

A NEW PROOF OF PREŠIĆ'S THEOREM ON FINITE EQUATIONS

Dragić Banković

Abstract. Applying some kind of algebraic structure Prešić determined in [2] the formulas of all general reproductive solutions of finite equation. We give a shorter proof of Prešić's theorem.

Definition 1. Let E be a given non-empty set and eq be a given unary relation of E . A formula $x = \varphi(t)$, where $\varphi : E \rightarrow E$ is a given function, represents a general reproductive solution of the x -equation $\text{eq}(x)$ if and only if

$$(\forall t) \text{eq}(\varphi(t)) \wedge (\forall t)(\text{eq}(t) \implies t = \varphi(t)).$$

Let the set R be defined as follows $t \in R \iff \text{eq}(t) \quad (t \in E)$.

LEMMA [1]. *The formula $x = \varphi(t)$ represents a general reproductive solution of $\text{eq}(x)$ if and only if $(\forall t)(t \in R \implies t = \varphi(t)) \wedge (\forall t)(t \notin R \implies \varphi(t) \in R)$.*

Let $Q = \{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_m\}$ be a given set of $m + 1$ elements and $S = \{0, 1\}$. Define an operation x^y by

$$x^y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (x, y \in Q \cup S)$$

The operations $+$ and \circ are described by the following tables

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} \circ & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$$

Extending these operations to the partial operations of $Q \cup S$ by

$$x + 0 = x, \quad 0 + x = x, \quad x \circ 0 = 0, \quad 0 \circ x = 0, \quad x \circ 1 = x, \quad 1 \circ x = x,$$

Prešić considered the following x -equation

$$s_0 \circ x^{q_0} + s_1 \circ x^{q_1} + \cdots + s_m \circ x^{q_m} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $s_i \in \{0, 1\}$ are given elements and $x \in Q$ is unknown. It is obvious that the equation (1) is possible if and only if $s_0 \circ s_1 \circ \cdots \circ s_m = 0$.

In the sequel \circ will be omitted.

Let $(r_0, \dots, r_m) \in \{0, 1\}^{m+1}$. The set $Z(r_0, \dots, r_m)$, zero-set of (r_0, \dots, r_m) , is defined as follows:

$$q_i \in Z(r_0, \dots, r_m) \iff r_i = 0 \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, m), \quad (2)$$

i.e. $Z(r_0, \dots, r_m)$ is the solution set of the equation $r_0 x^{q_0} + r_1 x^{q_1} + \cdots + r_m x^{q_m} = 0$.

THEOREM 1 (Prešić [2]). *If the equation*

$$s_0 x^{q_0} + s_1 x^{q_1} + \cdots + s_m x^{q_m} = 0 \quad (3)$$

is possible, then the formula $x = A(t)$ (t is any element of Q) represents a general reproductive solution of the equation (3) if and only if

$$A(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(q_k s_k^0 + \sum_{a_k=1, a_0 \dots a_m=0} F_k(a_0, \dots, a_m) s_0^{a_0} \dots s_m^{a_m} \right) t^{q_k} \quad (4)$$

assuming that the coefficients $F_k(a_0, \dots, a_m) \in Q$ satisfy the condition $F_k(a_0, \dots, a_m) \in Z(a_0, \dots, a_m)$.

Proof. Let $A(t)$ be of the form (4). For arbitrary $t \in Q$ there is a $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ such that $t = q_k$. If $q_k \in Z(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m)$, then the formula $x = A(t)$ gives $x = q_k$, because of (2). If $q_k \notin Z(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m)$, then the formula $x = A(t)$ becomes $x = F_k(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m)$. We also have $F_k(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m) \in Z(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m)$. Therefore the formula $x = A(t)$ represents a general reproductive solution of (3), by the lemma.

Let the formula $x = A(t)$ represent a general reproductive solution of (3). If we write the function A as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & q_1 & \cdots & q_m \\ q_{i_0} & q_{i_1} & \cdots & q_{i_m} \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\{q_{i_0}, q_{i_1}, \dots, q_{i_m}\} = Z(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m)$. If $q_k \notin Z(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m)$, then we determine $F_k(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m)$ in the following way:

$$F_k(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m) = q_{i_k} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, m). \quad (5)$$

Since A represents a general reproductive solution of (3) we have

$$q_k \in Z(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m) \Rightarrow A(q_k) = q_k. \quad (6)$$

Since $q_k \in Z(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m) \Rightarrow s_k = 0 \Rightarrow q_k s_k^0 = q_k$ and since the sum $\sum_{a_k=1, a_0 \dots a_m=0} F_k(a_0, \dots, a_m) s_0^{a_0} \dots s_m^{a_m}$ reduces to $F_k(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m)$, A can be written as

$$A(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(q_k s_k^0 + \sum_{a_k=1, a_0 \dots a_m=0} F_k(a_0, \dots, a_m) s_0^{a_0} \dots s_m^{a_m} \right) t^{q_k}$$

because of (5), (6) and the lemma.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Prešić, *Ein Satz über reproduktive Lösungen*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **14** (28) (1973), 133–136.
- [2] S. Prešić, *All reproductive solutions of finite equations*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **44** (58) (1988), 3–7.

Prirodno-matematički fakultet
pp. 60
34001 Kragujevac, Jugoslavija

(Received 12 04 1991)