DOI: 10.2298/PIM1307145T

SPACES WITH *σ*-LOCALLY FINITE LINDELÖF sn-NETWORKS

Luong Quoc Tuyen

Communicated by Miloš Kurilić

ABSTRACT. We prove that a space X has a σ -locally finite Lindelöf sn-network if and only if X is a compact-covering compact and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space, if and only if X is a sequentially-quotient π and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space, where "compact-covering" (or "sequentially-quotient") can not be replaced by "sequence-covering". As an application, we give a new characterization of spaces with locally countable weak bases.

1. Introduction

In [17] Lin introduced the concept of mssc-maps to characterize spaces with certain σ -locally finite networks by mssc-images of metric spaces. After that, some characterizations for certain mssc-images of metric (or semi-metric) spaces are obtained by many authors ([11, 12, 14], for example). Recently, Dung gave some characterizations for certain mssc-images of locally separable metric spaces (see in [3]).

We prove that a space X has a σ -locally finite Lindelöf sn-network if and only if X is a compact-covering compact and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space, if and only if X is a sequentially-quotient π and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space, where "compact-covering" (or "sequentially-quotient") can not be replaced by "sequence-covering". As an application, we give a new characterization of spaces with locally countable weak bases.

Throughout this paper, all spaces are assumed to be T_1 and regular, all maps are continuous and onto, \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all natural numbers. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} be two families of subsets of X and $x \in X$, we denote $(\mathcal{P})_x = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P\},$ $\bigcup \mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{P : P \in \mathcal{P}\}, \ \bigcap \mathcal{P} = \bigcap \{P : P \in \mathcal{P}\}, \ \operatorname{st}(x, \mathcal{P}) = \bigcup (\mathcal{P})_x \text{ and } \mathcal{P} \land \mathcal{Q} =$ $\{P \cap Q : P \in \mathcal{P}, Q \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$ For a sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x and $P \subset X$, we say

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54E35, 54E40; Secondary 54D65, 54E99. Key words and phrases: weak base, sn-network, locally finite, Lindelöf, compact-covering map, compact map, mssc-map.

¹⁴⁵

that $\{x_n\}$ is eventually in P if $\{x\} \bigcup \{x_n : n \ge m\} \subset P$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\{x_n\}$ is frequently in P if some subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ is eventually in P.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let X be a space, $P \subset X$ and let \mathcal{P} be a cover of X.

- (1) P is a sequential neighborhood of x in X [5], if each sequence S converging to x is eventually in P.
- (2) P is a sequentially open subset of X [5], if P is a sequential neighborhood of x in X for every $x \in P$.
- (3) \mathcal{P} is an *so-cover* for X [19], if each element of \mathcal{P} is sequentially open in X.
- (4) \mathcal{P} is a *cfp-cover* for X [29], if whenever K is compact subset of X, there exist a finite family $\{K_i : i \leq n\}$ of closed subsets of K and $\{P_i : i \leq n\} \subset \mathcal{P}$ such that $K = \bigcup \{K_i : i \leq n\}$ and each $K_i \subset P_i$.
- (5) \mathcal{P} is an *cs*^{*}-*cover* for X [28], if every convergent sequence is frequently in some $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let \mathcal{P} be a family of subsets of a space X.

- (1) For each $x \in X$, \mathcal{P} is a *network* at x in X [18], if $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{P}$, and if $x \in U$ with U open in X, then there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in P \in U$.
- (2) \mathcal{P} is a *cs-network* for X [28], if each sequence S converging to a point $x \in U$ with U open in X, S is eventually in $P \subset U$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}$.
- (3) \mathcal{P} is a cs^* -network for X [28], if for each sequence S converging to a point $x \in U$ with U open in X, S is frequently in $P \subset U$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}$.
- (4) \mathcal{P} is *Lindelöf*, if each element of \mathcal{P} is a Lindelöf subset of X.
- (5) \mathcal{P} is *point-countable* [4], if each point $x \in X$ belongs to only countably many members of \mathcal{P} .
- (6) \mathcal{P} is *locally countable* [4], if for each $x \in X$, there exists a neighborhood V of x such that V meets only countably many members of \mathcal{P} .
- (7) \mathcal{P} is *locally finite* [4], if for each $x \in X$, there exists a neighborhood V of x such that V meets only finite many members of \mathcal{P} .
- (8) \mathcal{P} is star-countable [23], if each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ meets only countably many members of \mathcal{P} .

