

## CURVATURE PROPERTIES OF SOME CLASS OF HYPERSURFACES IN EUCLIDEAN SPACES

Katarzyna Sawicz

*Dedicated to Professor Makoto Yawata on his seventy-second birthday*

ABSTRACT. We determine curvature properties of pseudosymmetry type of hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , having three distinct nonzero principal curvatures  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  of multiplicity 1,  $p$  and  $n-p-1$ , respectively. For some hypersurfaces having this property the sum of  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  is equal to the trace of the shape operator of  $M$ . We present an example of such hypersurface.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $H$  be the second fundamental tensor of a hypersurface  $M$  immersed isometrically in a semi-Riemannian space of constant curvature  $N_s^{n+1}(c)$ , with signature  $(s, n+1-s)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , where  $c = \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)}$  and  $\tilde{\kappa}$  is the scalar curvature of the ambient space. For precise definitions of the symbols used we refer to Section 2 of this paper and Sections 2 and 3 of [16] (see also [3, 5, 14, 34, 50]). Let  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$  be the set of all points at which the tensor  $H^2$  is not a linear combination of  $H$  and the metric tensor  $g$  of  $M$ . Curvature conditions of pseudosymmetry type on hypersurfaces  $M$  in  $N_s^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , satisfying on  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$  the equation

$$(1.1) \quad H^3 = \operatorname{tr}(H)H^2 + \psi H,$$

where  $\psi$  is some function on  $\mathcal{U}_H$ , were investigated in several papers: [1, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, 22, 23, 25, 26, 34, 37]. For instance, the Cartan hypersurfaces satisfy (1.1) (see, e.g., [12, Theorem 4.3], [16, Example 5.1(iii)]). Examples of hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , as well as in semi-Euclidean spaces  $\mathbb{E}_s^{n+1}$ , with signature  $(s, n+1-s)$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , satisfying (1.1) are given in [1] and [11], respectively. For further examples we refer to [15, 16, 23, 26, 27, 31, 35].

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 53B20, 53B25; Secondary 53C25.

*Key words and phrases*: Tachibana tensor, pseudosymmetry type curvature condition, hypersurface, principal curvature.

Communicated by Michael Kunzinger.

Curvature conditions of pseudosymmetry type on hypersurfaces  $M$  in  $N_s^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , satisfying on  $M \setminus \mathcal{U}_H$  the equation

$$(1.2) \quad H^2 = \psi H + \rho g,$$

for some functions  $\psi$  and  $\rho$  on this set, were investigated among others in [2, 7, 9, 18, 27, 33, 35, 45, 47, 54]. Examples of hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature satisfying (1.2) are given among others in [27, 35, 43, 56, 57]. It is obvious that (1.1) is a special case of a more general equation

$$(1.3) \quad H^3 = \phi H^2 + \psi H + \rho g,$$

where  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\rho$  are some functions on  $\mathcal{U}_H$ . Hypersurfaces  $M$  in  $N_s^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , satisfying (1.3) on  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$  were investigated for instance in [6, 21, 50]. Here we investigate curvature conditions of pseudosymmetry type on hypersurfaces  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}_s^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , satisfying (1.3) on  $\mathcal{U}_H$ . We can also consider (1.3) with  $\phi = \text{tr}(H)$  on  $\mathcal{U}_H$ , i.e., the equation

$$(1.4) \quad H^3 = \text{tr}(H)H^2 + \psi H + \rho g,$$

where  $\psi$  and  $\rho$  are some functions on  $\mathcal{U}_H$ . Hypersurfaces  $M$  in  $N_s^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , satisfying (1.4) on  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$  were investigated in [4, 30, 51–53]. In [30, Proposition 2.1] it was proved that for every hypersurface  $M$  in  $N_s^5(c)$  equation (1.4) reduces on  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$  to (1.1). Evidently,  $\rho = 0$  on  $\mathcal{U}_H$ . The assumption that  $\dim M = 4$  is essential. In Section 5 we present an example of a hypersurface  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , having at every point three distinct principal curvatures  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  of multiplicity 1,  $p$  and  $q$ , respectively, where  $n = 1 + p + q$ , satisfying (1.4) with nonzero function  $\rho$ . In [50, Proposition 4.1] it was shown that the tensors  $R \cdot C$ ,  $C \cdot R$  and  $C \cdot C$  of a hypersurface  $M$  in  $N_s^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , satisfying (1.3) on  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$  are expressed on this set by a linear combinations of the Tachibana tensors  $Q(g, R)$ ,  $Q(S, R)$ ,  $Q(S, G)$ ,  $Q(H, G)$  and  $Q(S, g \wedge H)$ , and the tensors  $g \wedge Q(H, H^2)$  and  $H \wedge Q(g, H^2)$ . In Section 3 we present these formulas in the case when  $M$  is a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{E}_s^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 4$ . Further, in the next section we present these formulas in the special case when  $M$  is a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , and at every point of the set  $\mathcal{U}_H$  of a hypersurface  $M$  there are three distinct principal curvatures of multiplicity 1,  $p$  and  $p$ , respectively, where  $n = 2p + 1$ . In Section 5 we present an example of such hypersurface.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper all manifolds are assumed to be connected paracompact manifolds of class  $C^\infty$ . Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional,  $n \geq 3$ , semi-Riemannian manifold and let  $\nabla$  be its Levi-Civita connection and  $\Xi(M)$  the Lie algebra of vector fields on  $M$ . We define on  $M$  the endomorphisms  $X \wedge_A Y$  and  $\mathcal{R}(X, Y)$  of  $\Xi(M)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (X \wedge_A Y)Z &= A(Y, Z)X - A(X, Z)Y, \\ \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  is a symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor on  $M$  and  $X, Y, Z \in \Xi(M)$ . The Ricci tensor  $S$ , the Ricci operator  $\mathcal{S}$ , the tensor  $S^2$  and the scalar curvature  $\kappa$  of  $(M, g)$  are defined by  $S(X, Y) = tr\{Z \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(Z, X)Y\}$ ,  $g(\mathcal{S}X, Y) = S(X, Y)$ ,  $S^2(X, Y) = S(\mathcal{S}X, Y)$  and  $\kappa = tr\mathcal{S}$ , respectively. The endomorphism  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  we define by

$$\mathcal{C}(X, Y)Z = \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-2} \left( X \wedge_g \mathcal{S}Y + \mathcal{S}X \wedge_g Y - \frac{\kappa}{n-1} X \wedge_g Y \right) Z.$$

