ON SOME INEQUALITIES FOR CONVEX SEQUENCES

Josip E. Pečarić

1. A sequence $a=(a_1,a_2,\dots)$ is said to be convex if $\Delta^2 a_n \geq 0, n=1,2,\dots$, where

$$\Delta^2 a_n = \Delta(\Delta a_n) = a_{n+2} - 2a_{n+1} + a_n, \quad \Delta a_n = a_{n+1} - a_n.$$

If a and p are real sequences, then the well-known Abel identity holds:

(1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i a_i = a_1 P_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n} P_k \Delta a_{k-1}, \quad \left(P_k = \sum_{i=k}^{n} p_i \right).$$

The following generalization of (1) is given in [2]: I

If x_{ij} , a_i , b_j $(1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m)$ are real numbers, then

(2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij} a_i b_j = a_1 b_1 X_{1,1} + b_1 \sum_{r=2}^{n} X_{r,1} \Delta a_{r-1} + a_1 \sum_{s=2}^{m} X_{1,s} \Delta b_{s-1} + \sum_{r=2}^{n} \sum_{s=2}^{m} X_{r,s} \Delta a_{r-1} \Delta b_{s-1}$$

where

(3)
$$X_{r,s} = \sum_{i=r}^{n} \sum_{j=s}^{m} x_{ij}.$$

Using (1) and (2), we can get the following identity:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij} a_i b_j = a_1 b_1 X_{1,1} + b_1 \Delta a_1 X_{2,1}^1 + a_1 \Delta b_1 X_{1,2}^2 + \Delta a_1 b_1 X_{2,2}^3 +$$

$$(4) + b_1 \sum_{r=3}^{n} X_{r,1}^{1} \Delta^2 a_{r-2} + a_1 \sum_{s=3}^{m} X_{1,s}^{2} \Delta^2 b_{s-2} + \Delta a_1 \sum_{s=3}^{m} X_{2,s}^{3} \Delta^2 b_{r-2} + \Delta b_1 \sum_{r=3}^{n} X_{r,2}^{3} \Delta^2 a_{r-2} + \sum_{r=3}^{n} \sum_{s=3}^{m} X_{r,s}^{3} \Delta^2 a) r - 2\Delta^2 b_{s-2},$$

AMS Subject Classification (1980): 26D15

Key words and phrases: Convex sequences, Čebyšev inequality.

where

(5)
$$X_{r,1}^{1} = \sum_{i=r}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (i-r+1)x_{ij}, \quad X_{1,s}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=s}^{m} (j-s+1)x_{ij},$$
$$X_{r,s} = \sum_{i=r}^{n} \sum_{j=s}^{m} (i-r+1)(j-s+1)x_{ij}.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{r=2}^{n} X_{r,1} \Delta a_{r-1} &= \Delta a_1 \sum_{r=2}^{n} X_{r,1} + \sum_{r=3}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=r}^{n} X_{k,1}\right) \Delta^2 a_{r-2} = \\ &= \Delta a_1 X_{2,1}^1 + \sum_{r=3}^{n} X_{r,1}^1 \Delta^2 a_{r-2}; \\ \sum_{s=2}^{m} X_{1,s} \Delta b_{s-1} &= \Delta b_1 \sum_{s=2}^{m} X_{1,s} + \sum_{s=3}^{m} \left(\sum_{j=s}^{m} X_{1,j}\right) \Delta^2 b_{s-2} = \\ &= \Delta b_1 X_{1,2}^1 + \sum_{s=3}^{m} X_{1,s}^2 \Delta^2 b_{s-2}; \\ \sum_{r=2}^{n} \sum_{s=2}^{m} X_{r,s} \Delta a_{k-1} \Delta b_{s-1} &= \Delta a_1 \Delta b_1 \sum_{r=2}^{n} \sum_{s=2}^{m} X_{r,s} + \Delta b_1 \sum_{r=3}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=r}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{m} X_{k,j}\right) \Delta^2 a_{r-1} + \\ &+ \Delta a_1 \sum_{s=3}^{m} \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{j=s}^{m} X_{k,j}\right) \Delta^2 b_{s-2} + \sum_{r=3}^{n} \sum_{s=3}^{m} \left(\sum_{k=r}^{n} \sum_{j=s}^{m} X_{k,j}\right) \Delta^2 a_{r-2} \Delta^2 b_{s-2} = \\ &= \Delta a_1 \Delta b_1 X_{2,2}^3 + \Delta b_1 \sum_{r=3}^{n} X_{r,2}^3 \Delta^2 a_{r-2} + \Delta a_1 \sum_{s=3}^{m} X_{2,s} \Delta^2 b_{r-2} + \\ &+ \sum_{s=3}^{n} \sum_{s=3}^{m} X_{r,s}^3 \Delta^2 a_{r-2} b_{s-2}, \end{split}$$

so, from (2), we obtain (4).

