

## BOREL SETS AND COUNTABLE MODELS

Žarko Mijajlović, Dragan Doder, and  
Angelina Ilić-Stepić

*Communicated by Stevan Pilipović*

ABSTRACT. We show that certain families of sets and functions related to a countable structure  $\mathbb{A}$  are analytic subsets of a Polish space. Examples include sets of automorphisms, endomorphisms and congruences of  $\mathbb{A}$  and sets of the combinatorial nature such as coloring of countable plain graphs and domino tiling of the plane. This implies, without any additional set-theoretical assumptions, i.e., in ZFC alone, that cardinality of every such uncountable set is  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

### 1. Introduction

Works of Kueker [6], Reyes [10], Barwise [1], Makkai [8] and others, show that certain sets  $\mathcal{S}$  of model-theoretic objects related to a countable structure  $\mathbb{A}$ , as  $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}$  for example, behave as analytic subsets of the Cantor discontinuum. Here we present a method for coding some notions related to countable first-order structures by theories of propositional calculus  $L_{\omega_1}$ . After that, we consider countable models and we discuss the topological and cardinal properties of the set of valuations satisfying a formula of the infinitary logic  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ . Finally, we transfer those considerations to the models that are not necessarily countable. Then, we use the Suslin theorem on the cardinality of analytic subsets in a Polish space, to derive various known theorems in a uniform way.

Let  $X$  be a Polish space. The family of the Borel subsets of  $X$  is the smallest  $\sigma$  algebra on  $P(X)$  which contains closed subsets of  $X$ . Analytic sets are continuous images of Borel sets. The following theorem, see [9], will have the important role in the following considerations.

**THEOREM 1.1 (Suslin).** *Let  $X$  be an infinite analytic subset of a Polish space. Then either  $|X| = \aleph_0$  or  $|X| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary: 03C07.

Partially supported by Ministarstvo prosvete i nauke Republike Srbije, projects ON174026 and III44006.

We will say that a collection  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies CH (Continuum hypothesis) if every uncountable member  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  has the cardinality of continuum,  $2^{\aleph_0}$ . In proofs that  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies CH, the idea of our approach is to interpret the members  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  by analytic subsets of Cantor space, or more generally of a Polish space.

## 2. Coding into $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$

In this section we present a method for coding the first order properties of countable structures by valuations of the sentences of the infinitary propositional logic  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}$ . Let us first review some definitions and notions of this logic.

The logic  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$  is an extension of the classical first order predicate logic  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$ . Besides the usual logical symbols it admits also countable conjunctions ( $\bigwedge$ ) and disjunctions ( $\bigvee$ ), see [4]. For instance, if the language contains countably many constant symbols  $c_n$ ,  $n \in \omega$ , then the formula of this logic  $\forall x \bigvee_{n \in \omega} x = c_n$  asserts that the domain of the structure is at most countable. On the other hand, the logic  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is an extension of the classical propositional calculus with particular set of propositional letters  $\mathcal{P}$  which allows countable conjunctions and disjunctions. The set of formulas  $\mathcal{F}_{cP}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is defined by recursion as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \mathcal{P}, \\ F_{n+1} &= F_n \cup \{\neg\varphi \mid \varphi \in F_n\} \cup \{\bigwedge S \mid S \in [F_n]^{\leq \omega}\} \cup \{\bigvee S \mid S \in [F_n]^{\leq \omega}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{cP} &= \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the symbol  $[X]^{\leq \omega}$  denotes the set of at most countable subsets of  $X$ . If  $S = \{\varphi_n \mid n \in \omega\}$ , then  $\bigwedge S$  and  $\bigvee S$  are denoted also by  $\bigwedge_{n \in \omega} \varphi_n$  and  $\bigvee_{n \in \omega} \varphi_n$  respectively. A map  $\mu : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 2$  is called a valuation and the value of the formula in the valuation  $\mu$  is defined by induction on complexity of formulas as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p[\mu] &= \mu(p), \quad p \in \mathcal{P}, \\ (\bigwedge_{n \in \omega} \varphi_n)[\mu] &= \prod_{n \in \omega} \varphi_n[\mu], \quad \text{where } \prod_{n \in \omega} \varphi_n[\mu] \text{ is an infimum of the set } \\ &\quad \{\varphi_n[\mu] \mid n \in \omega\} \text{ in the boolean algebra } \mathbf{2} = (2, \cdot, +, ', 0, 1), \\ (\bigvee_{n \in \omega} \varphi_n)[\mu] &= \sum_{n \in \omega} \varphi_n[\mu], \quad \text{where } \sum_{n \in \omega} \varphi_n[\mu] \text{ is a supremum of the set } \\ &\quad \{\varphi_n[\mu] \mid n \in \omega\} \text{ in } \mathbf{2}, \\ (\neg\varphi)[\mu] &= \varphi[\mu]'. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have defined for each formula  $\varphi$  a function  $\hat{\varphi} : 2^{cP} \rightarrow 2$  such that  $\hat{\varphi}(\mu) = \varphi[\mu]$  for all  $\mu : cP \rightarrow 2$ . If  $\varphi$  is finite, observe that  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a continuous function. We say that a valuation  $\mu$  is a model of the formula  $\varphi$  if  $\hat{\varphi}(\mu) = 1$ . Let us assume discrete topology on the set 2. Then  $2^{\mathcal{P}}$  is the Cantor space and its domain is the set of all valuations of the propositional variables, i.e., models of the propositional calculus. Since  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a Borel function, the set  $\mathfrak{M}(\varphi)$  of all models of  $\varphi$  is a Borel subset of the Cantor space  $2^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Thus we proved

