## LOGICS WITH TWO TYPES OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS

## Radosav S. Dorđević

Abstract. We prove completeness theorems for absolutely continuous and singular biprobability models of a logic with integrals. Also in both cases, we prove the finite compactness theorem for a set of sentences of the form  $\tau \in [r, s]$ .

We assume throughout the paper that A is a countable admissible set with  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}$ . In [2], Keisler introduced a logic  $L_{\mathcal{A}}$  which has an integral operator which builds terms with bound variables. In our case two types of integral operators  $\int_1 \dots dx$  and  $\int_2 \dots dx$  are allowed.

A biprobability model for  $L_{\mathcal{A} f_1 f_2}$  logic is a model  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, R_i, c_j, \mu_1, \mu_2 \rangle_{i \in I, j \in J}$ , where  $\langle A, R_i, c_j \rangle$  is a first-order model without operations and  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are probability measures on A. We shall see a difference in semantics for  $L^a_{\mathcal{A}\,f_1\,f_2}$  and  $L^s_{\mathcal{A}\,f_1\,f_2}$  by means of the following definition.

Definition 1. (a) An absolutely continuous biprobability model for  $L^a_{\mathcal{A}f_1f_2}$ is a biprobability model  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mu_1$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu_2$ , i. e.  $\mu_1 \ll \mu_2$ .

(b) A singular biprobability model for  $L^s_{\mathcal{A}\,f_1\,f_2}$  is a biprobability model  $\mathfrak A$  such that  $\mu_1$  is singular with respect to  $\mu_2$ , i.e.  $\mu_1 \perp \mu_2$ .  $\square$ 

In both cases, quantifiers are interpreted by

$$(\int_k \tau(x, \vec{a}) dx)^{\mathfrak{A}} = \int \tau(b, \vec{a})^{\mathfrak{A}} d\mu_k(b) \qquad \text{for } k = 1, 2 ,$$

where  $\tau(x, \vec{y})$  is a term and  $\vec{a} \in A^n$ .

Diagonal products  $\mu_k^{(n)}$ , which are the coresponding restrictions of completions of  $\mu_k^n$ 's (k=1,2) to  $\sigma$ -algebras generated by the measurable rectangles and the diagonal sets  $\{\vec{x} \in A^n : x_i = x_j\}$ , can be replaced by sequences of probability measures on  $A^n$ 's which satisfy the Fubini theorem. That generalization of a probability structure is relevant for us.

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): Primary 03 C 70

This research was supported by Government of Serbia grant number 0401A, through Matematički institut.

 $Definition \ 2. \ \ {\rm A \ graded \ biprobability \ model \ for} \ L_{\mathcal{A} \ f_1 \ f_2} \ {\rm is \ a \ model}$  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, R_i, c_j, \mu_n^1, \mu_n^2 \rangle_{i \in I, j \in J, n \geq 1}$  such that:

- (1) Each  $\mu_n^k$  is a countably additive probability measure on  $A^n$ .
- (2) Each n-ary relation  $R_i$  is  $\mu_n^k$ -measurable and the identity relation is  $\mu_2^k$ -

- (3) μ<sub>n</sub><sup>k</sup> × μ<sub>m</sub><sup>k</sup> ⊆ μ<sub>n+m</sub><sup>k</sup>.
  (4) Each μ<sub>n</sub><sup>k</sup> is preserved under permutation of {1, 2, ..., n}.
  (5) ⟨μ<sub>n</sub><sup>k</sup> : n ∈ N⟩ has the Fubini property: If B is μ<sub>m+n</sub><sup>k</sup>-measurable, then
  - (a) For each  $\vec{x} \in A^m$ , the section  $B_{\vec{x}} = \{ \vec{y} : B(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \}$  is  $\mu_n^k$ -measurable. (b) The function  $f(\vec{x}) = \mu_n^k(B_{\vec{x}})$  is  $\mu_m^k$ -measurable. (c)  $\int f(\vec{x}) d\mu_m^k = \mu_{m+n}^k(B)$ .  $\square$