Using (4), we can easily obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let x_{ij} $(1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m)$ be real numbers. Inequality

(6)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=s}^{m} x_{ij} a_i b_j \ge 0$$

holds for all convex sequences a and b if and only if

(7)
$$X_{1,1}^{1} = 0, \ X_{r,1}^{1} = 0 \ (r = 2, \dots, n), \ X_{1,s}^{2} = 0 \ (s = 2, \dots, m),$$

$$X_{r,s}^{3} = 0 \ (s = 3, \dots, m),$$

$$X_{r,s}^{3} \ge 0, (r = 3, \dots, n : s = 3, \dots, m)$$

where $X_{1,1}$, $X_{r,1}^1$, $X_{1,s}^2$ and $X_{r,s}$ are given by (3) and (5).

Remark 1. An analogous result for convex functions can be obtained from Vasić-Lacković's result for bilinear operators ([3]).

2. If a is a convex sequence, then

(8)
$$[k, l, m, a] \equiv \frac{a_k}{(k-1)(k-m)} + \frac{a_e}{(l-m)(l-k)} + \frac{a_m}{(m-k)(m-l)} \ge 0 \quad (k, l, m \in N).$$

Indeed, if a is a convex sequence, then the sequence $((a_n - a_1/(n-1))_{n=2,3,...}$ is nondecreasing, i.e. the sequence $((a_n - a_k)/(u-k))_{n=k+1,k+2,...}$ is also nondecreasing. If k < l < m, we have

$$((a_l - a_k)/(l - k)) \le ((a_m - a_k)/(m - k))$$

wherefore we obtain (8). Analogously, we can get (8) in other cases (k < m < l, etc.).

Using (8), analogously to the proof which is given in [2], we can get the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. Let a and b be convex sequences, $e = (1, 2, ..., n), p_k \ge 0$ $(k = 1, ..., n; P_1 > 0);$ then

(9)
$$F(ab) - F(a)F(b) \ge (F(ea) - F(e)F(a))(F(eb) - F(e)F(b))/(F(e^2) - F(e)^2)$$
,

where $ab = (a_1b_1, \ldots, a_nb_n)$ and $F(a) = \frac{1}{P_1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i$. If a or b is an arithmetic sequence, then the equality in (9) holds.

Using Theorem 2, we can prove the following result:

Theorem 3. If a and b are convex sequences, then

$$(10) \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \ge \frac{12}{n(n^2 - 1)} \sum_{k=1}^{k} (k - (n+1)/2) a_k \sum_{i=1}^{n} (j - (n+1)/2) b_j$$

with equality when at least one of the sequences a, b is an arithmetic sequence.

Proof. We select $F(a) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$. Then F(e) = (n+1)/2, $F(e^2) = (n+1)(2n+1)/6$ and from (9), we obtain (10).

COROLLARY 1. Let a and b be convex sequences, and assume that

(11)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(k - \frac{n+1}{2} \right) b_k = 0$$

Then Čebyšev's inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \ge 0$$

holds.

Remarks: 2° If $b_k = b_{n-k+1}$ (k = 1, ..., n), then (11) holds.

- 3° Theorems 2 and 3 are discrete analogues of Lupas' inequalities [2]. Corollary 1 is a discrete analogue of the Atkinson inequality [4].
- **3.** We can easily show that (10) can be rewritten in the form of inequality (6) for n = m. Using this fact, from Theorem 1, we can obtain the following result:

Lemma 1. Let p and w be real sequences. Inequality

(12)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \ge K \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k a_k \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i b_i$$

is walid for every pair a and b of convex sequences if and only if

(13)
$$K\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j = 0$$

(14)
$$K \sum_{k=r}^{n} p_k(k-r+1) \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j = 0 \ (r=2,\ldots,n)$$

(15)
$$K\sum_{j=s}^{n} w_j(j-s+1)\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k = 0 \ (s=2,\ldots,n)$$

(16)
$$K \sum_{k=r}^{n} p_k(k-r+1) \sum_{j=2}^{n} w_j(j-1) =$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (i-r+1)(i-(n+1)/2) \quad (r=2,\dots,n)$$

(17)
$$K \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k(k-1) \sum_{j=s}^{n} w_j(j-s+1) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (i-s+1)(i-(n+1)/2) \quad (s=3,\ldots,n)$$

(18)
$$K \sum_{k=r}^{n} p_k(k-r+1) \sum_{j=s}^{n} w_j(j-s+1) \le$$

$$\le \sum_{i=\max(r,s)}^{n} (i-r+1)(i-s+1) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=r}^{n} (i-r+1) \sum_{i=s}^{n} (i-s+1).$$

Now we will introduce the following notation:

(19)
$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k, \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i, \quad P = \sum_{k=2}^{n} (k-1)p_k, \quad Q = \sum_{i=2}^{n} (i-1)w_i,$$
$$U(r) = \sum_{i=r}^{n} (i-r+1)(i-(n+1)/2).$$

From condition (16) for r = 2, i.e. from

(20)
$$KPQ = U(2) = n(n^2 - 1)/12$$

it follows that we have

$$(21) K \neq 0, \quad P \neq 0, \quad Q \neq 0,$$

and

(22)
$$K = n(n^2 - 1)/12PQ.$$

Using (21) again on the basis of (14) and (15) we find that

$$(23) u = v = 0.$$

In such a way we find that if condition (23) is valid, then the conditions (13), (14) and (15) are satisfied.