DEFINITION 1.3. Let $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_x : x \in X\}$ be a family of subsets of a space X satisfying that, for every $x \in X$, \mathcal{P}_x is a network at x in X, and if $U, V \in \mathcal{P}_x$, then $W \subset U \cap V$ for some $W \in \mathcal{P}_x$.

- (1) \mathcal{P} is a weak base for X [1], if $G \subset X$ such that for every $x \in G$, there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}_x$ satisfying $P \subset G$, then G is open in X. Here, \mathcal{P}_x is a weak base at x in X.
- (2) \mathcal{P} is an *sn-network* for X [16], if each member of \mathcal{P}_x is a sequential neighborhood of x for all $x \in X$. Here, \mathcal{P}_x is an *sn-network* at x in X.

DEFINITION 1.4. Let X be a space.

- (1) X is an *sn-first countable space* [8], if there is a countable sn-network at x in X for all $x \in X$.
- (2) X is an *sn-metrizable space* [7] (resp., a *g-metrizable space* [25]), if X has a σ -locally finite sn-network (resp., weak base).

- (3) X is a cosmic space [21], if X has a countable network.
- (4) X is an \aleph_0 -space [21], if X has a countable cs-network.
- (5) X is an \aleph -space [22], if X has a σ -locally finite cs-network.
- (6) X is a sequential space [5], if each sequentially open subset of X is open.
- (7) X is a *Fréchet space* [4], if for each $x \in \overline{A}$, there exists a sequence in A converging to x.

DEFINITION 1.5. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a map.

- (1) f is sequence-covering [24], if for each convergent sequence S of Y, there exists a convergent sequence L of X such that f(L) = S. Note that a sequence-covering map is a strong sequence-covering map in the sense of [14].
- (2) f is compact-covering [21], if for each compact subset K of Y, there exists a compact subset L of X such that f(L) = K.
- (3) f is pseudo-sequence-covering [13], if for each convergent sequence S of Y, there exists a compact subset K of X such that f(K) = S.
- (4) f is sequentially-quotient [2], if for each convergent sequence S of Y, there exists a convergent sequence L of X such that f(L) is a subsequence of S.
- (5) f is a quotient map [4], if whenever $U \subset Y$, U open in Y if and only if $f^{-1}(U)$ open in X.
- (6) f is an mssc-map [17], if X is a subspace of the product space $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$ of a family $\{X_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of metric spaces and for each $y \in Y$, there is a sequence $\{V_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open neighborhoods of y such that each $\overline{p_i f^{-1}(V_i)}$ is compact in X_i .
- (7) f is compact [4], if each $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact in X.
- (8) f is a π -map [13], if for each $y \in Y$ and for each neighborhood U of y in Y, $d(f^{-1}(y), X f^{-1}(U)) > 0$, where X is a metric space with a metric d.

DEFINITION 1.6. [18] Let $\{\mathcal{P}_i\}$ be a cover sequence of a space X. $\{\mathcal{P}_i\}$ is called a *point-star network*, if $\{\operatorname{st}(x, \mathcal{P}_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a network of x for each $x \in X$.

For some undefined or related concepts, we refer the reader to [4, 13, 18].

2. Main Results

LEMMA 2.1. Let $f: M \to X$ be a sequentially-quotient mssc-map, and M be a locally separable metric space. Then, X has a σ -locally finite Lindelöf cs-network.