Further, we define the  $(0, 4)$ -tensor  $G$ , the Riemann–Christoffel curvature tensor  $R$  and the Weyl conformal curvature tensor  $C$  of  $(M, g)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} G(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= g((X_1 \wedge_g X_2)X_3, X_4), \\ R(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= g(\mathcal{R}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4), \\ C(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= g(\mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where  $X_1, X_2, \dots \in \Xi(M)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  be a skew-symmetric endomorphism of  $\Xi(M)$  and let  $B$  be a  $(0, 4)$ -tensor associated with  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  by

$$(2.1) \quad B(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = g(\mathcal{B}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4).$$

The tensor  $B$  is said to be a generalized curvature tensor [44] if

$$\begin{aligned} B(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) + B(X_2, X_3, X_1, X_4) + B(X_3, X_1, X_2, X_4) &= 0, \\ B(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= B(X_3, X_4, X_1, X_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  be a skew-symmetric endomorphism of  $\Xi(M)$  and let  $B$  be the tensor defined by (2.1). We extend  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  to a derivation  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y) \cdot$  of the algebra of tensor fields on  $M$ , by assuming that it commutes with contractions and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y) \cdot f = 0$ , for any smooth function  $f$  on  $M$ . Now for a  $(0, k)$ -tensor field  $T$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , we can define the  $(0, k+2)$ -tensor  $B \cdot T$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (B \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) &= (\mathcal{B}(X, Y) \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) \\ &= -T(\mathcal{B}(X, Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) - \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, \mathcal{B}(X, Y)X_k). \end{aligned}$$

If  $A$  is a symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor then we define the  $(0, k+2)$ -tensor  $Q(A, T)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(A, T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) &= (X \wedge_A Y \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) \\ &= -T((X \wedge_A Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) - \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, (X \wedge_A Y)X_k). \end{aligned}$$

In this manner we obtain the  $(0, 6)$ -tensors  $B \cdot B$  and  $Q(A, B)$ . Setting in the above formulas  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{R}$  or  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$ ,  $T = R$  or  $T = C$  or  $T = S$ ,  $A = g$  or  $A = S$ , we get the tensors  $R \cdot R$ ,  $R \cdot C$ ,  $C \cdot R$ ,  $C \cdot C$ ,  $R \cdot S$ ,  $C \cdot S$ ,  $Q(g, R)$ ,  $Q(S, R)$ ,  $Q(g, C)$  and  $Q(g, S)$ . Let  $A$  be a symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor and  $T$  a  $(0, p)$ -tensor,  $p \geq 2$ . According to [22], the tensor  $Q(A, T)$  is called the Tachibana tensor of  $A$  and  $T$ , or the Tachibana tensor for short. We also remark that in some papers, the  $(0, 6)$ -tensor  $Q(g, R)$  is called the Tachibana tensor (see, e.g., [39–41, 46, 55]). For symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensors

$E$  and  $F$  we define their Kulkarni–Nomizu product  $E \wedge F$  by

$$(E \wedge F)(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = E(X_1, X_4)F(X_2, X_3) + E(X_2, X_3)F(X_1, X_4) \\ - E(X_1, X_3)F(X_2, X_4) - E(X_2, X_4)F(X_1, X_3).$$

Clearly, the tensors  $R$ ,  $C$ ,  $G$  and  $E \wedge F$  are generalized curvature tensors. For a symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor  $E$  we define the  $(0, 4)$ -tensor  $\overline{E}$  by  $\overline{E} = \frac{1}{2}E \wedge E$ . We have  $\overline{g} = G = \frac{1}{2}g \wedge g$ . We note that the Weyl tensor  $C$  can be presented in the form

$$(2.2) \quad C = R - \frac{1}{n-2}g \wedge S + \frac{\kappa}{(n-2)(n-1)}G.$$

We also have (see, e.g., [15, Section 3])

$$(2.3) \quad Q(E, E \wedge F) = -Q(F, \overline{E}).$$

Now (2.2) and (2.3) yield  $Q(g, C) = Q(g, R) + (1/(n-2))Q(S, G)$ . For a symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor  $E$  and a  $(0, k)$ -tensor  $T$   $k \geq 2$ , we define their Kulkarni–Nomizu product  $E \wedge T$  by [12]

$$(E \wedge T)(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4; Y_3, \dots, Y_k) \\ = E(X_1, X_4)T(X_2, X_3, Y_3, \dots, Y_k) + E(X_2, X_3)T(X_1, X_4, Y_3, \dots, Y_k) \\ - E(X_1, X_3)T(X_2, X_4, Y_3, \dots, Y_k) - E(X_2, X_4)T(X_1, X_3, Y_3, \dots, Y_k).$$

