1}{(x-p)} \leq & \sum_{1 \leq n<\frac{x}{2}} \frac{1}{n+1 / 2}\left(P\left(x-\frac{3}{2}, n\right)-P\left(x-\frac{3}{2}, n-1\right)\right) \\
\leq & \sum_{1 \leq n \leq \frac{x}{2}-1} P\left(x-\frac{3}{2}, n\right)\left(\frac{1}{n+1 / 2}-\frac{1}{n+3 / 2}\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{x-1} P\left(x-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{x}{2}-1\right)-\frac{2}{3} P\left(x-\frac{3}{2}, 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Seen that we assumed $x \geq 10$ and using (7), this can be bounded with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{4 \leq n \leq \frac{x}{2}-1} \frac{1}{(n+3 / 2) \log n}+\frac{4}{15}+\frac{4}{35}+\frac{8}{63}+\frac{2}{\log \left(\frac{x}{2}-1\right)} \\
& \leq 2 \log \log \left(\frac{x}{2}-1\right)-2 \log \log 3+\frac{4}{15}+\frac{4}{35}+\frac{8}{63}+\frac{2}{\log \left(\frac{x}{2}-1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now bound the right-hand side sum in (6) for $l \geq 2$. We have

$$
\sum_{2 \leq l \leq \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} \sum_{\frac{x}{2}<p^{l} \leq x-3 / 2} \frac{\log p}{\left(x-p^{l}\right)} \leq \log x \sum_{2 \leq l \leq \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{\frac{x}{2}<p^{l} \leq x-3 / 2} \frac{1}{\left(x-p^{l}\right)} .
$$

For $l \geq 2$, using Euler-Maclaurin summation formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\frac{x}{2}<p^{l} \leq x-3 / 2} \frac{1}{\left(x-p^{l}\right)} & \leq \int_{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{1 / l}}^{(x-3 / 2)^{1 / l}} \frac{1}{\left(x-t^{l}\right)} d t+\frac{2}{3} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{l} \int_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2}}}^{\sqrt{x-3 / 2}} \frac{1}{\left(x-y^{2}\right)} d y+\frac{2}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we used the change of variables $t=y^{2 / l}$. Using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{1}{\left(x-y^{2}\right)} d y=-\frac{\log \frac{|y-\sqrt{x}|}{|y+\sqrt{x}|}}{2 \sqrt{x}}+C \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{2 \leq l \leq \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{\frac{x}{2}<p^{l} \leq x-3 / 2} \frac{1}{\left(x-p^{l}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2}{3} \log \left(\frac{\log x}{\log 2}\right)+\left.\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}-1\right)\left(-\frac{\log \frac{|y-\sqrt{x}|}{|y+\sqrt{x}|}}{\sqrt{x}}\right)\right|_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2}}} ^{\sqrt{x-3 / 2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We finish the proof of the first upper bound observing, for $x \geq 3$, that

$$
\frac{\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{x-3 / 2}}{\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{x-3 / 2}} \geq \frac{1}{2 x^{\frac{3}{2}}},
$$

which gives

$$
\left.\left(-\log \frac{|y-\sqrt{x}|}{|y+\sqrt{x}|}\right)\right|_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2}}} ^{\sqrt{x-3 / 2}} \leq \log 2 x^{\frac{3}{2}}+\log \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{\sqrt{2}+1}\right)
$$

We now focus on the second of the two inequalities. We can see that

$$
\sum_{x+3 / 2 \leq n<2 x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\left(1-x n^{-1}\right)}=\sum_{x+3 / 2 \leq n<2 x} \Lambda(n)+x \sum_{x+3 / 2 \leq n<2 x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{(n-x)} .
$$

By Theorem 12 in [18], it follows

$$
\sum_{x+3 / 2 \leq n<2 x} \Lambda(n) \leq 2.1 x
$$

While this bound is far from optimal, the sum is asymptotic to $x$, it is enough for our purposes as it accounts for a negligible term. We now have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x+3 / 2<n \leq 2 x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{(n-x)} \leq \sum_{1 \leq l \leq \frac{\log 2 x}{\log 2}} \sum_{x+3 / 2<p^{l} \leq 2 x} \frac{\log p}{\left(p^{l}-x\right)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will first bound the right-hand side sum with $l=1$. Define $P^{*}(x, y)=$ $\pi(x+y)-\pi(x)$, with $1<y \leq x$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x+3 / 2<p \leq 2 x} \frac{1}{(p-x)} \leq & \sum_{1 \leq n<x-1} \frac{1}{\max \{n, 3 / 2\}}\left(P^{*}(x, n+1)-P^{*}(x, n)\right) \\
\leq & \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x-1} P^{*}(x, n+1)\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\
& +\frac{P^{*}(x, x)}{x-2}+\frac{P^{*}(x, 2)}{6}-\frac{2}{3} P^{*}(x, 1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Seen that we assumed $x \geq 5$ and using (7), this can be bounded with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{4 \leq n \leq x-1} \frac{1}{n \log (n+1)}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 x}{(x-2) \log x} \\
& \leq 2 \log \log (x-1)-2 \log \log 3+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 x}{(x-2) \log x}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now bound the right-hand side sum in (9) for $l \geq 2$. We have

$$
\sum_{2 \leq l \leq \frac{\log 2 x}{\log 2}} \sum_{x+3 / 2<p^{l} \leq 2 x} \frac{\log p}{\left(p^{l}-x\right)} \leq \log x \sum_{2 \leq 1 \leq \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{x+3 / 2<p^{l} \leq 2 x} \frac{1}{\left(p^{l}-x\right)} .
$$

For $l \geq 2$, using the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x+3 / 2<p^{l} \leq 2 x} \frac{1}{\left(p^{l}-x\right)} & \leq \int_{(x+3 / 2)^{1 / l}}^{(2 x)^{1 / l}} \frac{1}{\left(t^{l}-x\right)} d t+\frac{2}{3} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{l} \int_{\sqrt{x+3 / 2}}^{\sqrt{2 x}} \frac{1}{\left(y^{2}-x\right)} d y+\frac{2}{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we used the change of variables $t=y^{2 / l}$. Remembering (8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{2 \leq l \leq \frac{\log 2 x}{\log 2}} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{x+3 / 2<p^{l} \leq 2 x} \frac{1}{\left(p^{l}-x\right)} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2}{3} \log \left(\frac{\log 2 x}{\log 2}\right)+\left.\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}-1\right)\left(\frac{\log \frac{|y-\sqrt{x}|}{|y+\sqrt{x}|}}{\sqrt{x}}\right)\right|_{\sqrt{x+3 / 2}} ^{\sqrt{2 x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude the proof of the first upper bound by observing that, for $x \geq 3$,

$$
\frac{\sqrt{x+3 / 2}-\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x+3 / 2}+\sqrt{x}} \geq \frac{1}{2 x^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$

which gives

$$
\left.\left(\log \frac{|y-\sqrt{x}|}{|y+\sqrt{x}|}\right)\right|_{\sqrt{x+3 / 2}} ^{\sqrt{2 x}} \leq \log 2 x^{\frac{3}{2}}+\log \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{\sqrt{2}+1}\right)
$$

