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#### Abstract

Recently, we have researched certain twisted product $C R$-submanifolds in a Kaehler manifold and some inequalities of the second fundamental form of these submanifolds [11].

We consider here two kinds of twisted product $C R$-submanifolds (the first and the second kind) in a locally conformal Kaehler manifold. In these submanifolds, we give inequalities of the second fundamental form (see Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 ) and consider the equality case of these.


## 1. Twisted product manifolds

Let ( $M_{1}, g_{1}$ ) and ( $M_{2}, g_{2}$ ) be Riemannian manifolds and $M$ be a (topological) product manifold of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. We define a Riemannian metric $g$ of $M$ as

$$
g(U, V)=e^{f^{2}} g_{1}\left(\pi_{1 *} U, \pi_{1 *} V\right)+g_{2}\left(\pi_{2 *} U, \pi_{2 *} V\right)
$$

for any $U, V \in T M$, where $f$ denotes a positive differentiable function on $M, T M$ is the tangent bundle of $M, \pi_{1}$ (resp. $\pi_{2}$ ) is a projection operator of $M$ to $M_{1}$ (resp. $M_{2}$ ) and $\pi_{1 *}$ (resp. $\pi_{2 *}$ ) is the differential of $\pi_{1}$ (resp. $\pi_{2}$ ). Then the manifold $M$ is called a twisted product manifold with an associated (or a warping) function $f$ and we write it $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ [8]. In particular, if the associated function $f$ is in $M_{2}$, then the manifold $\tilde{M}$ is a warped product [12].

Let $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ be a twisted product manifold with the associated function $f$ and let $\operatorname{dim} M_{1}=n_{1}, \operatorname{dim} M_{2}=n_{2}$ and $\operatorname{dim} M=n=n_{1}+n_{2}$. Moreover, let $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n_{1}}\right),\left(x^{n_{1}+1}, \ldots, x^{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right)$ be local coordinate systems of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively. Then $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ is a local coordinate system of $M$.
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Using the above local coordinate systems, we can write

$$
\left(g_{\mu \lambda}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g_{j i} & 0  \tag{1.1}\\
0 & g_{b a}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{f^{2}} g_{1 j i} & 0 \\
0 & g_{2 b a}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the indices $(j, i, \ldots, h),(d, c, \ldots, a)$ and $(\nu, \mu, \ldots, \lambda)$ run over the ranges $\left(1,2, \ldots, n_{1}\right),\left(n_{1}+1, n_{1}+2, \ldots, n_{1}+n_{2}\right)$ and $\left(1,2, \ldots, n_{1}+n_{2}=n\right)$, respectively.

From (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{k} g_{j i}=e^{f^{2}}\left\{2 f^{2}\left(\partial_{k} \log f_{1}\right) g_{1 j i}+\partial_{k} g_{1 j i}\right\}, \\
& \partial_{a} g_{j i}=2 f^{2} e^{f^{2}}\left(\partial_{a} \log f\right) g_{1 j i}  \tag{1.2}\\
& \partial_{i} g_{b a}
\end{align*}=0, \quad \partial_{c} g_{b a}=\partial_{c} g_{2 b a}, ~ \$
$$

where $\partial_{k}=\partial / \partial x^{k}$ and $\partial_{a}=\partial / \partial x^{a}$.
Next, using (1.1) and (1.2), we calculate the Christoffel symbols $\left\{\nu^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu}\right\}$ with respect to $g_{\mu \lambda}$ which are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\nu^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda \varepsilon}\left(\partial_{\nu} g_{\varepsilon \mu}+\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \varepsilon}-\partial_{\varepsilon} g_{\nu \mu}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (1.2) and (1.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{j_{j}{ }_{i}{ }_{i}\right\}=\left\{j_{j}{ }_{i}\right\}_{1}+f^{2}\left\{\left(\partial_{j} \log f\right) \delta_{i}{ }^{h}+\left(\partial_{i} \log f\right) \delta_{j}{ }^{h}-\left(\partial_{1}{ }^{h} \log f\right) g_{1 j i}\right\}, \\
& \left\{b_{b}{ }_{i}\right\}=f^{2}\left(\partial_{b} \log f\right) \delta_{i}{ }^{h}, \quad\left\{b_{b}{ }_{a}\right\}=0,  \tag{1.4}\\
& \left\{j_{j}{ }_{i}\right\}=-f^{2} e^{f^{2}}\left(\partial_{2}{ }^{a} \log f\right) g_{1 j i},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial_{1}{ }^{h}=g_{1}{ }^{l h} \partial_{l}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\partial_{2}^{a}=g_{2}{ }^{e a} \partial_{e}\right)$ and $\left\{{ }_{j}{ }^{h}{ }_{i}\right\}_{1}$ (resp. $\left.\left\{c^{a}{ }_{b}\right\}_{2}\right)$ denotes the Christoffel symbol of $g_{1}$ (resp. $g_{2}$ ).