PROOF. By using the proof of $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ in [14, Theorem 4], there exists a base \mathcal{B} of M such that $f(\mathcal{B})$ is a σ -locally finite network for X. Since M is locally separable, for each $a \in M$, there exists a separable open neighborhood U_a . Denote

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ B \in \mathcal{B} : B \subset U_a \text{ for some } a \in M \}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ and \mathcal{C} is a separable base for M. If put $\mathcal{P} = f(\mathcal{C})$, then $\mathcal{P} \subset f(\mathcal{B})$, and \mathcal{P} is a σ -locally finite Lindelöf network. Since f is sequentially-quotient and \mathcal{C} is a base for M, \mathcal{P} is a cs^{*}-network. Therefore, \mathcal{P} is a σ -locally finite Lindelöf cs^{*}-network.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we can assume that $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since each element of \mathcal{P}_i is Lindelöf, each \mathcal{P}_i is star-countable. It follows from [23, Lemma 2.1] that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{P}_i = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{Q}_{i,\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda_i \}$, where $\mathcal{Q}_{i,\alpha}$ is a countable subfamily of \mathcal{P}_i for all $\alpha \in \Lambda_i$ and $(\bigcup \mathcal{Q}_{i,\alpha}) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{Q}_{i,\beta}) = \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda_i$, we put $\mathcal{R}_{i,\alpha} = \{\bigcup \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \text{ is a finite subfamily of } \mathcal{Q}_{i,\alpha}\}.$ Since each $\mathcal{R}_{i,\alpha}$ is countable, we can write $\mathcal{R}_{i,\alpha} = \{R_{i,\alpha,j} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Now, for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\mathcal{F}_{i,j} = \{R_{i,\alpha,j} : \alpha \in \Lambda_i\}$, and denote $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{F}_{i,j} : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then, each $R_{i,\alpha,j}$ is Lindelöf and each family $\mathcal{F}_{i,j}$ is locally finite. Now, we shall show that \mathcal{G} is a cs-network. In fact, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence converging to $x \in U$ with U open in X. Since \mathcal{P} is a point-countable cs^{*}-network, it follows from [27, Lemma 3] that there exists a finite family $\mathcal{A} \subset (\mathcal{P})_x$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is eventually in $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \subset U$. Furthermore, since \mathcal{A} is finite and $\mathcal{P}_i \subset \mathcal{P}_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}_i$. So, there exists unique $\alpha \in \Lambda_i$ such that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{i,\alpha}$, and $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_{i,\alpha}$. Thus, $\bigcup \mathcal{A} = R_{i,\alpha,j}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{G}$, and \mathcal{G} is a cs-network. Therefore, \mathcal{G} is a σ -locally finite Lindelöf cs-network.

THEOREM 2.1. The following are equivalent for a space X.

- (1) X is an sn-metrizable space and has an so-cover consisting of \aleph_0 -subspaces;
- (2) X has a σ -locally finite Lindelöf sn-network;
- (3) X is a compact-covering compact and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space;
- (4) X is a pseudo-sequence-covering compact and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space;
- (5) X is a subsequence-covering compact and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space;
- (6) X is a sequentially-quotient π and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space.

PROOF. (1) \rightarrow (2). Let $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_x : x \in X\}$ be a σ -locally finite sn-network and \mathcal{O} be an so-cover consisting of \aleph_0 -subspaces for X. For each $x \in X$, pick $O_x \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $x \in O_x$ and put $\mathcal{G}_x = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_x : P \subset O_x\}$ and $G = \bigcup \{\mathcal{G}_x : x \in X\}$. Then, \mathcal{G} is a σ -locally finite Lindelöf sn-network for X.