Note that the term $2 / 3$ that appears in the proof above can probably be improved using Euler-Maclaurin summation formula to a higher order. An improvement should also be possible in the $\approx 0.5$ constant. We now introduce a variation of Chen and Wang Lemma 1 in [6], this is obtained using Lemma 3.2. Being a bit more careful than Chen and Wang we also obtain a $\log 2$ saving in the remainder term, not counting the use of Lemma 3.2.
Lemma 3.3. Take $f(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(n) / n^{s}$, that is absolutely convergentfor $\Re(s)>$ 1. Then for any $b>1, T \geq 1$ and $x=N+1 / 2 \geq 6$, with $N$ a positive integer, we have

$$
\left|\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{b-i T}^{b+i T} f(s) s^{-1} x^{s} d s\right| \leq R_{1}(x, T, b)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}(x, T, b)=\frac{x^{b}}{\pi T \log 2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{b}}+\frac{2^{-1} x^{b}}{\pi T} \sum_{\frac{x}{2}<n<x-1 / 2} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{b}} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\pi T}\left(2 ^ { b } \left(\log (x-1 / 2)(x-1 / 2)\left(2+\frac{1}{x-1 / 2}\right)\left(\frac{x}{x-1 / 2}\right)^{b}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\log (x+1 / 2) 2(x+1 / 2)\left(\frac{x}{x+1 / 2}\right)^{b}+r_{3.1}(x)\right)+r_{3.2}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Following the proof of Lemma 1 in [6] we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{b-i T}^{b+i T} f(s) s^{-1} x^{s} d s\right| \\
& \leq \frac{x^{b}}{\pi T \log 2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{b}}+\frac{1}{\pi T} \sum_{\frac{x}{2}<n<2 x} \Lambda(n) \frac{\left(x n^{-1}\right)^{b}}{\log \left(x n^{-1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We are now left with obtaining an upper bound for the right-hand side sum. We start splitting the sum in two at $n=N$, obtaining

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\frac{x}{2}<n<2 x} \Lambda(n) & \frac{\left(x n^{-1}\right)^{b}}{\log \left(x n^{-1}\right)}= \\
& \sum_{\frac{x}{2}<n<N} \Lambda(n) \frac{\left(x n^{-1}\right)^{b}}{\log \left(x n^{-1}\right)}+\sum_{N \leq n<2 x} \Lambda(n) \frac{\left(x n^{-1}\right)^{b}}{\log \left(x n^{-1}\right)} . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term of (10), remembering that $x=N+1 / 2$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\frac{x}{2}<n<x-1 / 2} \Lambda(n) \frac{\left(x n^{-1}\right)^{b}}{\log \left(x n^{-1}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \geq 1$ the Taylor expansion for $\log x$ gives

$$
\log x \geq \frac{2(x-1)}{(x+1)}
$$

which allows to bound (11) with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{-1} \sum_{\frac{x}{2}<n<x-1 / 2} \Lambda(n) \frac{\left(x n^{-1}\right)^{b}\left(x n^{-1}+1\right)}{\left(x n^{-1}-1\right)} \\
& =2^{-1} x^{b} \sum_{\frac{x}{2}<n<x-1 / 2} \Lambda(n) n^{-b}+2^{b} \sum_{\frac{x}{2}<n<x-1 / 2} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\left(x n^{-1}-1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now bound the right-hand side sum using Lemma 3.2. The second term of (10), remembering that $x=N+1 / 2$, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum_{x-1 / 2 \leq n<2 x} \Lambda(n) \frac{\left(x n^{-1}\right)^{b}}{\left|\log \left(x n^{-1}\right)\right|} \leq \log (x-1 / 2) \frac{(x /(x-1 / 2))^{b}}{|\log (x /(x-1 / 2))|} \\
& \quad+\log (x+1 / 2) \frac{(x /(x+1 / 2))^{b}}{|\log (x /(x+1 / 2))|}+\sum_{x+3 / 2 \leq n<2 x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\left|\log \left(x n^{-1}\right)\right|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can bound the right-hand side sum using $|\log (1-x)| \geq x$, which holds for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, and Lemma 3.2. This concludes the proof.

It is interesting to note that in Lemma 3.3 it would be possible to obtain a slightly better result splitting (10) at a point different than 2 , but this would require proving a customized variation of Lemma 3.2.

We can now prove Lemma 3.1, proceeding similarly to the proof of Theorem 8 in [12] and Lemma 2.1 in [22].

Theorem 3.4. Let $\chi$ be a Dirichlet character modulo $q$, and let $T>1$ and $x \geq$ 1126. Then