By virtue of (1.4), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{Y} X= & \nabla_{1 Y} X+f^{2}\{(Y \log f) X+(X \log f) Y\} \\
& -f^{2} g_{1}(Y, X)\left\{\left(\partial_{1}^{l} \log f\right) \partial_{l}+e^{f^{2}}\left(\partial_{2}^{e} \log f\right) \partial_{e}\right\} \\
\nabla_{X} Z= & \nabla_{Z} X=f^{2}(Z \log f) X, \quad \nabla_{Z} W=\nabla_{2 Z} W
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $Y, X \in T M_{1}$ and $Z, W \in T M_{2}$, where $\nabla_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\nabla_{2}\right)$ denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to $g_{1}$ (resp. $g_{2}$ ).

## 2. Locally conformal Kaehler manifolds

A Hermitian manifold $\tilde{M}$ with structure $(J, \tilde{g})$ is called a locally conformal Kaehler (l.c.K.) manifold if each point $x \in \tilde{M}$ has an open neighbourhood $U$ with differentiable function $\rho: U \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that $\tilde{g}^{*}=e^{-2 \rho} \tilde{g}_{\mid U}$ is a Kaehlerian metric on $U$, that is, $\nabla^{*} J=0$, where $J$ is the almost complex structure, $\tilde{g}$ is the Hermitian metric, $\nabla^{*}$ is the covariant differentiation with respect to $\tilde{g}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ is a real number space [13]. Then we know [9]

Proposition 2.1. A Hermitian manifold $\tilde{M}$ with structure $(J, \tilde{g})$ is l.c.K. if and only if there exists a global 1-form $\alpha$ which is called Lee form satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \alpha=0 \quad(\alpha: \text { closed }),  \tag{2.1}\\
\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{V} J\right) U=-\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, U\right) J V+\tilde{g}(V, U) \beta^{\sharp}+\tilde{g}(J V, U) \alpha^{\sharp}-\tilde{g}\left(\beta^{\sharp}, U\right) V \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $V, U \in \tilde{M}$, where $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to $\tilde{g}$, $\alpha^{\sharp}$ is the dual vector field of $\alpha$, the 1 form $\beta$ is defined by $\beta(X)=-\alpha(J X), \beta^{\sharp}$ is the dual vector field of $\beta$ and TN means the tangent bundle of $\tilde{M}$.

## 3. $C R$-submanifolds in an l.c.K.-manifold

In general, between a Riemannian manifold ( $\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}$ ) and its Riemannian submanifold, we know the Gauss and Weingarten formulas

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\sigma(X, Y), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{X} \xi=-A_{\xi} X+\nabla_{X}^{\frac{1}{X}} \xi
$$

for any $X, Y \in T M$ and $\xi \in T^{\perp} M$, where $\sigma$ is the second fundamental form and $A_{\xi}$ is the shape operator with respect to $\xi[7]$. The second fundamental form $\sigma$ and the shape operator $A$ are related by $\tilde{g}\left(A_{\xi} Y, X\right)=\tilde{g}(\sigma(Y, X), \xi)$ for any $Y, X \in T M$ and $\xi \in T^{\perp} M$.

A submanifold $M$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$ is called a $C R$-submanifold if there exists a differentiable distribution $\mathcal{D}: x \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{x} \subset T_{x} M$ on $M$ satisfying the following conditions
(i) $\mathcal{D}$ is holomorphic, i.e., $J \mathcal{D}_{x}=D_{x}$ for each $x \in M$ and
(ii) the complementary orthogonal distribution $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}: x \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{x}^{\perp} \subset T_{x} M$ is totally real, i.e., $J \mathcal{D}_{x}^{\perp} \subset T_{x}^{\perp} M$ for each $x \in M$, where $T_{x} M$ (resp. $T_{x}^{\perp} M$ ) denotes the tangent (resp. normal) vector space at $x$ of $M$ [1,2,6, etc.].