Using the above definitions we can prove

LEMMA 2.1. [11, 12] *Let  $E_1, E_2$  and  $F$  be symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensors at a point  $x$  of a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . Then at  $x$  we have*

$$E_1 \wedge Q(E_2, F) + E_2 \wedge Q(E_1, F) = -Q(F, E_1 \wedge E_2).$$

If  $E = E_1 = E_2$ , then

$$(2.4) \quad E \wedge Q(E, F) = -Q(F, \overline{E}).$$

### 3. Hypersurfaces in semi-Euclidean spaces

Let  $M$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , be a connected hypersurface isometrically immersed in a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(N, g^N)$ . We denote by  $g$  the metric tensor induced on  $M$  from  $g^N$ . Further, we denote by  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^N$  the Levi-Civita connections corresponding to the metric tensors  $g$  and  $g^N$ , respectively. Let  $\xi$  be a local unit normal vector field on  $M$  in  $N$  and let  $\varepsilon = g^N(\xi, \xi) = \pm 1$ . We can write the Gauss formula and the Weingarten formula of  $(M, g)$  in  $(N, g^N)$  in the form:  $\nabla_X^N Y = \nabla_X Y + \varepsilon H(X, Y)\xi$  and  $\nabla_X^N \xi = -\mathcal{A}X$ , respectively, where  $X, Y$  are vector fields tangent to  $M$ ,  $H$  is the second fundamental tensor of  $(M, g)$  in  $(N, g^N)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is the shape operator and  $H^k(X, Y) = g(\mathcal{A}^k X, Y)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $H^1 = H$  and  $\mathcal{A}^1 = \mathcal{A}$ . We denote by  $R$  and  $R^N$  the Riemann–Christoffel curvature tensors of  $(M, g)$  and  $(N, g^N)$ , respectively. Let  $x^r = x^r(y^k)$  be the local parametric expression of  $(M, g)$  in  $(N, g^N)$ , where  $y^k$  and  $x^r$  are local coordinates of  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively, and  $h, i, j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $p, r, t, u \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ . The Gauss equation of  $(M, g)$  in  $(N, g^N)$  has the form

$$(3.1) \quad R_{hijk} = R_{prtu}^N B_h^p B_i^r B_j^t B_k^u + \varepsilon(H_{hk}H_{ij} - H_{hj}H_{ik}), \quad B_k^r = \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial y^k},$$

where  $R_{prtu}^N$ ,  $R_{hijk}$  and  $H_{hk}$  are the local components of the tensors  $R^N$ ,  $R$  and  $H$ , respectively. If  $(N, g^N)$  is a conformally flat space then we have [23, Section 4]

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{hijk} &= \mu G_{hijk} + \varepsilon \overline{H}_{hijk} + \frac{\varepsilon}{n-2} (g \wedge (H^2 - \text{tr}(H)H))_{hijk}, \\
 (3.2) \quad \mu &= \frac{1}{(n-2)(n-1)} (\kappa - 2\tilde{S}_{rt} B_h^r B_k^t g^{hk} + \tilde{\kappa}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{S}_{rt}$  are the local components of the Ricci tensor  $\tilde{S}$  of the ambient space,  $G_{hijk}$  are the local components of the tensor  $G$  and  $\tilde{\kappa}$  and  $\kappa$  are the scalar curvatures of  $(N, g^N)$  and  $(M, g)$ , respectively.

Let now  $M$  be a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{E}_s^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 4$ . Clearly, (3.1) and (3.2) read

$$(3.3) \quad R_{hijk} = \varepsilon \overline{H}_{hijk}, \quad \mu = \frac{\kappa}{(n-2)(n-1)},$$

respectively. Contracting (3.3) with  $g^{ij}$  and  $g^{kh}$  we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad S_{hk} = \varepsilon (\text{tr}(H)H_{hk} - H_{hk}^2), \quad \kappa = \varepsilon ((\text{tr}(H))^2 - \text{tr}(H^2)),$$

respectively, where  $\text{tr}(H) = g^{hk}H_{hk}$ ,  $\text{tr}(H^2) = g^{hk}H_{hk}^2$  and  $S_{hk}$  are the local components of the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$ . We recall that on every hypersurface  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}_s^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , we have the following identity  $R \cdot R = Q(S, R)$  [32]. We prove now that on  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}_s^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , we also have

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *On every hypersurface  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}_s^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , the following identities are satisfied*

$$(3.5) \quad g \wedge Q(H, H^2) = \varepsilon g \wedge Q(S, H),$$

$$(3.6) \quad H \wedge Q(g, H^2) = \varepsilon \text{tr}(H)Q(g, R) - \varepsilon H \wedge Q(g, S).$$

**PROOF.** From (3.4) we have

$$(3.7) \quad H^2 = \text{tr}(H)H - \varepsilon S,$$

and this yields

$$g \wedge Q(H, H^2) = g \wedge Q(H, \text{tr}(H)H - \varepsilon S) = \varepsilon g \wedge Q(S, H).$$

Thus (3.5) is proved. Further, using (2.4), (3.3) and (3.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 H \wedge Q(g, H^2) &= \text{tr}(H)H \wedge Q(g, H) - \varepsilon H \wedge Q(g, S) \\
 &= -\text{tr}(H)H \wedge Q(H, g) - \varepsilon H \wedge Q(g, S) \\
 &= \text{tr}(H)Q(g, \overline{H}) - \varepsilon H \wedge Q(g, S) \\
 &= \varepsilon \text{tr}(H)Q(g, R) - \varepsilon H \wedge Q(g, S).
 \end{aligned}$$

Our proposition is thus proved. □

Let now  $M$  be a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{E}_s^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , satisfying (1.3) on  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$ . We set (cf. [50, eq. (34)])

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & \beta_1 = \varepsilon(\phi - \operatorname{tr}(H)), \\
& \beta_2 = -\frac{\varepsilon}{n-2}(\phi(2\operatorname{tr}(H) - \phi) - (\operatorname{tr}(H))^2 - \psi - (n-2)\varepsilon\mu), \\
& \beta_3 = \varepsilon\mu\operatorname{tr}(H) + \frac{1}{n-2}(\psi(2\operatorname{tr}(H) - \phi) + (n-3)\rho), \\
& \beta_4 = \beta_3 - \varepsilon\beta_2\operatorname{tr}(H), \\
& \beta_5 = \frac{\kappa}{n-1} + \varepsilon\psi + \beta_1\operatorname{tr}(H), \\
& \beta_6 = \beta_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the functions  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\rho$  are defined by (1.3).