$$
|\psi(x, \chi)-\delta(\chi) x| \leq \sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{x^{\beta}}{|\rho|}+R^{*}(x, T, q),
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{*}(x, T, q)= & \frac{\log q}{\log 2}+R_{2}(T, x)+R_{3}(T, x)+\log 2+R_{5}(T, x)+R_{7}(T, q, x) \\
& +R_{8}(T, q, x)+\log x+\frac{x}{T-1} r_{4}(T, q)+R_{11}(T, q, x),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{4}(T, q)= & \frac{T+1}{\pi} \log \frac{q(T+1)}{2 \pi e}-\frac{T-1}{\pi} \log \frac{q(T-1)}{2 \pi e} \\
& +r_{1}(T+1, q)+r_{1}(T-1, q) \\
& R_{2}(x, T)=R_{1}\left(x, T, 1+\log ^{-1} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use (4) and (5) to make this last term completely explicit,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{3}(x, T)= \frac{1}{\pi T \log 2}\left(\log x-C+\frac{0.1877}{\log x}+2^{1+\frac{1}{\log x}}\right. \\
&\left.\cdot\left(x \log x+\frac{3}{2} x-\frac{1}{2}\right) \log x+x(\log x+\log 2+2) \log 2 x\right), \\
& R_{5}(T, x)= \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\log x-C+\frac{0.1877}{\log x}\right)(T+1) \exp \left(\frac{\log (x+1 / 2)}{\log x}\right), \\
& r_{5}(x, q)= 2.5\left(1.75 \log (q x)+\frac{1}{2.5+x^{2}}+\frac{1}{x\left(2.25+x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{\pi}{4 x}+3.31\right)+0.62, \\
& R_{7}(T, q, x)= \frac{\left(3 / 2+\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\left(e x+2.5^{1+\frac{1}{\log x}}\right)}{2 \pi(T-1)}\left(r_{4}(T+1, q)\left(r_{4}(T+1, q)+1\right)\right. \\
&\left.+{ }_{T-1 \leq x \leq T+1} r_{5}(x, q)\right), \\
& r_{6}(x)=\left(1.75 \log (2+|x|)+\frac{1}{2.5+x^{2}}+2.43\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{\left(\left(4+x^{2}\right)\left(0.25+x^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)+0.62, \\
& R_{8}(T, q, x)= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2.5}}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{\log x}\right)}{2 \pi(T-1)} \\
& \cdot\left(2 r_{4}(T+1, q)+{ }_{-(T+1) \leq x \leq T+1} r_{6}(x)\right), \\
& R_{11}(T, q, x)=(\sqrt{x}+2) \frac{\sqrt{q} \log ^{2} q}{100}+(\sqrt{x}+1)\left(R_{0} r_{1}(q, 1) \log q\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{r_{1}(q, T+1)}{T+1}+\frac{\log T}{\pi} \log \frac{q \sqrt{T}}{2 \pi e}+r_{1}(q, T)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Aside for the result in Lemma 3.3 the proof is a more general version of Theorem 8 in [12]. If $\chi(\bmod q)$ is induced by the primitive character $\chi_{1}$ $\left(\bmod q_{1}\right)$ we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi(\chi, x)-\psi\left(\chi_{1}, x\right)\right| \leq \frac{\log q}{\log 2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, taking note of the above error term, we can focus on primitive characters. Note that if $\chi=\chi_{0}$, then the following argument holds with $\psi(\chi, x)$ replaced by $\psi(\chi, x)-x$. By Corollary 2.1 in [3], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|\gamma-T| \leq 1} 1 \leq r_{4}(T, q), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus we can see that there exists a real $T_{0}$, such that $\left|T-T_{0}\right| \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left|\gamma-T_{0}\right|} \leq r_{4}(T, q)+1, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any non-trivial zero $\rho=\beta+i \gamma$ of $L(s, \chi)$. Defining $x_{0}=\lfloor x\rfloor+1 / 2$, we have

$$
\psi(x, \chi)=\psi\left(x_{0}, \chi\right) .
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi(x, \chi)-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{b-i T_{0}}^{b+i T_{0}}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi)\right) \frac{x_{0}^{s}}{s} d s\right| \leq R_{2}(x, T) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 1 in [6] and using (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi(2.5, \chi)-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{b-i T_{0}}^{b+i T_{0}}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi)\right) \frac{2.5^{s}}{s} d s\right| \leq R_{3}(x, T) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the difference between $\psi(x, \chi)$ and $\psi(2.5, \chi)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, \chi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{b-i T_{0}}^{b+i T_{0}}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi)\right) \frac{x_{0}^{s}-2.5^{s}}{s} d s+R_{4}(x, T), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, observing that $|\psi(2.5, \chi)| \leq \log 2,\left|R_{4}(x, T)\right| \leq R_{2}(x, T)+R_{3}(x, T)+\log 2$. The difference between the main term of the right-hand side of (17) and its analogue with $x_{0}$ replaced by $x$ is at most

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-T_{0}}^{T_{0}}\left|\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(b+i u, \chi)\right|\left|\int_{x_{0}}^{2.5} x^{b-1+i u} d x\right| d u \leq R_{5}(T, x)
$$

In the last step we used (4), $b=1+\log ^{-1} x$ and $\left|T_{0}-T\right| \leq 1$. Thus (17) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, \chi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{b-i T_{0}}^{b+i T_{0}}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi)\right) \frac{x^{s}-2.5^{s}}{s} d s+R_{6}(x, T) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left|R_{6}(x, T)\right| \leq\left|R_{4}(x, T)\right|+R_{5}(x, T)$. We now take $\Omega$ to be a rectangle with vertices $b \pm i T_{0}$ and $1 / 2 \pm i T_{0}$. By Cauchy's residue theorem we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Omega}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi)\right) \frac{x^{s}-2.5^{s}}{s} d s=-\sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\gamma| \leq T_{0}} \frac{x^{\rho}-2.5^{\rho}}{\rho}+\theta \log x, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $|\theta| \leq 1$. By Lemma 9 in [6], (13) and (14), we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(\sigma+i T_{0}, \chi\right)\right| \leq r_{4}(T+1, q)\left(r_{4}(T+1, q)+1\right)+r_{5}\left(T_{0}, q\right)
$$

Also by (9') in [6] and (13)

$$
\left|\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(-1 / 2+i x, \chi)\right| \leq 2 r_{4}(T, q)+r_{6}(x, T) .
$$

Hence

$$
\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-1 / 2 \pm i T_{0}}^{b \pm i T_{0}}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi)\right) \frac{x^{s}-2.5^{s}}{s} d s\right| \leq R_{7}(T, q, x)
$$

and

$$
\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-1 / 2-i T_{0}}^{-1 / 2+i T_{0}}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi)\right) \frac{x^{s}-2.5^{s}}{s} d s\right| \leq R_{8}(T, q, x)
$$

Thus by (18) and (19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t, \chi)=-\sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\gamma| \leq T_{0}} \frac{x^{\rho}-2.5^{\rho}}{\rho}+R_{9}(T, q, x) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left|R_{9}(T, q, x)\right| \leq\left|R_{6}(x, T)\right|+R_{7}(T, q, x)+R_{8}(T, q, x)+\log x$. By (13)

$$
\left|\sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}-\sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\gamma| \leq T_{0}} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}\right| \leq \frac{x}{T-1} r_{4}(T, q) .
$$