If $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{x}^{\perp}=0$ (resp. $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{x}=0$ ) for each $x \in M$, then the $C R$-submanifold is holomorphic (resp. totally real). A $C R$-submanifold $M$ is said to be anti-holomorphic if $J \mathcal{D}_{x}^{\perp}=T_{x}^{\perp} M$ for any $x \in M$.

In [10], we proved the following
Proposition 3.1. In a CR-submanifold $M$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$, we have
(i) the distribution $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ is integrable,
(ii) the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}\left(\sigma(X, J Y)-\sigma(Y, J X)+2 \tilde{g}(J X, Y) \alpha^{\sharp}, J Z\right)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Z \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$.
A $C R$-submanifold is said to be proper if it is neither holomorphic nor totally real.

In a $C R$-submanifold $M$ in an 1.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$, we know the following formulas [10]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}\left(\nabla_{U} Z, X\right)= & \tilde{g}\left(J A_{J Z} U, X\right)+\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, Z\right) \tilde{g}(U, X) \\
& +\tilde{g}(U, Z) \tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, X\right)-\tilde{g}\left(\beta^{\sharp}, Z\right) \tilde{g}(J U, X), \\
A_{J Z} W= & A_{J W} Z+\tilde{g}\left(\beta^{\sharp}, Z\right) W-\tilde{g}\left(\beta^{\sharp}, W\right) Z
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $U \in T M, X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Z, W \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$.
A $C R$-submanifold is said to be mixed geodesic if the second fundamental form $\sigma$ satisfies $\sigma\left(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\perp}\right)=\{0\}$ and to be $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{D}^{\perp}\right)$-geodesic if the second fundamental form $\sigma$ satisfies $\sigma(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})=\{0\}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\sigma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}, \mathcal{D}^{\perp}\right)=\{0\}\right)$.

In a $C R$-submanifold $M$ of an almost Hermitian manifold $\tilde{M}$, we denote by $\nu$ the complementary orthogonal subbundle of $J \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ in the normal bundle $T^{\perp} M$. Then we have the following direct sum decomposition $T^{\perp} M=J \mathcal{D}^{\perp} \oplus \nu, J \mathcal{D}^{\perp} \perp \nu$.

Remark 3.1. By the definition of $\nu$, a $C R$-submanifold is anti-holomorphic if $\nu_{x}=\{0\}$ for any $x \in M$.

Definition 3.1. Let $\tilde{M}$ be a Riemannian manifold with a metric tensor $\tilde{g}$. A submanifold $M$ is said to be a twisted product submanifold of $\tilde{M}$ if it satisfies
(i) $M$ is a Riemannian submanifold of $\tilde{M}$,
(ii) $M$ is a twisted product manifold of two submanifolds $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ of $\tilde{M}$,
(iii) for a certain Riemannian metric $g_{1}$ (resp. $g_{2}$ ) of $M_{1}$ (resp. $M_{2}$ ),

$$
g(U, V)=e^{f^{2}} g_{1}\left(\pi_{1 *} U, \pi_{1 *} V\right)+g_{2}\left(\pi_{2 *} U, \pi_{2 *} V\right)
$$

is an induced metric of $\tilde{g}$ for any $U, V \in T \tilde{M}$ and a positive differentiable function $f$ on $M$, where $\pi_{1}$ (resp. $\pi_{2}$ ) is the projection operator of $\tilde{M}$ to $M_{1}$ (resp. $M_{2}$ ), and $\pi_{1 *}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\pi_{2 *}\right)$ is the differential of $\pi_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\pi_{2}\right)$.
(iv) the submanifolds $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are orthogonal, that is, $\tilde{g}(X, Z)=0$ for any $X \in T M_{1}$ and $Z \in T M_{2}$.

## 4. Twisted product $C R$-submanifolds

 in a locally conformal Kaehler manifoldIn this section, we consider a special twisted product submanifold in an l.c.K.manifold.

