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#### Abstract

Let $\varphi$ stand for the Euler function. Given a positive integer $n$, let $\sigma(n)$ stand for the sum of the positive divisors of $n$ and let $\tau(n)$ be the number of divisors of $n$. We obtain an asymptotic estimate for the counting function of the set $\{n: \operatorname{gcd}(\varphi(n), \tau(n))=\operatorname{gcd}(\sigma(n), \tau(n))=1\}$. Moreover, setting $l(n):=\operatorname{gcd}(\tau(n), \tau(n+1))$, we provide an asymptotic estimate for the size of $\#\{n \leqslant x: l(n)=1\}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\varphi$ stand for the Euler function. Given a positive integer $n$, let $\sigma(n)$ stand for the sum of the positive divisors of $n$ and let $\tau(n)$ be the number of divisors of $n$. This last function has been extensively studied by A. Ivić in his book on the Riemann Zeta-Function 6.

Given an arithmetical function $f$ and a large number $x$, examining the number of positive integers $n \leqslant x$ for which $\operatorname{gcd}(n, f(n))=1$, has been the focus of several papers. For instance, Paul Erdős [4] established that

$$
\#\{n \leqslant x: \operatorname{gcd}(n, \varphi(n))=1\}=(1+o(1)) \frac{e^{-\gamma} x}{\log \log \log x}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the Euler constant. A similar result can be obtained if one replaces $\varphi(n)$ by $\sigma(n)$. Similarly, letting $\Omega(n)$ stand for the number of prime factors of $n$ counting their multiplicity, Alladi [1] proved that the probability that $n$ and $\Omega(n)$ are relatively prime is equal to $6 / \pi^{2}$ by examining the size of $\{n \leqslant x$ : $\operatorname{gcd}(n, \Omega(n))=1\}$. Let $K(x)$ stand for the number of positive integers $n \leqslant x$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(n \tau(n), \sigma(n))=1$. Some fifty years ago, Kanold [7] showed that there exist positive constants $c_{1}<c_{2}$ and a positive number $x_{0}$ such that

$$
c_{1}<K(x) / \sqrt{x / \log x}<c_{2} \quad\left(x \geqslant x_{0}\right)
$$
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In 2007, the authors [2] proved that there exists a positive constant $c_{3}$ such that $K(x)=c_{3}(1+o(1)) \sqrt{x / \log x} \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)$. The analogue problem for counting the number of positive integers $n$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}(n \tau(n), \varphi(n))=1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is trivial. Clearly (1.1) holds for $n=1,2$. But these are the only solutions. Indeed, assume that (1.1) holds for some $n \geqslant 3$. Then $n$ is squarefree and it must therefore have an odd prime divisor $p$, in which case $2 \mid \varphi(n)$ and $2 \mid \tau(n)$, implying that $\operatorname{gcd}(n \tau(n), \varphi(n))>1$, thereby proving our claim.

In this paper, we obtain asymptotic estimates for the counting functions

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(x):=\#\{n \leqslant x: \operatorname{gcd}(\varphi(n), \tau(n))=\operatorname{gcd}(\sigma(n), \tau(n))=1\} \\
N(x):=\#\{n \leqslant x: l(n)=1\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $l(n):=\operatorname{gcd}(\tau(n), \tau(n+1))$.
From here on, $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$ will be written simply as $(a, b)$. In what follows, we shall denote the logarithmic integral of $x$ by $\operatorname{li}(x)$, that is $\operatorname{li}(x):=\int_{2}^{x} \frac{d t}{\log t}$. Moreover, given an integer $n \geqslant 2$, we shall let $\omega(n)$ stand for the number of distinct prime factors of $n$, with $\omega(1)=0$. Finally, the letters $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ will stand for positive constants, while the letters $p$ and $q$, with or without subscripts, will always stand for prime numbers.

## 2. Main results

Theorem 1. As $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have $R(x)=c_{4}(1+o(1)) \frac{x}{\sqrt{\log x}}$, where $c_{4}$ is a suitable positive constant.

Theorem 2. As $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have $N(x)=c_{5}(1+o(1)) \sqrt{x}$ for some positive constant $c_{5}$.