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Assume  $T$  is a theory in  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$  over a countable set  $cP$  of propositional letters. Then  $\mathfrak{M}(T)$  is a Borel subset of the Cantor space  $2^{\mathcal{P}}$ .*

By Theorem 1.1 we have immediately

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *CH holds for  $\mathfrak{M}(\varphi)$ .*

The essence of the method we are proposing now is based on the Corollary and is stated as follows: Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a class of certain sets. If every  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  is coded by a set of all models of a theory of  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$ , then CH is true for a class  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**2.1. Map  $*$ .** Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \dots)$  be a countable first-order structure of a countable language  $L$ ,  $L_A = L \cup \{\underline{a} \mid a \in A\}$ , and  $(\mathbb{A}, a)_{a \in A}$  the simple expansion of  $\mathbb{A}$  to  $L_A$ . We define the set  $cP$  of propositional letters as

$$cP = \{p_{F, a_1, \dots, a_n, b} \mid a_i, b \in A, F \text{ is a function symbol of } L\} \\ \cup \{q_{R, a_1, \dots, a_n} \mid a_i \in A, R \text{ is a relation symbol of } L\}.$$

The map  $*$  from the set  $\text{Sent}_{L_A}$  of all  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ -sentences of  $L_A$  into the set of infinitary propositional formulas of  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$  over the set  $\mathcal{P}$  is defined recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (F(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_n) = \underline{b})^* &= p_{F, a_1, \dots, a_n, b}, \\ (R(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_n))^* &= q_{R, a_1, \dots, a_n}, \\ (\neg\theta)^* &= \neg\theta^*, \quad (\bigwedge_{n \in \omega} \theta_n)^* = \bigwedge_{n \in \omega} \theta_n^*, \quad (\bigvee_{n \in \omega} \theta_n)^* = \bigvee_{n \in \omega} \theta_n^*, \\ (\forall x\theta)^* &= \bigwedge_{a \in A} \theta(\underline{a})^*, \quad (\exists x\theta)^* = \bigvee_{a \in A} \theta(\underline{a})^*, \\ (F(t_1(\underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_m}), \dots, t_n(\underline{a}_{j_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{j_m})) = \underline{b})^* &= \\ &\bigwedge_{(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^n} (\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\underline{b}_i = t_i(\underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_m}))^* \rightarrow p_{F, b_1, \dots, b_n, b}), \\ (R(t_1(\underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_m}), \dots, t_n(\underline{a}_{j_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{j_m})))^* &= \\ &\bigwedge_{(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^n} ((\bigwedge_{i=1}^n t_i(\underline{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \underline{a}_{i_m}) = \underline{b}_i)^* \wedge q_{R, b_1, \dots, b_n}). \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \dots)$  be a countable model of a countable language  $L$ ,  $L'$  is a countable expansion of  $L$  and  $T$  be a theory of  $L'$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ . Then the set of all  $L'$ -expansions  $\mathbb{A}'$  of  $\mathbb{A}$  that are models of  $T$  is coded by a Borel subset of the Cantor space.*

**PROOF.** With the notation as above, let  $T^* = \{\varphi^* \mid \varphi \in T\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}(T^*) = \bigcap_{\varphi \in T} \mathfrak{M}(\varphi^*)$  is a Borel set as a countable intersection of Borel sets. It remains to see that there is a one-to-one and onto correspondence between valuations that are the models of  $T^*$  and expansions  $\mathbb{A}'$  of  $\mathbb{A}$  in  $L'$  that are models of  $T$ . The function  $h$  which assigns to each  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(T^*)$  an expansion  $h(\mu) = \mathbb{A}_\mu$  of  $\mathbb{A}$  is defined as follows:

If  $F \in L'$  is a function symbol, then

$$F^{\mathbb{A}_\mu}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = b \quad \text{iff} \quad (F(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_n) = \underline{b})[\mu] = 1, \\ \text{i.e., } F^{\mathbb{A}_\mu}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = b \quad \text{iff} \quad \mu(p_{F, a_1, \dots, a_n, b}) = 1.$$

If  $R \in L'$  is a predicate symbol, then

$$R^{\mathbb{A}_\mu}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad (R(\underline{t}_1, \dots, \underline{t}_n))[\mu] = 1, \\ \text{i.e., } R^{\mathbb{A}_\mu}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \mu(q_{R, t_1, \dots, t_n}) = 1.$$

By induction on the complexity of the formula  $\varphi$ , it is easy to prove that  $\mathbb{A}_\mu$  is a model of  $T$  and if  $\mu \neq \nu$ , then  $\mathbb{A}_\mu \neq \mathbb{A}_\nu$ . Hence the mapping  $h$  is one-to-one. Conversely, let  $\mathbb{A}'$  be an  $L'$ -expansion of  $\mathbb{A}$  that is a model of  $T$ . Then we define a valuation  $\mu_{\mathbb{A}'}$  of as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\mathbb{A}'}(p_{F,a_1,\dots,a_n,b}) &= 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathbb{A}' \models F(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_n) = \underline{b}. \\ \mu_{\mathbb{A}'}(q_{R,t_1,\dots,t_n}) &= 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathbb{A}' \models R(t_1, \dots, t_n).\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbb{A}'$  is a model of  $T$ ,  $\mu_{\mathbb{A}'}$  is a model of  $T^*$ , i.e.,  $h(\mu_{\mathbb{A}'}) = \mathbb{A}'$ . Thus  $h$  is onto. The mapping  $c = h^{-1}$  codes expansions  $\mathbb{A}'$  and this proves the theorem.  $\square$

Under the assumptions of the previous theorem by Suslin's theorem we have immediately the following

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *If the set of all  $L'$ -expansions  $\mathbb{A}'$  of  $\mathbb{A}$  that are models of  $T$  is uncountable, then it has the cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

From Theorem 2.2 we can easily deduce a variant of Makkai's theorem [8, Theorem 9.1.]. Let  $\psi$  be a  $\Sigma_1^1$  sentence of the logic  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ , i.e.,  $\psi$  is a second order formula of the form  $\exists \bar{R} \varphi(\bar{R})$ , where  $\varphi(\bar{R})$  is of  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$  in a language  $L \cup \{\bar{R}\}$ ,  $\bar{R}$  is a finite or infinite set of predicate (and/or function) symbols not belonging to  $L$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \dots)$  be a countable model of a countable language  $L$ ,  $L'$  is a countable expansion of  $L$  and  $\psi = \exists \bar{R} \varphi(\bar{R})$  be a  $\Sigma_1^1$  sentence of  $L'$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$  (the sequence  $\bar{R}$  does not belong to  $L'$ ). Then the set of all  $L'$ -expansions  $\mathbb{A}'$  of  $\mathbb{A}$  that are models of  $\psi$  is coded by an analytic subset of the Cantor space.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all expansions  $\mathbb{A}'' = (\mathbb{A}', \bar{R})$  that satisfy the sentence  $\varphi(\bar{R})$ , where  $\mathbb{A}'$  is an expansion of  $\mathbb{A}$  to the language  $L'$ . By Theorem 2.2,  $\mathcal{S}$  is coded by a Borel subset  $B$  of  $2^{cP}$ , where  $cP$  is the set of propositional letters introduced by the inductive definition of the map  $*$ . Let  $cP_1$  be the set of propositional letters in  $cP$  which do not contain in their indices the symbols which occur in the block  $\exists \bar{R}$  and  $cP_2$  be the set of propositional letters in  $cP$  which do contain in their indices the symbols which occur in the block  $\exists \bar{R}$ . Hence  $cP = cP_1 \cup cP_2$  and  $cP_1 \cap cP_2 = \emptyset$ . So,  $B$  is a Borel subset of  $2^{cP_1} \times 2^{cP_2}$  and its projection  $B'$  to  $2^{cP_1}$  is the code set of  $\mathcal{S}'$ , the set of all expansions  $\mathbb{A}'$  satisfying  $\psi$ . Hence  $B'$  is the analytic subset of  $B$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Examples.** Though some of the following examples can be seen as special cases of Theorem 2.2, for the sake of simplicity we will give a direct application of  $*$ . Also, we believe that in this way certain combinatorial problems are easier to be coded directly.