Definition 4.1. A submanifold $M$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$ is said to be the first (resp. second) kind twisted product CR-submanifold in $\tilde{M}$ if it satisfies
(i) $M$ is a product manifold of a holomorphic submanifold $M_{\top}$ and a totally real submanifold $M_{\perp}$,
(ii) for a certain Riemannian metric tensor $g_{1}$ (resp. $g_{2}$ ) on $M_{\top}$ (resp. $M_{\perp}$ ) and a positive differentiable function $f$ on $M$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(V, U) \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}=e^{f^{2}} g_{1}\left(\pi_{*} V, \pi_{*} U\right)+g_{2}\left(\eta_{*} V, \eta_{*} U\right), ~\left(\text { resp. } \quad g(V, U)=g_{1}\left(\pi_{*} V, \pi_{*} U\right)+e^{f^{2}} g_{2}\left(\eta_{*} V, \eta_{*} U\right)\right)
$$

is a induced metric of $\tilde{g}$, that is, $\tilde{g}(V, U)=g\left(i_{*} V, i_{*} U\right)$, for any $V, U \in T M$, where $\pi$ (resp. $\eta$ ) is a projection operator of $M$ to $M_{\top}$ (resp. $M_{\perp}$ ) and $i$ is an identity map of $M$ to $\tilde{M}$.

Then we write the first (resp. second) kind twisted product $C R$-submanifold $M=M_{\top} \times_{f} M_{\perp}$ (resp. $M=M_{\perp} \times_{f} M_{\top}$ ).

Remark 4.1. We write $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ ) instead of $T M_{\top}$ (resp. $T M_{\perp}$ ).

REmARK 4.2. In our submanifold, since the holomorphic distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable, we have to assume that the second fundamental form $\sigma$ satisfies (3.1).

REmARK 4.3. About warped product and doubly warped product $C R$-submanifolds in an l.c.K.-manifold, we can find in $[3,4,5]$.

In a $C R$-submanifold $M$ of an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$, let be $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}=2 p, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}^{\perp}=$ $q, \operatorname{dim} M=n, \operatorname{dim} \nu=2 s$ and $\operatorname{dim} \tilde{M}=m$. Then we know $2 p+q=n$ and $2(p+q+s)=m$.

Now we recall an adapted frame on $\tilde{M}$. We take a following local orthonormal frame on $\tilde{M}$,
(i) $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{p}, e^{*}{ }_{1}, e^{*}{ }_{2}, \ldots, e^{*}{ }_{p}\right\}$ is an orthonormal frame of $\mathcal{D}$,
(ii) $\left\{e_{2 p+1}, e_{2 p+2}, \ldots, e_{2 p+q}\right\}$ is an orthonormal frame of $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$,
(iii) $\left\{e_{n+q+1}, e_{n+q+2}, \ldots, e_{n+q+s}, e^{*}{ }_{n+q+1}, e^{*}{ }_{n+q+2}, \ldots, e^{*}{ }_{n+q+s}\right\}$ is an orthonormal frame of $\nu$. Then we know
(a) $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}, e^{*}{ }_{1}, \ldots, e^{*}{ }_{p}, e_{2 p+1}, \ldots, e_{2 p+q}\right\}$ is an orthonormal frame of $T M$,
(b) $\left\{e^{*}{ }_{2 p+1}, \ldots, e^{*}{ }_{2 p+q}, e_{n+q+1}, \ldots, e_{n+q+s}, e^{*}{ }_{n+q+1}, \ldots, e^{*}{ }_{n+q+s}\right\}$ is an orthonormal frame of $T^{\perp} M$, where $e^{*}{ }_{i}=J e_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, p\}, e^{*}{ }_{2 p+a}=J e_{2 p+a}$ for any $a \in\{1,2, \ldots, q\}$ and $e^{*}{ }_{n+q+\alpha}=J e_{n+q+\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$. We call such an orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e^{*}{ }_{n+q+s}\right\}$, an adapted frame of $\tilde{M}$.

First of all, we consider the first kind twisted product $C R$-submanifold $M$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$. Then, by the definition, the induced metric $g$ on $M$ is defined by (4.1).