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *If  $M$  is a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{E}_s^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , satisfying (1.3) on  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$ , for some functions  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\rho$ , then the following conditions are satisfied on this set*

$$(3.9) \quad S^2 = \gamma_2 S + \gamma_1 H + \gamma_0 g,$$

$$(3.10) \quad (n-2)R \cdot C = (n-2)Q(S, R) + \rho Q(H, G) - \beta_1 g \wedge Q(H, S),$$

$$(3.11) \quad (n-2)C \cdot R = \left( \frac{\kappa}{n-1} + \varepsilon\psi + \beta_1 \operatorname{tr}(H) \right) Q(g, R) \\ + (n-3)Q(S, R) - \beta_1 H \wedge Q(g, S),$$

$$(3.12) \quad (n-2)C \cdot C = \beta_1 Q(S, g \wedge H) + \beta_4 Q(H, G) \\ + (n-3)Q(S, R) + \beta_5 Q(g, R) + \beta_2 Q(S, G),$$

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_0 &= \rho(\phi - 2\operatorname{tr}(H)), \\ \gamma_1 &= \psi(\phi - 2\operatorname{tr}(H)) + \rho + \operatorname{tr}(H)(\phi^2 + \psi + (\operatorname{tr}(H))^2), \\ \gamma_2 &= -(\phi^2 + \psi + \operatorname{tr}(H)(\operatorname{tr}(H) - 2\phi)). \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** We denote by  $S_{hk}^2$  the local components of the tensor  $S^2$ . Evidently, we have

$$S_{hk}^2 = g^{ij} S_{hi} S_{kj} = H_{hk}^4 - 2\operatorname{tr}(H)H_{hk}^3 + (\operatorname{tr}(H))^2 H_{hk}^2.$$

Applying in this (1.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S^2 &= (\phi^2 + \psi + \operatorname{tr}(H)(\operatorname{tr}(H) - 2\phi))H^2 \\
&\quad + \rho(\phi - 2\operatorname{tr}(H))g + (\psi(\phi - 2\operatorname{tr}(H)) + \rho)H.
\end{aligned}$$

The last relation, by making use of (3.7) and (3.13), turns into (3.9). Further, we also have on  $\mathcal{U}_H$  (cf. [50, Proposition 4.1]): (3.12) and

$$(3.14) \quad (n-2)R \cdot C = (n-2)Q(S, R) + \rho Q(H, G) + (\phi - \operatorname{tr}(H))g \wedge Q(H, H^2),$$

$$(3.15) \quad (n-2)C \cdot R = \left( \frac{\kappa}{n-1} + \varepsilon\psi \right) Q(g, R) + (n-3)Q(S, R) \\ + (\phi - \operatorname{tr}(H))H \wedge Q(g, H^2),$$

where  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_5$  are defined by (3.8). Now (3.10) and (3.11) are an immediate consequence of (3.5), (3.6), (3.8), (3.14) and (3.15).  $\square$

**4. Hypersurfaces with three principal curvatures**

In this section we consider hypersurfaces  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , having at every point of the set  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$  three distinct principal curvatures  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$ . First we note that from (1.3) it follows that

$$(4.1) \quad \phi = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3, \quad \psi = -(\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_3), \quad \rho = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3.$$

Moreover we assume that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  are of multiplicity 1,  $p$  and  $p$ , respectively. Evidently,  $n = 2p + 1$ . Further, (3.3), (3.4) and (3.8) lead to

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{tr}(H) &= \lambda_1 + p(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3), & \text{tr}(H^2) &= \lambda_1^2 + p(\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2), \\ \beta_1 &= -\frac{n-3}{2}(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3), & \beta_2 &= \frac{1}{n-3}\beta_1^2 = \psi + \frac{\kappa}{n-1} \\ \beta_3 &= \frac{1}{n-2}(\text{tr}(H)\beta_2 - \psi\beta_1 + (n-3)\rho), \\ \beta_4 &= -\frac{1}{n-2}((n-3)\text{tr}(H)\beta_2 + \psi\beta_1 - (n-3)\rho), & \beta_5 &= \beta_2 + \text{tr}(H)\beta_1. \end{aligned}$$

Using now (3.9) and (4.1) we find

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_0 &= -\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3(\lambda_1 + (2p-1)(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)), \\ \gamma_1 &= p(p-1)^2(\lambda_2^3 + \lambda_3^3) + p(p-1)(\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2)\lambda_1 \\ &\quad + (3p^2(p-2) + 4p-1)\lambda_2\lambda_3(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) + (2p^2 - 2p + 1)\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3, \\ \gamma_2 &= -(p-1)(\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2) - (p-2)\lambda_1(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) - (2p-3)\lambda_2\lambda_3. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.4) and (4.2) it follows immediately that the eigenvalues  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  and  $\rho_3$  of the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  are expressed on  $\mathcal{U}_H$  through the following relations

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \lambda_1(\text{tr}(H) - \lambda_1) = p\lambda_1(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3), \\ \rho_2 &= \lambda_2(\text{tr}(H) - \lambda_2) = \lambda_2(\lambda_1 + (p-1)\lambda_2 + p\lambda_3), \\ \rho_3 &= \lambda_3(\text{tr}(H) - \lambda_3) = \lambda_3(\lambda_1 + p\lambda_2 + (p-1)\lambda_3). \end{aligned}$$

Now (4.4) yields

$$(4.5) \quad (\rho_1 - \rho_2)(\rho_1 - \rho_3)(\rho_2 - \rho_3) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)((p-1)\lambda_2 + p\lambda_3)(p\lambda_2 + (p-1)\lambda_3)(\lambda_1 + (p-1)(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)).$$