**EXAMPLE 2.1** (Kueker's theorem, revisited). Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \dots)$  be a countable algebra of a countable language  $L$ . We shall show that CH is true for the number of automorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}$ , see [6]. First, we describe the suitable propositional theory that codes the notion of an automorphism of the model  $\mathbb{A}$ .

Let  $f \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_{ab} \mid a, b \in A\}$  be a set of propositional letters. Here,  $p_{ab}$  intuitively means that  $f(a) = b$ , or, more formally, according to definition of the map  $*$ ,  $(f(a) = b)^* = p_{ab}$ . The theory  $T$  that we shall define now will exactly describe this situation. The theory  $T$  is defined as follows:

- $T_1 = \{\neg(p_{ab_1} \wedge p_{ab_2}) \mid b_1 \neq b_2, a, b_1, b_2 \in A\}$ .  
Observe that  $\mathfrak{M}(T_1) = \bigcap_{\varphi \in T_1} \hat{\varphi}^{-1}[1]$ , so  $\mathfrak{M}(T_1)$  is a closed subset of the Cantor space  $2^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Also observe that  $T_1$  codes the notion of the function.
- $T_2 = \{\bigvee_b \varphi_{ab} \mid a \in A\}$ , where  $\varphi_{ab} = p_{ab}$ .  
Note that  $\mathfrak{M}(T_2) = \bigcap_a \bigcup_b \hat{\varphi}_{ab}^{-1}[1]$ , thus  $\mathfrak{M}(T_2)$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $2^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Also note that  $T_2$  says that  $A$  is the domain of a function.
- $T_3 = \{\neg(p_{a_1b} \wedge p_{a_2b}) \mid a_1 \neq a_2, a_1, a_2, b \in A\}$ .  
It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{M}(T_3)$  is closed in  $2^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Observe that  $T_3$  codes the notion of injection.
- $T_4 = \{\bigvee_a p_{ab} \mid b \in A\}$ .  
Similarly as in the case of the set of all models of  $T_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}(T_4)$  is  $G_\delta$  subset of  $2^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Note that  $T_4$  codes the notion of surjection.
- Let  $F$  be an  $n$ -ary function symbol of  $L$ . Define the theory  $T_F$  by

$$T_F = \{\neg(p_{a_1b_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{a_nb_n}) \vee p_{F^{\mathbb{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)F^{\mathbb{A}}(b_1, \dots, b_n)} \mid a_i, b_j \in A\}$$

and define  $T_5$  by  $T_5 = \bigcup_F T_F$ . Clearly,  $\mathfrak{M}(T_5)$  is  $F_\sigma$  in  $2^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Finally, let us remark that  $T_5$  provides the compatibility of the function  $f$  with the operation  $F$ . Then the theory  $T_5$  says that  $f$  is an endomorphism of the algebra  $\mathbb{A}$ .

Let  $T = T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_5$ . We see that the theory  $T$  says that  $f$  is an automorphism of the algebra  $\mathbb{A}$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{M}(T) = \bigcap_{i=1}^5 \mathfrak{M}(T_i)$  is a Borel subset of  $2^{\mathcal{P}}$  since it is a finite intersection of Borel sets. Therefore CH holds for  $\mathfrak{M}(T)$ .

Finally, the map  $H : \mathfrak{M}(T) \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathbb{A}$  defined by  $H(\mu)(a) = b$  iff  $\mu(p_{ab}) = 1$  is a bijection, thus the number of automorphisms of a countable algebra satisfies CH. If  $\mathbb{A}$  is a countable algebra with relations, i.e., a model of the first order predicate calculus, a similar proposition holds for  $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}$ . It is obtained by adding to the theory  $T$  the set of appropriate axioms for relation symbols of the language of the model  $\mathbb{A}$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.2 (Graph coloring).** A graph is a pair  $(\Gamma, R)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a nonempty set and  $R$  is a binary relation on  $\Gamma$ . We say that the elements of the set  $\Gamma$  are nodes of the graph. If  $a$  and  $b$  are nodes and if  $aRb$  is true, then we say that nodes  $a$  and  $b$  are connected.

