

## DERIVATIONS OF SKEW POLYNOMIAL RINGS

Naoki Hamaguchi and Atsushi Nakajima

*Dedicated to Professor Yukio Tsushima on his 60th birthday*

*Communicated by Žarko Mijajlović*

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring of characteristic zero. Under certain conditions we determine the type of derivations of a skew polynomial ring  $A_n = R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$  over  $R$ , where  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$  are derivations of  $R$  commuting to each other, and we examine properties of the ideals of  $A_n$ .

### 0. Introduction

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity 1 and  $d$  a derivation of  $R$ . A skew polynomial ring  $R[X; d]$  is defined as the set of all polynomials  $\sum_{i=0}^n r_i X^i$  with usual addition and the following multiplication:

$$Xr = rX + d(r) \quad \text{for all } r \in R.$$

For derivations  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$  of  $R$ , we can also construct a skew polynomial ring  $A_n = R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$  such that

$$X_i r = r X_i + d_i(r) \quad \text{and} \quad X_i X_j = X_j X_i$$

for any  $r \in R$ . The properties of these skew polynomial rings have been discussed by many authors (see for example [C-F], [J1] and [V2]). In [V2], Voskoglou has given the properties of the skew polynomial ring over a ring  $R$  of prime characteristic which are connected with the  $\mathcal{D}$ -simplicity of  $R$  with respect to a set of derivations  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $R$ .

In this paper, we determine the type of derivations  $D$  of the skew polynomial ring  $A_n$  and we examine properties of its ideals.

In the following,  $R$  will denote a commutative ring with identity 1, and  $n \cdot 1 = n$  not a zero divisor in  $R$  for any integer  $n > 0$ .

### 1. Preliminaries

Let  $d$  be a derivation of  $R$  and let  $R[X; d]$  be the skew polynomial ring over  $R$  defined with respect to  $d$ . Firstly, we treat derivations of  $R[X; d]$ . The following relation is easily obtained by  $Xr = rX + d(r)$  and by applying induction on  $n$ .

$$(1.1) \quad X^n r = rX^n + nd(r)X^{n-1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}d^2(r)X^{n-2} + \cdots + d^n(r).$$

In this paper, for  $R$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , an additive map  $D : A \rightarrow B$  is called a *derivation* if for any  $x, y \in A$ ,

$$D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y) \quad \text{and} \quad D(R) \subseteq R.$$

The following lemma is elementary in our computation.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let  $f \in R[X; d]$  and let  $s \in R$ . Assume that there exists an element  $\alpha \in R$  such that  $d(\alpha) \neq 0$  is not a zero divisor. Then, if  $f\alpha = \alpha f + s$ ,  $f = r_1X + r_0$  for some  $r_0, r_1 \in R$  and  $s = r_1d(\alpha)$ . In particular, if  $s = 0$ , then  $f = r_0 \in R$ .*

PROOF. We set  $f = r_nX^n + r_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + r_1X + r_0$  ( $r_i \in R$ ). Then, by (1.1) and  $f\alpha - \alpha f - s = 0$ , the coefficient  $nr_nd(\alpha)$  of  $X^{n-1}$  is zero, which means  $r_n = 0$ . Therefore, by induction, we have  $f = r_1X + r_0$  and  $s = r_1d(\alpha)$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 1.2. *Assume that there exists an element  $\alpha \in R$  such that  $d(\alpha) \neq 0$  is not a zero divisor. If  $D : R[X; d] \rightarrow R[X; d]$  is a derivation, then  $D(X) = r_1X + r_0$  for some  $r_0, r_1 \in R$ . In particular if there exists an element  $\xi \in R$  such that  $(Dd - dD)(\xi) = 0$  and  $d(\xi)$  is not a zero divisor, then  $D(X) = r_0 \in R$ .*

PROOF. We set  $D(X) = f = r_nX^n + r_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + r_1X + r_0$  ( $r_i \in R$ ). Since  $Xr = rX + d(r)$  for any  $r \in R$ , we have

$$(1.2) \quad D(X)r = rD(X) + (Dd - dD)(r) \quad \text{for any } r \in R,$$

which shows that  $fr = rf + (Dd - dD)(r)$ . Thus, the result is obtained by Lemma 1.1.  $\square$

COROLLARY 1.1. *Assume that there exists an element  $\alpha \in R$  such that  $d(\alpha) \neq 0$  is not a zero divisor. If  $I_g$  is an inner derivation by  $g \in R[X; d]$ , then  $g = r_1X + r_0$ . In particular if  $I_gd = dI_g$ , then  $d(r_1)d(r) = 0$  for any  $r \in R$ .*