(2) \rightarrow (3). Let $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_x : x \in X\} = \{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a σ -locally finite Lindelöf sn-network for X, where each \mathcal{P}_n is locally finite and each \mathcal{P}_x is an snnetwork at x. Since X is a regular space, we can assume that each element of \mathcal{P} is closed. On the other hand, since each element of \mathcal{P}_i is Lindelöf, each \mathcal{P}_i is star-countable. It follows from [23, Lemma 2.1] that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{P}_i = \bigcup \{\mathcal{Q}_{i,\alpha} : \alpha \in \Phi_i\}$, where $\mathcal{Q}_{i,\alpha}$ is a countable subfamily of \mathcal{P}_i for all $\alpha \in \Phi_i$ and $(\bigcup \mathcal{Q}_{i,\alpha}) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{Q}_{i,\beta}) = \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$. Since each $\mathcal{Q}_{i,\alpha}$ is countable, we can write $\mathcal{Q}_{i,\alpha} = \{P_{i,\alpha,j} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Now, for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\mathcal{F}_{i,j} = \{P_{i,\alpha,j} : \alpha \in \Phi_i\}$, and $A_{i,j} = \{x \in X : \mathcal{P}_x \cap \mathcal{F}_{i,j} = \emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{i,j} = \mathcal{F}_{i,j} \cup \{A_{i,j}\}$. Then, $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{F}_{i,j} : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and

(a) Each $\mathcal{H}_{i,j}$ is locally finite. It is obvious.

(b) Each $\mathcal{H}_{i,j}$ is a cfp-cover. Let K be a non-empty compact subset of X. We shall show that there exists a finite subset of $\mathcal{H}_{i,j}$ which forms a cfp-cover of K.

In fact, since X has a σ -locally finite sn-network, K is metrizable. On the other hand, since \mathcal{P}_i is locally finite, K meets only finitely many members of \mathcal{P}_i . Thus, K meets only finitely many members of $\mathcal{H}_{i,j}$. Let

$$\Gamma_{i,j} = \{ \alpha \in \Phi_i : P_{i,\alpha,j} \in \mathcal{H}_{i,j}, P_{i,\alpha,j} \cap K \neq \emptyset \}.$$

For each $\alpha \in \Gamma_{i,j}$, put $K_{i,\alpha,j} = P_{i,\alpha,j} \cap K$, $F_{i,j} = \overline{K - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{i,j}} K_{i,\alpha,j}}$. It is obvious that all $K_{i,\alpha,j}$ and $F_{i,j}$ are closed subset of K, and $K = F_{i,j} \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{i,j}} K_{i,\alpha,j})$. Now, we only need to show $F_{i,j} \subset A_{i,j}$. Let $x \in F_{i,j}$; then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of $K - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{i,j}} K_{i,\alpha,j}$ converging to x. If $P \in \mathcal{P}_x \cap \mathcal{H}_{i,j}$, then P is a sequential neighborhood of x and $P = P_{i,\alpha,j}$ for some $\alpha \in \Gamma_{i,j}$. Thus, $x_n \in P$ whenever $n \ge m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $x_n \in K_{i,\alpha,j}$ for some $\alpha \in \Gamma_{i,j}$, a contradiction. So, $\mathcal{P}_x \cap \mathcal{H}_{i,j} = \emptyset$, and $x \in A_{i,j}$. This implies that $F_{i,j} \subset A_{i,j}$ and $\{A_{i,j}\} \cup \{P_{i,\alpha,j} :$ $\alpha \in \Gamma_{i,j}\}$ is a cfp-cover of K.

(c) $\{\mathcal{H}_{i,j} : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a point-star network for X. Let $x \in U$ with U open in X. Then, $x \in P \subset U$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}_x$. Thus, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P \in \mathcal{P}_i$. Hence, there exists a unique $\alpha \in \Phi_i$ such that $P \in \mathcal{Q}_{i,\alpha}$. So, $P = P_{i,\alpha,j} \in \mathcal{H}_{i,j}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $P \in \mathcal{P}_x \cap \mathcal{H}_{i,j}$, $x \notin A_{i,j}$. Noting that $P \cap P_{i,\alpha,j} = \emptyset$ for all $j \neq i$. Therefore, st $(x, \mathcal{H}_{i,j}) = P \subset U$.