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ ,  $n = 2p + 1 \geq 5$ , having at every point of  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$  three distinct principal curvatures  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  of multiplicity 1,  $p$  and  $p$ , respectively. We have*

(i) *The Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  has at a point  $x \in \mathcal{U}_H$  three distinct eigenvalues  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  and  $\rho_3$  if and only if at this point we have*

$$((p-1)\lambda_2 + p\lambda_3)(p\lambda_2 + (p-1)\lambda_3)(\lambda_1 + (p-1)(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)) \neq 0.$$

(ii) If the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  has at a point  $x \in \mathcal{U}_H$  three distinct eigenvalues  $\rho_1$ ,  $\rho_2$  and  $\rho_3$ , then  $\gamma_1$ , defined by (3.13), is nonzero at this point, and in a consequence  $H = \gamma_1^{-1}(S^2 - \gamma_2 S - \gamma_0 g)$ .

PROOF. (i) follows immediately from (4.5).

(ii) Suppose that  $\gamma_1 = 0$  at  $x$ . Then from (3.9) it follows that  $S$  has at  $x$  only two distinct eigenvalues, a contradiction.  $\square$

The above results, together with (3.3), Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 3.2, imply

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ ,  $n = 2p + 1 \geq 5$ , having at every point of  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$  three distinct principal curvatures  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  of multiplicity 1,  $p$  and  $p$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}_H$  be the set of all points at which Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  has three distinct eigenvalues  $\rho_1$ ,  $\rho_2$  and  $\rho_3$ . Then on this set we have*

$$(4.6) \quad R = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_1^{-2}(S^2 - \gamma_2 S - \gamma_0 g) \wedge (S^2 - \gamma_2 S - \gamma_0 g),$$

$$(n-2)R \cdot C = (n-2)Q(S, R) + \frac{\rho}{\gamma_1}Q(S^2, G)$$

$$(4.7) \quad + \left(\beta_1 - \frac{\rho\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\right)Q(S, G) + \frac{\beta_1}{\gamma_1}g \wedge Q(S, S^2),$$

$$(n-2)C \cdot R = (n-3)Q(S, R) + \left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} + \varepsilon\psi + \beta_1 \operatorname{tr}(H)\right)Q(g, R)$$

$$(4.8) \quad + \frac{\beta_1\gamma_0}{\gamma_1}Q(S, G) - \frac{\beta_1\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}Q\left(g, \frac{1}{2}S \wedge S\right) - \frac{\beta_1}{\gamma_1}S^2 \wedge Q(g, S),$$

$$(n-2)C \cdot C = (n-3)Q(S, R) + \beta_5 Q(g, R)$$

$$+ \left(\beta_2 - \frac{2\beta_1\gamma_0 + \beta_4\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\right)Q(S, G)$$

$$(4.9) \quad + \frac{\beta_1\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}Q\left(g, \frac{1}{2}S \wedge S\right) + \frac{\beta_1}{\gamma_1}Q(S, g \wedge S^2) + \frac{\beta_4}{\gamma_1}Q(S^2, G).$$

**REMARK 4.1.** Let  $M$  be the hypersurface considered in Theorem 4.1. By making use of (4.6) we state that the curvature tensor  $R$  of  $M$  is expressed on  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$  by a linear combination of the Tachibana tensors:

$$G = \frac{1}{2}g \wedge g, \quad g \wedge S, \quad g \wedge S^2, \quad S \wedge S^2, \quad \bar{S} = \frac{1}{2}S \wedge S, \quad \bar{S}^2 = \frac{1}{2}S^2 \wedge S^2.$$

Results on hypersurfaces in  $N_s^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , with the curvature tensor  $R$  having the above property are given in [21] and [52]. Hypersurfaces in  $N_s^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , with the curvature tensor  $R$  which is expressed by a linear combination of the tensors  $g \wedge g$ ,  $g \wedge S$  and  $S \wedge S$  were investigated in [35]. For instance, the Clifford torus  $S^p(\sqrt{p/n}) \times S^{n-p}(\sqrt{(n-p)/n})$ ,  $2 \leq p \leq n-2$ ,  $n \neq 2p$ , has this property [35, Corollary 3.1]. We also mention that semi-Riemannian manifolds with the curvature tensor  $R$  expressed by a linear combination of the tensors  $g \wedge g$ ,  $g \wedge S$  and  $S \wedge S$  were introduced and investigated in [10]. For further results on this class of manifolds we refer to [13, 17, 19, 20, 24, 27, 29, 31, 36, 42].



**5. Example**

EXAMPLE 5.1. (i)(cf. [48, Section 2], [49, Section 2]) Let  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(t)$  and  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2(t)$  be positive smooth functions defined on an interval  $I = (0; t_0) \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t_0 > 0$ , such that  $\alpha'_1 \neq 0$  and  $\alpha'_2 \neq 0$  for every  $t \in I$ , where  $\alpha'_1 = \frac{d\alpha_1}{dt}$  and  $\alpha'_2 = \frac{d\alpha_2}{dt}$ . Let  $x = x(t, u^1, \dots, u^p, v^1, \dots, v^q)$  be a parametric expression of a subset  $M$  of an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ ,  $n = p + q + 1$ ,  $p \geq 2$ ,  $q \geq 2$ , defined by

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= \alpha_1 F_1 + \alpha_2 F_2, \\ F_1 &= (\cos u^1, \sin u^1 \cos u^1, \dots, \sin u^1 \dots \sin u^{p-1} \cos u^p, \sin u^1 \dots \sin u^p, 0, \dots, 0), \\ F_2 &= (0, \dots, 0, \cos v^1, \sin v^1 \cos v^1, \dots, \sin v^1 \dots \sin v^{q-1} \cos v^q, \sin v^1 \dots \sin v^q), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u^1, \dots, u^p, v^1, \dots, v^q \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$  and 0 occurs  $(q + 1)$ -and  $(p + 1)$ -times, respectively. We set

$$(5.2) \quad \xi = \beta(-\alpha'_2 F_1 + \alpha'_1 F_2), \quad \beta^{-1} = \sqrt{\alpha_1'^2 + \alpha_2'^2}.$$

