

**RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MATUSZEWSKA-ORLICZ,
SEMENOV AND SIMONENKO INDICES
OF φ -FUNCTIONS**

Yan Yaqiang

Communicated by Stevan Pilipović

ABSTRACT. We obtain a relationship between Matuszewska-Orlicz indices, Semenov indices and Simonenko indices. The main results are:

$$2\nu_{\Phi}^i \mu_{\Psi}^i = 1 = 2\nu_{\Psi}^i \mu_{\Phi}^i, \quad 2^{-1/\alpha_{\Phi}^i} \leq \nu_{\Phi}^i \leq \mu_{\Phi}^i \leq 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^i},$$
$$\frac{1}{2(1 - \nu_{\Phi}^i)} \leq p_{\Phi}^i \leq q_{\Phi}^i \leq \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^i}{1 - \mu_{\Phi}^i}.$$

1. On Matuszewska-Orlicz Indices of φ -functions

A φ -function Φ is defined to be even, continuous, increasing on $[0, +\infty)$, satisfying $\Phi(0) = 0$, $\Phi(\infty) = \infty$. Further, a φ -function $\Phi(u)$ is called an N -function if Φ is convex, satisfying $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(u)}{u} = 0$, $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(u)}{u} = \infty$. The concept of indices of φ -functions has become a powerful tool in the study of Orlicz spaces, particularly in the interpolation and extrapolation theories (see [2] and [8]), as well as in the geometric theory of Orlicz spaces (see [11, 14]). Maligranda [8] systematically studied certain types of (especially Matuszewska-Orlicz) indices of both φ -functions and N -functions and obtained a group of properties. Recently, Fiorenza and Krbeč [3] studied a formula for the Boyd indices which is tightly connected with Matuszewska-Orlicz indices. In this paper we shall establish the relationship between Matuszewska-Orlicz indices and Semenov indices of φ -functions and make further investigation of the relationship between Semenov indices and Simonenko indices of N -functions. Formulae for Semenov indices are shown. Calculation of Simonenko indices for some classical N -functions is also discussed.

The definitions and notations in this paper will follow mainly Maligranda [8].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E30.

Key words and phrases. Orlicz spaces, N -functions.

For a φ -function Φ , define

$$M_\infty(t, \Phi) = \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(tu)}{\Phi(u)}, \quad M_0(t, \Phi) = \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Phi(tu)}{\Phi(u)}, \quad M_a(t, \Phi) = \sup_{u > 0} \frac{\Phi(tu)}{\Phi(u)}.$$

All the functions above are non-decreasing submultiplicative, and are equal to 1 at the point 1. Then the limits

$$\alpha_\Phi^i = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln M_i(t, \Phi)}{\ln t} = \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{\ln M_i(t, \Phi)}{\ln t},$$

$$\beta_\Phi^i = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln M_i(t, \Phi)}{\ln t} = \inf_{t > 1} \frac{\ln M_i(t, \Phi)}{\ln t},$$

exist for $i = \infty, 0, a$. These numbers are called Matuszewska–Orlicz indices and were introduced in 1960 [9]. The following propositions were given by Maligranda [8] and will be used for the main results of this paper.

PROPOSITION 1. [8] *If φ -functions $\Phi_1 \sim \Phi_2$ at ∞ (at the point 0 or for all u), i.e., there exist $C_i > 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) and $u_0 > 0$ such that $C_1\Phi_1(C_2u) \leq \Phi_2(u) \leq C_3\Phi_1(C_4u)$ for $u \geq u_0$ (for $u \leq u_0$ or for all $u > 0$, respectively), then*

$$(1) \quad \alpha_{\Phi_1}^i = \alpha_{\Phi_2}^i; \quad \beta_{\Phi_1}^i = \beta_{\Phi_2}^i. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

PROPOSITION 2. [8] *Let Φ^{-1} be the inverse function of a φ -function Φ , then we have*

$$(2) \quad \alpha_{\Phi^{-1}}^i = \frac{1}{\beta_\Phi^i}, \quad \beta_{\Phi^{-1}}^i = \frac{1}{\alpha_\Phi^i}. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