Next, we write $\{\mathcal{H}_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{\mathcal{G}_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\mathcal{G}_n = \{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_n\}$ and endow Λ_n with the discrete topology. Then,

$$M = \left\{ \alpha = (\alpha_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n : \{P_{\alpha_n}\} \text{ forms a network at some point } x_\alpha \in X \right\}$$

is a metric space and the point x_{α} is unique in X for every $\alpha \in M$. Define $f: M \to X$ by $f(\alpha) = x_{\alpha}$. It follows [20, Lemma 13] that f is a compact-covering and compact map. On the other hand, we have

Claim 1. M is locally separable.

Let $a = (\alpha_i) \in M$. Then, $\{P_{\alpha_i}\}$ is a network at some point $x_a \in X$, and $x_a \in P$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}_{x_a}$. Thus, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P \in \mathcal{P}_m$. Hence, there exists a unique $\alpha \in \Phi_m$ such that $P \in \mathcal{Q}_{m,\alpha}$. Therefore, $P = P_{m,\alpha,n} \in \mathcal{H}_{m,n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $P \in \mathcal{P}_{x_a} \cap \mathcal{H}_{m,n}, x_a \notin A_{m,n}$. Noting that $P \cap P_{m,\alpha,n} = \emptyset$ for all $n \neq m$. This implies that $\operatorname{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_{m,n}) = P$. Then, $\mathcal{H}_{m,n} = \mathcal{G}_{i_0}$ for some $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P = P_{\alpha_{i_0}}$. Thus, $P_{\alpha_{i_0}}$ is Lindelöf. Put

$$U_a = M \cap \Big\{ (\beta_i) \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_i : \beta_i = \alpha_i, i \leq i_0 \Big\}.$$

Then, U_a is an open neighborhood of a in M. Now, for each $i \leq i_0$, put $\Delta_i = \{\alpha_i\}$, and for each $i > i_0$ we put $\Delta_i = \{\alpha \in \Lambda_i : P_\alpha \cap P_{\alpha_{i_0}} \neq \emptyset\}$. Then, $U_a \subset \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_i$. Furthermore, since each \mathcal{P}_i is locally finite and $P_{\alpha_{i_0}}$ is Lindelöf, Δ_i is countable for every $i > i_0$. Thus, U_a is separable, and M is locally separable.

Claim 2. f is an mssc-map.

Let $x \in X$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since \mathcal{G}_n is locally finite, there is an open neighborhood V_n of x such that V_n intersects at most finite members of \mathcal{G}_n . Put

$$\Theta_n = \{ \alpha \in \Lambda_n : P_\alpha \cap V_n \neq \emptyset \}.$$

Then, Θ_n is finite and $p_n f^{-1}(V_n) \subset \Theta_n$. Hence, $\overline{p_n f^{-1}(V_n)}$ is a compact subset of Λ_n , so f is an mssc-map.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6)$. It is obvious.

(6) \Rightarrow (1). Let $f: M \to X$ be a sequentially-quotient π and mssc-map, where M be a locally separable metric space. By [9, Corollary 2.9], X has a point-star network $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$, where each \mathcal{U}_n is a cs^{*}-cover. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\mathcal{G}_n = \bigwedge_{i \leq n} \mathcal{U}_i$. Now, for each $x \in X$, let $\mathcal{G}_x = \{ \operatorname{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$. Since each \mathcal{U}_n is a cs^{*}-cover, it implies that $\bigcup \{ \mathcal{G}_x : x \in X \}$ is an sn-network for X. Hence, X is an sn-first countable space. On the other hand, since f is a sequentially-quotient mssc-map, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that X has a σ -locally finite Lindelöf cs-network \mathcal{P} . We can assume that each \mathcal{P} is closed under finite intersections. Then, each element of \mathcal{P} is a cosmic subspace. By [19, Theorem 3.4], X has an so-cover consisting of \aleph_0 -subspaces. Now, we only need to prove that X is an sn-metrizable space. In fact, since X is sn-first countable, X has an sn-network $\mathcal{Q} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{Q}_x : x \in X \}$ with each $Q_x = \{Q_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable sn-network at x. For each $x \in X$, put $\mathcal{P}_x = \{ P \in \mathcal{P} : Q_n(x) \subset P \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$ By using proof of [26, Lemma 7], we obtain \mathcal{P}_x is an sn-network at x. Then, $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_x : x \in X\}$ is an sn-network for X. Since $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{P}$, it implies that \mathcal{G} is σ -locally finite. Thus, X is an sn-metrizable space.

