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#### Abstract

We develop a connection between the eigenvalues of a class of pseudo-linear transformation over a field $K$ and the eigenvalues of a certain linear transformation. We give a new criterion for this class to be diagonalizable over algebraically closed field.


## 1. Introduction

This work was inspired by $[6]$ - a brief study of $(\sigma, \delta)$ pseudo-linear transformations together with their relations with evaluations of skew polynomial rings. It contains the necessary and sufficient conditions for the algebraic pseudo-linear transformations to be diagonalizable, as well.

If $K$ is a division ring and $V K$-vector space by a $(\sigma, \delta)$ pseudo-linear transformation we call an additive map $T: V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$
T(\alpha v)=\sigma(\alpha) T(v)+\delta(\alpha) v, \quad \alpha \in K, v \in V,
$$

where $\sigma$ is an automorphism of $K$ and $\delta$ is a left $\sigma$-derivation i.e., $\delta$ is an additive endomorphism of $K$ such that

$$
\delta(a b)=\sigma(a) \delta(b)+\delta(a) b, \quad a, b \in K .
$$

Throughout this paper, we will assume that $K$ is a field, $\delta=0$ and $\sigma$ is an automorphism of $K$ of finite order. The reason why we switched to this case is the connection that can be developed between the eigenvalues of this class of pseudolinear transformations and the eigenvalues of certain linear transformations. The use of linear transformations enables us to use the Cayley-Hamilton theorem which in a pseudo-linear setting does not hold.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we mention some results from $[5, \mathbf{6}]$ in order to make the paper more self-contained. All of them are modified due to the restrictions we have made. In Section 3, the main result is presented.
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## 2. Preliminaries

Let $K$ be a field and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(K)$. A skew polynomial ring (also called Ore extension), $K[t ; \sigma]$ consists of polynomials $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} t^{i}, a_{i} \in K$ which are added in the usual way but are multiplied according to the following commutation rule

$$
t a=\sigma(a) t, \quad a \in K
$$

For any $c \in K^{*}$ element $\sigma(c) a c^{-1}$ is called the $\sigma$-conjugate of $a$ (by $c$ ). The set $\left\{\sigma(c) a c^{-1} \mid c \in K^{*}\right\}$ is called the $\sigma$-conjugacy class of $a$.

The evaluation $f(a)$ of a polynomial $f(t) \in K[t ; \sigma]$ at some element $a \in K$ is the remainder of $f(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} t^{i}$ divided on the right by $t-a$. It is easy to show by induction that

$$
f(a)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} N_{i}(a)
$$

where the maps $N_{i}$ are defined by induction in the following way: For any $a \in K$

$$
N_{0}(a)=1 \text { and } N_{i+1}(a)=\sigma\left(N_{i}(a)\right) a
$$

which leads to

$$
N_{k}(a)=\sigma^{k-1}(a) \sigma^{k-2}(a) \ldots \sigma(a) a \quad(k \in \mathbb{N})
$$

We define $f(A)$ for $A \in M_{n}(K)$ in a similar way $f(A)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} N_{i}(A)$, where $\sigma$ has been extended to $M_{n}(K)$ in the natural way.

Let $V$ be a $K$ vector space. A $\sigma$-pseudo-linear transformation of $V$ is an additive $\operatorname{map} T: V \rightarrow V$ such that $T(\alpha v)=\sigma(\alpha) T(v), \alpha \in K$. We will use the abbreviation $\sigma$-PLT for a pseudo-linear transformation with respect to the automorphism $\sigma$. A vector $v \in V \backslash\{0\}$ is an eigenvector of $\sigma$-PLT with the corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda \in K$ iff $T(v)=\lambda v$.

If $V$ is finite-dimensional and $e=\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right]$ is a basis of $V$ let us write $T\left(e_{j}\right)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} e_{i}, a_{i j} \in K$ or in the matrix notation $T e=e A$ where $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in M_{n}(K)$. The matrix $A$ will be denoted $[T]_{e}$. The equality $[f(T)]_{e}=f\left([T]_{e}\right)$ holds as well [6, Proposition 2.13] for any polynomial $f(t) \in K[t, \sigma]$. Also if $v$ is an eigenvector of $\sigma$-PLT $T$ with an eigenvalue $\lambda \in K$, then $[T]_{e} \sigma\left(v_{e}\right)^{T}=\lambda v_{e}^{T}$, where $v_{e}$ denotes the coordinates of the vector $v$ with respect to the basis $e[\mathbf{3}]$.

A $\sigma$-PLT transformation $T$ is algebraic if there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}, a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m} \in K$, $a_{m} \neq 0$ such that

$$
a_{m} T^{m}+\cdots+a_{1} T+a_{0} I=0
$$

In the case $T$ is algebraic $\sigma$-PLT on V and $\mu_{T} \in K[t ; \sigma]$ is its minimal polynomial, $\lambda \in K$ is an eigenvalue for $T$ if and only if $t-\lambda$ divides on the right (left) the polynomial $\mu_{T}$ in $K[t ; \sigma][6$, Proposition 4.5].