PROPOSITION 3. [6, 8] *Let Φ, Ψ be a pair of complementary N -functions, then*

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_\Phi^i} + \frac{1}{\beta_\Psi^i} = 1 = \frac{1}{\alpha_\Psi^i} + \frac{1}{\beta_\Phi^i}. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

PROPOSITION 4. [8] *Let Φ be an N -function; then*

$$(4) \quad p_\Phi^i \leq \alpha_\Phi^i \leq \beta_\Phi^i \leq q_\Phi^i. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

where p_Φ^i and q_Φ^i ($i = \infty, 0, a$) are Simonenko indices [13], i.e.,

$$p_\Phi^\infty = \liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u\phi(u)}{\Phi(u)}, \quad q_\Phi^\infty = \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u\phi(u)}{\Phi(u)},$$

$$p_\Phi^0 = \liminf_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{u\phi(u)}{\Phi(u)}, \quad q_\Phi^0 = \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{u\phi(u)}{\Phi(u)},$$

$$p_\Phi^a = \inf_{u > 0} \frac{u\phi(u)}{\Phi(u)}, \quad q_\Phi^a = \sup_{u > 0} \frac{u\phi(u)}{\Phi(u)}.$$

Proposition 4 reveals the relationship between Simonenko indices and Matuszewska–Orlicz indices for N -functions. In 1967, Semenov [12] introduced another type of indices on φ -functions which were greatly used by Rao and Ren [11] and the author [14] to estimate the geometric constants of Orlicz spaces:

$$\nu_\Phi^\infty = \liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)}, \quad \mu_\Phi^\infty = \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\Phi}^0 &= \liminf_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)}, & \mu_{\Phi}^0 &= \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)}, \\ \nu_{\Phi}^a &= \inf_{u > 0} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)}, & \mu_{\Phi}^a &= \sup_{u > 0} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)}. \end{aligned}$$

The relationship between Semenov indices and Matuszewska-Orlicz indices for φ -functions is as follows.

THEOREM 1. *For a φ -function $\Phi(u)$ we have*

$$(5) \quad 2^{-1/\alpha_{\Phi}^i} \leq \nu_{\Phi}^i \leq \mu_{\Phi}^i \leq 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^i} \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

PROOF. We first prove that $\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} \leq 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$. By Proposition 2 and the definition of $\alpha_{\Phi^{-1}}^{\infty}$ (note that $\Phi^{-1}(u)$ is a φ -function),

$$\frac{\ln M_{\infty}(\frac{1}{2}, \Phi^{-1})}{\ln \frac{1}{2}} \leq \alpha_{\Phi^{-1}}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}},$$

which implies $\ln M_{\infty}(\frac{1}{2}, \Phi^{-1}) \geq \ln 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$, i.e., $M_{\infty}(\frac{1}{2}, \Phi^{-1}) \geq 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$. Then by the definition of $M_{\infty}(\frac{1}{2}, \Phi^{-1})$, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $v_0 > 0$, such that

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}v)}{\Phi^{-1}(v)} < 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}} + \varepsilon$$

for $v > v_0$. Let $v = 2u$; then for any $u \geq u_0 = v_0/2$, we have

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)} < 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}} + \varepsilon.$$

Take the upper limit for $u \rightarrow \infty$, one gets $\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} \leq 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}} + \varepsilon$, and hence $\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} \leq 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$ since ε is arbitrary.

Next we show that $\nu_{\Phi}^{\infty} \geq 2^{-1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$. Also by Proposition 2 and the definition of $\beta_{\Phi^{-1}}^{\infty}$ we have

$$\frac{\ln M_{\infty}(2, \Phi^{-1})}{\ln 2} \leq \beta_{\Phi^{-1}}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}}.$$

It follows that $\ln M_{\infty}(2, \Phi^{-1}) \leq \ln 2^{1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$, i.e., $M_{\infty}(2, \Phi^{-1}) \leq 2^{1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$. By the definition of $M_{\infty}(2, \Phi^{-1})$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $u_0 > 0$, such that

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(2u)}{\Phi^{-1}(u)} < 2^{1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}} + \varepsilon$$

for $u \geq u_0$, that is

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)} > \frac{1}{2^{1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}} + \varepsilon}.$$

Take the lower limit for $u \rightarrow \infty$ and since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, one gets that $\nu_{\Phi}^{\infty} \geq 2^{-1/\alpha_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$.