Thus (20) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t, \chi)=-\sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}+\sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\gamma| \leq T_{0}} \frac{2.5^{\rho}}{\rho}+R_{10}(T, q, x), \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left|R_{10}(T, q, x)\right| \leq\left|R_{9}(T, q, x)\right|+\frac{x}{T-1} r_{4}(T, q)$. We can see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|y| \leq T_{0}} \frac{2.5^{\rho}}{\rho}\right| & \leq \sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\gamma| \leq T+1} \frac{2.5}{|\rho|}  \tag{22}\\
& \leq \sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\gamma| \leq 1} \frac{2.5}{\beta}+\sum_{\rho \in z(\chi), 1<|\gamma| \leq T+1} \frac{2.5}{|\rho|}
\end{align*}
$$

Now using Lemma 2.2 to bound the possible two Siegel zeroes, Theorem 1.1 to bound the other zeroes and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\gamma| \leq 1} \frac{1}{\beta(\rho)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{q} \log ^{2} q}{50}+R_{0} r_{1}(q, 1) \log q . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\rho \in z(\chi), 1<|\gamma| \leq T+1} \frac{1}{|\rho|} \leq \frac{r_{1}(q, T+1)}{T+1}+\int_{1}^{T+1} \frac{r_{1}(q, y)}{y^{2}} d y \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\rho \in z(x) \\|\gamma| \leq T, \beta<1 / 2}} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}=\sum_{\substack{\rho \in z(x) \\|\gamma| \leq 1, \beta<1 / 2}} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}+\sum_{\substack{\rho \in z(x) \\ 1<|\gamma| \leq T+1, \beta<1 / 2}} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to (23), as there is only one possible Siegel zero in this range,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\rho \in z(\chi) \\|\gamma| \leq 1, \beta<1 / 2}} \frac{x^{\sigma}}{|\rho|} \leq \sqrt{x}\left(\frac{\sqrt{q} \log ^{2} q}{100}+R_{0} r_{1}(q, 1) \log q\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly to (24)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\rho \in z(\chi) \\<|\gamma| \leq T+1, \beta<1 / 2}} \frac{x^{\sigma}}{|\rho|} \leq \sqrt{x}\left(\frac{r_{1}(q, T+1)}{T+1}+\int_{1}^{T+1} \frac{r_{1}(q, y)}{y^{2}} d y\right) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we can note that

$$
\int_{1}^{T+1} \frac{r_{1}(q, y)}{y^{2}} d y \leq \frac{\log T}{\pi} \log \frac{q \sqrt{T}}{2 \pi e}+r_{1}(T, q)
$$

Thus by (21)-(27), we obtain

$$
\left|\psi(t, \chi)+\sum_{\substack{\rho \in z(\chi) \\|\gamma| \leq T, \beta \geq 1 / 2}} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}\right| \leq\left|R_{10}(T, q, x)\right|+R_{11}(T, q, x) .
$$

This concludes the proof.
We can now prove Lemma 3.1.
Proof. (Lemma 3.1) The result follows from Theorem 3.4, the choices for $q, T, x$ done in Lemma 3.1 and simple computations. We also used that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{T-1 \leq x \leq T+1} r_{5}(x, q) \leq 2.5\left(1.75 \log (q(T+1))+\frac{1}{2.5+(T-1)^{2}}\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{(T-1)\left(2.25+(T-1)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\pi}{4(T-1)}+3.31\right)+0.62
\end{aligned} \max _{-(T+1) \leq x \leq T+1} r_{6}(x) \leq 2.5\left(1.75 \log (2 T+3)+\frac{1}{2.5}+3.43\right)+0.62 . ~ \$
$$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Since (3) implies (2), we will focus on proving (3). We set

$$
\Sigma=\sum_{\chi} \sum_{\rho \in z(\chi),|\lambda| \leq T} \frac{x^{\beta-1}}{|\rho|}
$$

where the external sum is over all Dirichlet characters modulo $q$. Now we need to bound $\Sigma$ and to do this successfully we split the sum as follows. For $H>1$ and $R>0$, we define

$$
z_{0}(\chi, H, R)=\left\{\rho: \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta \leq 1-\frac{1}{R \log q H},|\gamma|<H, L(\rho, \chi)=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
z_{1}(\chi, H, R)=\left\{\rho: \frac{1}{R_{0}} \leq(1-\beta) \log q H \leq \frac{1}{R},|\gamma|<H, L(\rho, \chi)=0\right\} .
$$

We define

$$
\Sigma_{0}=\sum_{\chi} \sum_{\rho \in z_{0}(\chi, T, R)} \frac{x^{\beta-1}}{|\rho|} \text { and } \Sigma_{1}=\sum_{\chi} \sum_{\rho \in z_{1}(\chi, T, R)} \frac{x^{\beta-1}}{|\rho|} .
$$

This gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=\Sigma_{0}+\Sigma_{1}+E_{0} \frac{x^{\beta_{0}-1}}{\beta_{0}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can see that

$$
\sum_{\rho \in z_{0}(\chi, T, R)} \frac{x^{\beta-1}}{|\rho|} \leq \frac{1}{2} x^{-1 / R \log q T}\left(2 N(\chi, 1)+\int_{1}^{T} \frac{d N(\chi, t)}{t}\right)
$$

and thus, by Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{0} \leq \frac{q S(T, q)}{2} x^{-1 / R \log q T} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(T, q)= & \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{q}{2 \pi e}+2 r_{1}(q, 1) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log T\left(\log T+2\left(\log \frac{q}{2 \pi e}+1\right)\right)+0.298
\end{aligned}
$$

We are now left with estimating $\Sigma_{1}$. We start with the following estimate, that is Lemma 2.2 in [22] with the corrected upper bound. In Lemma 2.2 the condition $\exp \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{R_{0}}} \leq(q T)^{1 / R_{0} \lambda}$ is misstated as $\exp \sqrt{\frac{x}{R_{0}}} \leq(q T)^{1 / R_{0} \lambda}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\rho=\beta+i \gamma$ be a zero of $L(s, \chi)$, with $\beta<1-1 / R_{0} \log q|\gamma|$, $|\gamma| \leq T$ and $\rho \neq 0$. Let $\lambda$ be such that $\beta=1-\lambda / \log q T$, then

$$
\left|\frac{x^{\rho-1}}{\rho}\right| \leq \mu(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\frac{x^{-1 / R_{0} \log q}}{1-1 / R_{0} \log q} & \text { if }|\gamma| \leq 1, \\ q e^{-2 \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{R_{0}}}} & \text { if }|\gamma|>1 \text { and } e^{\sqrt{\frac{\log x}{R_{0}}}}<(q T)^{1 / R_{0} \lambda}, \\ q \frac{x^{-\lambda / \log q T}}{(q T)^{1 / R_{0} \lambda}} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. When $|\gamma| \leq 1$ we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{x^{\rho-1}}{\rho}\right| \leq \frac{x^{\beta-1}}{\beta} \leq \frac{x^{-1 / R_{0} \log q}}{1-1 / R_{0} \log q}
$$

using in the last step that $\beta \geq \frac{1}{R_{0} \log q} \geq \frac{1}{\log x}$, which follows from Theorem 1.1. We may now assume $\gamma \geq 0$. If $\gamma \geq 1$, observing that

$$
\frac{1}{R_{0} \log q \gamma}+\frac{\log \gamma}{\log x} \geq 2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{R_{0} \log x}}-\frac{\log q}{\log x},
$$

we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{x^{\rho-1}}{\rho}\right| \leq \frac{x^{\beta-1}}{\gamma} \leq q \exp -2 \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{R_{0}}}
$$