We will also use the notion of a Wedderburn polynomial. For $f \in K[t ; \sigma]$, let

$$
V(f):=\{a \in K \mid f(a)=0\}
$$

A (monic) polynomial is said to be Wedderburn if $f=\mu_{V(f)}$ i.e., $f$ is equal to the minimal polynomial of $V(f)$-set of its roots [5].

## 3. General results

Let $K$ be a field, $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ of an order $k$ i.e., $\sigma \neq \operatorname{id}_{K}$ and $k$ is the least nonnegative integer such that $\sigma^{k}=\operatorname{id}_{K}$. If $T$ is $\sigma$-PLT on a vector space $V$ over $K$, then $T^{k}$ is a linear transformation of $V$ since it is additive and

$$
T^{k}(\alpha v)=\sigma^{k}(\alpha) T^{k}(v)=\alpha T^{k}(v), \quad \alpha \in K
$$

Therefore, if $V$ is a finite-dimensional vector space there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}, a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m} \in$ $K, a_{m} \neq 0$ such that

$$
a_{m}\left(T^{k}\right)^{m}+\cdots+a_{1} T^{k}+a_{0} I=0
$$

which means that $\sigma$-PLT $T$ is algebraic. We will denote its minimal polynomial with $\mu_{T}$. This polynomial is invariant in $K[t ; \sigma]$ and also the right factor of the polynomial $\varphi_{T^{k}}\left(t^{k}\right)$, where $\varphi_{T^{k}}$ denotes the characteristic polynomial of $T^{k}$. What we want is to find relations between eigenvalues of linear transformation $T^{k}$ and $\sigma$-PLT $T$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $T$ be $\sigma$-PLT on a finite dimensional vector space $V$ over field $K$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ of an order $k$. An element $\lambda \in K$ is the eigenvalue of $T$ iff $N_{k}(\lambda)$ is the eigenvalue of $T^{k}$.

Proof. Let $v \in V \backslash\{0\}$ be such that $T(v)=\lambda v$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{k}(v) & =T^{k-1}(\lambda v)=\sigma^{k-1}(\lambda) T^{k-1}(v)=\cdots \\
& =\sigma^{k-1}(\lambda) \cdots \sigma(\lambda) \lambda v=N_{k}(\lambda) v
\end{aligned}
$$

The polynomial $h(t)=t^{k}-N_{k}(\lambda)$ is a Wedderburn polynomial, since it is a minimal polynomial of the set $\Gamma=\left\{\sigma(c) \lambda c^{-1} \mid c \in K^{*}\right\}$. For any $c \in K^{*}$, we have

$$
N_{k}\left(\sigma(c) \lambda c^{-1}\right)=\sigma^{k}(c) N_{k}(\lambda) c^{-1}=N_{k}(\lambda)
$$

This shows that $h$ vanishes on $\Gamma$. Let $f(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} t^{i}$ be the monic minimal polynomial of $\Gamma$. Then $m=\operatorname{deg} f \leqslant k$, and $a_{0} \neq 0$. Let $d \in K^{*}$. For any $e \in \Gamma$, we have $0=\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i} \sigma^{i}(d) N_{i}(e) d^{-1}$. Thus, $\Gamma$ satisfies the polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i} \sigma^{i}(d) t^{i}$. By the uniqueness of the minimal polynomial, we must have $\sigma^{m}(d) a_{i}=a_{i} \sigma^{i}(d)$ for every $i$. Since $a_{0} \neq 0$, this implies that $\sigma^{m}=\mathrm{id}_{K}$. Therefore, we have $m=k$ and $f(t)=t^{k}-N_{k}(\lambda)$.

We can write $t^{k}-N_{k}(\lambda)=\left(t-\lambda_{k}\right)\left(t-\lambda_{k-1}\right) \cdots\left(t-\lambda_{1}\right)$, where $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$ are $\sigma$-conjugated to $\lambda[\mathbf{5}$, Theorem 5.1], [3, Lemma 5]. This gives us

$$
T^{k}-N_{k}(\lambda) \operatorname{id}_{K}=\left(T-\lambda_{k} \operatorname{id}_{K}\right)\left(T-\lambda_{k-1} \operatorname{id}_{K}\right) \cdots\left(T-\lambda_{1} \operatorname{id}_{K}\right)
$$

We can conclude that if there exists $0 \neq v \in V$ such that $\left(T^{k}-N_{k}(\lambda) \mathrm{id}_{K}\right)(v)=0$, then there exists $l \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $0 \neq u \in V$ such that $\left(T-\lambda_{l} \operatorname{id}_{K}\right)(u)=0$. Since that $\lambda_{l}$ is $\sigma$-conjugated to $\lambda$, there exists $a \in K^{*}$ such that $\lambda_{l}=\sigma(a) \lambda a^{-1}$. Then for $u_{0}=a^{-1} u$ we obtain

$$
T\left(u_{0}\right)=T\left(a^{-1} u\right)=\sigma\left(a^{-1}\right) T(u)=\sigma\left(a^{-1}\right) \sigma(a) \lambda a^{-1} u=\lambda u_{0}
$$

i.e., $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue for $T$, as desired.