The other inequalities can be proved analogously. The proof is completed. \square

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\Phi(u)$ be an N -function; then*

$$(6) \quad 2^{-1/p_{\Phi}^i} \leq 2^{-1/\alpha_{\Phi}^i} \leq \nu_{\Phi}^i \leq \mu_{\Phi}^i \leq 2^{-1/\beta_{\Phi}^i} \leq 2^{-1/q_{\Phi}^i} \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

The proof can be deduced from Proposition 4 and Theorem 1.

REMARK 1. For an N -function Φ , Corollary 1 links the above three types of indices with inequalities.

COROLLARY 2. *Let Φ be an N -function. If $\Phi \sim |u|^p$ at ∞ (at the point 0 or for all u), then $\nu_\Phi^\infty = \mu_\Phi^\infty = 2^{-1/p}$ ($\nu_\Phi^0 = \mu_\Phi^0 = 2^{-1/p}$, $\nu_\Phi^a = \mu_\Phi^a = 2^{-1/p}$, respectively).*

PROOF. In view of Proposition 3, one has $\alpha_\Phi^a = \beta_\Phi^a = p$ when $\Phi \sim |u|^p$. \square

REMARK 2. Generally speaking, to calculate ν_Φ^i and μ_Φ^i for $i = \infty, 0, a$, one must first find the inverse function of $\Phi(u)$, which is usually not practical. By Corollary 2 we can easily obtain ν_Φ^i and μ_Φ^i from the equivalent functions of Φ . For example, for the N -function $\Phi(u) = e^{|u|} - |u| - 1$, we have $\nu_\Phi^0 = \mu_\Phi^0 = 2^{-1/2}$ since $\Phi \sim |u|^2$ at the point 0.

2. On Simonenko and Semenov Indices

Recall the equivalents between the Simonenko indices (parallel to Proposition 3):

PROPOSITION 5. [6, 10] *Let Φ, Ψ be a pair of complementary N -functions; then*

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{p_\Phi^i} + \frac{1}{q_\Psi^i} = 1 = \frac{1}{p_\Psi^i} + \frac{1}{q_\Phi^i}. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

Now we show the equivalents for Semenov indices:

THEOREM 2. *Let $\Phi(u), \Psi(v)$ be a pair of complementary N -functions; then*

$$(8) \quad 2\nu_\Phi^i \mu_\Psi^i = 1 = 2\nu_\Psi^i \mu_\Phi^i. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

PROOF. We only show that $2\nu_\Phi^0 \mu_\Psi^0 = 1$ since the other equalities can be obtained analogously. In fact, for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, by the definition of ν_Φ^0 , there exists a $u_0 = u_0(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)} > \nu_\Phi^0 - \varepsilon,$$

i.e., $u > \Phi[(\nu_\Phi^0 - \varepsilon)\Phi^{-1}(2u)]$ for $u \leq u_0$. Put $t = \Phi^{-1}(2u)$, or $u = \frac{1}{2}\Phi(t)$, then

$$\Phi(t) > 2\Phi[(\nu_\Phi^0 - \varepsilon)t]$$

for $t \leq t_0 = \Phi^{-1}(2u_0)$. By the properties of complementary N -functions (cf. [4, pp. 11 and 14]), there is an $s_0 > 0$, such that

$$\Psi(s) < 2\Psi\left(\frac{s}{2(\nu_\Phi^0 - \varepsilon)}\right)$$

for $s \geq s_0$, i.e., $\Psi^{-1}(\frac{\Psi(s)}{2}) < \frac{s}{2(\nu_\Phi^0 - \varepsilon)}$. Denote by $v = \frac{1}{2}\Psi(s)$, then