PROOF. If  $I_g$  is an inner derivation by  $g \in R[X; d]$ , then, by the definition of derivation,  $I_g(R) \subset R$  and so  $I_g(r) = gr - rg \in R$ . Then, by Lemma 1.1,  $g = r_1X + r_0$ . Moreover if  $I_gd = dI_g$ , then, by  $I_gd(r) = dI_g(r)$ , we get the result.  $\square$

### 2. Ideals of $R[X; d]$

In this section, we treat some properties of ideals of  $R[X; d]$ . Let  $D$  be a derivation of a ring  $A$  and  $I$  an ideal of  $A$ .  $I$  is called a *D-ideal* if  $D(I) \subseteq I$ . If  $A$  has no  $D$ -ideal except 0 and  $A$ , then  $A$  is said to be *D-simple*. For a subset  $S$  of  $R$ , we set  $S[X; d] = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n s_i X^i \mid s_i \in S, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}$ . Then we have the following:

LEMMA 2.1. (1) Let  $d$  be a derivation of  $R$ . If  $I$  is a  $d$ -ideal of  $R$ , then  $I[X; d]$  is an ideal of  $R[X; d]$ .

(2) If  $\mathfrak{S}$  is an ideal of  $R[X; d]$ , then  $\mathfrak{S} \cap R$  is a  $d$ -ideal of  $R$ .

PROOF. See Lemma 1.3 (cases i and ii) of [J1]. □

LEMMA 2.2. Assume that  $n = n \cdot 1$  is invertible in  $R$  for any integer  $n > 0$ . Assume also that there exists an element  $\alpha \in R$  such that  $d(\alpha)$  is invertible. If  $\mathfrak{S}$  is an ideal of  $R[X; d]$ , then  $\mathfrak{S} \cap R$  is equal to the set of all coefficients of all polynomials  $f$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be an ideal of  $R[X; d]$  and let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i X^i \in \mathfrak{S}$ . Since

$$f\alpha - \alpha f = r_n n d(\alpha) X^{n-1} + \text{terms of lower degree}$$

is contained in  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $nd(\alpha)$  is invertible, we see

$$r_n X^{n-1} + s_{n-2} X^{n-2} + \dots + s_1 X + s_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$$

for some  $s_i \in R$ . Repeating this method, we have  $r_n \in \mathfrak{S}$ . Thus,  $f - r_n X^n = r_{n-1} X^{n-1} + \dots + r_1 X + r_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$ . Using this process, we see that if  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i X^i \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then all the coefficients of  $f$  are contained in  $\mathfrak{S}$  and thus  $\mathfrak{S} \cap R$  is equal to the set of all coefficients of all polynomials in  $\mathfrak{S}$ . □

COROLLARY 2.1. Let  $D$  be a derivation of  $R[X; d]$  and let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be an ideal of  $R[X; d]$ . Then under the assumptions of Lemma 2.2,  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a  $D$ -ideal if and only if  $\mathfrak{S} \cap R$  is a  $D$ -ideal.

PROOF. Let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i X^i \in \mathfrak{S}$ . Then, by  $D(f) = \sum_{i=0}^n D(r_i) X^i + \sum_{i=0}^n r_i D(X^i)$  and  $r_i \in \mathfrak{S}$  for any  $i$ ,  $D(f) \in \mathfrak{S}$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=0}^n D(r_i) X^i \in \mathfrak{S}$ . By Lemma 2.2, this is equivalent to  $D(r_i) \in \mathfrak{S}$  for any  $i$ . □

Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all  $d$ -ideals of  $R$  and let  $\Lambda$  be the set of all ideals of  $R[X; d]$ . Then we have a correspondence

$$\Phi : \Gamma \ni I \mapsto I[X; d] \in \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi : \Lambda \ni \mathfrak{S} \mapsto \mathfrak{S} \cap R \in \Gamma.$$

Under these notations, we see the following:

THEOREM 2.1. Assume that  $n = n \cdot 1$  is invertible in  $R$  for any integer  $n > 0$  and there exists an element  $\alpha \in R$  such that  $d(\alpha)$  is invertible. Then there exists an order preserving lattice isomorphism of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$ .