By Theorem 2.1 and $[\mathbf{28}, \text{Lemma } 2.7(2)]$, we have

COROLLARY 2.1. The following are equivalent for a space X.

- (1) X has a locally countable weak base;
- (2) X is a local \aleph_0 -subspace and g-metrizable space;
- (3) X has a σ -locally finite Lindelöf weak base;
- (4) X is a compact-covering quotient compact and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space;
- (5) X is a pseudo-sequence-covering quotient compact and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space;
- (6) X is a subsequence-covering quotient compact and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space;
- (7) X is a quotient π and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let C_n be a convergent sequence containing its limit point p_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $C_m \cap C_n = \emptyset$ if $m \neq n$. Let $\mathbb{Q} = \{q_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the set of all rational numbers of the real line \mathbb{R} . Put $M = (\bigoplus \{C_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and let Xbe the quotient space obtained from M by identifying each p_n in C_n with q_n in \mathbb{R} . Then, by the proof of [12, Example 3.1], X has a countable weak base and X is not a sequence-covering quotient π -image of a metric space. Hence,

- (1) A space with a σ -locally finite Lindelöf sn-network \Rightarrow a sequence-covering π and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space.
- (2) A space with a σ -locally finite Lindelöf weak base \Rightarrow a sequence-covering quotient π and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Using [10, Example 3.1], it is easy to see that X is Hausdorff, non-regular and X has a countable base, but it is not a sequentially-quotient π -image of a metric space. This shows that regular properties of X can not be omitted in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1.

EXAMPLE 2.3. S_{ω} is a Fréchet and \aleph_0 -space, but it is not first countable. Thus, S_{ω} has a σ -locally finite Lindelöf cs-network. It follows from [3, Theorem 2.1] that X is a sequence-covering mssc-image of a locally separable metric space. Furthermore, since S_{ω} is not first countable, it doesn't have a point-countable sn-network. Hence,

- (1) A space with a σ -locally finite Lindelöf cs-network \Rightarrow a sequentiallyquotient π and mssc-image of a locally separable metric space.
- (2) A sequence-covering quotient mssc-image of a locally separable metric space $\Rightarrow X$ has a σ -locally finite Lindelöf sn-network.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Using [15, Example 2.7], it is easy to see that X is a compactcovering quotient and compact image of a locally compact metric space, but it does not have a point-countable cs-network. Thus, a compact-covering quotient and compact image of a locally separable metric space $\Rightarrow X$ has a σ -locally finite Lindelöf sn-network.

EXAMPLE 2.5. There exists a space X having a locally countable sn-network, which is not an \aleph -space (see [6, Example 2.19]). Then, X has a σ -locally countable Lindelöf sn-network. Therefore,

- (1) A space with a locally countable sn-network $\Rightarrow X$ has a σ -locally finite Lindelöf sn-network.
- (2) A space with a σ -locally countable Lindelöf sn-network $\Rightarrow X$ has a σ -locally finite Lindelöf sn-network.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to express his thanks to the referee for his/her helpful comments and valuable suggestions.