$$\frac{\Psi^{-1}(v)}{\Psi^{-1}(2v)} < \frac{1}{2(\nu_\Phi^0 - \varepsilon)}$$

for $v \leq v_0 = \frac{1}{2}\Psi(s_0)$. Take the upper limit for $v \rightarrow 0$, one has $\mu_\Psi^0 \leq \frac{1}{2(\nu_\Phi^0 - \varepsilon)}$, namely, $2(\nu_\Phi^0 - \varepsilon)\mu_\Psi^0 \leq 1$, and hence $2\nu_\Phi^0 \mu_\Psi^0 \leq 1$, since ε is arbitrary.

On the other hand, by the definition of μ_Ψ^0 , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $v_0 = v_0(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that

$$\frac{\Psi^{-1}(v)}{\Psi^{-1}(2v)} < \mu_\Psi^0 + \varepsilon$$

for $v \leq v_0$, i.e., $v < \Psi[(\mu_\Psi + \varepsilon)\Psi^{-1}(2v)]$. Let $s = \Psi^{-1}(2v)$; then $\Psi(s) < 2\Psi[(\mu_\Psi^0 + \varepsilon)s]$ for $s \leq s_0 = \Psi^{-1}(2v_0)$. Thus there exists a $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(t) > 2\Phi\left(\frac{t}{2(\mu_\Psi^0 + \varepsilon)}\right) \quad (t \leq t_0).$$

Therefore,

$$\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\Phi(t)}{2}\right) > \frac{t}{2(\mu_\Psi^0 + \varepsilon)}.$$

Put $u = \frac{1}{2}\Phi(t)$, so that

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)} > \frac{1}{2(\mu_\Psi^0 + \varepsilon)}$$

for $u \leq u_0 = \frac{1}{2}\Phi(t_0)$. Take the lower limit; one has that $\nu_\Phi^0 \geq \frac{1}{2(\mu_\Psi^0 + \varepsilon)}$, i.e., $2\nu_\Phi^0(\mu_\Psi^0 + \varepsilon) \geq 1$. It follows that $2\nu_\Phi^0\mu_\Psi^0 \geq 1$ by the arbitrariness of ε . The proof is finished. \square

Corollary 1 indicates that the indices p_Φ^i, q_Φ^i are “farthest” to each other among the three types of indices. The following result shows that they are estimated by the “nearest” pair ν_Φ^i, μ_Φ^i .

THEOREM 3. *Let Φ be an N -function; then*

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{2(1 - \nu_\Phi^i)} \leq p_\Phi^i \leq q_\Phi^i \leq \frac{\mu_\Phi^i}{1 - \mu_\Phi^i}. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

PROOF. We only show the case for $i = \infty$, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2(1 - \nu_\Phi^\infty)} \leq p_\Phi^\infty \leq q_\Phi^\infty \leq \frac{\mu_\Phi^\infty}{1 - \mu_\Phi^\infty}.$$

If $\mu_\Phi^\infty = 1$, then $\frac{\mu_\Phi^\infty}{1 - \mu_\Phi^\infty} = \infty$ and the inequality $q_\Phi^\infty \leq \frac{\mu_\Phi^\infty}{1 - \mu_\Phi^\infty}$ holds obviously.

Let $\mu_\Phi^\infty < 1$. By the definition of μ_Φ^∞ , given $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - \mu_\Phi^\infty$, there exists a $u_0 = u_0(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(2u)} < \mu_\Phi^\infty + \varepsilon$$

i.e., $u < \Phi[(\mu_\Phi^\infty + \varepsilon)\Phi^{-1}(2u)]$ for $u \geq u_0$. Let $t = \Phi^{-1}(2u)$; then

$$\Phi(t) < 2\Phi[(\mu_\Phi^\infty + \varepsilon)t]$$

for $t \geq t_0 = \Phi^{-1}(2u_0)$. Define $(\mu_\Phi^\infty + \varepsilon)t = s$; we have