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{Y} X= & \nabla_{1 Y} X+f^{2}\{(Y \log f) X+(X \log f) Y \\
& -f^{2} g_{1}(Y, X)\left\{\left(\partial_{1}^{l} \log f\right) \partial_{l}+e^{f^{2}}\left(\partial_{2}^{e} \log f\right) \partial_{e}\right\}  \tag{4.3}\\
\nabla_{X} Z= & \nabla_{Z} X=f^{2}(Z \log f) X, \quad \nabla_{Z} W=\nabla_{2 Z} W
\end{align*}
$$

for any $Y, X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Z, W \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$, where $\nabla_{1}$ (resp. $\nabla_{2}$ ) denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to $g_{1}$ (resp. $g_{2}$ ).

Proposition 4.1. For a proper first kind twisted product CR-submanifold $M=$ $M_{\top} \times{ }_{f} M_{\perp}$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{g}(\sigma(X, J Y), J Z)=\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, Z\right) \tilde{g}(X, Y)-\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, J Z\right) \tilde{g}(X, J Y)-f^{2}(Z \log f) \tilde{g}(X, Y),  \tag{1}\\
\tilde{g}(\sigma(X, Y), J Z)=\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, J Z\right) \tilde{g}(X, Y) \text { and } \tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, Z\right)=f^{2}(Z \log f),  \tag{2}\\
\tilde{g}(\sigma(J X, Z), J W)=-\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, X\right) \tilde{g}(Z, W) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $Y, X \in \mathcal{D}$.
Proof. For any $Y, X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Z \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$, we have from (2.2) and (4.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}(\sigma(X, J Y), J Z) & =\tilde{g}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X}(J Y), J Z\right)=-\tilde{g}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X}(J Z), J Y\right) \\
& =-\tilde{g}\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} J\right) Z, J Y\right)-\tilde{g}\left(J \tilde{\nabla}_{X} Z, J Y\right) \\
& =\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, Z\right) \tilde{g}(X, Y)-\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, J Z\right) \tilde{g}(X, J Y)-\tilde{g}\left(\nabla_{X} Z, Y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (4.3) and the above equation, we have (1).

In (1), if we put $J X$ instead of $X$, then we have

$$
\tilde{g}(\sigma(X, Y), J Z)-\tilde{g}\left(\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, J Z\right) \tilde{g}(X, Y)=\left\{f^{2}(Z \log f)-\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, Z\right)\right\} \tilde{g}(X, J Y) .\right.
$$

In the above equation, the left-hand side is symmetric and the right-hand side is skew symmetric with respect to $X$ and $Y$. So, we have (2).

From (2), (1) is written as

$$
\tilde{g}(\sigma(X, J Y), J Z)=-\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, J Z\right) \tilde{g}(X, J Y)
$$

Finally, for (3), we have from (2.2) and (4.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}(\sigma(J X, Z), J W)= & \tilde{g}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{Z}(J X), J W\right)=\tilde{g}\left(\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{Z} J\right) X, J W\right)+\tilde{g}\left(J \tilde{\nabla}_{Z} X, J W\right) \\
= & \tilde{g}\left(-\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, X\right) J Z+\tilde{g}(Z, X) \beta^{\sharp}+\tilde{g}(J X, Z) \alpha^{\sharp}\right. \\
& \left.-\tilde{g}\left(\beta^{\sharp}, X\right) Z, J W\right)+\tilde{g}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{Z} X, W\right)=-\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, X\right) \tilde{g}(Z, W)
\end{aligned}
$$

which means (3).
By virtue of (2) in the above proposition, we know
Proposition 4.2. There does not exist a proper first kind of twisted product $C R$-submanifold in an l.c.K.-manifold whose Lee vector field $\alpha^{\sharp}$ is normal to $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$.

Proof. By the assumption, we easily know the function $f$ is in $M_{\top}$ which means our proposition.