References

- 1. A. V. Arhangel'skii, Mappings and spaces, Russian Math. Surveys 21(4) (1966), 115–162.
- J. R. Boone and F. Siwiec, Sequentially quotient mappings, Czech. Math. J. 26 (1976), 174– 182.
- N. V. Dung, On sequence-covering mssc-images of locally separable metric spaces, APN Acta Math. 87(101) (2010), 143–153.
- 4. R. Engelking, General Topology, Sigma Ser. Pure Math. 6, Heldermann, Berlin, 1988
- 5. S. P. Franklin, Spaces in which sequences suffice, Fund. Math. 57 (1965), 107–115.
- 6. X. Ge, Spaces with a locally countable sn-network, Lobachevskii J. Math. 26 (2007), 33-49.
- 7. Y. Ge, On sn-metrizable spaces, Acta Math. Sinica 45 (2002), 355–360.
- Y. Ge, Characterizations of sn-metrizable spaces, Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Ser. 74(88) (2003), 121–128.
- Y. Ge, On pseudo-sequence-covering π-images of metric spaces, Mat. Vesnik 57 (2005), 113– 120.
- 10. Y. Ge, J. S. Gu, On π -images of separable metric spaces, Math. Sci. 10 (2004), 65–71.
- Y. Ge, ℵ-spaces and mssc-images of metric sapces, J. Math. Res. Exposition 24 (2004), 198–202.
- Y. Ge, S. Lin, g-metrizable spaces and the images of semi-metric spaces, Czech. Math. J. 57(132) (2007), 1141–1149.

LUONG QUOC TUYEN

- Y. Ikeda, C. Liu and Y. Tanaka, Quotient compact images of metric spaces, and related matters, Topology Appl. 122(1-2) (2002), 237-252.
- 14. Z. Li, A note on ℵ-spaces and g-metrizable spaces, Czech. Math. J. 55 (2005), 803-808.
- 15. Z. Li, On π-s-images of metric spaces, Int. J. Math. Sci. 7 (2005), 1101–1107.
- 16. S. Lin, On sequence-covering s-mappings, Adv. Math. 25(6) (1996), 548-551. (China)
- S. Lin, Locally countable collections, locally finite collections and Alexandroff's problems, Acta Math. Sinica 37 (1994), 491–496 (in Chinese).
- S. Lin, Point-Countable Covers and Sequence-Covering Mappings, Chinese Science Press, Beijing, 2002.
- S. Lin and P. Yan, Sequence-covering maps of metric spaces, Topology Appl. 109 (2001) 301–314.
- 20. S. Lin, P. Yan, Notes on cfp-covers, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 44 (2003), 295-306.
- 21. E. Michael, \aleph_0 -spaces, J. Math. Mech. 15 (1966), 983–1002.
- P. O'Meara, On paracompactness in function spaces with the compact-open topology, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (1971), 183–189
- 23. M. Sakai, On spaces with a star-countable k-networks, Houston J. Math. 23(1) (1997), 45-56.
- F. Siwiec, Sequence-covering and countably bi-quotient mappings, General Topology Appl. 1 (1971), 143–153.
- 25. F. Siwiec, On defining a space by a weak base, Pacific J. Math. 52 (1974), 233-245.
- S. Lin, Y. Tanaka, Point-countable k-networks, closed maps, and related results, Topology Appl. 59 (1994), 79–86.
- Y. Tanaka and Z. Li, Certain covering-maps and k-networks, and related matters, Topology Proc. 27(1) (2003), 317–334.
- Y. Tanaka, Y. Ge, Around quotient compact images of metric spaces, and symmetric spaces, Houston J. Math. 32 (1) (2006), 99–117.
- P. Yan, On the compact images of metric spaces, J. Math. Study 30 (1997), 185–187 (in Chinese).

Department of Mathematics Da Nang University of Education 459 Ton Duc Thang Lien Chieu Da Nang city Vietnam luongtuyench12@yahoo.com (Received 15 03 2011) (Revised 26 07 2012)