Further, we have  $\langle F_1, F_1 \rangle = \langle F_2, F_2 \rangle = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 1$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the standard scalar product of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ . Differentiating (5.1) we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} x'_t &= \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = \alpha'_1 F_1 + \alpha'_2 F_2, \\ x'_k &= \frac{\partial x}{\partial u^k} = \alpha_1 \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial u^k} = \alpha_1 F'_{1k}, \quad x'_l = \frac{\partial x}{\partial v^l} = \alpha_2 \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial v^l} = \alpha_2 F'_{2l}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $k \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  and  $l \in \{p + 1, \dots, p + q\}$ . Using (5.2) and (5.3) we can easy check that

$$(5.4) \quad \langle \xi, x'_t \rangle = \langle \xi, x'_k \rangle = \langle \xi, x'_l \rangle = 0.$$

We assume that at  $x$  we have

$$\mu_0 x'_t + \mu_1 x'_1 + \dots + \mu_p x'_p + \mu_{p+1} x'_{p+1} + \dots + \mu_{p+q} x'_{p+q} = 0,$$

where  $\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{p+q} \in \mathbb{R}$ . The last relation, by (5.3), turns into

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_1 \left( \frac{\alpha'_1 \mu_0}{\alpha_1} F_1 + \mu_1 F'_{11} + \dots + \mu_p F'_{1p} \right) \\ &+ \alpha_2 \left( \frac{\alpha'_2 \mu_0}{\alpha_2} F_2 + \mu_{p+1} F'_{2p+1} + \dots + \mu_{p+q} F'_{2p+q} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This and the definitions of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha'_1 \mu_0}{\alpha_1} F_1 + \mu_1 F'_{11} + \dots + \mu_p F'_{1p} &= 0, \\ \frac{\alpha'_2 \mu_0}{\alpha_2} F_2 + \mu_{p+1} F'_{2p+1} + \dots + \mu_{p+q} F'_{2p+q} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the vectors  $F_1, F'_{11}, \dots, F'_{1p}$ , resp.  $F_2, F'_{2p+1}, \dots, F'_{2p+q}$  are linearly independent vectors (see, e.g., [38, Example 2, pp.329–331]) at  $x$  we have

$$\frac{\alpha'_1 \mu_0}{\alpha_1} = \mu_1 = \dots = \mu_p = 0, \quad \frac{\alpha'_2 \mu_0}{\alpha_2} = \mu_{p+1} = \dots = \mu_{p+q} = 0.$$

Thus the vectors  $x'_t, x'_1, \dots, x'_p, x'_{p+1}, \dots, x'_{p+q}$  are linearly independent at every point of  $M$ . Therefore we can state that  $M$  is immersed isometrically in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ . In addition, from (5.4) it follows that  $\xi$  is the unit normal vector field of  $M$ . Further, differentiating (5.2) we obtain

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi'_t &= \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = -(\alpha'_2 \beta)' F_1 + (\alpha'_1 \beta)' F_2, \\ \xi'_k &= \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial u^k} = -\alpha'_2 \beta F_{1k}, \quad \xi'_l = \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial v^l} = \alpha'_1 \beta F_{2l}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha''_1 = \frac{d\alpha'_1}{dt}$  and  $\alpha''_2 = \frac{d\alpha'_2}{dt}$ . From (5.3) and (5.5) we obtain the Weingarten formula for  $M$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi'_t &= (\alpha''_1 \alpha'_2 - \alpha'_1 \alpha''_2) \beta^3 x'_t = \frac{\alpha''_1 \alpha'_2 - \alpha'_1 \alpha''_2}{\alpha'^2_1 + \alpha'^2_2} \beta x'_t, \\ \xi'_k &= -\alpha_1^{-1} \alpha'_2 \beta x'_k, \quad \xi'_l = \alpha_2^{-1} \alpha'_1 \beta x'_l. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\lambda_1 = (\alpha'_1 \alpha''_2 - \alpha''_1 \alpha'_2) \beta^3, \quad \lambda_2 = \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha'_2 \beta, \quad \lambda_3 = -\alpha_2^{-1} \alpha'_1 \beta.$$

(ii) It is easy to see that if at every point of  $M$  we have

$$(5.6) \quad (p-1)\lambda_2 = -(q-1)\lambda_3$$

then the second fundamental tensor  $H$  of  $M$  satisfies (1.4) on  $\mathcal{U}_H \subset M$ . Evidently, (5.6) yields  $(p-1)\alpha_2 \alpha'_2 = (q-1)\alpha_1 \alpha'_1$ , which is equivalent to

$$\alpha_2 = \sqrt{c + \frac{q-1}{p-1} \alpha_1^2},$$

where  $c$  is a constant. Note that from (4.1) and (5.6) we get easily

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(H) &= \lambda_1 + p\lambda_2 + q\lambda_3 \\ &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + (p-1)\lambda_2 + (q-1)\lambda_3 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (1.3) turns into (1.4).