## 3. Preliminary results

To prove our results we shall need the following lemmas.
Lemma 1. Let $f(n):=A n^{2}+B n+C$ be a primitive polynomial with integer coefficients. Let $\rho(m)$ be the number of solutions of $f(n) \equiv 0(\bmod m)$. Let $D$ be the discriminant of $f$ and assume that $D \neq 0$. Then $\rho$ is a multiplicative function whose values on the prime powers satisfy

$$
\rho\left(p^{\alpha}\right) \begin{cases}=\rho(p) & \text { if } p \nmid D \\ \leqslant 2 D^{2} & \text { if } p \mid D\end{cases}
$$

Finally, setting

$$
M_{f}(x, y):=\#\left\{n \leqslant x: \exists p>y \text { such that } p^{2} \mid f(n)\right\},
$$

then

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{f}(x, y)}{x}=0
$$

Proof. For a proof of this result, see Chapter 4 in the book of Hooley [5].

Lemma 2. As $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m \leqslant x}|\mu(m)| \cdot\left|\mu\left(m^{2}-1\right)\right|=\xi_{1}(1+o(1)) x \\
& \sum_{m \leqslant x}|\mu(m)| \cdot\left|\mu\left(m^{2}+1\right)\right|=\xi_{2}(1+o(1)) x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ are positive constants.
Proof. The proof is a simple application of the Sieve of Eratosthenes and we shall therefore skip it.

## 4. The proof of Theorem 1

Let $R$ be the set of those integers $n$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varphi(n), \tau(n))=(\sigma(n), \tau(n))=1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, we can ignore all solutions of (4.1) which are powers of 2 (namely the even powers of 2). Hence, we only need to consider those solutions $n$ of (4.1) such that $p \mid n$ for some odd prime $p$. In this case $\varphi(n)$ must be even, meaning that $\tau(n)$ must be odd, implying that $n=u^{2}$ for some positive integer $u$. Now, the size of the set of those integers $n=u^{2} \leqslant x$ for which $u$ is a squarefull number and with $n$ satisfying (4.1) is small since it is clearly no larger than $c x^{1 / 4}$ for some constant $c>0$. Ignoring these integers $n$, we may assume that $3 \mid \tau(n)$ and consequently that 3 does not divide $\varphi\left(u^{2}\right)=u \varphi(u)$.

Let us now write $u=K v$, where $K$ is squarefull and $v$ is squarefree, with $(K, v)=1$. Assume that $v>1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\varphi(n), \tau(n)) & =\left(\varphi\left(K^{2}\right) \varphi\left(v^{2}\right), 3 \tau\left(K^{2}\right)\right) \\
(\sigma(n), \tau(n)) & =\left(\sigma\left(K^{2}\right) \varphi\left(v^{2}\right), 3 \tau\left(K^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For each squarefull integer $K$, let $R_{K}$ be the set of those $n=u^{2} \in R$ for which $u=K v$ and let $R_{K}(x)=\left\{n \leqslant x: n \in R_{K}\right\}$. It is clear that $R_{K}(x) \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{x}}{K}$, implying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{K>\log ^{2} x} R_{K}(x) \leqslant \sqrt{x} \sum_{K>\log ^{2} x} \frac{1}{K} \ll \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from this that we only need to consider those squarefull numbers $K \leqslant$ $\log ^{2} x$.

Let $n \in R_{K}$. Then, $n=v^{2} K^{2} \leqslant x$, where $v$ is a squarefree number whose prime factors are $\equiv-1(\bmod 3)$. Hence,

Therefore, by standard sieve techniques, one can easily establish that, for some positive constant $c_{6}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{K}(x) \leqslant c_{6} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{K \sqrt{\log x}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum_{K \text { squarefull }} \frac{1}{K}<+\infty$, it follows from 4.3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{K>y} R_{K}(x) \leqslant o(1) \cdot c_{6} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{\log x}} \quad(y \rightarrow \infty) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now estimate $R_{K}(x)$ for a fixed squarefull number $K$. We separate the different squarefull $K$ 's into two classes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Class I } & =\left\{K: \tau\left(K^{2}\right)=\text { power of } 3\right\} \\
\text { Class II } & =\left\{K: \tau\left(K^{2}\right) \neq \text { power of } 3\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

But first consider the case $K=1$. In this case $u=v \leqslant \sqrt{x}$, and the prime factors $p$ of $u$ satisfy $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. On the other hand $(u, 3)=1$. Hence, letting $u=q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{r}$, with $5 \leqslant q_{1}<q_{2}<\cdots<q_{r}$, it follows that

$$
\tau\left(u^{2}\right)=3^{r}, \quad \varphi\left(u^{2}\right)=u \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(q_{j}-1\right), \quad \sigma\left(u^{2}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(1+q_{j}+q_{j}^{2}\right) .
$$