Let $\gamma_{0}=\frac{1}{q} \exp \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{R_{0}}}$ and $\gamma_{1}=q^{1 / R_{0} \lambda-1} T^{1 / R_{0} \lambda}$. We may assume $\gamma_{0} \geq \gamma_{1}$. In the case $\gamma \leq \gamma_{1}$ we have

$$
\left|\frac{x^{\rho-1}}{\rho}\right| \leq \frac{x^{1 / R_{0} \log q \gamma}}{\gamma} \leq \frac{x^{1 / R_{0} \log q \gamma_{1}}}{\gamma_{1}}=q \frac{x^{-\lambda / \log q T}}{(q T)^{1 / R_{0} \lambda}},
$$

since $\frac{x^{1 / R_{0} \log q \gamma}}{\gamma}$ is increasing below $\gamma_{0}$. In the other case we have

$$
\left|\frac{x^{\rho-1}}{\rho}\right| \leq \frac{x^{\lambda / \log q T}}{\gamma} \leq \frac{x^{\lambda / \log q T}}{\gamma_{1}}=q \frac{x^{-\lambda / \log q T}}{(q T)^{1 / R_{0} \lambda}} .
$$

We now split $\Sigma_{1}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{1}=\sum_{\chi} \sum_{\rho \in z_{1}(\chi, T, R),|\gamma| \leq 1} \frac{x^{\beta-1}}{|\rho|}+\sum_{\chi} \sum_{\rho \in z_{1}(\chi, T, R),|\gamma| \geq 1} \frac{x^{\beta-1}}{|\rho|} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\chi} \sum_{\rho \in z_{1}(\chi, T, R),|\gamma| \leq 1} \frac{x^{\beta-1}}{|\rho|} \leq 2 q\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{q}{2 \pi e}+r_{1}(q, 1)\right) \frac{x^{-1 / R_{0} \log q}}{1-1 / R_{0} \log q} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $p_{j}=\beta_{j}+i \gamma_{j}$ be all zeroes of $\prod(s, q)$ with $\beta_{j}=1-\lambda_{j} / \log q T, 1 \leq \gamma_{j} \leq$ $T$ and $1 / R_{0}<\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \cdots$.

We now summarize some of the results in [12] about zeroes of $\Pi(s, q)$.
Lemma 4.2. Assuming $q T \geq 8 \cdot 10^{9}$, then $\lambda_{1} \leq \eta_{i}$ and $\lambda_{2}>\xi_{i}$ for each $i=$ $1, \cdots, 12$ and $\eta_{i}$, $\xi_{i}$ from Table 3 and $\lambda_{3} \geq 0.26213$. Moreover, if $q T \geq 10^{11}$ then $\lambda_{n} \geq \rho_{n}$, with $\rho_{n}$ in Table 4 .

Proof. See Theorems 1-2 and Tables 1, 3-5 in [12].

| $i$ | $\eta_{i}$ | $\xi_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.16 | 0.2605 |
| 2 | 0.17 | 0.2477 |
| 3 | 0.18 | 0.2356 |
| 4 | 0.19 | 0.2242 |
| 5 | 0.20 | 0.2135 |
| 6 | 0.206 | 0.2074 |
| 7 | 0.2067 | 0.2067 |

Table 3: Bounds for $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$

| $n$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 18 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho_{n}$ | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.39 |
| $n$ | 45 | 91 | 146 | 332 | 834 | 7000 |
| $\rho_{n}$ | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.475 | 0.478 |

Table 4: Bounds for $\lambda_{n}$, for $n \geq 4$

Now using Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we can obtain an upper bound for $\Sigma_{1}$, with the sum restricted to $|\gamma| \geq 1$. We are thus summing $x^{\beta-1} /|\rho|$ over the zeroes $\rho$ of $L(s, \chi)$, with $1-1 / R \log q T \leq \beta_{j} \leq 1-1 / R_{0} \log q T$ and $1 \leq\left|\gamma_{j}\right| \leq T$.

Corollary 4.3. Assume $q T \geq 10^{11}$, then, for some $i=1, \cdots, 6$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\chi} \sum_{\rho \in z_{1}(\chi, T, R),|r| \geq 1} \frac{x^{\beta-1}}{|\rho|} \\
& \leq 2 q \min _{0 \leq J \leq 12}\left(\mu\left(\eta_{i}\right)+\mu\left(\xi_{i+1}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{J} M_{j} \mu\left(\max \left\{\xi_{i+1}, v_{j}\right\}\right)\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

with $R=R_{i, J}=1 / \max \left\{\xi_{i+1}, \nu_{J+1}\right\}$ and $M_{j}$ and $\nu_{j}$ defined in Table 5.

| $j$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v_{j}$ | 0.26213 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.39 |
| $M_{j}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 47 |
| $j$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |
| $v_{j}$ | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.475 | 0.478 |  |
| $M_{j}$ | 57 | 55 | 186 | 502 | 6166 | - |  |