(iii) We consider the case:  $p = q \geq 2$ . Now (5.6) gives  $\lambda_2 = -\lambda_3$ . Thus (4.1)–(4.4) and (3.4) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \lambda_1, \quad \psi = \lambda_2^2, \quad \rho = -\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2, \\ \text{tr}(H) &= \lambda_1, \quad \text{tr}(H^2) = \lambda_1^2 + (n-1)\lambda_2^2, \\ \beta_1 &= \beta_2 = \beta_5 = 0, \quad \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \frac{n-3}{n-2} \rho, \\ \gamma_0 &= \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2, \quad \gamma_1 = -\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2, \quad \gamma_2 = -\lambda_2^2, \\ \rho_1 &= 0, \quad \rho_2 = \lambda_2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2), \quad \rho_3 = -\lambda_2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2), \\ \kappa &= \text{tr}(H)^2 - \text{tr}(H^2) = -(n-1)\lambda_2^2 = -(n-1)\psi. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$S^3 = \frac{2\kappa}{n-1}S^2 - \frac{\kappa}{n-1}\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} + (\text{tr}(H))^2\right)S,$$

$$(\text{tr}(H))^2 = -\frac{(n-1)\text{tr}(S^3)}{\kappa^2} + \frac{2\text{tr}(S^2)}{\kappa} - \frac{\kappa}{n-1}.$$

Conditions (4.7)–(4.9), by making use of the above presented formulas, turn into

$$(5.7) \quad R \cdot C = Q(S, R) + \frac{1}{n-2}Q\left(S^2 - \frac{\kappa}{n-1}S, G\right),$$

$$(5.8) \quad C \cdot R = \frac{n-3}{n-2}Q(S, R),$$

$$(5.9) \quad C \cdot C = \frac{n-3}{n-2}\left(Q(S, R) + \frac{1}{n-2}Q\left(S^2 - \frac{\kappa}{n-1}S, G\right)\right),$$

respectively. From (5.7) and (5.8) we get immediately

$$(5.10) \quad (n-2)(R \cdot C - C \cdot R) = Q(S, R) + \frac{1}{n-2}Q\left(S^2 - \frac{\kappa}{n-1}S, G\right).$$

Thus the difference tensor  $R \cdot C - C \cdot R$  is expressed by a linear combination of some Tachibana tensors. We mention that hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature with the tensor  $R \cdot C - C \cdot R$  expressed by a linear combination of certain Tachibana tensors were investigated among others in [16, 22, 26, 28, 51]. We also note that (5.9) and (5.10) yield  $(n-3)(R \cdot C - C \cdot R) = C \cdot C$ . Thus the difference tensor  $R \cdot C - C \cdot R$  of  $M$  is a conformal invariant.

### References

1. B. E. Abdalla, F. Dillen, *A Ricci-semi-symmetric hypersurface of the Euclidean space which is not semi-symmetric*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 1805–1808.
2. N. Abe, N. Koike, S. Yamaguchi, *Congruence theorems for proper semi-Riemannian hypersurfaces in a real space form*, Yokohama Math. J. **35** (1987) 123–136.
3. K. Arslan, R. Deszcz, R. Ezentas, C. Murathan, C. Özgür, *On pseudosymmetry type hypersurfaces of semi-Euclidean spaces I*, Acta Math. Scientia **22B** (2002), 346–358.
4. ———, *On some pseudosymmetry type hypersurfaces of semi-Euclidean spaces*, Demonstratio Math. **36** (2003), 971–984.
5. M. Belkhef, R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Hotłoś, D. Kowalczyk, L. Verstraelen, *On some type of curvature conditions*, in: *Banach Center Publications* **57**, Inst. Math., Polish Acad. Sci., 2002, 179–194.
6. T. E. Cecil, G. R. Jensen, *Dupin hypersurfaces with three principal curvatures*, Invent. Math. **132** (1998), 121–178.
7. F. Defever, R. Deszcz, P. Dhooche, L. Verstraelen, Ş. Yaprak, *On Ricci-pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature*, Results Math. **27** (1995), 227–236.
8. F. Defever, R. Deszcz, Z. Şentürk, L. Verstraelen, Ş. Yaprak, *P.J. Ryan’s problem in semi-Riemannian space forms*, Glasgow Math. J. **41** (1999), 271–281.
9. R. Deszcz, *Pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature*, Tensor (N.S.) **58** (1997), 253–269.
10. ———, *On some Akivis-Goldberg type metrics*, Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Sér. **74(88)** (2003), 71–83.
11. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, *Examples of nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric hypersurfaces*, Colloq. Math. **94** (2002), 87–101.