PROOF. If  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are  $d$ -ideals of  $R$  such that  $I_1 \subset I_2$ , then, by Lemma 2.1,  $I_1[X; d] \subset I_2[X; d]$  is clear. Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  are ideals of  $R[X; d]$  such that  $\mathfrak{S}_1 \subset \mathfrak{S}_2$ , then, by Lemma 2.2,  $\mathfrak{S}_1 \cap R \subset \mathfrak{S}_2 \cap R$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S}_1 \cap R$  is the set of all coefficients of polynomials in  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ ,  $\Psi\Phi = I_\Gamma$  and  $\Phi\Psi = I_\Lambda$  are clear. □

### 3. Derivations and ideals of $\mathbf{R}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$

It is well-known (e.g., [C-F, p. 42]) that if  $R$  is a commutative Noetherian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra with nonzero derivation  $d$  such that  $R$  is  $d$ -simple, then  $R[X; d]$  is a simple ring. And Jordan [J2] has shown that if  $k$  is a field of characteristic zero and  $2 \leq n$ , then the commutative polynomial ring  $A = k[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$  admits a  $k$ -derivation  $d$  such that  $A$  is  $d$ -simple and  $d(A)$  contains no units.

For derivations  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$  of  $R$  commuting to each other, we consider the skew polynomial ring  $A_n = R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$  such that

$$X_i r = r X_i + d_i(r) \quad \text{and} \quad X_i X_j = X_j X_i$$

for any  $r \in R$ . In this section, we characterize derivations of  $A_n$  under the following conditions:

(3.1)  $n \cdot 1$  is not a zero divisor for any integer  $n > 0$ .

(3.2) There exist elements  $\alpha_i \in R$  such that  $d_i(\alpha_i) \neq 0$  is not a zero divisor and  $d_i(\alpha_j) = 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ) for any  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

There exists a ring which satisfies the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) as follows:

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let  $k$  be an integral domain with characteristic 0 and  $R = k[Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n]$  a commutative polynomial ring of  $n$ -variables. Then  $d_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_i}$  is a derivation such that  $d_i(Y_i) = 1$  and  $d_i(Y_j) = 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ).

Thus, the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) occur naturally.

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i X_n^i$  be in  $A_n$ , where  $a_i \in A_{n-1}$ . If  $fr - rf \in A_{n-1}$  for any  $r \in R$ , then  $f = r_1 X_n + a_0$  for some  $r_1 \in R$  and  $a_0 \in A_{n-1}$ . In particular, if  $fr = rf$  for any  $r \in R$ , then  $f \in R$ .

PROOF. We note the following: if  $d_i(r) = 0$ , then, by  $X_i r = r X_i$ ,  $fr = rf$  for any  $f \in R[X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n]$ . Let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i X_n^i$  be in  $A_n$  such that  $a_i \in A_{n-1}$ . Firstly, we show that  $f = a_1 X_n + a_0$  for some  $a_i \in A_{n-1}$ . By  $X_n \alpha_n = \alpha_n X_n + d_n(\alpha_n)$  and  $X_i \alpha_n = \alpha_n X_i$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad f \alpha_n - \alpha_n f = a_k k d_n(\alpha_n) X_n^{k-1} + \text{terms of degree } < k-1 \text{ in } X_n.$$

Since the coefficients of the lower term of  $X_n^{k-2}$  are in  $A_{n-1}$  and  $k d_n(\alpha_n)$  is invertible, we get  $a_k = 0$ . Repeating this argument, we obtain that  $f = a_1 X_n + a_0$  for some  $a_i \in A_{n-1}$ . Secondly, using  $\alpha_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , we have  $a_1 \alpha_i = \alpha_i a_1$ .

Since  $a_1$  is in  $A_{n-1}$ , we denote  $a_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} b_i X_{n-1}^i$  for some  $b_i \in A_{n-2}$ . Then, by  $a_1 \alpha_{n-1} = \alpha_{n-1} a_1$ , we have  $a_1 = b_0 \in A_{n-2}$ . Repeating this argument, we obtain that  $f = r_1 X_n + a_0$  for some  $r_1 \in R$  and  $a_0 \in A_{n-1}$ . In particular, if  $fr = rf$ , then  $r_1 = 0$  and so  $f = a_0 \in A_{n-1}$ . Thus, by induction, we have  $f \in R$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $D$  be a derivation of  $A_n$  such that  $D d_i = d_i D$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $D(X_i) = r_i$  for some  $r_i \in R$ .