Since $\left(\varphi\left(u^{2}\right), 3\right)=1$ and $\left(\sigma\left(u^{2}\right), 3\right)=1$, it follows that $u^{2} \in R_{1}$.
Hence, $R_{1}(x)=\#\{u \leqslant \sqrt{x}: u$ squarefree, $(p, u)=1$ if $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)\}$. Since

$$
\sum_{u^{2} \in R_{1}} \frac{1}{u^{s}}=\prod_{p \equiv-1}(\bmod 3)\left(1+\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right)
$$

one can use the classical method of Landau (see his book [9 pp. 641-649]) and deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}(x)=c_{7} \sqrt{\frac{x}{\log x}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $c_{7}$.
Now, assume that $K \in$ class I, in which case $\left(\sigma\left(K^{2}\right), 3\right)=1$ and $\left(\varphi\left(K^{2}\right), 3\right)=1$. Then $n=K^{2} v^{2} \leqslant x$, with $(K, v)=1$, belongs to $R_{K}$ if and only if $v$ is squarefree and all its prime factors $p$ satisfy $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$, in which case

$$
\sum_{v} \frac{1}{v^{s}}=\prod_{\substack{p \equiv-1(\bmod 3) \\(p, K)=1}}\left(1+\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right)=\prod_{p \mid K}\left(1+\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right)^{-1} \prod_{p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)}\left(1+\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right)
$$

It follows that, for $K \in$ class I,

$$
R_{K}(x)=c_{7} \prod_{p \mid K}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{K} \sqrt{\frac{x}{\log x}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right)
$$

implying that, for some constant $c_{8}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{K \in \text { class I }} R_{K}(x)=c_{8} \sqrt{\frac{x}{\log x}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now $K \in$ class II, $K \leqslant y$. Let $q \mid \tau\left(K^{2}\right), q \neq 3$. In this case, $q \leqslant y$. If $n \in R_{K}$, then $n=K^{2} v^{2}$ and $(3, \varphi(v))=1$. Consequently, $p \mid v$ implies that $p \not \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 3)$ and $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod q)$. By using the Selberg sieve, we obtain that, for some positive constant $c_{9}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{K}(x) & \leqslant c_{9} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{K} \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 1 \\
\text { or } p \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\
(\bmod q)}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \leqslant \frac{c_{9}}{K} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{\log x}} \prod_{\substack{p \equiv-1(\bmod 3) \\
\text { and } p \equiv 1(\bmod q)}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{9}}{K} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{\log x}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2(q-1)} \log \log x\right\}=\frac{c_{9}}{K} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{\log x}} \cdot \frac{1}{(\log x)^{1 /(2(q-1))}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this last estimate, it is clear that we can ignore those $K \in$ class II. Hence the main contributions to $R(x)$ comes from 4.5 and 4.6, thus completing the proof of Theorem 1.

## 5. The proof of Theorem 2

Let $\mathcal{N}:=\{n \in \mathbb{N}: l(n)=1\}$. If $n \in \mathcal{N}$, then one of the numbers $\tau(n)$ and $\tau(n+1)$ must be odd, implying that either $n$ or $n+1$ is a square. So let us set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{0}(x):=\#\{n \leqslant x: n \in \mathcal{N}, n=\text { square }\} \\
& N_{1}(x):=\#\{n \leqslant x: n \in \mathcal{N}, n+1=\text { square }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $N(x)=N_{0}(x)+N_{1}(x)$. We shall therefore consider two cases, namely the case when $\tau(n)$ is odd, and thereafter the one when $\tau(n+1)$ is odd.

We start with the first case. In this case, $l(n)=1$ implies that $n=u^{2}$, so that $\tau(n+1)=\tau\left(u^{2}+1\right)$. Write $u=K m$, where $K$ is squarefull and $m$ is squarefree, with $(K, m)=1$. The contribution of the case $m=1$ to $N_{0}(x)$ is clearly $O\left(x^{1 / 4}\right)$, since in this case $n=K^{2} m^{2}=K^{2} \leqslant x$, that is $K \leqslant \sqrt{x}$. Similarly, write $n+1=R \nu$, where $R$ is squarefull and $\nu$ is squarefree, with $(R, \nu)=1$, in which case, $\tau(n+1)=\tau(R) 2^{\omega(\nu)}$. As above, the contribution of the case $\nu=1$ to $N_{1}(x)$ is no more than $O\left(x^{1 / 4}\right)$. Hence, from here on, we will assume that $m>1$ and $\nu>1$.