$$\Phi\left(\frac{s}{\mu_\Phi^\infty + \varepsilon}\right) < 2\Phi(s)$$

for $s \geq s_0 = (\mu_\Phi^\infty + \varepsilon)t_0$. Since

$$\Phi\left(\frac{s}{\mu_\Phi^\infty + \varepsilon}\right) = \int_0^s \phi(r)dr + \int_s^{s/(\mu_\Phi^\infty + \varepsilon)} \Phi(r)dr \geq \Phi(s) + \phi(s)\left(\frac{s}{\mu_\Phi^\infty + \varepsilon} - s\right),$$

we deduce that

$$2 > \frac{\Phi\left(\frac{s}{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon}\right)}{\Phi(s)} \geq 1 + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon} - 1\right) s \phi(s)}{\Phi(s)},$$

that is,

$$\frac{s \phi(s)}{\Phi(s)} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon} - 1},$$

and hence

$$q_{\Phi}^{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon} - 1} = \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon}{1 - (\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty} + \varepsilon)}$$

by taking the upper limit for $s \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $q_{\Phi}^{\infty} \leq \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}}{1 - \mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}}$ since ε is arbitrary.

By the arguments above and Theorem 2 as well as Proposition 5, one has

$$p_{\Phi}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_{\Phi}^{\infty}}} \geq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1 - \mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}}{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}}} = \frac{1}{2 - \frac{1}{\mu_{\Phi}^{\infty}}} = \frac{1}{2 - 2\nu_{\Phi}^{\infty}} = \frac{1}{2(1 - \nu_{\Phi}^{\infty})}.$$

Consequently, the theorem is proved. \square

COROLLARY 3. *If Φ is an N -function, then*

$$(10) \quad p_{\Phi}^i = 1 \iff \nu_{\Phi}^i = 1/2, \quad q_{\Phi}^i = \infty \iff \mu_{\Phi}^i = 1. \quad (i = \infty, 0, a)$$

Corollary 3 is directly derived from Theorem 3 and Corollary 1, independent of Δ_2 or ∇_2 conditions of N -functions (see [10]).

3. Examples

EXAMPLE 1. [7, 8] Let

$$\Phi(u) = |u|^p \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \sin(p \ln |u|)\right), \quad k > \sqrt{2}, \quad k(p-1) - \sqrt{(2p-1)^2 + 1} > 0.$$

Then $\Phi(u)$ is an N -function. Since

$$\frac{u \Phi'(u)}{\Phi(u)} = p + p \frac{\cos(p \ln |u|)}{k + \sin(p \ln |u|)},$$

we know that

$$\min \frac{u \Phi'(u)}{\Phi(u)} = p \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}}\right), \quad \max \frac{u \Phi'(u)}{\Phi(u)} = p \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}}\right),$$

so that

$$p_{\Phi}^i = p \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}}\right), \quad q_{\Phi}^i = p \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}}\right)$$

for $i = \infty, 0, a$. However, observing that $\Phi(u) \sim u^p$ for all u , we have by Proposition 2 that

$$\alpha_{\Phi}^i = \beta_{\Phi}^i = p, \quad (i = \infty, 0, a),$$

and hence we deduce from Theorem 2 that

$$\nu_{\Phi}^i = \mu_{\Phi}^i = 2^{-1/p} \quad (i = \infty, 0, a).$$

REMARK 3. Example 1 implies that for an N -function $\Phi(u)$, when a pair of Semenov indices is equal, the Simonenko indices need not be equal, and that for a pair of equivalent N -functions Φ_1 and Φ_2 their Semenov indices $p_{\Phi_1}^i$ and $p_{\Phi_2}^i$ ($q_{\Phi_1}^i$ and $q_{\Phi_2}^i$) (for $i = \infty, 0, a$) need not be equal although their Matuszewska–Orlicz indices must be the same (see Proposition 1).

