

## GENERALIZATION OF THE MERCER THEOREM

Milutin Dostanić

**Abstract.** It was shown that the bilinear series of the given operator  $A = H(I + S)$  ( $H = H^*$ ,  $S = S^*$ ) uniformly converges to its kernel.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we will prove some results related to possibilities of representation of integral operator kernels (close to the selfadjoint ones) by bilinear series. Let  $A$  be an integral operator acting on  $L^2[a, b]$  with a continuous symmetric kernel  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$  ( $b - a < \infty$ ). Let  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalues and  $\phi_i$  the corresponding eigenvectors, forming an orthonormal system.

It is well known [3] the following classical Mercer theorem: If all eigenvalues of the operator  $A$  are positive, then

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \phi_i(x) \cdot \overline{\phi_i(y)}$$

where the convergence is uniform on  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ .

In [1] a similar statement is proved for operators of the form  $A = H(I + S)$ , where  $H$  and  $S$  are integral operators with symmetric continuous kernels such that  $H > 0$  and the spectar of the operator  $S$  lies within  $(-1, \|S\|)$ . We shall prove that a similar statement is valid for operators  $S$  for which  $I + S$  is not necessarily positive.

### 2. Main result

We shall consider operators  $A = H(I + S)$ , where  $H$  and  $S$  are selfadjoint integral operators with continuous kernels  $H(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $S(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ . It is

obvious that the operator  $A$  is compact. Let  $\lambda_i$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_i$  be eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $A^*$ , and  $\phi_i$  and  $\psi_i$  appropriate eigenvectors normed such that  $(\phi_i, \psi_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . (By  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  we denote the inner product in  $L^2[a, b]$  space, i.e.  $(f, g) = \int_a^b f(x)\overline{g(x)} dx$ ,  $f, g \in L^2[a, b]$ .) Let  $\mathcal{A}(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the kernel of the integral operator  $A = H(I + S)$ . The main result of the paper is the following

**THEOREM.** *If  $H$  is a positive operator such that  $\text{Ker}H = \{0\}$  and  $-1$  is a regular point of  $S$ , then*

$$\mathcal{A}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \phi_i(x) \cdot \overline{\psi_i(y)} \quad (1)$$

where convergence is uniform on  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ .

*Proof.* Since the operator  $S$  is selfadjoint with continuous kernel on  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ , it is also compact, so that the following expansion holds

$$S = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \mu_{\nu}(\cdot, e_{\nu})e_{\nu}$$

where  $\{e_{\nu}\}$  is an orthonormal base of the space  $L^2[a, b]$ , formed by the eigenvectors of  $S$ . (Some of  $\mu_{\nu}$  are equal to zero.) Let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k < -1 < \mu_{k+1}, \mu_{k+2}, \dots$ . We write

$$I + S = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (1 + \mu_{\nu})(\cdot, e_{\nu})e_{\nu}.$$

Let us introduce an operator in the following way

$$|I + S| = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |1 + \mu_{\nu}|(\cdot, e_{\nu})e_{\nu}.$$

The operator  $|I + S|$  is positive and invertible since  $-1 \in \rho(S)$ . Thus, the operators  $|I + S|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |1 + \mu_{\nu}|^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, e_{\nu})e_{\nu}$  and  $|I + S|^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |1 + \mu_{\nu}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, e_{\nu})e_{\nu}$  are positive and invertible. We shall consider the operators  $A_1 = |I + S|^{\frac{1}{2}}A|I + S|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $J = (I + S)|I + S|^{-1}$ , where  $A = H(I + S)$ . It is clear that

$$J = - \sum_{\nu=1}^k (\cdot, e_{\nu})e_{\nu} + \sum_{\nu=k+1}^{\infty} (\cdot, e_{\nu})e_{\nu}.$$