Conditions (21) and (16), i.e. (17), imply that

(24)
$$\sum_{k=r}^{n} p_k(k-r+1) = 12PU(r)/(n(n^2-1)) \quad (r=2,\ldots,n)$$

(25)
$$\sum_{k=r}^{n} w_k(k-r+1) = 12QU(r)/(n(n^2-1)) \quad (r=2,\ldots,n).$$

By substitution of (24) and (25) in (18), we have

$$\sum_{i=\max(r,s)}^{n} (i-r+1)(i-s+1) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=r}^{n} (i-r+1) \sum_{i=s}^{n} (i-s+1) \ge$$

$$\ge \frac{12}{n(n^2-1)} U(r) U(s) = \frac{12}{n(n^2-1)} \sum_{i=r}^{n} (i-r+1)(i-r+1) (i-r+1) = \frac{12}{n(n^2-1)} \sum_{j=s}^{n} (j-s+1) (j-(n+1)/2).$$

Since the sequences a and b defined by

$$a_k = 0 \ (k = 1, ..., r - 1) \ \text{and} \ a_k = k - r + 1 \ (k = r, ..., n),$$

and

$$b_k = 0 \ (k = 1, ..., s - 1) \ \text{and} \ b_k = k - s + 1 \ (k = s, ..., n)$$

are convex, from (10) we have (26), i.e. (26) is true.

From what we have said above infer the following lemma:

Lemma 2. Conditions (13) - (18) are valid for a pair of seguences p and w if and only if conditions (21), (22), (23), (24) and (25) are satisfied, where u, v, P, Q, and U are defined by (19).

Now, we shall show that $p_k = k_1(k - (n+1)/2)$ where k_1 is a real constant. From (24), for r = k and k + 1, we have

$$p_k = k_1(U(k) - 2U(k+1) + U(k+2)) = k_1(k - (n+1)/2)$$

where $k_1 = 12P/(n(n^2 - 1))$ and k = 1, ..., n - 2.

For r = n, from (24) we have

$$p_n = k_1 U(n) = k_1 (n - (n+1)/2),$$

and for r = n - 1, we have $p_{n-1} + 2p_n = k_1 U(n - 1)$, i.e.

$$p_{n-1} = k_1(U(n-1) - 2U(n)) = k_1(n-1 - (n+1)/2).$$

Analogously, we can get that $w_k = k_2(k - (n+1)/2)$ where k is a real constant. 2 So, in virtue of Lemma 2 we find that the following lemma is valid:

Lemma 3. Let us suppose that p and w are real sequences. Then the sequences p and w satisfy conditions (13)-(18) if and only if these sequences are of the form

$$(27) p_k = k_1(k - (n+1)/2), w_k = k_2(k - (n+1)/2), (k = 1, \dots, n),$$

where the real constants are arbitrarily chosen such that $k_i \neq 0$ (i = 1, 2), and where we have

(28)
$$K = 12/(n(n^2 - 1)k_1k_2).$$

On the basis of the results above it can be directly concluded that the following theorem is valid:

Theorem 4. Suppose that p and w are real sequences. The inequality of the form (12) holds for every pair of convex sequences a and b if and only if these sequences p and w are of the form (27) where the real constants $k_1 \neq 0$ and $k_2 \neq 0$ are arbitrary and where the constant K is given by (28). In other words, for every pair of convex sequences a and b inequality (12) holds true if and only if that inequality is of the form (10).

Remark 4. Theorem 4 is a discrete analogue of Vasić – Lacković's result from [5].

REFERENCES

J. E. Pečarić, On an inequality of T. Popoviciu, Bul. Sti. Tehn. Inst. Politehn, Timişoara 24 (38) (1979), 9-15.

^[2] A. Lupas, An integral inequality for convex functions, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. No 381-No 409 (1972), 17-19.

- $[3]\ P.\ M.\ Vasić,\ I.\ B.\ Lacković,\ Notes\ on\ convex\ functions\ II:\ On\ continuous\ linear\ operations\ defined\ on\ a\ cone\ of\ convex\ functions,\ Ibid.\ No\ 602-No\ 633\ (1978),\ 53-59.$
- [4] F. V. Aktinson, An inequality, Ibid. No 357–No 380 (1971), 5–6.
- [5] P. M. Vasić, I. B. Lacković, Notes on convex functions VI: On an inequality for convex functions proved by A. Lupas, Ibid. No 634-No 677 (1979), 36-41.

Građevinski fakultet Bulevar Revolucije 73 11000 Beograd