Definition 3. (a) A graded biprobability model for  $L^a_{\mathcal{A} f_1 f_2}$  is a graded biprobability model  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mu_n^1 \ll \mu_n^2$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (b) A graded biprobability model for  $L^s_{\mathcal{A}_{f_1,f_2}}$  is a graded biprobability model  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mu_n^1 \perp \mu_n^2$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$
- 1. The logic  $L^a_{\mathcal{A} f_1 f_2}$ . Axioms and rules of inference for  $L^a_{\mathcal{A} f_1 f_2}$  are those for  $L_{\mathcal{A}f}$ , as listed in [3] with both  $\int_1$  and  $\int_2$  playing the role of  $\int$ , together with
- $(A_1)$  Axioms of continuity of integral operators: (i, j = 1, 2)

(a) 
$$\bigwedge_n \bigvee_m \bigvee_k \int_i \mathbb{F}_k \left( \int_j \tau(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \, d\vec{x} \right) \, d\vec{y} < \frac{1}{n},$$
where  $F_k(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r - 1/m + 1/k \le s \le r - 2/k \\ 0, & \text{if } s \le r - 1/m \text{ or } s \ge r - 1/k \\ \text{linear, for other cases} \end{cases}$ 

is a continuous real function such that  $F_k \upharpoonright \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$ 

(b) 
$$\bigwedge_n \bigvee_m \bigvee_k \int_i \mathbb{G}_k \left( \int_j \tau(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) d\vec{x} \right) d\vec{y} < \frac{1}{n},$$
  
where  $G_k(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r + 2/k \le s \le r + 1/m - 1/k \\ 0, & \text{if } s \le r + 1/k \text{ or } s \ge r + 1/m \\ \text{linear, for other cases.} \end{cases}$ 

 $(A_2)$  Axiom of absolute continuity

 $(A_3) \int_1 \left( \int_2 \tau \, dy \right) \, dx = \int_2 \left( \int_1 \tau \, dx \right) \, dy.$ 

Now we introduce two sorts of auxiliary models.

Definition 4. (a) A weak model for  $L^a_{f_1,f_2}$  is a model  $(\mathfrak{A},I_1,I_2)$  where  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a first-order model and  $I_k$  is what may be called an  $\mathcal{A}$ -Daniell integral on A, that is,  $I_k$  is a positive linear real function on the set of terms with at most one free

variable x and parameters from A, i.e.

$$\begin{split} I_k(r) &= r, \qquad k = 1, 2 \\ I_k(r \cdot \sigma + s \cdot \tau) &= r \cdot I_k(\sigma) + s \cdot I_k(\tau), \\ \text{if } \tau(b, \vec{a})^{\mathfrak{A}} &\geq 0 \quad \text{for all } b \in A, \text{ then } I_k(\tau(x, \vec{a})) \geq 0. \end{split}$$

(b) A middle model for  $L^a_{\mathcal{A}f_1f_2}$  is a weak model  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that for each  $\varepsilon>0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for each term  $\tau(x, \vec{y})$  and  $\vec{a} \in A^n$ , if  $|I_2(\tau(x, \vec{a}))| < \delta$  then  $|I_1(\tau(x,\vec{a}))| < \varepsilon$ .  $\square$ 

In both cases, for  $\tau$  a term, define  $\tau^{\mathfrak{A}}$  inductively as for biprobability models, except that at the integral step, we define

$$\left(\int_k \tau(x,\vec{a}) \, dx\right)^{\mathfrak{A}} = I_k(\tau(x,\vec{a})) .$$

Lemma 1. (Middle Completeness Theorem for  $L^a_{\mathcal{A}f_1f_2}$ ) Let T be a set of sentences of  $L^a_{\mathcal{A}f_1f_2}$  such that T is  $\Sigma_1$ -definable over  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then T is consistent with the axioms of this logic iff it has a middle model in which each theorem of  $L^a_{\mathcal{A}_{f_1,f_2}}$ is true.

The soundness is easy to prove because all the axioms represent known properties of integrals (the Generalized Radon-Nikodym Theorem and the Fubini Theorem prove that each function  $\tau(x,y)^{\mathfrak{A}}: A \times A \to \mathbb{R}$  is compatible with absolutely continuous measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , i.e.

$$\iint \tau(x,y)^{\mathfrak{A}} d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y) = \iint \tau(x,y)^{\mathfrak{A}} d\mu_2(y) d\mu_1(x) .$$

A Henkin argument is used to construct a weak model  $(\mathfrak{A}, I_1, I_2)$  of T in which each theorem of  $L^a_{\mathcal{A}f_1,f_2}$  is true. Let  $K=L\cup C$  be the language introduced in this construction, where C is a set of new constant symbols and  $C \in A$ . We wish the axiom  $A_2$  to hold for all the terms and that is done by the following construction (see [9]).