12. ———, *Some nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric warped product hypersurfaces*, Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Sér. **72(86)** (2002), 81–93.
13. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Hotłoś, H. Hashiguchi, M. Yawata, *On semi-Riemannian manifolds satisfying some conformally invariant curvature conditions*, Colloq. Math. **131** (2013), 149–170.
14. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Hotłoś, K. Sawicz, *A Survey on Generalized Einstein Metric Conditions*, in: M. Plaue, A. D. Rendall, M. Scherfner (eds.), *Advances in Lorentzian Geometry: Proceedings of the Lorentzian Geometry Conf. Berlin*, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **49**, 2011, 27–46.
15. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Hotłoś, Z. Şentürk, *On certain quasi-Einstein semisymmetric hypersurfaces*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. **41** (1998), 151–164.
16. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Hotłoś, L. Verstraelen, *On some generalized Einstein metric conditions on hypersurfaces in semi-Riemannian space forms*, Colloq. Math. **96** (2003), 149–166.
17. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Hotłoś, G. Zafindratafa, *On some curvature conditions of pseudosymmetry type*, Period. Math. Hungar. **70** (2015), 153–170.
18. ———, *Hypersurfaces in space forms satisfying some curvature conditions*, to appear.
19. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, J. Jełowicki, M. Petrović-Torgašev, G. Zafindratafa, *On Riemann and Weyl compatible tensors*, Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Sér. **94 (108)** (2013), 111–124.
20. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Petrović-Torgašev, L. Verstraelen, *On the Roter type of Chen ideal submanifolds*, Results in Math. **59** (2011), 401–413.
21. ———, *Curvature properties of some class of minimal hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces*, Filomat **29** (2015), 479–492.
22. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Plaue, K. Sawicz, M. Scherfner, *On hypersurfaces in space forms satisfying particular curvature conditions of Tachibana type*, Kragujevac J. Math. **35** (2011), 223–247.
23. R. Deszcz, M. Hotłoś, *On hypersurfaces with type number two in spaces of constant curvature*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. **46** (2003), 19–34.
24. R. Deszcz, M. Hotłoś, J. Jełowicki, H. Kundu, A. A. Shaikh, *Curvature properties of Gödel metric*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Modern Phys. **11** (2014), 1450025 (20 pages).
25. R. Deszcz, M. Hotłoś, Z. Şentürk, *On curvature properties of quasi-Einstein hypersurfaces in semi-Euclidean spaces*, Soochow Math. **27** (2001), 375–389.
26. ———, *On curvature properties of certain quasi-Einstein hypersurfaces*, Int. J. Math. **23** (2012), 1250073, (17 pages).
27. R. Deszcz, D. Kowalczyk, *On some class of pseudosymmetric warped products*, Colloq. Math. **97** (2003), 7–22.
28. R. Deszcz, M. Petrović-Torgašev, L. Verstraelen, G. Zafindratafa, *On Chen ideal submanifolds satisfying some conditions of pseudo-symmetry type*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc., DOI 10.1007/s40840-015-0164-7 (29 pages), to appear .
29. R. Deszcz, M. Plaue, M. Scherfner, *On a particular class of generalized static spacetimes*, J. Geom. Phys. **69** (2013), 1–11.
30. R. Deszcz, K. Sawicz, *On some class of hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. **48** (2005), 87–98.
31. R. Deszcz, M. Scherfner, *On a particular class of warped products with fibres locally isometric to generalized Cartan hypersurfaces*, Colloq. Math. **109** (2007), 13–29.
32. R. Deszcz, L. Verstraelen, *Hypersurfaces of semi-Riemannian conformally flat manifolds*, in: *Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, III*, World Sci., River Edge, NJ, 1991, 131–147.
33. R. Deszcz, L. Verstraelen, Ş. Yaprak, *Pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces in 4-dimensional spaces of constant curvature*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **22** (1994), 167–179.
34. M. Głogowska, *On a curvature characterization of Ricci-pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces*, Acta Math. Sci. **24B** (2004), 361–375.
35. ———, *Curvature conditions on hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures*, in: *Banach Center Publ.* **69**, Inst. Math., Polish Acad. Sci., 2005, 133–143.

36. ———, *On Roter-type identities*, in: *Pure and Applied Differential Geometry-PADGE 2007, Berichte aus der Mathematik*, Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 2007, 114–122.
37. ———, *On quasi-Einstein Cartan type hypersurfaces*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **58** (2008), 599–614.
38. S. Golab, *Tensor Calculus*, PWN, Warszawa, 1974.
39. S. Haesen, L. Verstraelen, *Properties of a scalar curvature invariant depending on two planes*, *Manuscripta Math.* **122** (2007), 59–72.
40. B. Jahanara, S. Haesen, M. Petrović-Torgašev, L. Verstraelen, *On the Weyl curvature of Deszcz*, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **74** (2009), 417–431.
41. B. Jahanara, S. Haesen, Z. Şentürk, L. Verstraelen, *On the parallel transport of the Ricci curvatures*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **57** (2007), 1771–1777.
42. D. Kowalczyk, *On the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter type spacetimes*, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **30** (2006), 363–381.
43. M. A. Magid, *Indefinite Einstein hypersurfaces with imaginary principal curvatures*, *Houston J. Math.* **10** (1984), 57–61.
44. K. Nomizu, *On the decomposition of generalized curvature tensor fields*, in: *Differential geometry in honor of K. Yano*, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972, 335–345.
45. T. Otsuki, *Minimal hypersurfaces in a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature*, *Amer. J. Math.* **92** (1970), 145–173.
46. M. Petrović-Torgašev, L. Verstraelen, *On Deszcz symmetries of Wintgen ideal submanifolds*, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* **44** (2008), 57–68.
47. P. J. Ryan, *Hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor*, *Osaka J. Math.* **8** (1971) 251–259.
48. J. Sato, *Stability of  $O(p+1) \times O(p+1)$ -invariant hypersurfaces with zero scalar curvature in Euclidean space*, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **22** (2002), 135–153.
49. J. Sato, V. F. de Souza Neto, *Complete and stable  $O(p+1) \times O(q+1)$ -invariant hypersurfaces with zero scalar curvature in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{p+q+2}$* , *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **29** (2006), 221–240.
50. K. Sawicz, *Hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature satisfying some Ricci-type equations*, *Colloq. Math.* **101** (2004), 183–201.
51. ———, *On some class of hypersurfaces with three principal curvatures*, in: *Banach Center Publ.* **69**, Inst. Math., Polish Acad. Sci., 2005, 145–156.
52. ———, *On curvature characterization of some hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature*, *Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Sér.* **79 (93)** (2006), 95–107.
53. ———, *Curvature identities on hypersurfaces in semi-Riemannian space forms*, in: *Pure and Applied Differential Geometry-PADGE 2007, Berichte aus der Mathematik*, Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 2007, 252–260.
54. S. Shu, S. Liu, *Hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures in a real space form*, *Monatsh. Math.* **164** (2011), 225–236.
55. L. Verstraelen, *Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Geometrica I, Radu Rosca in memoriam*, *Bull. Transilvania Univ. Brasov, ser. B, Supplement*, **14 (49)** (2007), 335–348.
56. B. Y. Wu, *On hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures in space forms*, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* **121** (2011), 435–446.
57. D. Yang, Y. Fu, *The classification of golden shaped hypersurfaces in Lorentz space forms*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **412** (2014), 1135–1139.

Department of Applied Mathematics  
 Karol Adamiecki University of Economics in Katowice  
 Pułaskiego 25, 40-276 Katowice  
 Poland  
 katarzyna.sawicz@ue.katowice.pl

(Received 05 03 2014)