Let us introduce projectors  $P_+$  and  $P_-$  in the following way:  $P_- = \sum_{\nu=1}^k (\cdot, e_{\nu})e_{\nu}$ ,  $P_+ = \sum_{\nu=k+1}^{\infty} (\cdot, e_{\nu})e_{\nu}$ ; then we obtain  $J = P_+ - P_-$ . It is obvious that  $P_+ + P_- = I$ ,  $J^* = J$ ,  $J^2 = J$ . Let us now introduce an indefinite product  $[x, y] = (Jx, y)$ , where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the inner product in  $L^2(a, b)$ ,  $x, y \in L^2(a, b)$ . Using the commutativity of  $|I + S|^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $I + S$  and the fact that  $\text{Ker}H = \{0\}$ , we obtain

$$[A_1x, y] = [x, A_1y] \quad \forall x, y \in L^2(a, b), \quad (2)$$

$$[A_1 x, x] > 0, \quad \forall x \in L^2(a, b), x \neq 0. \quad (3)$$

Since the operator  $A_1$  is compact and by (3)  $J$  is positive, there exists (see [2])  $J$  orthonormal system of the eigenvectors of  $A_1$ , so that the spectral theorem is valid, i.e.:

$$A_1 \phi'_n = \lambda_n \phi'_n, \quad [\phi'_n, \phi'_m] = \delta_{nm} \quad (4)$$

$$A_1 f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \cdot [f, \phi'_n] \phi'_n \quad (5)$$

where the convergence in (5) is the convergence in the  $L^2$  norm. Moreover, the system  $\phi'_n$  is the base of the space  $L^2(a, b)$ . (see [2, p. 271]). By (4) it is clear that  $\lambda_n > 0$ . Really, by (4) we have  $[A_1 \phi'_n, \phi'_n] = \lambda_n \cdot [\phi'_n, \phi'_n] = \lambda_n$ , so that, by (3), we have that  $\lambda_n > 0$ . Bearing in mind the definitions of the operators  $A_1$  and  $J$ , we can write the relation (5) in the following way

$$|I + S|^{\frac{1}{2}} A |I + S|^{-\frac{1}{2}} f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \cdot (Jf, \phi'_n) \cdot \phi'_n. \quad (6)$$

Certainly, the convergence in (6) is the convergence in the  $L^2$  norm. Applying the bounded operator  $|I + S|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  to the equality (6) and introducing the vectors  $\phi_n = |I + S|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi'_n$  we obtain  $A\phi_n = \lambda_n \phi_n$  and

$$A |I + S|^{-\frac{1}{2}} f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \cdot ((I + S) \cdot |I + S|^{-\frac{1}{2}} f, \phi_n) \cdot \phi_n. \quad (7)$$

The operator  $|I + S|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  has bounded inverse, so that

$$Af = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \cdot (f, (I + S)\phi_n) \cdot \phi_n. \quad (8)$$

where convergence in (8) is taken as the convergence in the  $L^2$  norm. From  $[\phi'_n, \phi'_n] = \delta_{nm}$ , the definitions of the operator  $J$  and vectors  $\phi_n$  it follows that  $\delta_{mn} = [\phi'_n, \phi'_m] = ((I + S)\phi_n, \phi_n)$ . If we introduce vectors  $\psi_n = (I + S)\phi_n$ , then we have that  $(\phi_n, \psi_m) = \delta_{nm}$ , which shows that the expansion (8) can be written as

$$Af = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (f, \psi_n) \phi_n. \quad (9)$$

The expansion (9) converges in the  $L^2$  norm and is valid for all  $f \in L^2(a, b)$ . Since the system  $\phi'_n$  is the base of  $L^2$ , (because of invertibility of  $|I + S|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $I + S$ ) the systems  $\phi_n$  and  $\psi_n$  are also bases of  $L^2(a, b)$ . Continuity of kernels of the operators  $A$  and  $A\phi_n = \lambda_n \phi_n$  imply that the functions  $\phi_n$  are continuous on  $[a, b]$ . That

implies that the functions  $\psi_n = (I + S)\phi_n$  are also continuous on  $[a, b]$  (because the integral operator  $S$  has continuous kernel). The expansion (9) implies

$$A^* f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (f, \phi_n) \psi_n \quad (10)$$

so that  $A^* \psi_n = \lambda_n \cdot \psi_n$  holds. Since  $A = H(I + S)$ , we have  $A^* = (I + S)H$  so, (because  $\psi_n = (I + S)\phi_n$ ) the expansion (10) can be written as

$$(I + S)Hf = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (f, \phi_n) (I + S)\phi_n. \quad (11)$$