It is well known that there is a coloring of nodes of a countable planar graph in four colors such that connected nodes are colored in different colors. We will show that the number of such colorings satisfies CH.

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_{ab} \mid a, b \in \Gamma\} \cup \{q_a^n \mid a \in \Gamma, n \in 4\}$ , where  $p_{ab}$  means that nodes  $a$  and  $b$  are connected ( $(aRb)^* = p_{ab}$ ), and  $q_a^n$  means that the node  $a$  is colored in  $n$ -th color ( $(R_i(a))^* = q_a^i$ , where  $R_i$  is the relation “ $i$ -th color”). We define the theory  $T$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \{\bigvee_{n \in 4} q_a^n \mid a \in \Gamma\}, \\ T_2 &= \{\neg q_a^m \vee \neg q_a^n \mid a \in \Gamma, m, n \in 4, m \neq n\}, \\ T_3 &= \{\neg p_{ab} \vee \neg q_a^n \vee \neg q_b^n \mid a, b \in \Gamma, n \in 4\}, \\ T &= T_1 \cup T_2 \cup T_3. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that each model of the theory  $T$  defines a unique coloring of the graph  $(\Gamma, R)$  in four colors, and vice versa, each coloring defines a unique model of the theory  $T$ . Thus the number of such colorings is equal to the number of models of the theory  $T$ , so it satisfies CH.

EXAMPLE 2.3 (Wang dominoes). This example is connected with the problem of domino tiling of a plane [11], see also [5, Vol. , pp. 381–384]. Suppose we have countably many dominoes, where each domino is a unit square divided into four triangles by its diagonals and each triangle is enumerated by some natural number.

The type of the domino is a quadruple of natural numbers  $(a, b, c, d)$ , where  $a$  is a label of the lower triangle,  $b$  is a label of the left triangle,  $c$  is a label of the upper triangle and  $d$  is a label of the right triangle. Let  $S$  be a finite set of domino types.

We cover the plane by dominoes as follows: The vertices of dominoes should have integers as coordinates. The position of a domino are coordinates of the upper right vertex. We combine dominoes in the usual way. Using the Transfer Principle from the nonstandard analysis we can show that the existence of a covering of the first quadrant implies existence of a covering of the entire plane. Namely, suppose that there is a covering of the first quadrant. Then, by the Transfer Principle, there is a covering of the nonstandard first quadrant. If  $H$  is an infinite nonstandard positive integer, then any covering of the galaxy of  $(H, H)$  is also a covering of the standard plane. Now we shell discuss the number of these coverings.

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_{abcd}^{m,n} \mid (a, b, c, d) \in S, m, n \in \omega\}$  be the set of propositional letters, where  $p_{abcd}^{m,n}$  means “the domino of the type  $(a, b, c, d)$  is on the position  $(m, n)$ ”. The suitable propositional theory  $T$  which will describe the covering of the plane is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \{\bigvee_S p_{abcd}^{m,n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ T_2 &= \{\neg p_{abcd}^{m,n} \vee \neg p_{pqrs}^{m,n-1} \mid a \neq r, p_{abcd}^{m,n}, p_{pqrs}^{m,n-1} \in S\}, \\ T_3 &= \{\neg p_{abcd}^{m,n} \vee \neg p_{pqrs}^{m+1,n} \mid b \neq s, p_{abcd}^{m,n}, p_{pqrs}^{m+1,n} \in S\}, \\ T_4 &= \{\neg p_{abcd}^{m,n} \vee \neg p_{pqrs}^{m,n+1} \mid c \neq p, p_{abcd}^{m,n}, p_{pqrs}^{m,n+1} \in S\}, \\ T_5 &= \{\neg p_{abcd}^{m,n} \vee \neg p_{pqrs}^{m-1,n} \mid q \neq d, p_{abcd}^{m,n}, p_{pqrs}^{m-1,n} \in S\}, \\ T &= T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_5. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $T_1$  codes the notion of the covering of the plain, while  $T_2, T_3, T_4, T_5$  code other properties of the domino tiling. Further, it is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{M}(T) = \bigcap_{\varphi \in T} \hat{\varphi}^{-1}[1]$ , thus  $\mathfrak{M}(T)$  is a Borel subset of the Cantor space  $2^{\mathcal{P}}$ , so CH holds for  $\mathfrak{M}(T)$ . In addition, we have a one-to-one and onto correspondence between the set of all coverings of the plane and the set of all models of the theory  $T$ . Thus, the number of coverings satisfies CH.

Of course, many other examples fall in this category. For example, CH is true for:

- (1) The number of countable linear extensions of a countable partially ordered set.