Table 5: Sizes of $v_{j}$ and $M_{j}$

By Theorem 1.1, Theorem 3.4, (28)-(32) we prove Theorem 1.2, with

$$
\begin{align*}
C\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, Y_{0}\right)= & \max _{x \geq Y_{0}} \max _{q \leq \log ^{\alpha_{1}}}\left(\frac{R^{*}(x, T, q) \log \log x}{\log ^{2} x}+q \log ^{\alpha_{2}} x\right. \\
& \cdot\left(2\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{q}{2 \pi e}+r_{1}(q, 1)\right) \frac{x^{-1 / R_{0} \log q}}{1-1 / R_{0} \log q}\right. \\
& +\max _{1 \leq i \leq 6} \min _{0 \leq J \leq 12}\left(\frac{1}{2} S(T, q) x^{-1 / R_{i, J} \log q T}\right.  \tag{33}\\
& \left.\left.+2\left(\mu\left(\eta_{i}\right)+\mu\left(\xi_{i+1}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{J} M_{j} \mu\left(\max \left\{\xi_{i+1}, v_{j}\right\}\right)\right)\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that with our choice of $T$ we have $q T \geq 10^{11}$ for $\log \log x \geq \frac{11 \log 10}{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+3}$. Table 6 follows by simple computations.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let $\chi^{*}$ be the primitive character modulo $q^{*}$, that induces $\chi$ modulo $q$. Then by (12) and noting that $\psi\left(x, \chi_{0}\right)=\psi(x)$, we have

$$
\left|\psi(x, q, a)-\frac{\psi(x)}{\varphi(q)}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\left|\sum_{\chi} \psi\left(x, \chi^{*}\right)\right|+\frac{\log q}{\log 2}, \chi \neq \chi_{0} .
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{q \leq Q, q_{0}+q}\left|\psi(x, q, a)-\frac{\psi(x)}{\varphi(q)}\right| \\
& \left.\leq \frac{Q \log Q}{\log 2}+\left.\sum_{q \leq Q, q_{0} \nmid q} \frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\right|_{\chi} \sum_{(\bmod q), \chi \neq \chi_{0}} \psi\left(x, \chi^{*}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{Q \log Q}{\log 2}+\left(\sum_{1 \leq m \leq Q} \frac{1}{\varphi(m)}\right) \sum_{1<q \leq Q, q_{0}+q} \frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\left|\sum_{\chi(\bmod q)}^{*} \psi(x, \chi)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sum_{\chi(\bmod q)}^{*}$ denotes the sum over all primitive characters $\chi(\bmod q)$. By Theorem A. 17 in [16], we can bound the last equation with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{Q \log Q}{\log 2}+\left.\frac{c_{1}}{2} \log x \sum_{1<q \leq Q, q_{0}+q} \frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\right|_{\chi} \sum_{(\bmod q)}^{*} \psi(x, \chi) \right\rvert\, . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now split the sum in (34) at $Q_{1}=\log ^{A} x$. We start bounding the sum up to $Q_{1}$, by Theorem A. 17 in [16], with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1<q \leq Q_{1}, q_{0}+q} \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} & \left|\sum_{\chi} \sum_{(\bmod q)}^{*} \psi(x, \chi)\right| \\
& \leq c_{1}(1+A \log \log x) \max _{1<q \leq Q_{1}, q_{0}+q}\left|\sum_{(\bmod q)}^{*} \psi(x, \chi)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{x(\bmod q)}^{*}|\psi(x, \chi)| \leq x\left(-1+\frac{1}{x} \sum_{\chi} \sum_{(\bmod q)}|\psi(x, \chi)|\right)+x\left|\frac{\psi\left(x, \chi_{0}\right)}{x}-1\right| \\
\quad \leq x\left(-1+\frac{1}{x} \sum_{\chi} \sum_{(\bmod q)}|\psi(x, \chi)|\right)+x\left|\frac{\psi(x)}{x}-1\right|+\frac{\log q}{\log 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and, by Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1 from [20] and $\log \log x \geq \max \left\{7, \frac{11 \log 10}{2 A}\right\}$, we can bound this with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{C\left(A, A-3, X_{0}\right) x}{\log ^{A-3} x}+\frac{x^{\beta_{0}}}{\beta_{0}}  \tag{35}\\
& +34 x(\log x)^{1.52} \exp (-0.81 \sqrt{\log x})+\frac{A \log \log x}{\log 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $q_{0} \nmid q$ implies $q \leq Q_{1}$ is not exceptional, by Theorem 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{\beta_{0}}}{\beta_{0}} \leq \frac{x^{1-\frac{1}{2 A R_{1} \log \log x}}}{1-\frac{1}{2 A R_{1} \log \log x}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now want to bound the part of the sum in (34) from $Q_{1}$ to $Q$. By Theorem 1.2 in [1] and partial summation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\sum_{Q_{1} \leq q \leq Q} \frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\right|_{\chi} \sum_{(\bmod q)}^{*} \psi(x, \chi) \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{4 c_{0} x \log ^{\frac{7}{2}} x}{Q_{1}}+ \\
& +c_{0}(\log x)^{\frac{7}{2}}\left(4 \sqrt{x} \log ^{A} x+4 \frac{x}{\log ^{A} x}+18 \frac{x^{\frac{11}{12}}}{\log ^{\frac{A}{2}} x}+5 x^{\frac{5}{6}}+\frac{5}{2} x^{\frac{5}{6}} \log x\right) . \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Now Theorem 1.4 follows from (34)-(37).

## Appendix

| $Y_{0}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | $C$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.3 | 1 | 1 | 1.67 |
| 4.4 | 1 | 1 | 0.65 |
| 7.4 | 1 | 1 | $9.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 4.9 | 1 | 2 | 2.25 |
| 5 | 1 | 2 | 0.66 |
| 7.5 | 1 | 2 | $7.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 5.5 | 1 | 3 | 1.81 |
| 5.6 | 1 | 3 | 0.3 |
| 7.6 | 1 | 3 | $6.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 5.9 | 1 | 4 | 9.19 |
| 6 | 1 | 4 | 0.93 |
| 7.6 | 1 | 4 | $6.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 6.3 | 1 | 5 | 11.67 |
| 6.4 | 1 | 5 | 0.6 |
| 7.7 | 1 | 5 | $5.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 6.7 | 1 | 6 | 1.78 |
| 6.8 | 1 | 6 | $3.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8 | 1 | 6 | $3.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7 | 1 | 7 | 1.33 |
| 7.1 | 1 | 7 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.3 | 1 | 7 | $1.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7.2 | 1 | 8 | 28.3 |
| 7.3 | 1 | 8 | 0.16 |
| 8.5 | 1 | 8 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7.5 | 1 | 9 | 0.96 |
| 7.6 | 1 | 9 | $1.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| 8.7 | 1 | 9 | $8.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 7.7 | 1 | 10 | 2.28 |
| 7.8 | 1 | 10 | $1.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| 8.9 | 1 | 10 | $5.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 5.2 | 2 | 1 | 3.61 |
| 5.3 | 2 | 1 | 0.82 |
| 6.9 | 2 | 1 | $2.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 5.7 | 2 | 2 | 1.91 |
| 5.8 | 2 | 2 | 0.27 |
| 7.3 | 2 | 2 | $1.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 6.1 | 2 | 3 | 4.21 |
| 6.2 | 2 | 3 | 0.35 |
| 7.6 | 2 | 3 | $6.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 6.5 | 2 | 4 | 2.17 |
| 6.6 | 2 | 4 | $8.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 7.8 | 2 | 4 | $4.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 6.8 | 2 | 5 | 4.79 |
| 6.9 | 2 | 5 | $9.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.1 | 2 | 5 | $2.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7.1 | 2 | 6 | 2.48 |
| 7.2 | 2 | 6 | $2.1 \cdot 10^{-62}$ |
| 8.4 | 2 | 6 | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7.3 | 2 | 7 | 36.1 |
| 7.4 | 2 | 7 | 0.19 |
|  |  |  |  |