The operator  $(I + S)^{-1}$  is bounded, so that (11) implies

$$Hf = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (f, \phi_n) \phi_n. \quad (12)$$

The convergence in (12) is the convergence in the  $L^2$  norm. The expansion (12) will play the main role in proving the main result. Notice that (12) is not spectral expansion of the selfadjoint operator  $H$  because the functions  $\phi_n$  do not form orthonormal system. In the case when  $S = 0$  the operator  $A$  becomes  $H$ , the system  $\phi_n$  becomes orthonormal and the expansion (12) represents the spectral theorem for  $H$ . Let us prove that

$$H(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_n(x) \overline{\phi_n(y)} \quad (13)$$

where convergence in (13) is uniform on  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ . Let us introduce a continuous function  $H_n(x, y) = H(x, y) - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \phi_k(x) \overline{\phi_k(y)}$ . Because of (12) we have that, for  $f \in L^2(a, b)$

$$\int_a^b H_n(x, y) f(y) dy = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (f, \phi_k) \phi_k. \quad (14)$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_n$  denotes an integral operator whose kernel is  $H_n(\cdot, \cdot)$ . From (14) we obtain

$$(\mathcal{H}_n f, f) \geq 0. \quad (15)$$

Let us prove that  $H_n(x, x) \geq 0$  on  $[a, b]$ . Suppose contrary, i.e. that there is  $x_0 \in [a, b]$  so that  $H_n(x_0, x_0) < 0$ . The definition of the function  $H_n$  implies

$$\overline{H_n(y, x)} = H_n(x, y) \quad (16)$$

Let us write the function  $H_n$  as  $H_n(x, y) = P_n(x, y) + iQ_n(x, y)$ , where the functions  $P_n(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $Q_n(\cdot, \cdot)$  are real and continuous. Now, by (16) we have

$$P_n(x, y) = P_n(y, x), Q_n(x, y) = -Q_n(y, x), \quad \forall x, y \in [a, b]. \quad (17)$$

That implies  $Q_n(x_0, x_0) = 0$  so that the condition  $H_n(x_0, x_0) < 0$  means nothing but  $P_n(x_0, x_0) < 0$ . Since  $P_n(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a real and continuous function, there is a neighbourhood  $U \times U$ , where  $U = (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ , of the point  $(x_0, x_0)$  so that any  $(x, y) \in U \times U$  satisfies  $P_n(x, y) < 0$ . We shall introduce a function

$$f_\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \notin U \\ 1, & x \in U. \end{cases}$$

The function  $f_\delta$  is from  $L^2(a, b)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}_n f_\delta, f_\delta) &= \iint_{U \times U} H_n(x, y) dx dy = \\ &= \iint_{U \times U} P_n(x, y) dx dy + i \iint_{U \times U} Q_n(x, y) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since (by (17)) the integral  $\iint_{U \times U} Q_n(x, y) dx dy$  is equal to zero, we have

$$(\mathcal{H}_n f_\delta, f_\delta) = \iint_{U \times U} P_n(x, y) dx dy.$$

The function  $P(\cdot, \cdot)$  is negative on the square  $U \times U$ , so that  $(\mathcal{H}_n f_\delta, f_\delta) < 0$  what contradicts (15). Thus,  $H_n(x, x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$  is proved. Now, we can obtain, because of the definition of the function  $H_n$ , that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |\phi_k(x)|^2 \leq H(x, x) \quad (18)$$

is true. Since  $H$  is continuous, we have  $H(x, x) \leq M < \infty$  for  $x \in [a, b]$ , and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k |\phi_k(x)|^2 \leq M$ .