Let K' be a language with four kinds of variables:  $X, Y, Z, \ldots$  are variables for sets,  $x, y, z, \ldots$  are variables for urelements,  $r, s, t, \ldots$  are variables for reals from  $[0,1] \cap \mathcal{A}$ , and  $U, V, W, \ldots$  are variables for functions  $A^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}, n \geq 0$ . Predicates are:  $E_n^s(\vec{x},X)$  for sets,  $n\geq 1;\; E_{n+1}^t(\vec{x},r,U)$  for terms,  $n\geq 0;\; I_k(U,r)$  for  $U\colon A^0\to\mathbb{R}$  or  $U\colon A^1\to\mathbb{R},\;\;k=1,2;\; \mathrm{and}\;\;\leq\; \mathrm{for\;reals}.$  Function symbols are  $f,g,h,\ldots$  for each continuous real functions  $F:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$  such that  $F\upharpoonright\mathbb{Q}^n\in\mathcal{A}$ . Constant symbols are:  $X_{\varphi}$  for each formula  $\varphi$ ;  $U_{\tau}$  for each term  $\tau$ ; and  $\overline{r}$  for each real number  $r \in [0,1] \cap \mathcal{A}$ .

Let S be the following theory of  $K'_{A}$ :

1. Axioms of validity:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1.1 & (\forall X) \bigwedge_{\substack{n < m \\ n < m}} \neg (\exists \vec{x}, \vec{y}) (E_m^s(\vec{x}, \vec{y}, X) \wedge E_n^s(\vec{x}, X)), \quad \text{where } \{ \, \vec{x} \, \} \cap \{ \, \vec{y} \, \} = \emptyset; \\ 1.2 & (\forall U) \bigwedge_{\substack{n < m \\ n < m}} \neg (\exists \vec{x}, \vec{y}, r, s) (E_{m+1}^t(\vec{x}, \vec{y}, r, U) \wedge E_{n+1}^t(\vec{x}, s, U)); \end{array}$$

1.2 
$$(\forall U) \bigwedge^{n \setminus m} \neg (\exists \vec{x}, \vec{y}, r, s) (E_{m+1}^t(\vec{x}, \vec{y}, r, U) \land E_{n+1}^t(\vec{x}, s, U));$$

$$1.3 \quad (\forall U)(\forall \vec{x}, r, s)((E^t_{n+1}(\vec{x}, r, U) \land E^t_{n+1}(\vec{x}, s, U)) \implies r = s);$$

2. Axioms of extensionality:

2.1 
$$(\forall \vec{x})(E_n^s(\vec{x}, X) \iff E_n^s(\vec{x}, Y)) \iff X = Y;$$

$$2.2 \quad (\forall \vec{x}, r)(E_{n+1}^t(\vec{x}, r, U) \iff E_{n+1}^t(\vec{x}, r, V)) \iff U = V;$$

3. Axioms of terms:

$$3.1 \quad (\forall \vec{x}) (E_{n+1}^t(\vec{x},0,U_\tau) \vee E_{n+1}^t(\vec{x},1,U_\tau)) \quad \text{if $\tau$ is $\mathbf{1}(R(\vec{x}))$};$$

3.2 
$$(\forall x, y)(E_{2+1}^t(x, y, 0, U_{\tau}) \lor E_{2+1}^t(x, y, 1, U_{\tau}))$$
 if  $\tau$  is  $\mathbf{1}(x = y)$ ;  
3.3  $E_{0+1}^t(\overline{\tau}, U_{\tau})$  if  $\tau$  is  $\tau$ ;

3.3 
$$E_{0\perp 1}^t(\overline{r}, U_{\tau})$$
 if  $\tau$  is  $r$ ;

3.5 
$$(\forall \vec{x}, r)(E_{n+1}^t(\vec{x}, r, U_{\tau}) \iff (\exists V)((\forall y, s)(E_{1+1}^t(y, s, V) \iff E_{n+1+1}^t(\vec{x}, y, s, U_{\sigma})) \land I_k(V, r)))$$
 if  $\tau$  is  $\int_k \sigma(\vec{v}, v_0) dv_0$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ ;