EXAMPLE 2. [5, 8] Suppose for $|u| \geq 1 + \delta$ with $\delta > 0$, the N -function M can be expressed as:

$$M(u) = |u|^{p+k \sin \ln \ln |u|}, \quad k > 0, \quad k > 1 + \sqrt{2}k.$$

It is easy to check that $M(u)$ is the main part of an N -function. We show that

$$(11) \quad p_M^\infty = p - \sqrt{2}k, \quad q_M^\infty = p + \sqrt{2}k.$$

$$(12) \quad \nu_M^\infty = 2^{-1/p-\sqrt{2}k}, \quad \mu_M^\infty = 2^{-1/p+\sqrt{2}k}.$$

Indeed, observing that

$$p(u) = M'(u) = u^{p-1+k \sin \ln \ln u} \cdot [p + k \sin \ln \ln u + k \cos \ln \ln u]$$

for $u > 0$, one gets

$$\frac{up(u)}{M(u)} = p + k [k \sin \ln \ln u + k \cos \ln \ln u] = p + \sqrt{2}k \sin(\ln \ln u + \pi/4).$$

Therefore, we have by the definition

$$p_M^\infty = p - \sqrt{2}k, \quad q_M^\infty = p + \sqrt{2}k.$$

To calculate μ_M^∞ , we need to find a sequence $\{u_n\} \rightarrow \infty$ and a proper constant $0 < C < 1$ such that

$$(13) \quad \frac{M^{-1}(u_n)}{M^{-1}(2u_n)} > C.$$

For the inequality

$$\frac{M^{-1}(u)}{M^{-1}(2u)} > C,$$

let $M^{-1}(u) = t$; then $u = M(t)$, and hence $M(t/C) > 2M(t)$, i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{t}{C}\right)^{p+k \sin \ln \ln \frac{t}{C}} > 2t^{p+k \sin \ln \ln t},$$

or equivalently,

$$(14) \quad t^{k(\sin \ln \ln \frac{t}{C} - \sin \ln \ln t)} > 2C^{p+k \sin \ln \ln \frac{t}{C}}.$$

The left-hand side of the above inequality is

$$\begin{aligned} L(t) &= t^{2k \cdot \cos \frac{1}{2}(\ln \ln \frac{t}{C} + \ln \ln t) \cdot \sin \frac{1}{2}(\ln \ln \frac{t}{C} - \ln \ln t)} \\ &= \left(t^{2k \cdot \sin \frac{1}{2}(\ln \ln \frac{t}{C} - \ln \ln t)}\right)^{\cos \frac{1}{2}(\ln \ln \frac{t}{C} + \ln \ln t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\ln C < 0$, and $\ln t \rightarrow +\infty$ ($t \rightarrow \infty$), and one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{2k \cdot \sin[\frac{1}{2}(\ln \ln \frac{t}{C} - \ln \ln t)]} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{2k \ln t \cdot \sin[\frac{1}{2}(\ln \ln \frac{t}{C} - \ln \ln t)]} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{2k \ln t \cdot \sin[\frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + (-\frac{\ln C}{\ln t}))]} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} e^{2k \ln t \cdot \frac{1}{s} \sin \frac{1}{2} \ln[1 + (-\ln C \cdot s)]} \\ &= e^{k \cdot (-\ln C)} = e^{\ln C^{-k}} = C^{-k}, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\ln \ln \frac{t}{C} + \ln \ln t)}{\ln \ln \frac{t}{C}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 + \frac{\ln \ln t}{\ln(\ln t - \ln C)}}{2} = 1.$$

Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a t_0 , such that the left-hand side $L(t)$ of (14) satisfies

$$(C^{-k})^{\cos \ln \ln \frac{t}{C} - \varepsilon} < L(t) < (C^{-k})^{\cos \ln \ln \frac{t}{C} + \varepsilon}$$

for $t \geq t_0$. In order that (14) holds, it suffices that

$$(C^{-k})^{\cos \ln \ln \frac{t}{C} - \varepsilon} > 2C^{p+k \sin \ln \ln \frac{t}{C}},$$

i.e.,

$$C^{p+k \sin \ln \ln \frac{t}{C} + \cos \ln \ln \frac{t}{C} - \varepsilon} < 1/2,$$

and hence,

$$C^{p+\sqrt{2}k \sin(\ln \ln \frac{t}{C} + \frac{\pi}{4}) - \varepsilon} < 1/2.$$