Choose  $x_0 \in [a, b]$ . Then from

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=p}^q \lambda_n \phi_n(x_0) \overline{\phi_n(y)} \right|^2 &\leq \sum_{n=p}^q \lambda_n |\phi_n(x_0)|^2 \cdot \sum_{n=p}^q \lambda_n |\phi_n(y)|^2 \leq \\ &M \sum_{n=p}^q \lambda_n |\phi_n(x_0)|^2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (p, q \longrightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

it follows that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_n(x_0) \overline{\phi_n(y)} \quad (19)$$

uniformly converges to  $B(x_0, y)$ , say, which is continuous function of  $y$ . Let  $f \in C[a, b]$  be an arbitrary function. The uniform convergence of the series (19) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b B(x_0, y) f(y) dy &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_n(x_0) \int_a^b f(y) \overline{\phi_n(y)} dy \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_n(x_0) (f, \phi_n). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Let us prove that

$$\int_a^b H(x_0, y) f(y) dy = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_n(x_0) (f, \phi_n). \quad (21)$$

The systems  $\phi_n$  and  $\psi_n$  are bases of  $L^2[a, b]$  and  $(\phi_n, \psi_m) = \delta_{mn}$ . Then we obtain  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f, \phi_n) \psi_n$ . Because of that, we can write

$$\|f - f_n\|_{L^2(a, b)} \rightarrow 0, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (22)$$

where  $f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (f, \phi_k) \psi_k$ . Notice that, by (12), we have  $H\psi_k = \lambda_k \phi_k$  and we obtain

$$(Hf_n)(x_0) = \int_a^b H(x_0, y) \sum_{k=1}^n (f, \phi_k) \psi_k(y) dy = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k (f, \phi_k) \phi_k(x_0).$$

Since  $(Hf)(x_0) = \int_a^b H(x_0, y) f(y) dy$ , we have the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (Hf)(x_0) - \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_{\nu} (f, \phi_{\nu}) \phi_{\nu}(x_0) \right|^2 &= \left| \int_a^b H(x_0, y) (f(y) - f_n(y)) dy \right|^2 \\ &\leq \int_a^b |H(x_0, y)|^2 dy \cdot \|f - f_n\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

(because of (22)) what proves the equality (21). Now, if we combine (20) and (21), we obtain that for any  $f \in L^2(a, b)$

$$\int_a^b B(x_0, y) f(y) dy = \int_a^b H(x_0, y) f(y) dy$$

i.e.

$$\int_a^b (B(x_0, y) - H(x_0, y)) f(y) dy = 0.$$

If we have chosen the continuous function  $f(y) = \overline{B(x_0, y) - H(x_0, y)}$  instead of  $f$ , we would have obtained

$$\int_a^b |B(x_0, y) - H(x_0, y)|^2 dy = 0$$

implying that  $B(x_0, y) = H(x_0, y)$  for all  $y \in [a, b]$ . If we put  $y = x_0$  in the preceding equality, then we will have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\phi_n(x_0)|^2 = H(x_0, x_0).$$

Since  $x_0$  is arbitrary in the interval  $[a, b]$  and the function  $H(\cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous, we have, by the Dini's theorem, that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\phi_n(x)|^2$  uniformly converges on  $[a, b]$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\phi_n(x)|^2 = H(x, x)$

The uniform convergence of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\phi_n(x)|^2 = H(x, x)$  on  $[a, b]$  and

$$\psi_n(x) = (I + S)\phi_n(x) = \phi_n(x) + \int_a^b S(x, y)\phi_n(y) dy$$

show that series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\psi_n(x)|^2$  also uniformly converges on  $[a, b]$ . Let us now prove that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_n(x) \overline{\psi_n(y)}$  uniformly converges on  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ . It follows directly from the estimation

$$\left| \sum_{n=p}^q \lambda_n \phi_n(x) \overline{\psi_n(y)} \right|^2 \leq \sum_{n=p}^q \lambda_n |\phi_n(x)|^2 \cdot \sum_{n=p}^q \lambda_n |\psi_n(y)|^2$$