4. Axioms of satisfaction:

$$4.1 \quad (\forall \vec{x})(E_n^s(\vec{x},X_\varphi) \iff (\exists r \geq 0)E_{n+1}^t(\vec{x},r,U_\tau)) \quad \text{if } \varphi \text{ is } \tau \geq 0;$$

4.2 
$$(\forall \vec{x})(E_n^s(\vec{x}, X_{\neg \varphi}) \iff \neg E_n^s(\vec{x}, X_{\varphi}));$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 4.2 & (\forall \vec{x})(E_n^s(\vec{x}, X_{\neg \varphi}) & \iff \neg E_n^s(\vec{x}, X_{\varphi})); \\ 4.3 & (\forall \vec{x})(E_n^s(\vec{x}, X_{\land \Phi}) & \iff \bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Phi} E_n^s(\vec{x}, X_{\varphi})); \end{array}$$

5. Axioms of integral operators:

5.1 
$$(\forall U)((\bigwedge_{n\geq 2} \neg(\exists \vec{x},r)E^t_{n+1}(\vec{x},r,U)) \iff (\exists_1 s)I_k(U,s)), \quad k=1,2;$$

5.2 
$$(\forall r)I_k(\overline{U}_r,r);$$

5.3 
$$(\forall U, V, r, s)I_k(r \cdot U + s \cdot V) = r \cdot I_k(U) + s \cdot I_k(V)$$
, where  $I_k(U) = r$  iff  $I_k(U, r)$ ;

5.4 
$$(\forall U)((\forall x)(\exists r \geq 0)E_{1+1}^t(x,r,U) \implies (\exists s \geq 0)I_k(U,s));$$

6. Axiom of absolute continuity:

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)(\forall U)(|I_2(U)| < \delta \implies |I_1(U)| < \varepsilon);$$

- 7. Axioms for an Archimedean field;
- 8. Transformations of axioms of  $K_{A_{f_1,f_2}}^a$ :

 $(\forall \vec{x})E_n^s(\vec{x}, X_{\varphi}), \text{ where } \varphi \text{ is an axiom of this logic;}$ 

9. Axioms of realizability of all sentences  $\varphi$  of T:

$$(\forall x_0)E_1^s(x_0,X_{\varphi}).$$

A weak model  $\langle \mathfrak{A}, I_1, I_2 \rangle$  for  $K^a_{\mathcal{A} f_1 f_2}$  can be transformed to a standard model  $\mathfrak{B}$  for  $K'_{\mathcal{A}}$  by taking:  $B_{\varphi}^{\mathfrak{B}} = \{ \vec{a} \in A^n : \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi[\vec{a}] \}, \ U_{\tau}^{\mathfrak{B}}(\vec{a}) = \tau^{\mathfrak{A}}(\vec{a}) \text{ for } \vec{a} \in A^n \text{ and } \vec{a} \in A^n \text{ a$  $I_k^{\mathfrak{B}}(U_{\tau}^{\mathfrak{B}}) = I_k(\tau)$  for each term  $\tau$  with at most one free variable. By the Barwise Compactness Theorem (see [1]), it can be shown that S has a standard model  $\mathfrak{D}$ , because S is  $\Sigma$ -definable over  $\mathfrak A$  and  $A_2$  holds in  $\mathfrak A$ .  $\mathfrak D$  can be transformed to a middle model  $\mathfrak{C}$  of T by taking:

$$R^{\mathfrak{C}} = \{ \, \vec{x} \in D^n : E_n^s(\vec{x}, X_{\mathbf{1}(R(\vec{x}))=1}) \, \} \quad \text{and} \quad I_k^{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau(x, \vec{a})) = I_k^{\mathfrak{D}}(U_{\tau(x, \vec{a})}) \quad \text{for } \vec{a} \in D^n \text{ and } k = 1, 2.$$

This completes the proof of the Middle Completeness Theorem.  $\Box$ 

In order to construct an absolutely continuous biprobability model, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2. (Loeb [4]) In an  $\omega_1$ -saturated nonstandard universe, let M be an internal vector lattice of functions from an internal set A into  ${}^*\mathbb{R}$  (the set of hyperreal numbers), and let I be an internal positive linear functional on M, such that  $1 \in M$  and I(1) = 1. Then there is a complete probability measure  $\mu$  on A such that for each finitely bounded  $\varphi \in M$ , the standard part of  $\varphi$  is integrable with respect to  $\mu$  and its integral is equal to the standard part of  $I(\varphi)$ .