According to [6, Theorem 4.6] the minimal polynomial of an algebraic $\sigma$-PLT $T$ has roots in at most $n=\operatorname{dim} V \sigma$-conjugacy classes. Moreover [6, Proposition 4.3] shows that the set

$$
\Gamma_{T}=\{\alpha \in K \mid T(v)=\alpha v\}
$$

is closed by $\sigma$-conjugations. We can write $\Gamma_{T}=\Gamma_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_{r}$, where $\Gamma_{i}=$ $\left\{\sigma(c) \lambda_{i} c^{-1} \mid c \in K^{*}\right\}$ and $r \leqslant n$ i.e., we can see $\Gamma_{T}$ as a disjoint union of different $\sigma$-conjugacy classes of eigenvalues of $T$.

Theorem 3.2. A $\sigma$-PLT $T$ on a finite dimensional vector space $V$ over algebraically closed field $K, \sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ of an order $k$, is diagonalizable iff $\mu_{T^{k}}(t)=$ $\prod_{i=1, \lambda_{i} \neq \lambda_{j}}^{r}\left(t-N_{k}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right)$, where $r$ is the number of $\sigma$-conjugacy classes containing eiganvalues of $T$.

Proof. [6, Theorem 4.9] says that algebraic $\sigma$-PLT $T$ is diagonalizable iff $\mu_{T}=$ $\prod_{i=1}^{r} \mu_{\Gamma_{i}}$ where $\mu_{\Gamma_{i}}$ stands for the minimal polynomial of the set $\Gamma_{i}=\left\{\sigma(c) \lambda_{i} c^{-1} \mid\right.$ $\left.c \in K^{*}\right\}$ of the eigenvalues of $T \sigma$-conjugated to $\lambda_{i}$ i.e., $\mu_{T}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(t^{k}-N_{k}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right)$, since that $\mu_{\Gamma_{i}}(t)=t^{k}-N_{k}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$. The polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(t-N_{k}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right)$ vanishes at $T^{k}$ and is its minimal polynomial, as well. Otherwise, we would get the polynomial of degree less than $\operatorname{deg} \mu_{T}$ vanishing at $T$. Thus, $\mu_{T^{k}}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(t-N_{k}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right)$ as desired.

Example 3.1. Let

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
i+1 & 1 \\
-1 & -i
\end{array}\right] \in M_{2}(\mathbb{C})
$$

$\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}), \tau(x)=\bar{x}$, be complex conjugation and $T(X)=\bar{X} A-A \bar{X}$. In this case, $\sigma$ is the automorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ of order $k=2$.

First, we determine the matrix $[T]_{e}$, where $e$ is the canonical base of $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$
P=[T]_{e}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & -2 i-1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 2 i+1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

then the matrix $N_{2}(P)$ becomes

$$
N_{2}(P)=\bar{P} P=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-2 & 2 i+1 & -2 i-1 & 2 \\
2 i-1 & 3 & -2 & -2 i+1 \\
-2 i+1 & -2 & 3 & 2 i-1 \\
2 & -2 i-1 & 2 i+1 & -2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Next, we calculate the characteristic polynomial $\varphi_{N_{2}(P)}$. In this case we have

$$
\varphi_{T^{2}}(t)=t^{2}(t-1)^{2}
$$

and $\mu_{T^{2}}(t)=t(t-1)$. According to Theorem $2 \sigma$-PLT is diagonalizable.
All the eigenvectors of $T$ for the eigenvalue $\lambda=1$ belong to the set $U=$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(T^{2}-I\right)$ which has the basis $[C, D]$, where

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
1-2 i & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad D=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The vectors $C+T(C), D+T(D)$ are the eigenvectors of $T$ for $\lambda=1$, and $c^{-1}(C+T(C)), c^{-1}(D+T(D))$ are the eigenvectors of $T$ for $\lambda=i$, where $c$ is any complex number which satisfies $1=\bar{c} i c^{-1}$. Similarly, we get the basis $[E, F]$ of $W=\operatorname{ker} T^{2}$

$$
E=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad F=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-2 i-1 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and one basis of $\operatorname{ker} T[E, T(F)]$.
Finally, we get that with respect to the basis $[C+T(C), D+T(D), E, T(F)]$ $\sigma$-PLT has the matrix $\operatorname{diag}(1,1,0,0)$. We can also get $[T]_{f}=\operatorname{diag}(i, i, 0,0)$ with respect to the basis $f=\left[c^{-1}(C+T(C)), c^{-1}(D+T(D)), E, T(F)\right]$, for $c=1+i$.
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