Thus, we obtain a sequence $\{t_n\} \rightarrow \infty$ (and hence a corresponding sequence $u_n \rightarrow \infty$), such that

$$\ln \ln \frac{t_n}{C} + \frac{\pi}{4} = 2k\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then $C^{p+\sqrt{2}k-\varepsilon} < 1/2$, so that

$$(15) \quad C < 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k-\varepsilon)}.$$

It follows that

$$\mu_M^\infty \geq 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k-\varepsilon)}.$$

(Otherwise, if $\mu_M^\infty < 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k-\varepsilon)}$, then there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\mu_M^\infty + \varepsilon_0 < 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k-\varepsilon)}$ and $\frac{M^{-1}(u)}{M^{-1}(2u)} < \mu_M^\infty + \varepsilon_0$ for all $u \geq u_0$ with some sufficiently large u_0 . This contradicts (13) since $\mu_M^\infty + \varepsilon_0$ satisfies (15) and can be taken as some C .) Thus, we have $\mu_M^\infty \geq 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k)}$ since ε is arbitrary.

On the other hand, it is clear from Corollary 1 and (11) that

$$\mu_M^\infty \leq 2^{-1/q_M^\infty} = 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k)}.$$

Finally, we obtain that

$$\mu_M^\infty = 2^{-1/(p+\sqrt{2}k)},$$

and analogously,

$$\nu_M^\infty = 2^{-1/(p-\sqrt{2}k)}.$$

There are a few counterexamples showing that a pair of Semenov indices can be indeed different. Example 2 indicates that the gape between ν_M^i and μ_M^i can be any interval in the whole interval $(1/2, 1)$ as soon as we choose properly the parameters p and k .

EXAMPLE 3. Krasnoselskiĭ and Rutickiĭ [4, p. 25] give an example that $M(u)$ is determined by its derivative

$$p(u) = \begin{cases} u, & \text{if } u \in [0, 1) \\ k!, & \text{if } u \in [(k-1)!, k!) \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots) \end{cases}$$

Then $p_M^\infty = 1$, $q_M^\infty = +\infty$, and hence $\nu_M^\infty = 1/2$, $\mu_M^\infty = 1$.

References

- [1] S. T. Chen, *Geometry of Orlicz Spaces*, Warszawa: Dissertationes Math, 1996, 356.
- [2] A. Fiorenza and M. Krbeč, *Indices of Orlicz spaces and some applications*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **38(3)** (1997), 433–451.
- [3] A. Fiorenza and M. Krbeč, *A formula for the Boyd indices in Orlicz spaces*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **26** (1998), 173–179.
- [4] M. A. Krasnoselskiĭ and Ya. B. Rutickiĭ, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1961.
- [5] K. Lindberg, *On subspaces of Orlicz sequence spaces*, Studia Math. **45** (1973), 119–143.
- [6] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces (I)*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977.
- [7] L. Maligranda, *Indices and interpolation*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **234** (1985), 1–54.
- [8] L. Maligranda, *Orlicz Spaces and Interpolation*, Seminars in Math., Univ. of Campinas, Campinas SP, Brazil, 1989, 81–95.
- [9] W. Matuszewska and W. Orlicz, *On certain properties of φ -functions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **8** (1960), 439–443.
- [10] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.
- [11] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Packing in Orlicz sequence spaces*, Studia Math. **126** (1997), 235–251.
- [12] E. M. Semenov, *A new interpolation theorem*, Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **2** (1968), 158–169 (in Russian).
- [13] I. B. Simonenko, *Interpolation and extrapolation of linear operators in Orlicz spaces*, Mat. Sb. **63** (1964), 536–553.
- [14] Y. Q. Yan, *Packing Constants, Weakly Convergent Coefficients and Riesz Angles in Orlicz sequence spaces*, Ph. D. Dissertation, Suzhou University, Suzhou, P. R. China, 2000.

Department of Mathematics
Suzhou University
Suzhou, 215006
P. R. China

(Received 23 03 2001)

(Revised 25 07 2002)

yanyq@pub.sz.jsinfo.net