Theorem 1. (Completeness Theorem for  $L^a_{\mathcal{A} f_1 f_2}$ ) Let T be a set of sentences of  $L^a_{\mathcal{A} f_1 f_2}$  such that T is  $\Sigma_1$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  and consistent. Then there is an absolutely continuous biprobability model of T.

Proof. Let  $\langle \mathfrak{A}, I_1, I_2 \rangle$  be a middle model of T in which each theorem of  $L^a_{\mathcal{A} \int_1 \int_2}$  is true. The Daniell integral construction from Lemma 2 produces probability measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  on  ${}^*A$  such that for each \*-term  $\tau(x)$ , the standard part of  ${}^*I_k(\tau)$  is the integral  $\int \operatorname{st}(\tau(b)^{\mathfrak{A}}) \, d\mu_k(b)$  (we define measures  $\mu_n^k$  on  ${}^*A^n$  by using iterated integrals). The absolute continuity in the middle model  $\mathfrak{A}$  implies the absolute continuity for all measurable sets. Also, using axiom  $A_3$ , it can be shown that  $\mu_n^1 \ll \mu_n^2$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This graded biprobability model  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}} = \langle {}^*\mathfrak{A}, \mu_n^1, \mu_n^2 \rangle$  can be used to produce an absolutely continuous biprobability model of T (see [3]).  $\square$ 

We can look only for a part of  $L^a_{\mathcal{A} f_1 f_2}$  which satisfies the finite compactness property, because this logic cannot satisfy the full compactness (for example, each finite subset of  $T = \{ \int_1 \mathbf{1}(R(x)) dx > 0 \} \cup \{ \int_1 \mathbf{1}(R(x)) dx \leq \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ , where R is a unary predicate, has a probability model, but not T itself).

Theorem 2. Let T be a set of sentences of  $L^a_{\mathcal{A} f_1 f_2}$  of the form  $\tau \in [r,s]$ . If every finite subset of T has a graded biprobability model, then T has a graded biprobability model.

*Proof.* Let us suppose that each finite subset  $\Psi \subseteq T$  has a model  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Psi}$ . By Lemma 1 we can suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Psi}$  is a middle model. Take an ultraproduct \* $\mathfrak{A}$  such that, for each  $\varphi \in T$ , almost every  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Psi}$  satisfies  $\varphi$ . Then form a graded biprobability model  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$  from \* $\mathfrak{A}$  by the Daniell integral construction (Lemma 2). It can be shown by induction that every sentence of  $L^a_{\mathcal{A}f_1f_2}$  of the form  $\tau \in [r,s]$  which is true in almost all  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Psi}$  holds in  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$ , too. The absolute continuity condition can be expressed in the middle model by the first-order sentence

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)(\forall U)(|I_2(U)| < \delta \implies |I_1(U)| < \varepsilon)$$
.

By Los's Theorem and Loeb construction the sentence

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)(\forall X)(\mu_2(X) < \delta \implies \mu_1(X) < \varepsilon)$$

holds in  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$ .  $\square$ 

- **2.** The logic  $L^s_{\mathcal{A}f_1f_2}$ . Axioms and rules of inference for the logic  $L^s_{\mathcal{A}f_1f_2}$  are those of  $L_{\mathcal{A}f}$  (with both  $\int_1$  and  $\int_2$  in place of  $\int$ , see [3]) together with the axioms of continuity  $A_1$  and
  - $(A_4) \ \, Axiom \ \, of \ \, singularity: \\ \bigvee_k \int_i \mathbb{H}_k \left( \int_1 \mathbf{1}(x=y) \, dy, \int_2 \mathbf{1}(x=y) \, dy \right) dx = 0, \quad i=1,2, \\ \text{where} \ \, H_k(s,t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{if} \ \, s \geq \frac{2}{k} \ \, \text{and} \ \, t \geq \frac{2}{k} \\ 0, & \text{if} \ \, s \leq \frac{1}{k} \ \, \text{or} \ \, t \leq \frac{1}{k} \\ \text{linear}, & \text{for other cases} \end{array} \right. .$

Theorem 3. (Completeness Theorem for  $L^s_{\mathcal{A} f_1 f_2}$ ) A theory T of  $L^s_{\mathcal{A} f_1 f_2}$  is consistent iff T has a singular biprobability model.