Next, we consider the second kind of twisted product $C R$-submanifold $M=$ $M_{\perp} \times{ }_{f} M_{\top}$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$. Then, (4.2) means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(g_{\mu \lambda}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g_{b a} & 0 \\
0 & g_{j i}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{f^{2}} g_{2 b a} & 0 \\
0 & g_{1 j i}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(g^{\mu \lambda}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g^{b a} & 0 \\
0 & g^{j i}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{-f^{2}} g_{2} b a & 0 \\
0 & g_{1}{ }^{j i}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the similar way with a first kind case, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{c_{c}{ }^{a}{ }_{b}\right\}=\left\{c^{a}{ }^{a}\right\}_{2}+f^{2}\left\{\left(\partial_{c} \log f\right) \delta_{b}{ }^{a}+\left(\partial_{b} \log f\right) \delta_{i}{ }^{a}-\left(\partial_{2}{ }^{a} \log f\right) g_{2 c b}\right\}, \\
& \left\{i^{a}{ }_{b}\right\}=f^{2}\left(\partial_{i} \log f\right) \delta_{b}{ }^{a}, \quad\left\{i^{a}{ }_{h}\right\}=0,  \tag{4.4}\\
& \left\{b_{b}{ }^{h}\right\}=-f^{2} e^{f^{2}}\left(\partial_{1}{ }^{h} \log f\right) g_{2 b a}, \quad\left\{b^{h}{ }_{i}\right\}=0, \quad\left\{j^{h}{ }_{i}\right\}=\left\{{ }_{j}{ }_{i}\right\}_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (4.4) mean

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{Z} W= & \nabla_{2 Z} W+f^{2}\{(Z \log f) W+(W \log f) Z \\
& -f^{2} g_{2}(Z, W)\left\{\left(\partial_{2}^{e} \log f\right) \partial_{e}+e^{f^{2}}\left(\partial_{1}^{l} \log f\right) \partial_{l}\right\}  \tag{4.5}\\
\nabla_{Z} X= & \nabla_{X} Z=f^{2}(X \log f) Z, \quad \nabla_{Y} X=\nabla_{1 Y} X
\end{align*}
$$

for any $Y, X \in \mathcal{D}, Z, W \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$, where $\nabla_{1}$ (resp. $\nabla_{2}$ ) denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to $g_{1}$ (resp. $g_{2}$ ).

By virtue of (2.2) and (4.5), we obtain

Proposition 4.3. For the second kind twisted product $C R$-submanifold $M=$ $M_{\perp} \times{ }_{f} M_{\top}$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{g}(\sigma(Y, J X), J Z)=\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, Z\right) \tilde{g}(X, Y)+\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, J Z\right) \tilde{g}(X, J Y),  \tag{1}\\
\tilde{g}(\sigma(X, Y), J Z)=-\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, J Z\right) \tilde{g}(X, Y) \text { and } \tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, Z\right)=0,  \tag{2}\\
\tilde{g}(\sigma(J X, Z), J W)=\left\{-\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, X\right)+f^{2} X \log f\right\} \tilde{g}(Z, W) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $Y, X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Z, W \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$.
The proof of Proposition 4.3 is similar to Proposition 4.1. So, we omit it.
By virtue of (2) in Proposition 4.3, we know
Proposition 4.4. For the second kind twisted product $C R$-submanifold $M=$ $M_{\perp} \times_{f} M_{\top}$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$, the Lee vector field $\alpha^{\sharp}$ is orthogonal to the totally real distribution $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$, automatically.

## 5. The length of the second fundamental form

In this section, we consider the length $\|\sigma\|$ of the second fundamental form $\sigma$ of twisted product $C R$-submanifolds $M=M_{\top} \times_{f} M_{\perp}$ and $M=M_{\perp} \times_{f} M_{\top}$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$.