- (2) The number of congruences of a countable algebra (Burris and Kwaitinetz).
- (3) The number of maximal (prime) ideals of countable ring, i.e., Zariski space of a countable ring. In particular, CH holds for the Stone space of a countable Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$ .
- (4) The numbers of maximal chains and antichains in a countable partially ordered set. In particular, CH holds for the number of branches of a countable tree.
- (5) The number of ordered fields  $(\mathbb{F}, \leq)$ , for every countable real closed field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

### 3. On the number of valuations

In this section we discuss the topological and cardinal properties of the set of valuations satisfying a formula of the infinitary logic  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ . The basic assumption is that the domain of the considered model is equipped with a certain topology. We note that our consideration in this section is not limited only to the countable models.

**3.1. Valuations in countable models.** Let  $L$  be a language with a countable set of variables  $V$  and let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \dots)$  be a countable model of language  $L$ . If we consider  $A$  as a discrete topological space, then the set of all valuations  $A^V$  is homeomorphic to the Baire space.

Let  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be an arbitrary first-order formula of the language  $L$ . We define the map  $\hat{\varphi} : A^V \rightarrow 2$  by

$$\hat{\varphi}(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \mathbb{A} \models \varphi[\mu] \\ 0, & \mathbb{A} \models \neg\varphi[\mu]. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 3.1. *The function  $\hat{\varphi}$  is continuous.*

PROOF. The set

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}^{-1}[\{1\}] &= \{\mu \in A^V \mid \mathbb{A} \models \varphi[\mu]\} \\ &= \bigcup \{\pi_1^{-1}[\{a_1\}] \cap \dots \cap \pi_n^{-1}[\{a_n\}] \mid a_i \in A \wedge \mathbb{A} \models \varphi[a_1, \dots, a_n]\}, \end{aligned}$$

is open as the union of open sets. Similarly,  $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}[\{0\}]$  is open, so  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a continuous map.  $\square$

Let  $t(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{\varphi_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid m \in \omega\}$  be a countable type in variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of the language  $L$ . We define the function  $\hat{t} : A^V \rightarrow 2^\omega$  by

$$\hat{t}(\mu) = \langle \hat{\varphi}_n[\mu] \mid n \in \omega \rangle.$$

Since for each  $m$  we have  $\pi_m \circ \hat{t} = \hat{\varphi}_m$ , and each function  $\hat{\varphi}_m$  is continuous, we obtain the following

COROLLARY 3.1. *The function  $\hat{t}$  is continuous.*

The set of all valuations that satisfy the type  $t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is equal to the set  $\hat{t}^{-1}[\{\langle 1 \mid n \in \omega \rangle\}]$ , so we have the following fact.

COROLLARY 3.2. *The set of all valuations that satisfy the type  $t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a closed subset of the Baire space  $A^V$ .*

Next we shall consider formulas  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and types  $t(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  of  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$  with countably many free variables, together with corresponding functions  $\hat{\varphi}$  and  $\hat{t}$ .

THEOREM 3.1. *For arbitrary formula  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  of  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$  the function  $\hat{\varphi}$  is Borel.*

PROOF. We use the induction on the complexity of  $\varphi$ . If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula, then by the argument stated above the function  $\hat{\varphi}$  is continuous and hence it is Borel.

Suppose that  $\varphi$  is the formula  $\bigvee_{n \in \omega} \varphi_n$ . Then  $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}[\{1\}] = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \hat{\varphi}_n^{-1}[\{1\}]$ , so it is a Borel set as a countable union of Borel sets.

Suppose that  $\varphi$  is the formula  $\neg\psi$ . Then  $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}[\{1\}] = \hat{\psi}^{-1}[\{0\}]$ , so it is a Borel set by the induction hypothesis.

Suppose that  $\varphi$  is the formula  $\exists x \psi(x, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}^{-1}[\{1\}] &= \{\mu \in A^V \mid \mathbb{A} \models \exists x \psi(x, x_1, x_2, \dots)[\mu]\} \\ &= \bigcup_{b \in A} \{\mu \in A^V \mid \mathbb{A} \models \psi(b, x_1, x_2, \dots)[\mu]\}, \end{aligned}$$

so it is a Borel set as a countable union of Borel sets.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.3. *The set of all valuations which satisfy the type  $t(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  is a Borel subset of the Baire space, thus CH is true for this set as well.*

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  be countable models of the language  $L$  and let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a submodel of  $\mathbb{B}$ . Then  $\mathbb{A}$  is an elementary submodel of  $\mathbb{B}$  if for each first-order formula  $\varphi$  the function  $\hat{\varphi}_{\mathbb{A}} : A^V \rightarrow 2$  has a continuous extension  $\hat{\varphi}_{\mathbb{B}} : B^V \rightarrow 2$ .