Given squarefull numbers $K$ and $R$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(x \mid K, R) & :=\#\left\{n \leqslant x: n \in \mathcal{N}, n=K^{2} m^{2}, m>1, n+1=R \nu\right\} \\
V(x \mid K, R) & :=\#\left\{1<m \leqslant \sqrt{x} / K: K^{2} m^{2}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod R)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that we clearly have $U(x \mid K, R) \leqslant V(x \mid K, R)$. Hence, our first goal will be to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\max (K, R) \geqslant T} V(x \mid K, R)=o(\sqrt{x}) \quad(T \rightarrow \infty) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume first that $K$ is arbitrary and fixed. We shall sum over those positive integers $m, \nu$ for which $R \geqslant K$. We will find an upper bound for the number of solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}+1=R \nu, \quad R \geqslant T, \quad n \leqslant \sqrt{x} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we consider the contribution of those $R$ in the above which have a squarefull divisor $S$ such that $T \leqslant S \leqslant \sqrt{x}$. In this case, $n^{2}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod R)$ implies that $n^{2}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod S)$. Adding up the contributions of all such $S$ 's, 5.2 yields at most

$$
2 \sum_{S \geqslant T} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{S} \rho(S) \ll \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{T}} \quad \text { solutions, }
$$

where we used the trivial bound $\rho(S) \ll S^{\varepsilon}$.
It remains to estimate the number of solutions $n \leqslant \sqrt{x}$ in $\sqrt{5.2}$ for which the corresponding squarefull number $R \leqslant x$ has no squarefull divisor $S \leqslant \sqrt{x}$. If $R$ has at least two prime divisors, say $p$ and $q$, then $p^{2} q^{2} \mid R$ and $\min \left(p^{2}, q^{2}\right)<\sqrt{x}$, which is impossible. This means that $R=p^{\alpha}$ for some integer $\alpha \geqslant 2$. If $\alpha \geqslant 4$, then $S=p^{2}<\sqrt{x}$, again a contradiction. This means that we only have two possibilities, namely $R=p^{2}, p^{3}$. In the case $R=p^{2}$, we have $p^{2} \mid n^{2}+1, p \geqslant \sqrt{x}$; thus, applying Lemma 1 with $f(n)=n^{2}+1$, the assertion is proved. If $R=p^{3}$, the result follows even more directly.

For fixed $K$, there are no more than $\sqrt{x} / K$ integers for which $(K m)^{2} \leqslant x$. Summing on $K$, we get no more than $\sqrt{x} \sum_{K \geqslant T} \frac{1}{K} \ll o(\sqrt{x})(T \rightarrow \infty)$, thus completing the proof of 5.1).

Now further define $\mathcal{K}_{1}:=\left\{(K, R):(K, R)=1\right.$ and $\left.\left(3 \tau\left(K^{2}\right), 2 \tau(R)\right)=1\right\}$. Note that the condition $\left(3 \tau\left(K^{2}\right), 2 \tau(R)\right)=1$ is a necessary condition for $K^{2} m^{2}+1$ $=R \nu$, with $m>1$, to satisfy $l\left(K^{2} m^{2}\right)=1$.

Now let $T$ be a large number. Since $U(x \mid K, R) \leqslant V(x \mid K, R)$, it follows from (5.1) that

$$
\sum_{\max (K, R) \geqslant T} U(x \mid K, R)=o(\sqrt{x}) \quad(T \rightarrow \infty)
$$

In particular, we have

$$
U(x \mid 1,1)=\sum_{m \leqslant \sqrt{x}}\left|\mu\left(m^{2}+1\right)\right| \cdot|\mu(m)|,
$$

so that by Lemma $2, U(x \mid 1,1)=\xi_{2}(1+o(1)) \sqrt{x}$.
Now we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0}(x)=\sum_{K, R \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} U(x \mid K, R), \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x \mid K, R)=\sum_{\substack{\left(\delta_{1}, K\right)=1 \\\left(\delta_{2}, R\right)=1}} \mu\left(\delta_{1}\right) \mu\left(\delta_{2}\right) Q\left(K, R ; \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right), \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
Q\left(K, R ; \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) & =\#\left\{K^{2} \delta_{1}^{4} m_{1}^{2}+1=R \delta_{2}^{2} \nu_{1} \leqslant x,\left(\nu_{1}, R\right)=1,\left(m_{1}, K\right)=1\right\} \\
& =\sum_{d_{1} \mid R} \mu\left(d_{1}\right) \sum_{d_{2} \mid K} \mu\left(d_{2}\right) \cdot \#\left\{K^{2} \delta_{1}^{4} d_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+1=R \delta_{2}^{2} d_{1} \nu_{2} \leqslant x\right\}, \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where this last expression was obtained by setting $\nu_{1}=d_{1} \nu_{2}$ and $m_{1}=d_{2} m_{2}$. Now let $E_{0}=K \delta_{1}^{2} d_{2}$ and $F_{0}=R \delta_{2}^{2} d_{1}$, so that

$$
\#\left\{K^{2} \delta_{1}^{4} d_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+1=R \delta_{2}^{2} d_{1} \nu_{2} \leqslant x\right\}=V\left(x \mid E_{0}, F_{0}\right)
$$

Since $R, K \leqslant T$, it follows that $d_{1}, d_{2} \leqslant T$. But as we have seen earlier, the contribution of those $V\left(x \mid E_{0}, F_{0}\right)$ for which $\max \left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \geqslant T$, is small.