PROOF. By  $D(X_i r) = D(r X_i + d_i(r))$  for any  $r \in R$ , we see  $D(X_i)r = rD(X_i)$ . Since  $D(X_i)$  is a polynomial in  $A_n$ , then, by Lemma 3.1,  $D(X_i) = r_i$  for some  $r_i \in R$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 3.2. *Assume that  $n = n \cdot 1$  is invertible in  $R$  for any integer  $n > 0$  and there exists an element  $\alpha_i \in R$  such that  $d_i(\alpha_i)$  is invertible and  $d_i(\alpha_j) = 0$  for any  $j \neq i$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ). If  $\mathfrak{S}$  is an ideal of  $A_n$ , then  $\mathfrak{S} \cap R$  is equal to the set of all coefficients of all polynomials  $f$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i X_n^i$  be in  $\mathfrak{S}$ . By using (3.3), we have  $a_k X_n^{k-1} + \dots \in \mathfrak{S}$  and inductively we get  $a_i \in \mathfrak{S}$  for any  $0 \leq i \leq k$ . Since  $a_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} b_j X_{n-1}^j$  and  $b_j \in A_{n-2}$ , by the similar computations, we can show that all coefficients of  $f$  are contained in  $\mathfrak{S}$ . This completes the proof of the Lemma.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a set of derivations of a ring  $A$ . An ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is called a  $\mathcal{D}$ -ideal if  $I$  is a  $d$ -ideal for all  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ .

LEMMA 3.3. (1) *Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$  be a set of derivations of  $R$ . Then, if  $I$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -ideal of  $R$ ,  $I[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$  is an ideal of  $A_n$ .*

(2) *If  $\mathfrak{S}$  is an ideal of  $A_n$ , then  $\mathfrak{S} \cap R$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -ideal of  $R$ .*

PROOF. (1) See Lemma 3.1 of [V1].

(2) For any  $r \in \mathfrak{S} \cap R$ , we have  $X_i r - r X_i = d_i(r) \in \mathfrak{S}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{S} \cap R$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -ideal.  $\square$

Let  $\Gamma_n$  be the set of all  $\mathcal{D}$ -ideals of  $R$  and let  $\Lambda_n$  be the set of all ideals of  $A_n = R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$ . Then we have a correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \Gamma_n \ni I &\mapsto I[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n] \in \Lambda_n, \\ \Psi : \Lambda_n \ni \mathfrak{S} &\mapsto \mathfrak{S} \cap R \in \Gamma_n. \end{aligned}$$

Under these notations, we see the following:

THEOREM 3.2. *Assume that  $n = n \cdot 1$  is invertible in  $R$  for any integer  $n > 0$  and there exists an element  $\alpha_i \in R$  such that  $d_i(\alpha_i)$  is invertible and  $d_i(\alpha_j) = 0$  for any  $j \neq i$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ). Then  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are order preserving lattice isomorphism of  $\Gamma_n$  and  $\Lambda_n$  such that  $\Psi\Phi = I_{\Gamma_n}$  and  $\Phi\Psi = I_{\Lambda_n}$ .*

PROOF. If  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -ideals of  $R$  such that  $I_1 \subset I_2$ , then, by Lemma 3.4(1), we have

$$I_1[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n] \subset I_2[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n].$$

Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  are ideals of  $A_n$  such that  $\mathfrak{S}_1 \subset \mathfrak{S}_2$ , then, by Lemma 3.3, we have  $\mathfrak{S}_1 \cap R \subset \mathfrak{S}_2 \cap R$ . Moreover, by Lemma 3.3, we easily see that  $\Psi\Phi = I_{\Gamma_n}$  and  $\Phi\Psi = I_{\Lambda_n}$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors thank the referee for his helpful remarks.

### References

- [C-F] J. Cozzen, C. Faith *Simple Noetherian Rings*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 69, Cambridge University Press, 1975.
- [J1] D. A. Jordan, *Noetherian Ore extensions and Jacobson rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **10** (1975), 281–291.
- [J2] D. A. Jordan, *Differentially simple rings with no invertible derivatives*, Quart. J. Math. **32** (1981), 417–424.
- [V1] M. G. Voskoglou, *Simple skew polynomial rings*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **37(51)** (1985), 37–41.
- [V2] M. G. Voskoglou, *A note on skew polynomial rings*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **55(69)** (1994), 23–28.

Department of Mathematics  
Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology  
Okayama University

(Received 09 02 2001)  
(Revised 07 05 2002)

Department of Environmental and Mathematical Sciences  
Faculty of Environmental Science and Technology  
Okayama University  
Tsushima, Okayama 700-8530  
Japan