| $Y_{0}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | $C$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8.6 | 2 | 7 | $9.9 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 7.5 | 2 | 8 | 255.04 |
| 7.6 | 2 | 8 | 0.71 |
| 8.8 | 2 | 8 | $6.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 7.7 | 2 | 9 | 751.4 |
| 7.7 | 2 | 9 | 1 |
| 9 | 2 | 9 | $4.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 7.9 | 2 | 10 | 765.84 |
| 8 | 2 | 10 | 0.43 |
| 9.2 | 2 | 10 | $3.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 5.9 | 3 | 1 | 7.58 |
| 6 | 3 | 1 | 0.87 |
| 7.3 | 3 | 1 | $1.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 6.3 | 3 | 2 | 1.78 |
| 6.4 | 3 | 2 | 0.11 |
| 7.6 | 3 | 2 | $7 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 6.6 | 3 | 3 | 5.52 |
| 6.7 | 3 | 3 | 0.21 |
| 7.9 | 3 | 3 | $3.9 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 6.9 | 3 | 4 | 8.66 |
| 7 | 3 | 4 | 0.17 |
| 8.2 | 3 | 4 | $2.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7.2 | 3 | 5 | 3.08 |
| 7.3 | 3 | 5 | $2.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.5 | 3 | 5 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7.4 | 3 | 6 | 30.87 |
| 7.5 | 3 | 6 | 0.14 |
| 8.7 | 3 | 6 | $8.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 7.6 | 3 | 7 | 144.06 |
| 7.7 | 3 | 7 | 0.34 |
| 8.9 | 3 | 7 | $5.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 7.8 | 3 | 8 | 263.6 |
| 7.9 | 3 | 8 | 0.29 |
| 9.1 | 3 | 8 | $3.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 8 | 3 | 9 | 154.44 |
| 8.1 | 3 | 9 | $6.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 9.3 | 3 | 9 | $2.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 8.2 | 3 | 10 | 22.78 |
| 8.3 | 3 | 10 | $3.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| 9.4 | 3 | 10 | $2.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 6.5 | 4 | 1 | 5.9 |
| 6.6 | 4 | 1 | 0.28 |
| 7.8 | 4 | 1 | $4.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 6.8 | 4 | 2 | 1.43 |
| 6.9 | 4 | 2 | $3.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.1 | 4 | 2 | $2.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7 | 4 | 3 | 13.81 |
| 7.1 | 4 | 3 | 0.25 |
| 8.3 | 4 | 3 | $1.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7.3 | 4 | 4 | 2.69 |
|  |  |  |  |


| $Y_{0}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.4 | 4 | 4 | $1.9 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.6 | 4 | 4 | $1 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7.5 | 4 | 5 | 18.33 |
| 7.6 | 4 | 5 | $7.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.8 | 4 | 5 | $6.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7.7 | 4 | 6 | 55.75 |
| 7.8 | 4 | 6 | 0.11 |
| 9 | 4 | 6 | $4.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 7.9 | 4 | 7 | 62.04 |
| 8 | 4 | 7 | $5.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 9.2 | 4 | 7 | $3.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 8.1 | 4 | 8 | 20.26 |
| 8.2 | 4 | 8 | $6.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| 9.3 | 4 | 8 | $2.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 8.3 | 4 | 9 | 1.5 |
| 8.4 | 4 | 9 | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-}$ |
| 9.5 | 4 | 9 | $1.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 8.4 | 4 | 10 | 276.47 |
| 8.5 | 4 | 10 | $1.9 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 9.6 | 4 | 10 | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 7 | 5 | 1 | 2.83 |
| 7.1 | 5 | 1 | $4.7 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.2 | 5 | 1 | $2.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7.2 | 5 | 2 | 4.14 |
| 7.3 | 5 | 2 | $4.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.4 | 5 | 2 | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7.4 | 5 | 3 | 4.44 |
| 7.5 | 5 | 3 | $2.7 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.7 | 5 | 3 | $8.3 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 7.6 | 5 | 4 | 8.57 |
| 7.7 | 5 | 4 | $2.8 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.9 | 5 | 4 | $5.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 7.8 | 5 | 5 | 15.08 |
| 7.9 | 5 | 5 | $2.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 9.1 | 5 | 5 | $3.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 8 | 5 | 6 | 9.86 |
| 8.1 | 5 | 6 | $6.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| 9.2 | 5 | 6 | $3.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 8.2 | 5 | 7 | 1.73 |
| 8.3 | 5 | 7 | $3.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| 9.4 | 5 | 7 | $2.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 8.3 | 5 | 8 | 391.48 |
| 8.4 | 5 | 8 | $6.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 9.5 | 5 | 8 | $1.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 8.5 | 5 | 9 | 7.64 |
| 8.6 | 5 | 9 | $3.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| 9.7 | 5 | 9 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 8.6 | 5 | 10 | 701.51 |
| 8.7 | 5 | 10 | $1.9 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 9.8 | 5 | 10 | $9.9 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |


| $Y_{0}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | C | $Y_{0}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | C | $Y_{0}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.4 | 6 | 1 | 3.1 | 9.8 | 7 | 7 | $9.9 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | 8.8 | 9 | 4 | $7.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| 7.5 | 6 | 1 | $1.9 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 8.7 | 7 | 8 | 2071.16 | 9.8 | 9 | 4 | $1 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 8.5 | 6 | 1 | $1.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 8.8 | 7 | 8 | 0.12 | 8.8 | 9 | 5 | 483.25 |
| 7.5 | 6 | 2 | 170.94 | 9.9 | 7 | 8 | $8.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | 8.9 | 9 | 5 | 0.15 |
| 7.6 | 6 | 2 | 0.92 | 8.9 | 7 | 9 | 1.34 | 9.9 | 9 | 5 | $8.3 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 8.7 | 6 | 2 | $8.4 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 9 | 7 | 9 | $3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 8.9 | 9 | 6 | 1030.28 |
| 7.7 | 6 | 3 | 41.41 | 10.1 | 7 | 9 | $5.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | 9 | 9 | 6 | 0.0.2 |
| 7.8 | 6 | 3 | 0.12 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 20.07 | 10 | 9 | 6 | $6.8 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 8.9 | 6 | 3 | $5.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 9.1 | 7 | 10 | $6.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 9 | 9 | 7 | 1571.49 |
| 7.9 | 6 | 4 | 6.34 | 10.2 | 7 | 10 | $4.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | 9.1 | 9 | 7 | 0.19 |
| 8 | 6 | 4 | $1.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 8 | 8 | 1 | 121.48 | 10.2 | 9 | 7 | $4.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 9.1 | 6 | 4 | $3.9 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 8.1 | 8 | 1 | 0.25 | 9.1 | 9 | 8 | 1673.84 |
| 8.1 | 6 | 5 | 1.18 | 9.1 | 8 | 1 | $3.9 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 9.2 | 9 | 8 | 0.13 |
| 8.2 | 6 | 5 | $8.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 8.2 | 8 | 2 | 2.95 | 10.3 | 9 | 8 | $3.8 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 9.3 | 6 | 5 | $2.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 8.3 | 8 | 2 | $4.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 9.2 | 9 | 9 | 1243.31 |
| 8.2 | 6 | 6 | 285.77 | 9.3 | 8 | 2 | $2.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 9.3 | 9 | 9 | $5.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.3 | 6 | 6 | $9.9 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 8.3 | 8 | 3 | 64.3 | 10.4 | 9 | 9 | $3.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 9.4 | 6 | 6 | $2.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 8.4 | 8 | 3 | 0.11 | 9.3 | 9 | 10 | 741.93 |
| 8.4 | 6 | 7 | 15.34 | 9.4 | 8 | 3 | $2.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 9.4 | 9 | 10 | $1.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.5 | 6 | 7 | $1.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 8.5 | 8 | 4 | 1.22 | 10.5 | 9 | 10 | $2.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 9.6 | 6 | 7 | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 8.6 | 8 | 4 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 8.6 | 10 | 1 | 10.61 |
| 8.5 | 6 | 8 | 1872.72 | 9.6 | 8 | 4 | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 8.7 | 10 | 1 | $6.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| 8.6 | 6 | 8 | 0.13 | 8.6 | 8 | 5 | 8.83 | 9.5 | 10 | 1 | $1.9 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 9.7 | 6 | 8 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 8.7 | 8 | 5 | $5.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 8.7 | 10 | 2 | 169.88 |
| 8.7 | 6 | 9 | 7.58 | 9.7 | 8 | 5 | $1.3 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 8.8 | 10 | 2 | $7.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.8 | 6 | 9 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 8.7 | 8 | 6 | 166.66 | 9.7 | 10 | 2 | $1.3 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 9.9 | 6 | 9 | $8.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 8.8 | 8 | 6 | $7.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 8.8 | 10 | 3 | 486.8 |
| 8.8 | 6 | 10 | 315.52 | 9.9 | 8 | 6 | $8.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | 8.9 | 10 | 3 | 0.15 |
| 8.9 | 6 | 10 | $2.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 8.8 | 8 | 7 | 495.45 | 9.8 | 10 | 3 | $1.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 10 | 6 | 10 | $6.7 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | 8.9 | 8 | 7 | 0.15 | 8.9 | 10 | 4 | 1031.98 |
| 7.7 | 7 | 1 | 45.31 | 10 | 8 | 7 | $6.8 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | 9 | 10 | 4 | 0.2 |
| 7.8 | 7 | 1 | 0.16 | 8.9 | 8 | 8 | 1363.62 | 10 | 10 | 4 | $6.8 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 8.8 | 7 | 1 | $7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 9 | 8 | 8 | 0.2 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 1571.13 |
| 7.9 | 7 | 2 | 3.98 | 10.1 | 8 | 8 | $5.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | 9.1 | 10 | 5 | 0.19 |
| 8 | 7 | 2 | $8.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 9 | 8 | 9 | 7602.09 | 10.1 | 10 | 5 | $5.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 9 | 7 | 2 | $4.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 9.1 | 8 | 9 | 0.21 | 9.1 | 10 | 6 | 1666.25 |
| 8 | 7 | 3 | 86.56 | 10.2 | 8 | 9 | $4.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | 9.2 | 10 | 6 | 0.13 |
| 8.1 | 7 | 3 | 0.13 | 9.1 | 8 | 10 | 71285.9 | 10.2 | 10 | 6 | $4.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 9.2 | 7 | 3 | $3.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 9.2 | 8 | 10 | 0.26 | 9.2 | 10 | 7 | 1194.71 |
| 8.2 | 7 | 4 | 2.28 | 10.3 | 8 | 10 | $3.8 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | 9.3 | 10 | 7 | $5.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.3 | 7 | 4 | $3.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 8.3 | 9 | 1 | 90.88 | 10.3 | 10 | 7 | $3.8 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 9.4 | 7 | 4 | $2.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 8.4 | 9 | 1 | 0.14 | 9.3 | 10 | 8 | 561.72 |
| 8.3 | 7 | 5 | 76.36 | 9.3 | 9 | 1 | $2.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 9.4 | 10 | 8 | $1.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 8.4 | 7 | 5 | 0.11 | 8.5 | 9 | 2 | 1.32 | 10.4 | 10 | 8 | $3.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 9.5 | 7 | 5 | $1.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 8.6 | 9 | 2 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 9.4 | 10 | 9 | 167.76 |
| 8.5 | 7 | 6 | 1.59 | 9.5 | 9 | 2 | $1.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 9.5 | 10 | 9 | $2.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| 8.6 | 7 | 6 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 8.6 | 9 | 3 | 8.98 | 10.5 | 10 | 9 | $2.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 9.7 | 7 | 6 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 8.7 | 9 | 3 | $5.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 9.5 | 10 | 10 | 30.8 |
| 8.6 | 7 | 7 | 39.92 | 9.6 | 9 | 3 | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 9.6 | 10 | 10 | $2.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| 8.7 | 7 | 7 | $6.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 8.7 | 9 | 4 | 166.47 | 10.6 | 10 | 10 | $2.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |

Table 6: Values for $C\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, Y_{0}\right)$ (Theorem 1.2)
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