Using the adapted frame, the length $\|\sigma\|$ of the second fundamental form $\sigma$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma\|^{2}=\sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \sum_{\mu, \lambda=1}^{n}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right), e_{r}\right)\right\}^{2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (5.1) is separated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\sigma\|^{2}= & \sum_{r=n+1}^{n+q} \sum_{\mu, \lambda=1}^{n}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right), e_{r}\right)\right\}^{2}+\sum_{r=n+q+1}^{m} \sum_{\mu, \lambda=1}^{n}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right), e_{r}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
= & \sum_{a=1}^{q} \sum_{\mu, \lambda=1}^{n}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right), J e_{2 p+a}\right)\right\}^{2}+\sum_{r=n+q+1}^{m} \sum_{\mu, \lambda=1}^{n}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right), e_{r}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
= & \sum_{a=1}^{q} \sum_{j, i=1}^{2 p}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right), e_{2 p+a}^{*}\right)\right\}^{2}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{2 p} \sum_{b, a=1}^{q}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{i}, e_{2 p+b}\right), e_{2 p+a}^{*}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{c, b, a=1}^{q}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{2 p+b}, e_{2 p+b}\right), e_{2 p+a}^{*}\right)\right\}^{2}+\sum_{r=n+q+1}^{m} \sum_{\mu, \lambda=1}^{n}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right), e_{r}\right)\right\}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\sigma\|^{2}= & \sum_{a=1}^{q} \sum_{j, i=1}^{2 p}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right), e_{2 p+a}^{*}\right)\right\}^{2}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{2 p} \sum_{b, a=1}^{q}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{i}, e_{2 p+b}\right), e_{2 p+a}^{*}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{r=n+q+1}^{m} \sum_{\mu, \lambda=1}^{n}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right), e_{r}\right)\right\}^{2}+\sum_{c, b, a=1}^{q}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{2 p+c}, e_{2 p+b}\right), e_{2 p+a}^{*}\right)\right\}^{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, assume that our submanifold is the first kind of twisted product $C R$-submanifold $M_{\top} \times_{f} M_{\perp}$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$. Then we have from Proposition 4.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{i}^{*}, e_{2 p+a}\right), e^{*}{ }_{2 p+b}\right) & =-\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{i}\right) \delta_{b a}, \\
\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{i}, e_{2 p+a}\right), e_{2 p+b}^{*}\right) & =\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{i}^{*}\right) \delta_{b a}, \\
\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{i}, e_{j}^{*}\right), e_{2 p+a}\right) & =\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{2 p+a}\right)-f^{2}\left(e_{2 p+a} \log f\right)\right\} \delta_{j i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $j, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, p\}$ and $a, b \in\{1,2, \ldots, q\}$.
Using the above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{b, a=1}^{q} \tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{i}^{*}, e_{2 p+a}\right), e_{2 p+b}^{*}\right)=-q \sum_{i=1}^{p} \tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{i}\right), \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{b, a=1}^{q} \tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{i}, e_{2 p+a}\right), e_{2 p+b}^{*}\right)=q \sum_{i=1}^{p} \tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{j}^{*}\right),  \tag{5.3}\\
& \sum_{j, i=1}^{2 p} \tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{i}, e_{j}^{*}\right), e_{2 p+a}^{*}\right)=2 p\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{2 p+a}\right)-f^{2}\left(e_{2 p+a} \log f\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (5.3) into (5.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\sigma\|^{2} & =2\left\{p\left\|\alpha_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}}^{\sharp}\right\|^{2}+q\left\|\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}\right\|^{2}\right\}+2 p \sum_{a=1}^{q}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{2 p+a}\right)-f^{2}\left(e_{2 p+a} \log f\right)\right\}^{2}  \tag{5.4}\\
& +\sum_{c, b, a=1}^{q}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{2 p+c}, e_{2 p+b}\right), e_{2 p+a}^{*}\right)\right\}^{2}+\sum_{r=n+q+1}^{m} \sum_{\mu, \lambda=1}^{n}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right), e_{r}\right)\right\}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\|\alpha_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}}^{\sharp}\right\|\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left\|\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}\right\|\right)$ denotes the length of $\alpha^{\sharp}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}$ )-part. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma\|^{2} \geqslant 2\left\{p\left\|\alpha_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}}^{\sharp}\right\|^{2}+q\left\|\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}\right\|^{2}\right\}+2 p \sum_{a=1}^{q}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{2 p+a}\right)-f^{2}\left(e_{2 p+a} \log f\right)\right\}^{2} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have
THEOREM 5.1. In the first kind of twisted product $C R$-submanifold $M=M_{\top} \times{ }_{f}$ $M_{\perp}$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$, we have (5.4). The equality of (5.5) is satisfied if and only if the second fundamental form $\sigma$ satisfies $\sigma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}, \mathcal{D}^{\perp}\right) \subset \nu$ and $\sigma(T M, T M) \subset$ $J \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$.

Corollary 5.1. In the first kind of twisted product $C R$-submanifold $M=$ $M_{\top} \times_{f} M_{\perp}$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$, inequality (5.5) satisfies the equality, then the submanifold $M$ is $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$-geodesic.