and from the uniform convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\psi_n(x)|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\phi_n(x)|^2$$

on the interval  $[a, b]$ . Since the systems  $\phi_n$  and  $\psi_n$  are bases of  $L^2(a, b)$  and  $(\phi_n, \psi_m) = \delta_{nm}$ , the systems  $\Phi_{np}$  and  $G_{mq}$ , where  $\Phi_{np}(x, y) = \phi_n(x) \overline{\psi_p(y)}$  and  $G_{mq}(x, y) = \psi_m(x) \overline{\phi_q(y)}$ , are the bases of  $L^2((a, b) \times (a, b))$  and

$$(\Phi_{np}, G_{mq})_{L^2((a,b) \times (a,b))} = \delta_{nm} \delta_{qp}$$

is valid. Because of that we have

$$\mathcal{A}(x, y) = \sum_{n,p=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{A}, G_{n,p})_{L^2((a,b) \times (a,b))} \Phi_{np}(x, y) \quad (23)$$

where the convergence in (23) is taken as the convergence in  $L^2((a, b) \times (a, b))$  norm.

Since  $(\mathcal{A}, G_{np})_{L^2((a,b) \times (a,b))} = (\mathcal{A} \phi_n, \psi_n)_{L^2(a,b)} = \lambda_n$ , the expansion (23) can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_n(x) \overline{\psi_n(y)}$$

where the convergence in  $L^2((a, b) \times (a, b))$  norm. As it is already shown that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_n(x) \overline{\psi_n(y)}$  uniformly converges on  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_n(x) \overline{\psi_n(y)}$$

where the convergence is uniform on  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ . That proves the theorem.

*Remark 1* The theorem also holds for operators whose kernels are functions  $H(\cdot, \cdot), S(\cdot, \cdot) : R^{2k} \rightarrow R$  if those kernels satisfy condition of the theorem.

*Remark 2* The theorem can be used to establish the corresponding expansion theorems with respect to system of eigenfunctions for some nonselfadjoint differential operators whose inverse operators have the form  $H(I + S)$ , where  $H > 0$ ,  $\text{Ker}H = \{0\}$ ,  $S = S^*$  and  $-1 \in \rho(S)$ .

*Example* Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be selfadjoint differential operators generated by differential expressions

$$l_1(y) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i y^{(i)}, \quad \alpha_i = \alpha_i(x) \quad l_2(y) = \sum_{i=0}^m \beta_i y^{(i)}, \quad \beta_i = \beta_i(x)$$

and by selfadjoint boundary condition

$$U_i^{(1)}(y) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad U_j^{(2)}(y) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Suppose that  $L_1$  is a positive differential operator. Let  $\text{Ker}L_2 = \text{Ker}L_1 = \{0\}$  and  $-1$  be regular point of the operator  $L_2$ . Denote by  $G(\cdot, \cdot)$  Green function of the operator  $L_2 + I$ . We consider the operator

$$Ly = \int_0^1 G(x, \xi) l_2(l_1(y(\xi))) d\xi$$

with boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} U_i^{(1)}(y) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ U_j^{(2)}(l_1(y)) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

Then every function  $f \in C^{m+n}[a, b]$  satisfying boundary conditions (\*) can be expanded in a uniform convergent series with respect to a system of eigenfunctions of the operator  $L$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M.R.Dostanić *Generalizations of Mercer's theorem for a class of nonselfadjoint operators*, Mat.Vesnik **41** (1989), 22-29.
- [2] T.Ya. Azizov, I.S. Iohvidov, *Foundation of the Linear Operators Theory in the Space with Indefinite Metric*, Science, Moscow 1986.
- [3] Frederic Riesz, Bela SzNagy *Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle*, Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 1972.

Institut za primenjenu  
matematiku i elektroniku  
Kneza Miloša 37  
11000 Beograd  
Jugoslavija

(Received 28 06 1993)