*Proof.* The proof of soundness is easy. Let  $\langle \mathfrak{A}, I_1, I_2 \rangle$  be a weak model of T in which each theorem of  $L^s_{\mathcal{A} f_1 f_2}$  is true. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{B \subseteq A : \chi_B \in \mathrm{dom}(I_1) = \mathrm{dom}(I_2)\}$  be an algebra of subsets of A, where  $\chi_B(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in B \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin B \end{cases}$ . Define finitely additive probability measures  $\nu_1$ ,  $\nu_2$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\nu_k(B) = I_k(\chi_B)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  and k = 1, 2.

Then, for  $a \in A$ , the singleton  $\{a\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal F$  because  $\chi_{\{a\}} = (x=a)^{\mathfrak A}$ , the set  $B = \{a \in A : \nu_1\{a\} > 0 \& \nu_2\{a\} > 0\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal F$  and  $\nu_k(B) = 0 k = 1, 2$  by  $A_4$ .

By construction from [7], the measures  $\nu_1, \nu_2$  can be extended so that  $\nu_1 \subseteq \overline{\nu}_1$ ,  $\nu_2 \subseteq \overline{\nu}_2$  and the measures  $\overline{\nu}_1, \overline{\nu}_2$  are singular. Then construct a middle biprobability model  $\langle \mathfrak{A}, \overline{I}_1, \overline{I}_2 \rangle$  of T by

$$\operatorname{dom}(\overline{I}_k) = \operatorname{dom}(I_k) \cup \{ \chi_C : C \in \overline{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \mathcal{F} \} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{I}_k(\chi_C) = \overline{\nu}_k(C),$$

for each C from the extension  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

By Loeb's construction (Lemma 2) and the construction of the biprobability model from a graded biprobability model (see [3]), the singularity of finitely additive measures in the middle model will be preserved in the biprobability model.  $\Box$ 

Finally, we prove Finite Compactness Theorem for the singular case.

Theorem 4. Let T be a set of sentences of  $L^s_{\mathcal{A}f_1f_2}$  of the form  $\tau \in [r,s]$ . If every finite subset of T has a graded biprobability model, then T has a graded biprobability model.

Proof. As in Theorem 2, our proof is based on the ultraproduct and Daniell integral construction. Now, we can suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Psi}$  is a weak model for each finite subset  $\Psi \subseteq T$ . Let  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{\Psi}$  be a middle model as in Theorem 3. Take an ultraproducts  ${}^*\mathfrak{A} = \prod \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{\Psi}$  such that, for each  $\varphi \in T$ , almost every  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{\Psi}$  satisfies  $\varphi$ . The condition of singularity can be express in the middle model by the first-order sentence  $(\exists f)(\overline{I}_1(f) = 1 \land \overline{I}_2 = 0)$ . By Los's Theorem and Loeb's construction the sentence  $(\exists X)(\mu_1(X) = 1 \land \mu_2(X) = 0)$  holds in  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$ .  $\square$ 

## REFERENCES

- $[1]\,$  J. Barwise,  $Admissible\ Sets\ and\ Structures,$  Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [2] H.J. Keisler, *Hyperfinite model theory*; in Logic Colloquium '76, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977, pp.5-110.
- [3] H.J. Keisler, *Probability quantifiers*; in Model Theoretic Languages (J. Barwise and S. Feferman, eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, pp. 509-556.
- [4] P.A. Loeb, A functional approach to nonstandard measure theory, Contemporary Math. 26 (1984), 251-261.
- [5] J. Los, E. Marczewski, Extension of measures, Fund. Math. 36 (1949), 267-276.
- [6] M.D. Rašković, Completeness theorem for biprobability models, J. Symbolic Logic 51, (1986), 586-590.
- [7] M.D. Rašković, Completeness theorem for singular biprobability models, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1988), 389-391.
- [8] M.D. Rašković, R.S. Dorđević, Finite compactness theorem for biprobability logics, Math. Balkanica 5 (1991), 12-14.
- [9] R.S. Dordević, Analytic completeness theorem for absolutely continuous biprobability models, Zeitschr. Math. Logik Grundlagen Math. 38 (1992), 241-246.

Prirodno-matematički fakultet Radoja Domanovića 12 34001 Kragujevac, p.p. 60 Jugoslavija (Received 16 11 1992)