In light of this observation and using (5.5), relation 5.4 can be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x \mid K, R)=\sum_{\substack{\left(\delta_{1}, K\right)=1 \\\left(\delta_{2}, R\right)=1 \\ \delta_{1} \leqslant T, \delta_{2} \leqslant T}} \mu\left(\delta_{1}\right) \mu\left(\delta_{2}\right) Q\left(K, R ; \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)+o(\sqrt{x}) \quad(T \rightarrow \infty) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $E_{0}$ and $F_{0}$ are bounded,

$$
V\left(X \mid E_{0}, F_{0}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{x}}{E_{0} F_{0}} \rho\left(F_{0}\right)+O\left(\rho\left(F_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

Consequently, 5.6 becomes
$U(x \mid K, R)=\sum_{\substack{\left(\delta_{1}, K\right)=1 \\ \delta_{1} \leqslant T}} \sum_{\substack{\left(\delta_{2}, R\right)=1 \\ \delta_{2} \leqslant T}} \sum_{d_{1} \mid R} \mu\left(d_{1}\right) \sum_{d_{2} \mid K} \mu\left(d_{2}\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{x} \rho\left(R \delta_{2}^{2} d_{1}\right)}{K \delta_{1}^{2} d_{2} R \delta_{2}^{2} d_{1}}+O\left(\rho\left(R \delta_{2}^{2} d_{1}\right)\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+o(\sqrt{x}) \quad(T \rightarrow \infty) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(K, R):=\frac{1}{K R} \sum_{\left(\delta_{1}, K\right)=1} \sum_{\left(\delta_{2}, R\right)=1} \sum_{d_{1} \mid R} \sum_{d_{2} \mid K} \frac{\mu\left(\delta_{1}\right) \mu\left(\delta_{2}\right) \mu\left(d_{1}\right) \mu\left(d_{2}\right) \rho\left(R \delta_{2}^{2} d_{1}\right)}{\delta_{1}^{2} \delta_{2}^{2} d_{1} d_{2}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and noticing that the right hand side of (5.8) represents a finite quantity, we may conclude that $C(K, R)$ is a nonnegative (actually positive) constant. Hence, in light of this last observation, (5.7) and (5.8) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x \mid K, R)=C(K, R)(1+o(1)) \sqrt{x} . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum_{(K, R) \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} C(K, R)$ is convergent, it follows, combining 5.3 and 5.9, that

$$
N_{0}(x)=\sum_{K, R \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} U(x \mid K, R)=(1+o(1)) c_{10} \sqrt{x} \quad(x \rightarrow \infty),
$$

where $c_{10}=\sum_{(K, R) \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} C(K, R)$ is a constant which is positive because $C(1,1)$ is positive by Lemma 2 .

It remains to consider the second case, namely the one where $\tau(n+1)$ is odd, in which case $n+1$ is a square. In this case, $l(n)=1$ implies that $n+1=K^{2} m^{2}$, where $K$ is squarefull, $m>1$ squarefree, $(K, m)=1, n=R \nu,(\nu, R)=1, R$ squarefull and $\nu$ squarefree. Now, $l(n)=1$ also implies that $\left(2 \tau(R), 3 \tau\left(K^{2}\right)\right)=1$. Hence, let
$\mathcal{K}_{2}$ stand for the set of all pairs of squarefull integers $K, R$, with $(K, R)=1$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 \tau(R), 3 \tau\left(K^{2}\right)\right)=1 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $K=R=1$ satisfies 5.10 and that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{1}(x)=\sum_{K, R \in \mathcal{K}_{2}} \#\{R \nu \leqslant x: & K^{2} m^{2}-1=R \nu, m>1 \\
& \left.(K, m)=(R, \nu)=1, \mu^{2}(m)=\mu^{2}(\nu)=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proceeding along the same lines as in the first case yields the estimate

$$
N_{1}(x)=(1+o(1)) c_{11} \sqrt{x} \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)
$$

for some positive constant $c_{11}$. Since the rest of the proof is similar, we shall omit it. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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