EXAMPLE 3.1. The number of cuts of a countable linear ordering satisfies CH. Really, the notion of the cut can be coded by the conjunction of the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall x \bigvee_{i \in \omega} x = x_i, & \bigwedge_{i \in \omega} x_{2i} < x_{2i+2}, & \bigwedge_{i \in \omega} x_{2i+3} < x_{2i+1}, \\ &\bigwedge_{i, j \in \omega} x_{2i} < x_{2j+1}, & \bigwedge_{i \in \omega} \bigvee_{j \in \omega} x_{2i} < x_{2j}, & \bigwedge_{i \in \omega} \bigvee_{j \in \omega} x_{2j+1} < x_{2i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

**3.2. Valuations in uncountable models.** In this subsection we shall transfer some results from the previous subsection to the models that are not necessarily countable. Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \dots)$  be a model of the language  $L$ , where  $A$  is a Polish space and suppose that all of functions and relations of the model  $\mathbb{A}$  are Borel. For simplicity such models we shall call Borel models. Note that if  $A$  is countable, then all these relations and functions are anyway Borel. In a Borel model  $\mathbb{A}$  we can identify valuations from  $A^V$  with elements of the Polish space  $A^\omega$ . In what follows, we shall use the following two basic facts:



LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $A$  be a Polish space and let  $B$  be a Borel subset of  $A^n$ . If  $\pi$  is a permutation of the set  $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , then  $\{(a_{\pi(0)}, \dots, a_{\pi(n-1)}) \mid (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in B\}$  is a Borel set.*

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $A$  be a Polish space and let  $B$  be a Borel subset of  $A^n$ . Then the sets  $B \times A^k$  and  $B \times A^\omega$  are Borel subsets of  $A^{n+k}$  and  $A^\omega$ , respectively.*

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a Borel model of a language  $L$  and  $t = t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$  be a term of  $L$ , where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  is the list of all variables occurring in  $t$ . The term mapping  $f_t: A^m \rightarrow A$  associated to  $t$  is naturally defined by  $f_t(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) = t^{\mathbb{A}}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m]$ . The following lemma shows that in Borel models term mappings are Borel functions.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \dots)$  be a Borel model of the language  $L$  and  $t$  be a term of  $L$ . Then  $f_t$  is the Borel function.*

PROOF. We prove the statement by induction on the complexity of terms. Obviously, terms of the complexity 0 define unary functions that are identities or constant functions.

Let  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , where  $g$  is a function symbol and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms of the lower complexity. Further, let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  be the list of all variables occurring in  $t$ . The terms  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  define Borel term functions  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  by the induction hypothesis. To prove that the function  $f = g^{\mathbb{A}} \circ (h_1, \dots, h_n)$  is Borel, it suffices to show that the function  $(h_1, \dots, h_n): A^m \rightarrow A^n$  is Borel. The graph of the function  $(h_1, \dots, h_n)$  is the set

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(a_0, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^{m+n+1} \mid h_i(a_0, \dots, a_m) = b_i, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\} \\ &= \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{(a_0, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^{m+n+1} \mid h_i(a_0, \dots, a_m) = b_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, the set

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(a_0, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^{m+n+1} \mid h_1(a_0, \dots, a_m) = b_1\} \\ &= \{(a_0, \dots, a_m, b_1) \in A^{m+2} \mid h_1(a_0, \dots, a_m) = b_1\} \times A^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

is Borel, according to the previous lemma. Similarly, the other terms of the intersection are Borel sets, therefore the function  $(h_1, \dots, h_n)$  is Borel.  $\square$

THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \dots)$  be a Borel model. If  $\varphi$  is a quantifier-free formula of the language  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ , then the set of valuations which satisfy formula  $\varphi$  is a Borel subset of  $A^V$ .*

PROOF. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mu \in A^V \mid \mathbb{A} \models \bigwedge_{n \in \omega} \varphi_n\} &= \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \{\mu \in A^V \mid \mathbb{A} \models \varphi_n\}, \\ \{\mu \in A^V \mid \mathbb{A} \models \neg \varphi\} &= \{\mu \in A^V \mid \mathbb{A} \models \varphi\}^c, \end{aligned}$$

it suffices to prove the statement for the atomic formulas.