Next, we consider the second kind of twisted product $C R$-submanifold $M=$ $M_{\perp} \times{ }_{f} M_{\top}$. Then we have from Proposition 4.3

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right), e^{*}{ }_{2 p+a}\right)=\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{2 p+a}\right) \delta_{j i}+\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e^{*}{ }_{2 p+a}\right) \tilde{g}\left(e_{j}, e_{i}^{*}\right), \\
& \tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right), e^{*}{ }_{2 p+a}\right)=-\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e^{*}{ }_{2 p+a}\right) \delta_{j i}, \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{i}^{*}, e_{2 p+b}\right), e^{*}{ }_{2 p+a}\right)=\left\{-\tilde{g}\left(\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{i}\right)+f^{2}\left(e_{i} \log f\right)\right\} \delta_{b a}\right.
$$

for any $j, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 2 p\}$ and $b, a \in\{1,2, \ldots, q\}$.
Using Proposition 4.4 and equation (5.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{a=1}^{q} \sum_{j, i=1}^{2 p}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right), e^{*}{ }_{2 p+a}\right)\right\}^{2}=2 p \sum_{a=1}^{q}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{2 p+a}^{*}\right)\right\}^{2}\right. \\
2 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{b, a=1}^{q}\left[\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{i}, e_{2 p+b}\right), e^{*}{ }_{2 p+a}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
=2 q \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left[\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{i}^{*}\right)-f^{2}\left(e^{*}{ }_{i} \log f\right)\right\}^{2}+\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{i}\right)-f^{2}\left(e_{i} \log f\right)\right\}^{2}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence the length $\|\sigma\|$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\sigma\|^{2}= & 2 p \sum_{a=1}^{q}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e^{*}{ }_{2 p+a}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
& +q \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left[\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e^{*}{ }_{i}\right)-f^{2}\left(e^{*}{ }_{i} \log f\right)\right\}^{2}+\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{i}\right)-f^{2}\left(e_{i} \log f\right)\right\}^{2}\right] \\
& +\sum_{c, b, a=1}^{q}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{2 p+c}, e_{2 p+b}\right), e^{*}{ }_{2 p+a}\right)\right\}^{2}+\sum_{r=n+q+1}^{m} \sum_{\mu, \lambda=1}^{n}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{\mu}, e_{\lambda}\right), e_{r}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
= & 2 p\left\|\alpha^{\sharp}{ }_{J \mathcal{D}^{\perp}}\right\|^{2}+2 q\left[\left\|\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}\right\|^{2}-f^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p} \tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{i}\right)\left(e_{i} \log f\right)\right. \\
& \left.+f^{4} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\{\left(e_{i} \log f\right)\left(e_{i}^{*} \log f\right)\right\}^{2}\right]+\sum_{c, b, a=1}^{q}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{2 p+c}, e_{2 p+b}\right), e^{*}{ }_{2 p+a}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{r=n+q+1}^{m} \sum_{\mu, \lambda=1}^{n}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\sigma\left(e_{\mu}, e_{\lambda}\right), e_{r}\right)\right\}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha^{\sharp}{ }_{J \mathcal{D}^{\perp}}$ denotes the $J \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$-component of $\alpha^{\sharp}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\sigma\|^{2} \geqslant & 2 p \sum_{a=1}^{q}\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e^{*}{ }_{2 p+a}\right\}^{2}\right.  \tag{5.7}\\
& +2 q \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left[\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e^{*}{ }_{i}\right)-f^{2} e^{*}{ }_{i} \log f\right\}^{2}+\left\{\tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{i}\right)-f^{2} e_{i} \log f\right\}^{2}\right] \\
= & 2 p\left\|\alpha^{\sharp}{ }_{j \mathcal{D}^{\perp}}\right\|^{2}+2 q\left[\left\|\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}\right\|^{2}-f^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p} \tilde{g}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}, e_{i}\right)\left(e_{i} \log f\right)\right. \\
+ & f^{4} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\{\left(e_{i} \log f\right)\left(e_{i}^{*} \log f\right)\right\}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

By virtue of (5.7), we obtain

Theorem 5.2. In a second kind twisted product $C R$-submanifold $M=M_{\perp} \times{ }_{f}$ $M_{\top}$ in an l.c.K.-manifold $\tilde{M}$, the length $\|\sigma\|$ satisfies inequality (15.7) and equality of (5.7) is satisfied if and only if $\sigma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}, \mathcal{D}^{\perp}\right) \subset \nu$. and $\sigma(T M, T M) \subset J \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ and then the submanifold $M$ is $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$-geodesic.
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