Let  $R(t_1(x_0, \dots, x_m), \dots, t_n(x_0, \dots, x_m))$  be an atomic formula. We show that the set

$$S = \{(a_0, \dots, a_m) \in A^{m+1} \mid R^{\mathbb{A}}(t_1^{\mathbb{A}}[a_0, \dots, a_m], \dots, t_n^{\mathbb{A}}[a_0, \dots, a_m])\}$$

is Borel. Notice that  $S = \pi(S_1)$ , where

$$S_1 = \{(a_0, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^{m+n+1} \mid R^{\mathbb{A}}(b_1, \dots, b_n), b_i = t_n^{\mathbb{A}}[a_0, \dots, a_m]\}$$

and  $\pi : A^{m+n+1} \rightarrow A^{m+1}$  is the projection

$$\pi((a_0, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n)) = (a_0, \dots, a_m).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{(a_0, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^{m+n+1} \mid R^{\mathbb{A}}(b_1, \dots, b_n)\} \\ &\quad \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{(a_0, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^{m+n+1} \mid b_i = t_i^{\mathbb{A}}[a_0, \dots, a_m]\}. \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption and Lemma 3.3, the set

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(a_0, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^{m+n+1} \mid R^{\mathbb{A}}(b_1, \dots, b_n)\} \\ &= A^{m+1} \times \{(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^n \mid R^{\mathbb{A}}(b_1, \dots, b_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

is Borel. Using lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 we conclude that the set

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(a_0, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^{m+n+1} \mid b_1 = t_1^{\mathbb{A}}[a_0, \dots, a_m]\} \\ &= \{(a_0, \dots, a_m, b_1) \in A^{m+2} \mid b_1 = t_1^{\mathbb{A}}[a_0, \dots, a_m]\} \times A^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

is Borel, as well as the other members of the intersection. Hence,  $S_1$  is a Borel set.

The projection  $\pi$  is continuous; notice that its restriction  $\pi|_{S_1}$  is injective. Thus,  $S$  is a Borel set as an injective image of the Borel set  $S_1$  (see [3, Theorem 15.1]).  $\square$

Since the continuous image of a Borel set is analytic, we have

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Assume that the model  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \dots)$  of the language  $L$  satisfies the conditions of the previous theorem. If  $\varphi$  is an  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$  formula of the form  $\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \psi$ , where  $\psi$  is quantifier free, CH holds for the number of valuations which satisfy  $\varphi$ .*

In the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \dots)$  be a model of the language  $L$ , where the set  $A$  is a Polish space and all of the functions and relations of  $\mathbb{A}$  are projective. If  $\varphi$  is an arbitrary formula of  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ , then the set of valuations satisfying  $\varphi$  is the projective subset of  $A^V$ .*

We have immediately from [3, Theorem 38.17] the following result.

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A, \dots)$  be a model of the language  $L$ , where the set  $A$  is a Polish space and all of the functions and relations of  $\mathbb{A}$  are projective and assume PD (the axiom of projective determinacy). If  $\varphi$  is an arbitrary formula of  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ , then CH holds for the number of valuations satisfying  $\varphi$ .*

## References

1. J. Barwise, *Admissible Sets And Structures*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
2. C. C. Chang, H. J. Keisler, *Model Theory*, 3rd ed. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
3. A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
4. H. J. Keisler, *Model Theory For Infinitary Logic*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.
5. D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1973.
6. D. Kueker, *Definability, automorphisms and infinitary languages*, in: J. Barwise (ed.), *The Syntax and Semantics of Infinitary Logic*, Lect. Notes Math. 72, Springer, Berlin, 1968, pp. 152–165.
7. K. Kuratowski and A. Mostowski, *Set Theory*, PWN–Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1967.
8. M. Makkai, *Admissible sets and infinitary logic*, in: J. Barwise (ed.), *Handbook of Mathematical Logic*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977, pp. 233–282.
9. Y. N. Moschovakis, *Descriptive Set Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
10. G. Reyes, *Local definability theory*, Ann. Math. Logic **1** (1970), 95–137.
11. H. Wang, *Games, logic and computers*, Scientific American **213**(5) (1965), 98–106.

University of Belgrade  
Faculty of Mathematics  
Studentski trg 16  
11000 Belgrade  
Serbia

`zarkom@matf.bg.ac.rs`, `angelina@matf.bg.ac.rs`

(Received 02 10 2011)

University of Belgrade  
Faculty of Mechanical Engineering  
Kraljice Marije 16  
11120 Belgrade  
Serbia  
`ddoder@mas.bg.ac.rs`